### GENERALIZED REPUNIT PRIMES

#### HARVEY DUBNER

ABSTRACT. Generalized repunits have the form  $(b^n - 1)/(b - 1)$ . A table of generalized repunit primes and probable primes is presented for b up to 99 and large values of n.

#### 1. Introduction

Numbers of the form

$$M = \frac{b^n - 1}{b - 1}$$

are called repunits to base b. They consist of a string of n 1's when written in base b. For b=2, these are the Mersenne numbers, which have been studied extensively for hundreds of years. In [3], a truly prodigious amount of work has gone into factoring numbers of the form  $b^n \pm 1$  for b from 3 to 12 and values of n up to about 300. In [8], Williams and Seah tabulated all the generalized repunits that are prime or probable prime for b from 3 to 12 and b up to 1000 (2000 for base 10).

The purpose of this paper is to present the results of computer searches for generalized repunit primes for bases up to 99.

## 2. Method

In searching for primes of the form (1) we need to consider only prime n, because M factors algebraically when n is composite. Similarly, b must not be a perfect power, because M factors algebraically when it is. It is known that all factors of M have the form 2kn+1. We divided each M by the first 20,000 numbers of this form and discovered a small factor about half the time. Each remaining M was subjected to a Fermat test

$$(2) a^{M-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$$

for some  $a \neq b$ . If the congruence failed, then M was composite. If it held, we tried (2) with a different a. If the second congruence held, M was declared a probable prime (PRP). We tried to give a rigorous proof that each PRP was prime. We used UBASIC [4, 7] to do this for most PRP's up to 250 digits. The larger PRP's were sent to François Morain for his elliptic curve prime proving algorithm [1, 6]. The results are shown in Table 1.

Received by the editor March 31, 1992 and, in revised form, August 17, 1992. 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11A41.

©1993 American Mathematical Society 0025-5718/93 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

# TABLE 1. Prime repunits-Base b $\frac{b^n-1}{b-1}$

<u>b</u>	n-for which $P$ is prime or $PRP(*)$	max n tested
2	2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 17, 19, 31, 61, 89, 107, 127, 521,	don't know
	607, 1279, 2203, 2281, 3217, 4253, 4423, 9689, 9941,	
	11213, 19937, 21701, 23209, 44497, 86243, 110503,	
	132049, 216091, 756839	
3	3, 7, 13, 71, 103, 541, 1091* 1367* 1627* 4177* 9011* 9551*	12006
4	2 Algebraic factors	
5	3, 7, 11, 13, 47, 127, 149, 181, 619, 929, 3407* 10949*	12238
6	2, 3, 7, 29, 71, 127, 271, 509, 1049* 6389* 6883* 10613*	12658
7	5, 13, 131, 149, 1699*	10738
8	3 Algebraic factors	
9	Algebraic factors	20000
10	2, 19, 23, 317, 1031	20000
11	17, 19, 73, 139, 907* 1907* 2029* 4801* 5153* 10867*	11092
12	2, 3, 5, 19, 97, 109, 317, 353, 701* 9739*	10486 9550
13	3, 7, 137, 283* 883* 991* 1021* 1193* 3671*	9282
14	3, 7, 19, 31, 41, 2687* 3, 43, 73, 487* 2579* 8741*	8836
15	2 Algebraic factors	0030
17	3, 5, 7, 11, 47, 71, 419, 4799*	8446
18	2	8286
19	19, 31, 47, 59, 61, 107, 337* 1061*	8010
20	3, 11, 17, 1487*	7872
21	3, 11, 17, 43, 271	8218
22	2, 5, 79, 101, 359* 857* 4463*	7698
23	5, 3181*	7458
24	3, 5, 19, 53, 71, 653* 661*	7918
25	Algebraic factors	,,,,,
26	7, 43, 347	7498
27		
28	2, 5, 17, 457* 1423*	7392
29	5, 151, 3719*	7186
30	2, 5, 11, 163, 569* 1789*	6976
31	7, 17, 31, 5581*	6826
32	Algebraic factors	
33	3, 197, 3581*	6760
34	13, 1492* 5851* 6379*	6568
35	313* 1297*	6690
36	2 Algebraic factors	<b>7.400</b>
37	13, 71, 181, 251, 463* 521* 7321*	7488
38	3, 7, 401* 449*	6562
39	349, 631* 4493*	6378
40	2, 5, 7, 19, 23, 29, 541* 751* 1277*	6636
41	3, 83, 269* 409* 1759*	2698 2788
42	2, 1319*	2088
43	5, 13 5, 31, 167	2140
44	5, 31, 167 10, 53, 167	2140
46	19, 53, 167 2, 7, 19, 67, 211* 433*	2112
47	127	2052
48	19, 269* 349* 383* 1303*	2016
49	Algebraic factors	2010
50	3, 5, 127, 139, 347, 661* 2203*	2520
51	none	2616
<u> </u>		

