On Moessner's theorem

Dexter Kozen¹ Alexandra Silva^{2,3}

¹Cornell University, USA ²Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen, The Netherlands ³HasLab, Universidade do Minho, Portugal

QAIS workshop, October 2011

Moessner's Conjecture/Theorem

```
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 1 3 6 11 17 24 33 43 54 67 81 96 113 131 150 171 193 216 1 4 15 32 65 108 175 256 625 1296
```

Moessner's Conjecture/Theorem

```
    1
    2
    3
    4
    5
    6
    7
    8
    9
    10
    11
    12
    13
    14
    15
    16
    17
    18
    19
    20
    21
    22
    23
    24

    1
    3
    6
    11
    17
    24
    33
    43
    54
    67
    81
    96
    113
    131
    150
    171
    193
    216

    1
    4
    15
    32
    65
    108
    175
    256
    369
    500
    671
    864
```

Moessner's Conjecture/Theorem

```
    1
    2
    3
    4
    5
    6
    7
    8
    9
    10
    11
    12
    13
    14
    15
    16
    17
    18
    19
    20
    21
    22
    23
    24

    1
    3
    6
    11
    17
    24
    33
    43
    54
    67
    81
    96
    113
    131
    150
    171
    193
    216

    1
    4
    15
    32
    65
    108
    175
    256
    369
    500
    671
    864

    1
    16
    81
    256
    625
    1296
```

Moessner's Conjecture/Theorem

```
    1
    2
    3
    4
    5
    6
    7
    8
    9
    10
    11
    12
    13
    14
    15
    16
    17
    18
    19
    20
    21
    22
    23
    24

    1
    3
    6
    11
    17
    24
    33
    43
    54
    67
    81
    96
    113
    131
    150
    171
    193
    216

    1
    4
    15
    32
    65
    108
    175
    256
    369
    500
    671
    864

    1
    16
    81
    256
    625
    1296
```

Moessner's Conjecture/Theorem

```
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 <del>12</del> 13 14 15 <del>16</del> 17 18 19 <del>20</del> 21 22 23 <del>24</del> 1 3 <del>6</del> 11 17 <del>24</del> 33 43 <del>54</del> 67 81 <del>96</del> 113 131 <del>150</del> 171 193 <del>216</del> 1 4 15 <del>32</del> 65 <del>108</del> 175 <del>256</del> 369 <del>500</del> 671 <del>864</del> 1 16 81 256 625 1296
```

Moessner's Conjecture/Theorem

History

1951 Moessner conjectures it

Aus den Sitzungsberichten der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse 1951 Nr. 3

Eine Bemerkung über die Potenzen der natürlichen Zahlen

Von Alfred Moessner in Gunzenhausen

Vorgelegt von Herrn O. Perron am 2. März 1951

- 1952 Perron proves it
 - 952 Paasche and Salie generalize it
- 1966 Long presents and alternative proof (and generalizes it)
- 2010 Hinze, Rutten&Niqui present new proofs of Moessner's theorem
- 2011 This talk: an uniform proof of all the theorems



History

1951 Moessner conjectures it

Aus den Sitzungsberichten der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse 1951 Nr. 3

Eine Bemerkung über die Potenzen der natürlichen Zahlen

Von Alfred Moessner in Gunzenhausen

Vorgelegt von Herrn O. Perron am 2. März 1951

- 1952 Perron proves it
- 1952 Paasche and Salie generalize it
- 1966 Long presents and alternative proof (and generalizes it)
- 2010 Hinze, Rutten&Niqui present new proofs of Moessner's theorem
- 2011 This talk: an uniform proof of all the theorems



History

1951 Moessner conjectures it

Aus den Sitzungsberichten der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse 1951 Nr. 3

Eine Bemerkung über die Potenzen der natürlichen Zahlen

Von Alfred Moessner in Gunzenhausen

Vorgelegt von Herrn O. Perron am 2. März 1951

- 1952 Perron proves it
- 1952 Paasche and Salie generalize it
- 1966 Long presents and alternative proof (and generalizes it)
- 2010 Hinze, Rutten&Niqui present new proofs of Moessner's theorem
- 2011 This talk: an uniform proof of all the theorems



But first...

Paasche asked: what if we cross out 1, 3, 6, 10, ...?

```
    +
    2
    3
    4
    5
    6
    7
    8
    9
    10
    11
    12
    13
    14
    15
    16
    17
    18
    19
    20
    21

    2
    6
    14
    18
    26
    35
    46
    58
    71
    85
    101
    118
    136
    155
    175

    6
    24
    50
    96
    154
    225
    326
    444
    580
    735

    24
    120
    274
    600
    1044
    1624

    730
    1764
```

We get the factorials: 1, 2, 6, 24, 120, $\dots = 1!$, 2!, 3!, 4!, 5!, \dots

But first...

