

1.  $EQ_{CFG}$  is co-Turing-recognisable

$EQ_{CFG}$  is co-Turing-recognisable IFF  $\overline{EQ_{CFG}}$  is Turing-recognisable

thus we consider a TM  $M$  as follows:

$M$  on input  $\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$  where  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are CFGs over  $\Sigma$

i) For each string  $w$  generated from  $\Sigma^*$  in lexicographical order, where  $w \in \Sigma^*$

ii) using algorithm showing  $A_{CFG}$  is decidable, convert  $G_1, G_2$  into equivalent CFGs  $G_1', G_2'$  in Chomsky normal form.

iii) checks whether  $w \in L(G_1)$  and  $w \in L(G_2)$

iv) if one of  $G_1'$  and  $G_2'$  generate  $w$ , which is  
①  $w \in L(G_1)$  and  $w \notin L(G_2)$  or  
②  $w \notin L(G_1)$  and  $w \in L(G_2)$

if ① or ② is positive, accept, else, continue the loop and go to step i)

$M$  will loop when  $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$ , hence  $M$  accepts IFF  $L(G_1) \neq L(G_2)$

thus  $M$  is a recognizer of  $\overline{EQ_{CFG}}$ , thus we say  $EQ_{CFG}$  is co-Turing-recognisable.

2.  $T = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts } w^R \text{ whenever it accepts } w \}$

a) assume  $T$  is decidable, and reduce  $A_{TM}$  to  $T$ , where  $A_{TM}$  is undecidable by theorem.

$A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts } w \}$

we use  $T$  to construct a decider  $A$  for  $A_{TM}$  as follows:

$A$  on input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ , where  $M$  is a TM,  $w$  is a string

i) construct a TM  $M'$  as follows

$M'$  on input  $\langle s \rangle$ , where  $s$  is a string

a) if  $s$  has form '01' or '10', accept

b) if  $s$  doesn't have the form, run  $M$  on input  $\langle w \rangle$

c) if  $M$  accepts, accept, if  $M$  rejects, reject.

ii) Run  $T$  on input  $\langle M' \rangle$

iii) if  $T$  accepts, accept else, reject.

since, we can decide  $A_{TM}$  using  $T$ , there is a contradiction

Therefore, proof by contradiction  $T$  is undecidable.

(B) We can use AI consider 2 languages,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ :

$L_1$  has the property that if  $w \in L_1$  then  $w^R \notin L_1$ , hence if a TM  $M$  recognizes  $L_1$  then the input  $\langle M \rangle \notin T$

$L_2$  has the property that if  $w \in L_2$  then  $w^R \in L_2$ , hence if a TM  $M$  recognizes  $L_2$  then the input  $\langle M \rangle \in T$

Then for the language that recognized by  $M'$ , we have 2 cases:

• Case 1

Input  $\langle M \rangle$  accepts string  $w$ , then since  $M'$  will always accept string  $s$  where  $s \in L_1$ , when  $M$  accepts  $w$ ,  $M'$  will also accept strings  $str$  that  $str \notin L_1$ , hence  $L(M') = L_2$

• Case 2

Input  $\langle M \rangle$  rejects string  $w$ , thus  $M'$  will only accept string  $s$  from  $L_1$ , hence  $L(M') = L_1$

So, we can conclude that  $M' \in T$  IFF  $\langle M, w \rangle \in A_{TM}$ . However,  $A_{TM}$  is never decidable, so we can't construct a TM  $A$  showing that  $\langle M, w \rangle \in A_{TM}$ . Therefore, there is a contradiction, and we conclude that we can't show  $T$  is decidable.

Even if we can use artificial intelligence to define everything that we need, we cannot use AI to get over the basic property of a TM.

3.  $A$  is Turing-recognizable IFF  $A \leq_m A_m$

let  $A \leq_m A_m$ , according to the book,  $A_m$  is Turing-recognizable  
thus if  $A \leq_m A_m$ ,  $A$  is Turing-recognizable, by theorem.

then, we say there is some TM  $M$  that recognise  $A$ .

let  $f(x) = \langle M, x \rangle$ ,  $f$  is computable.

$\Rightarrow x \in A$  IFF  $M$  accepts  $x$  IFF  $\langle M, x \rangle \in A_m$

thus  $f$  is a mapping function from  $A$  to  $A_m$ , hence  $A \leq_m A_m$

Therefore, we can say  $A$  is Turing-recognizable IFF  $A \leq_m A_m$

4.

a)  $2n = O(n)$  T

let  $c=2$ , then  $2n \leq cn = 2n$ , for all  $n \geq 1$

b)  $3^n = 2^{O(n)}$  T

$$3^n = 2^{n \log_2 3} \Rightarrow 2^{\log_2 3 \cdot n} = 2^{O(n)} \text{ for some } c$$

c)  $n = o(2n)$  F

$O(2n) = O(n)$ , since  $f(n) \neq o(f(n)) \Rightarrow$  False.

d)  $n = o(\log n)$  F

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\log n} \neq 0 \Rightarrow n \neq o(\log n)$$

5.  $\text{TRIANGLE} = \{ \langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ contains a triangle} \}$ .  $\text{TRIANGLE} \in P$

Let  $G = \langle V, E \rangle$  where  $V$  is a set of vertices,  $E$  is set of edges.

For triples of vertices from  $V$ , enumerate them and check if 3 pairs of edges is included in  $E$ .

that is  $x, y, z \in V$ . IS  $(x, y), (y, z), (x, z)$  exist in  $E$ ?

To enumerate all triples of vertices, it takes  $O(V^3)$

To check if 3 pairs of edges in  $E$ , it takes  $O(E)$

Thus, total time is  $O(V^3 E)$

is polynomial in the length of input graphs  $\langle G \rangle$ .

Therefore, we say  $\text{TRIANGLE} \in P$