

STA 141B Final Project

Fall 2018

Xavier Hung

Weiyi Chen

Problem: Is there a correlation between speeding and red light camera violations?

Case study: Chicago

Many people are likely to speed up as they approach an intersection when the traffic light turns yellow. It is dangerous and can sometimes lead to a fatal crash. The red light camera is intended to increase public safety by preventing people from running a red light, but is it really effective?

This common occurrence brought us to analyze the association between red light violations and speeding.

Data Extraction

We obtained our data from the City of Chicago. There are four CSV files: red light camera locations, red light camera violations, speed camera locations, and speed camera violations (details of these data can be found at <https://data.cityofchicago.org/browse?q=red+light+camera&sortBy=relevance> (<https://data.cityofchicago.org/browse?q=red+light+camera&sortBy=relevance>)). The two files speed camera violations and red light camera violations contain the daily number of speed violations and red light camera violations, respectively, since July 2014.

```
In [1]: redlight_loc = pd.read_csv('red-light-camera-locations.csv')
redlight_violations = pd.read_csv('red-light-camera-violations.csv')


speed_loc = pd.read_csv('speed-camera-locations.csv')
speed_violations = pd.read_csv('speed-camera-violations.csv')
```

`speed_loc` and `redlight_loc` have columns, latitude and longitude. We use Mercator projection to convert latitude and longitude into coordinates, and plot the locations of red light cameras and speed cameras.

The following interactive map shows the locations of all speed cameras and red light cameras in Chicago.

```
In [2]: output_notebook()  
show(p)
```

(<https://loading.ejken.us>)



In Chicago, 0.001 latitude is about 111.2 meters when longitude is constant. When latitude is constant, 0.001 longitude is about 82.69 meters. This link provides the information: <https://www.movable-type.co.uk/scripts/latlong.html> (<https://www.movable-type.co.uk/scripts/latlong.html>)

We subset the data by red light cameras and speed cameras that are near each other by 0.001 latitude and longitude.

```
In [3]: # find red light cameras near speed cameras
for i in range(0,speed_loc.shape[0]):
    for j in range(0,redlight_loc.shape[0]):
        if abs(speed_loc.iloc[i,4] - redlight_loc.iloc[j,5]) < 0.001 and abs(speed_loc.iloc[i,5] - redlight_loc.iloc[j,6]) < 0.001:
            redlight_loc_copy = redlight_loc_copy.append(redlight_loc.iloc[j], ignore_index = True)
            speed_loc_copy = speed_loc_copy.append(speed_loc.iloc[i], ignore_index = True)
            temp = pd.DataFrame({'speed': [speed_loc.iloc[i,0]], 'redlight': [redlight_loc.iloc[j,0]]})
            pairs = pairs.append(temp)
pairs = pairs.reset_index(drop = True)
```

```
In [6]: print('There are ', len(pairs), ' location pairs that have red light cameras and speed cameras in close proximity.', sep = '')
print(pairs)
print('There are ', len(pairs['speed'].value_counts()), ' speed cameras.', sep = '')
print('There are ', len(pairs['redlight'].value_counts()), ' red light cameras.', sep = '')
```

There are 18 location pairs that have red light cameras and speed cameras in close proximity.

	speed	redlight
0	7826 S PULASKI	PULASKI AND 79TH
1	450 N COLUMBUS DR	COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS
2	3217 W 55TH ST	55TH AND KEDZIE
3	3212 W 55TH ST	55TH AND KEDZIE
4	7833 S PULASKI	PULASKI AND 79TH
5	5532 S KEDZIE AVE	55TH AND KEDZIE
6	4123 N CENTRAL AVE	CENTRAL AND IRVING PARK
7	5428 S PULASKI	55TH and PULASKI
8	2335 W CERMAK RD	WESTERN AND CERMAK
9	732 N PULASKI RD	PULASKI AND CHICAGO
10	5030 S PULASKI	PULASKI AND ARCHER
11	4929 S PULASKI	PULASKI AND ARCHER
12	3534 N WESTERN	WESTERN AND ADDISON
13	5433 S PULASKI	55TH and PULASKI
14	449 N COLUMBUS DR	COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS
15	324 E ILLINOIS ST	COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS
16	7122 S SOUTH CHICAGO AVE	COTTAGE GROVE AND 71ST
17	819 E 71ST ST	COTTAGE GROVE AND 71ST


There are 18 speed cameras.

