# exercise2

## Xiang Li

## 2024/2/13

## Exercise1

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

Randomized trial.

## b

Eligibility criteria:

participants were recruited from 15 universities across the United States.

Exposure definition (Intervention and control):

X = 0, not receive access to Tess

X = 2, receive access to Tess for 2 weeks

X = 4, receive access to Tess for 4 weeks

Assignment procedures:

random assignment at start

Follow-up period:

4 weeks after randomization

Outcome definition:

3 types of survey scores: PHQ-9, GAD-7, PANAS

Causal contrast of interest:

E(Y(4)) - E(Y(2))

E(Y(4)) - E(Y(0))

E(Y(2)) - E(Y(0))

 $\mathbf{c}$ 

Yes, because the participants are randomly assigned, so everyone has opportunity to be exposed or not exposed.

## $\mathbf{d}$

Based on the original assignment result, the output should be marked as X=4.

## Exercise2

#### $\mathbf{a}$

Target trial.

#### b

Eligibility criteria:

individuals are registered in Danish registry systems.

Exposure definition (Intervention and control):

X = 0, not confirmed infection of listed enteric pathogens

X = 1, confirmed infection of listed enteric pathogens

Assignment procedures:

based on case data, not randomization

Follow-up period:

1 year follow-up

Outcome definition:

Death or not within 1 year

Causal contrast of interest:

E(Y(1)) - E(Y(0))

 $\mathbf{c}$ 

Not exchangeable, because for individuals who have been confirmed infection, they have no opportunity to be not exposed.

## Exercise3

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

```
set.seed(519)
n = 10000
confounder = rbinom(n = n, size = 1, prob = 0.5)
```

b

```
exposure = rep(NA, n)
n0 = length(confounder[confounder == 0])
n1 = n - n0
exposure[confounder == 0] = rbinom(n = n0, size = 1, prob = 0.25)
exposure[confounder == 1] = rbinom(n = n1, size = 1, prob = 0.75)
```

 $\mathbf{c}$ 

```
y0 = confounder + rnorm(n)
y1 = y0
```

 $\mathbf{d}$ 

```
outcome = y0 * (1 - exposure) + y1 * exposure
```

 $\mathbf{e}$ 

```
table(confounder, exposure)
```

```
## exposure
## confounder 0 1
## 0 3691 1258
## 1 1217 3834
```

No exchangeability, because X is not randomly assigned in each confounder group.

 $\mathbf{f}$ 

```
mean(outcome[exposure == 1]) - mean(outcome[exposure == 0])
```

```
## [1] 0.5072929
```

Not causal difference, because there is no exchangeability.

 $\mathbf{g}$ 

```
E_outcome1 = mean(outcome[(exposure == 1) & (confounder == 1)]) * mean(confounder ==
    1) + mean(outcome[(exposure == 1) & (confounder == 0)]) * mean(confounder ==
    0)

E_outcome0 = mean(outcome[(exposure == 0) & (confounder == 1)]) * mean(confounder ==
    1) + mean(outcome[(exposure == 0) & (confounder == 0)]) * mean(confounder ==
    0)

ATE = E_outcome1 - E_outcome0

ATE
```

## [1] 0.005487423

## Exercise4

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

$$\begin{split} E(Y(1)) &= (10*1 + 90*0)/100 = 0.1 \\ E(Y(0)) &= (1000*1 + 9000*0)/10000 = 0.1 \\ E(Y(1)) - E(Y(0)) &= 0 \\ E(Y(1))/E(Y(0)) &= 1 \\ (E(Y(1))/(1 - E(Y(1))))/(E(Y(0))/(1 - E(Y(0)))) &= 1 \end{split}$$

#### b

	Cancer (Y=1)	No cancer(Y=0)	Total
Diabetes (X=1)	10 * 0.2 = 2	90 * 0.1 = 9	11
No Diabetes (X=0)	1000 * 0.1 = 100	9000 * 0.01 = 90	190
Total	102	99	201

 $\mathbf{c}$ 

$$\begin{split} E(Y(1)) &= (2*1+9*0)/11 = 0.182 \\ E(Y(0)) &= (100*1+90*0)/190 = 0.526 \\ E(Y(1)) - E(Y(0)) &= -0.344 \\ E(Y(1))/E(Y(0)) &= 0.346 \\ (E(Y(1))/(1-E(Y(1))))/(E(Y(0))/(1-E(Y(0)))) &= 0.200 \end{split}$$

The causal contrast is different among these two types of population, because selection bias.