Paasche asked: what if we cross out 1, 3, 6, 10, ...?

```
    ±
    2
    3
    4
    5
    6
    7
    8
    9
    10
    11
    12
    13
    14
    15
    16
    17
    18
    19
    20
    21

    2
    6
    11
    18
    26
    35
    46
    58
    71
    85
    101
    118
    136
    155
    175

    6
    24
    50
    96
    154
    225
    326
    444
    580
    735

    24
    120
    274
    600
    1044
    1624

    720
    1764

    720
```

We get the factorials: 1, 2, 6, 24, 120, $\dots = 1!$, 2!, 3!, 4!, 5!, \dots

But first...

Paasche asked: what if we cross out 1, 3, 6, 10, ...?

```
    ±
    2
    3
    4
    5
    6
    7
    8
    9
    ±0
    11
    12
    13
    14
    ±5
    16
    17
    18
    19
    20
    2±

    2
    6
    ±1
    18
    26
    35
    46
    58
    71
    85
    101
    118
    136
    155
    ±75

    6
    24
    50
    96
    154
    225
    326
    444
    580
    735

    120
    274
    600
    1044
    ±624

    720
    1764

    720
```

We get the factorials: 1, 2, 6, 24, 120, $\dots = 1!$, 2!, 3!, 4!, 5!, \dots

What if we increment the increment by one in each step?

```
      4
      2
      3
      4
      5
      6
      7
      8
      9
      10
      11
      12
      13
      14
      15
      16
      17
      18
      19
      20
      ...

      2
      5
      10
      16
      23
      31
      40
      51
      63
      76
      80
      95
      ...

      12
      28
      51
      82
      133
      196
      272
      352
      ...

      12
      40
      91
      224
      420
      692
      ...

      12
      28
      984
      ...

      288
      1272
      ...

      288
      ...

      288
      ...

      288
      ...
```

What is the sequence

It's the superfactorials!

$$1, 2, 12, 288, \ldots = 1!, 2!1!, 3!2!1!, 4!3!2!1!, \ldots = 1!!, 2!!, 3!!, 4!!, \ldots$$



What if we increment the increment by one in each step?

```
      4
      2
      3
      4
      5
      6
      7
      8
      9
      10
      11
      12
      13
      14
      15
      16
      17
      18
      19
      20
      ...

      2
      5
      10
      16
      23
      31
      40
      51
      63
      76
      80
      95
      ...

      12
      40
      91
      224
      420
      692
      ...

      12
      52
      276
      696
      ...

      288
      984
      ...

      288
      ...

      288
      ...

      288
      ...
```

What is the sequence

It's the superfactorials!

```
1, 2, 12, 288, \ldots = 1!, 2!1!, 3!2!1!, 4!3!2!1!, \ldots = 1!!, 2!!, 3!!, 4!!, \ldots
```



What if we increment the increment by one in each step?

```
      1
      2
      3
      4
      5
      6
      7
      8
      9
      10
      11
      12
      13
      14
      15
      16
      17
      18
      19
      20
      ...

      2
      5
      10
      16
      23
      31
      40
      51
      63
      76
      80
      95
      ...

      12
      40
      91
      224
      420
      692
      ...

      12
      52
      276
      696
      ...

      288
      984
      ...

      288
      ...

      288
      ...

      288
      ...
```

What is the sequence

1, 2, 12, 288, ...?

It's the superfactorials!

 $1, 2, 12, 288, \ldots = 1!, 2!1!, 3!2!1!, 4!3!2!1!, \ldots = 1!!, 2!!, 3!!, 4!!, \ldots$

What if we increment the increment by one in each step?

```
      4
      2
      3
      4
      5
      6
      7
      8
      9
      10
      11
      12
      13
      14
      15
      16
      17
      18
      19
      20
      ...

      2
      5
      10
      16
      23
      31
      40
      51
      63
      76
      80
      95
      ...

      12
      40
      91
      224
      420
      692
      ...

      12
      52
      276
      696
      ...

      288
      984
      ...

      288
      ...

      288
      ...

      288
      ...
```

What is the sequence

It's the superfactorials!

$$1, 2, 12, 288, \ldots = 1!, 2!1!, 3!2!1!, 4!3!2!1!, \ldots = 1!!, 2!!, 3!!, 4!!, \ldots$$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	3	6		11	17	24		33 43	54		67	81	96	
1	4			15	32			65 108			175	256		
1				16				81			256			

Long's observation

First triangle: Pascal triangle; all have Pascal property

Long's procedure

starting point: Pascal triangle

next step: consider the *n*th northeast-to-southwest row. Take prefix sums and make that the first column, and let the first row be a sequence of 1's.