There are 10 red light cameras.

These are the addresses of the 18 pairs of speed cameras and red light cameras, and we mapped them in the following plot. There are 10 red light cameras. At some intersections, there are more than one speed cameras that are near the red light cameras. We can see the locations of each speed camera in the following interactive plot.

```
In [7]: output_notebook()  
show(p)
```

<https://nbconvert.pydata.org/> Boken JS 1.0.1 successfully loaded.




We will convert the daily violations to monthly violations, and plot speeding and red light camera violations over time at these 10 intersections, respectively. For each intersection, we will compare the trend of speed violations with the red light camera violations, and calculate the Spearman's correlation coefficient and the p-value to test for correlation (if any) since the data are nonparametric.

We analyze the 10 intersections in the order of most red light camera violations to the least red light camera violations. The red light camera violations are represented by in the following plots.

```
In [10]: for i in range(0, len(redlight)):
          for l in range(0, len(temp)):
            for m in range(0, len(temp2)):
              if(temp['VIOLATION DATE'][l] == temp2['VIOLATION DATE'][m]
):
                  x1 = x1.append(temp.iloc[l])
                  x2 = x2.append(temp2.iloc[m])
              print('The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for s
peed violations at ', temp.iloc[0,0], ' and red light violations at ',
x2.iloc[0,2], ' are ', spearmanr(x1['VIOLATIONS'], x2['VIOLATIONS'])[0
], ' and ', spearmanr(x1['VIOLATIONS'], x2['VIOLATIONS'])[1], ', respe
ctively.', sep = '')

          print(ggplot(aes(x = 'date', y = 'count', color = 'ADDRESS', linet
ype = 'CAMERA_TYPE'), data = plotdata_redlight)
+ geom_line()
+ geom_line(aes(x = 'date', y = 'count', color = 'ADDRESS', line
type = 'CAMERA_TYPE'), data = plotdata_speed)
+ labs(x = 'Violation Date', y = 'Number of Violations', color =
'Address/Intersection', linetype = 'Camera Type')
+ theme(axis_text_x = element_text(angle = 90, hjust = 1))
+ ggtitle('Violations Over Time Near ' + rlc_violation_intersect
ion.iloc[0,0]))
```


The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 449 N COLUMBUS DR and red light violations at COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS are 0.162963453255 and 2.78044192069e-52, respectively. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 450 N COLUMBUS DR and red light violations at COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS are 0.264360762275 and 2.79546990715e-134, respectively. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 324 E ILLINOIS ST and red light violations at COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS are -0.0754133215296 and 0.00111370502749, respectively.



```
<ggplot: (287021709)>
```

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 5030 S PULASKI and red light violations at PULASKI AND ARCHER are 0.0858098835074 and 8.32305340821e-06, respectively.


The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 4929 S PULASKI and red light violations at PULASKI AND ARCHER are 0.0805567849214 and 3.18889544956e-05, respectively.




```
<ggplot: (285597220)>
```

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 7833 S PULASKI and red light violations at PULASKI AND 79TH are 0.0421715594408 and 0.0309514706502, respectively.

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 7826 S PULASKI and red light violations at PULASKI AND 79TH are 0.0141353546134 and 0.468865265261, respectively.




```
<ggplot: (-9223372036581361299)>
```

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 5532 S KEDZIE AVE and red light violations at 55TH AND KEDZIE are 0.0196416695613 and 0.30387986204, respectively.

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 3212 W 55TH ST and red light violations at 55TH AND KEDZIE are -0.0428981540587 and 0.065662139916, respectively.


The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 3217 W 55TH ST and red light violations at 55TH AND KEDZIE are 0.0192534438388 and 0.450386639939, respectively.



```
<ggplot: (-9223372036567845288)>
```

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 819 E 71ST ST and red light violations at COTTAGE GROVE AND 71ST are -0.298144378532 and 0.0121817373417 , respectively.