TABLE 1 (continued)  $\frac{b^n-1}{b-1}$ 

	D-1	
<u>b</u>	n-for which $P$ is prime or $PRP(*)$	max n tested
52	2, 103, 257*	2110
53	11, 31, 41, 1571*	2178
54	3, 389*	2380
55	17, 41, 47, 151, 839* 2267*	2370
56	7, 157, 2083* 2389*	2392
57	3, 17, 109, 151, 211, 661*	2376
58	2, 41, 2333*	2338
59	3, 13, 479*	2446
60	2, 7, 11, 53, 173	2350
61	7, 37, 107, 769*	2388
62	5, 17, 47, 163, 173, 757*	2592
63	5	2556
64	Algebraic factors	2330
65	19, 29, 631*	2620
66	2, 7, 19	2388
67	19, 367* 1487*	2592
68	5, 7, 107	2500
69	61, 2371*	2388
70	2, 29, 59, 541* 761* 1013*	2477
71	31, 41, 157, 1583*	2292
72	2, 7, 13, 109, 227	
73	5, 7	2310
74	5, 7 5, 191*	2682
1	·	2286
75	19, 47, 73, 739*	2250
76	41, 157, 439* 593*	2590
77	5, 37	2520
78	2, 101, 257, 1949*	2310
79	5, 109, 149, 659*	2473
80	7	2590
81	Algebraic factors	
82	2, 23, 31, 41	3526
83	5	2476
84	17	3342
85	5, 19, 2111*	3312
86	11, 43, 113* 509* 1069* 2909*	3203
87	7, 17	2710
88	2, 61* 577*	3460
89	3, 7, 43, 71* 109* 571*	3510
90	3, 19, 97*	3330
91	none	2332
92	439*	3372
93	7	2376
94	5, 13, 37, 1789*	2578
95	7, 523*	2370
96	2	2467
97	17, 37, 1693*	2440
98	13, 47	2136
99	5, 37, 47, 383*	2388

Most of the calculations were done on four special-purpose number-theory computers [5]. Each computer can do a Fermat test on a 1000-digit number in about 20 seconds. For larger numbers the test time varies as the cube of the number of digits. Approximately one day of one computer was devoted to each base. Some of the latest calculations were done on an improved version of the special hardware which is about four to eight times faster.

Because of a programming error, values of M were tested for b=4, even though these numbers factor algebraically and should have been skipped. Surprisingly, several of these composite numbers were designated as PRP, the largest being a 76-digit number corresponding to n=127. Since this was by far the largest composite PRP that was ever discovered accidentally by the author, this was investigated further.

For odd primes n,

(3) 
$$M = \frac{4^n - 1}{3} = (2^n - 1) * \frac{2^n + 1}{3} = (3A + 1) * (A + 1).$$

In [2] it is shown that the number of test bases less than M which satisfy (2) is

$$\prod (M-1, p_i-1), \text{ where } M=\prod p_i^{a_i}.$$

If both factors on the right of (3) are prime, the number of test bases less than M which satisfy (2) is approximately M/3, so that the probability of two random bases satisfying (2) is about 1/9. In fact, for n=127 and for a up to 30, (2) is satisfied for a=2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 27, 29. It is customary to use a small prime for a test base. Here, if one of the first 10 primes is used as a test base, 7 out of 10 times an erroneous prime indication results. Since 3 and 13 were used as the test bases, this explained the PRP result. It is interesting to note that the first factor is a Mersenne prime.

Similar considerations hold for any b which is a perfect square. If M factors into two primes, then there is an unexpectedly large probability that M will pass a Fermat test although it is composite. It is clear that the practice of using small test bases should be questioned. It would be desirable if a criterion could be established for choosing optimum test bases.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank François Morain for his efforts in verifying so many large primes.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- A. O. L. Atkin and F. Morain, Elliptic curves and primality proving, Raport de Recherche 1256, INRIA, Juin, 1990.
- 2. R. Baillie and S. S. Wagstaff, Jr., Lucas pseudoprimes, Math. Comp. 35 (1980), 1391-1417.
- 3. J. Brillhart, D. H. Lehmer, J. L. Selfridge, B. Tuckerman, and S. S. Wagstaff, Jr., Factorization of  $b^n \pm 1$ , b = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 up to high powers, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- 4. C. Caldwell, The near repdigit primes  $A_nB$ ,  $AB_n$ , and UBASIC, J. Recreational Math. 22 (2) (1990), 101-109.
- 5. H. Dubner and R. Dubner, The development of a low-cost computer for number theory applications, J. Recreational Math. 18 (1985-86), 81-86.
- F. Morain, Distributed primality proving and the primality of (2<sup>3539</sup> + 1)/3, Advances in Cryptology-EURODRYPT '90 (I. B. Damgard, ed.), pp. 110-123.
- 7. W. Neumann, A public domain BASIC for mathematics, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1989), 557-559.
- 8. H. C. Williams and E. Seah, Some primes of the form  $(a^n 1)/(a 1)$ , Math. Comp. 33 (1979), 1337-1342.

449 BEVERLY ROAD, RIDGEWOOD, NEW JERSEY 07450 E-mail address: 70372.1170@compuserve.com