Complete the triangle using the Pascal property



Long's observation

First triangle: Pascal triangle; all have Pascal property

Long's procedure

starting point: Pascal triangle

next step: consider the *n*th northeast-to-southwest row. Take prefix sums and make that the first column, and let the first row be a sequence of 1's.

Complete the triangle using the Pascal property.



```
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1
    1</t
```

Long's observation

First triangle: Pascal triangle; all have Pascal property

Long's procedure

starting point: Pascal triangle

next step: consider the *n*th northeast-to-southwest row. Take prefix sums and make that the first column, and let the first row be a sequence of 1's.

Complete the triangle using the Pascal property



```
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
      1
```

Long's observation

First triangle: Pascal triangle; all have Pascal property

Long's procedure

starting point: Pascal triangle

next step: consider the nth northeast-to-southwest row. Take prefix sums and make that the first column, and let the first row be a sequence of 1's.

Complete the triangle using the Pascal property.



Yet another generalization (Long & Salie)

What if instead of the natural numbers we start with

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Moessner construction yields

$$a \cdot 1^{n-1}, (a+d) \cdot 2^{n-1}, (a+2d) \cdot 3^{n-1}, \dots$$

when starting from the sequence a, a + d, a + 2d, ...



Yet another generalization (Long & Salie)

What if instead of the natural numbers we start with

```
a+d a+2d a+3d a+4d a+5d a+6d a+7d a+8d a+9d a+10d a+11d
а
         a+2d
                   a+3d a+4d a+5d a+6d
                                               a+7d a+8d a+9d a+10d
а
   a+d
   2a+d
         3a+3d
                       4a+7d 5a+12d <del>6a+18d</del>
                                                 7a+26d 8a+35d 9a+45d
   \frac{3a+d}{}
                        7a+8d <del>12a+20d</del>
                                                   19a+46d 27a+81d
                         8a+8d
                                                    27a+54d
                      (a+d).8
                                                 (a+2d).27
а
```

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Moessner construction yields

$$a \cdot 1^{n-1}, (a+d) \cdot 2^{n-1}, (a+2d) \cdot 3^{n-1}, \dots$$

when starting from the sequence a, a + d, a + 2d, ...



Yet another generalization (Long & Salie)

What if instead of the natural numbers we start with

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Moessner construction yields

$$a \cdot 1^{n-1}, (a+d) \cdot 2^{n-1}, (a+2d) \cdot 3^{n-1}, \dots$$

when starting from the sequence a, a + d, a + 2d, ...



Proofs

- The proofs of Perron, Paasche, Long and Salie all have in common the manipulation of binomial coefficients
- Hinze's proof (2010) involves calculations scans (FP)
- Rutten's proof is coinductive
- Not obvious if the last two can be generalized

Our view on Moessner's theorem

- we take Long's triangle view
- we describe the process as operations on formal power series on two variables
- this yields a proof of Moesser's theorem and its generalizations all at once!

Our view on Moessner's theorem

- we take Long's triangle view
- we describe the process as operations on formal power series on two variables
- this yields a proof of Moesser's theorem and its generalizations all at once!

Our view on Moessner's theorem

- we take Long's triangle view
- we describe the process as operations on formal power series on two variables
- this yields a proof of Moesser's theorem and its generalizations all at once!

Pascal triangle

The Pascal triangle
$$\Delta = \Delta(x, y)$$
 is

$$\Delta(x,y) = \frac{1}{1 - (x+y)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (x+y)^m = \sum_{i,j} {i \choose i} x^i y^j.$$
 (1)

Moessner's construction, algebraically

The "*n*th northeast-to-southwest row" of $p \in \mathbb{Z}(x, y)$ is the homogeneous component of degree n, denoted $[p]_n$.

The operation of "taking prefix sums" is multiplying by $\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i$.

Sequences of triangles

Each successive level-n Moessner triangle is obtained from the previous by taking the homogeneous component of degree n, evaluating at y = 1, and multiplying by Δ .

We define inductively

$$h_0(x,y) = 1$$
 $h_{k+1}(x,y) = [h_k(x,1) \cdot \Delta(x,y)]_n$

then the kth level-n Moessner triangle is $h_k(x,1) \cdot \Delta$ and the final sequence in the Moessner construction is the lead coefficient of $h_k(x,1)$ for $k=1,2,3,\ldots$

Instead of $h_0(x, y) = 1$, we can take $h_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ arbitrary (Salie's generalization).