The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 7122 S SOUTH CHICAGO AVE and red light violations at COTTAGE GROVE AND 71ST are -0.0650908855775 and 0.597942453407 , respectively.



```
<ggplot: (-9223372036568076512)>
```


The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 5433 S PULASKI and red light violations at 55TH and PULASKI are 0.0513666664902 and 0.0130290766203, respectively.

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 5428 S PULASKI and red light violations at 55TH and PULASKI are 0.126406090074 and 1.19662717129e-09, respectively.




```
<ggplot: (-9223372036571638135)>
```

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 3534 N WESTERN and red light violations at WESTERN AND ADDISON are 0.0829683054404 and 0.0101969649149, respectively.




```
<ggplot: (-9223372036568076351)>
```

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 2335 W CERMAK RD and red light violations at WESTERN AND CERMAK are 0.0126478366504 and 0.693407633301, respectively.




```
<ggplot: (287753522)>
```

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 732 N PULASKI RD and red light violations at PULASKI AND CHICAGO are -0.0305480932393 and 0.274597466049, respectively.



```
<ggplot: (-9223372036563669500)>
```

The Spearman rank correlation coefficient and p-value for speed violations at 4123 N CENTRAL AVE and red light violations at CENTRAL AND IRVING PARK are -0.0261146025436 and 0.198785140848 , respectively.



```
<ggplot: (303260918)>
```

Data Analysis

1. Near COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS (intersection with the most red light camera violations)

Between the speed violations at 449 N COLUMBUS DR and red light violations at COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS, there is a very weak, positive correlation ($\rho = 0.16296$, $p < 0.001$). The speeding violations are increasing then decreasing within a narrow range. The trend is roughly similar to the red light violations.

Between the speed violations at 450 N COLUMBUS DR and red light violations at COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS, there is a weak, positive correlation ($\rho = 0.26436$, $p < 0.001$). Since Spearman correlation measures monotonic relationship, the low coefficient does not mean weak correlation. From the graph, the trend of red light violations at COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS is nearly the same as the trend of speed violations at 450 N COLUMBUS DR (). They increase and decrease almost at the same time, thus we believe, there is a somehow strong correlation between them.

There is a very weak, negative correlation between speed violations at 324 E ILLINOIS ST and red light violations at COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS ($\rho = -0.07541$, $p = 0.0011$). From the plot, we see that there are very few speeding violations at 324 E ILLINOIS ST, so we assume this is a newly installed speed camera.

We also find it interesting that the summer months have the peak number of violations. This may be because there are more drivers on the streets during the summer and people may be more reluctant to drive during the winter when there is snow. The overall violations appear to decrease over time.

2. Near PULASKI AND ARCHER (intersection with the second most red light camera violations)

Between the speed violations at 5030 S PULASKI and red light violations at PULASKI AND ARCHER, there is a very weak, positive correlation ($\rho = 0.08581$, $p < 0.001$). Between the speed violations at 4929 S PULASKI and red light violations at PULASKI AND ARCHER, there is also a very weak, positive correlation ($\rho = 0.08056$, $p < 0.001$). Although the coefficients are small, we see from the plot that the three lines change in the same pattern. Thus, there are moderate correlations between these two pairs.

There is no big change in red light camera violations and speed violations at 4929 S PULASKI. Again, the summer months have the peak number of violations. For speed violations at 5030 S PULASKI, there are nearly 3,000 speed violations per month in summer 2014, about 1,800 violations per month in summer 2015, and around 1,000 violations per month in summer 2016, 2017, 2018. Since 2016, the majority of monthly violations stay below 1,000.

3. Near PULASKI AND 79TH (intersection with the third most red light camera violations)

There is almost no correlation between the speed violations at 7833 S PULASKI and red light violations at PULASKI AND 79TH ($\rho = 0.04217$, $p = 0.03$). There is also almost no correlation between the speed violations at 7826 S PULASKI and red light violations at PULASKI AND 79TH ($\rho = 0.01414$, $p = 0.47$).

The number of red light camera violations periodically fluctuates with the highest during the summer and lowest during the winter. The speed violations at 7826 S PULASKI are few and flat. The speed violations at 7833 S PULASKI dramatically drop from July 2014, and the overall speed violations decrease slightly over time.

4. Near 55TH AND KEDZIE

There is almost no correlation between the speed violations at 5532 S KEDZIE AVE and red light violations at 55TH AND KEDZIE ($\rho = 0.01964$, $p = 0.304$). There is very weak correlation between the speed violations at 3212 W 55TH ST and red light violations at 55TH AND KEDZIE ($\rho = -0.04290$, $p = 0.065$). There is almost no correlation between the speed violations at 3217 W 55TH ST and red light violations at 55TH AND KEDZIE ($\rho = 0.01925$, $p = 0.450$).

We see a trend of increasing red light camera violations. The trend for speed violations at 3212 W 55TH ST and at 3217 W 55TH ST are similar in pattern, with both being low and flat. There are two large spikes in the number of speed violations at 5532 S KEDZIE AVE in 2015 (the first year of use). Then, the number of speeding violations declines significantly (below 100).

5. Near COTTAGE GROVE AND 71ST

Since the two speed cameras near COTTAGE GROVE AND 71ST, were installed recently, there is not enough information.

The number of red-light running fluctuates within a small range and slightly increases over time.

6. Near 55TH and PULASKI

Between the speed violations at 5433 S PULASKI and red light violations at 55TH and PULASKI, there is a very weak, positive correlation ($\rho = 0.05137$, $p < 0.013$). Between the speed violations at 5428 S PULASKI and red light violations at 55TH and PULASKI, there is a very weak, positive correlation ($\rho = 0.12641$, $p < 0.001$). Although the coefficients are small, we see from the plot that the three lines follow a similar trend. Thus, there are moderate correlations between these two pairs.

The overall violations appear to decrease over time.

7. Near WESTERN AND ADDISON

There is a very weak, positive correlation between speed violations at 3534 N WESTERN and red light violations at WESTERN AND ADDISON ($\rho = 0.08297$, $p = 0.01$).

The speed violations have no data from April 2016 to August 2017. The number of speed violations and red light violations are relatively high during the summer.

8. Near WESTERN AND CERMAK

There is almost no correlation between speed violations at 2335 W CERMAK RD and red light violations at WESTERN AND CERMAK ($\rho = 0.01265$, $p = 0.69$).

Red light camera violations are relatively low in 2015, and it also shows the spikes during the summer months. However, the number of speed violations are highest in 2015, but then it increases dramatically during the summer in 2016 and then decreases during the winter where it stays around 50 in the following years.

9. Near PULASKI AND CHICAGO

There is almost no correlation between speed violations at 732 N PULASKI RD and red light violations at PULASKI AND CHICAGO ($\rho = -0.03055$, $p = 0.27$).

The number of red light camera violations appears to increase and the number of speed camera violations appears to decrease over time.

10. Near CENTRAL AND IRVING PARK (intersection with the least red light camera violations)

There is a very weak, negative correlation between speed violations at 4123 N CENTRAL AVE and red light violations at CENTRAL AND IRVING PARK ($\rho = -0.02611$, $p = 0.19879$). The number of monthly red light violations is mostly between 100 to 200. However, the number of speed violations appears to spike during the winter months, which is in contrast to our previous finding that the highest number of violations occurs during the summer. Moreover, there is a sharp drop in the number of speed violations to almost 0 between July 2015 and December 2015. It is highly unlikely that the number of speeding violations during this period is in the single digits, so we assume that the speed camera was offline for a few weeks.

Conclusion

Since our data does not follow a normal distribution and does not exhibit a linear relationship, we chose Spearman's correlation instead of Pearson's correlation to measure the strength of the relationship between paired data. However, the Spearman's rank correlation may not be the best statistic to determine this strength since our data is not monotonic. All of the Spearman rank correlation coefficients for the location pairs either had very weak or almost no correlation except for the ones at COLUMBUS AND ILLINOIS and COTTAGE GROVE AND 71ST, which both had weak correlations.

We also found that the number of speed camera violations and red light camera violations tended to spike during the summer months with the exception of the intersection CENTRAL AND IRVING PARK, which had the highest number of violations during the winter months.

Ultimately, there is insufficient evidence to conclude that there is a correlation between red light violations and speed violations. We cannot conclude that red light cameras are effective at preventing people from running a red light