For Paasche's contruction we need to take homogeneous components not of a fixed n but of an arbitrary increasing sequence.

Let $d(0), d(1), d(2), \ldots$ of nonnegative integers and $n(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} d(i)$. The n(k)'s are the positions one should delete.

$$d(0) \ d(1) \ d(2) \ d(3) \ \cdots$$
 $n \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots$
 $n \ n \ n \ n \ \cdots$

Instead of $h_0(x,y)=1$, we can take $h_0\in\mathbb{Z}\left[x,y\right]$ arbitrary (Salie's generalization).

For Paasche's contruction we need to take homogeneous components not of a fixed n but of an arbitrary increasing sequence.

Let $d(0), d(1), d(2), \ldots$ of nonnegative integers and $n(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} d(i)$. The n(k)'s are the positions one should delete.

$$d(0)$$
 $d(1)$ $d(2)$ $d(3)$...
 n 0 0 0 ...
 n n n n ...
 $n(0)$ $n(1)$ $n(2)$ $n(3)$...

Instead of $h_0(x, y) = 1$, we can take $h_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ arbitrary (Salie's generalization).

For Paasche's contruction we need to take homogeneous components not of a fixed n but of an arbitrary increasing sequence.

Let $d(0), d(1), d(2), \ldots$ of nonnegative integers and $n(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} d(i)$. The n(k)'s are the positions one should delete.

$$d(0)$$
 $d(1)$ $d(2)$ $d(3)$...
 n 0 0 0 ...
 n n n n n ...
 $n(0)$ $n(1)$ $n(2)$ $n(3)$...

Instead of $h_0(x, y) = 1$, we can take $h_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ arbitrary (Salie's generalization).

For Paasche's contruction we need to take homogeneous components not of a fixed n but of an arbitrary increasing sequence.

Let $d(0), d(1), d(2), \ldots$ of nonnegative integers and $n(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} d(i)$. The n(k)'s are the positions one should delete.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} d(0) & d(1) & d(2) & d(3) & \cdots \\ n & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \end{array}$$

$$n$$
 n n n n \cdots $n(0)$ $n(1)$ $n(2)$ $n(3)$ \cdots

Define inductively

$$h_{k+1}(x,y) = [h_k(x,1) \cdot \Delta(x,y)]_{n(k+1)}. \tag{2}$$

The Moessner construction is the special case $h_0 = 1$, d(0) = n, and d(i) = 0 for $i \ge 1$.



Main theorem

Theorem

Let h_k be the sequence defined by (2). For all $k \ge 0$,

$$h_k(x,y) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} ((k-i)x + y)^{d(i)} \cdot h_0(x,kx + y).$$

Corollaries

Paasche's, Long's, and Moessner's theorems are now immediate consequences of Theorem 1.

Corollary (Moessner's Theorem)

If $h_0 = 1$, d(0) = n, and d(k) = 0 for $k \ge 1$, then the lead coefficient of $h_k(x, 1)$ is k^n for all $k \ge 1$.

Corollaries

Paasche's, Long's, and Moessner's theorems are now immediate consequences of Theorem 1.

Corollary (Long's Theorem)

If $h_0 = (a - d)x + dy$, d(0) = n - 1, and d(k) = 0 for $k \ge 1$, then the lead coefficient of $h_k(x, 1)$ is $(a + (k - 1)d)k^{n-1}$ for all $k \ge 1$.



Corollaries

Paasche's, Long's, and Moessner's theorems are now immediate consequences of Theorem 1.

Corollary (Paasche's Theorem)

For $h_0 = 1$ and any sequence d, the lead coefficient of $h_k(x, 1)$ is

$$\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (k-i)^{d(i)} \tag{3}$$

for all $k \ge 0$. In particular, the sequences d = 1, 1, 1, ... and d = 1, 2, 3, ... yield the factorials and superfactorials, respectively.

Alexandra Silva (RUN)

Conclusions

- First proof that covers all the generalizations
- Proofs have a striking simplicity (no binomial coefficient manipulations!)
- Opens the door to new Moessner-like theorems (multidimensional generalization).

Conclusions

- First proof that covers all the generalizations
- Proofs have a striking simplicity (no binomial coefficent manipulations!)
- Opens the door to new Moessner-like theorems (multidimensional generalization).

Conclusions

- First proof that covers all the generalizations
- Proofs have a striking simplicity (no binomial coefficent manipulations!)
- Opens the door to new Moessner-like theorems (multidimensional generalization).

Thank you for your attention!