

Generalized Sierpiński Numbers

Michael Filaseta

Mathematics Department, University of South Carolina
Columbia, SC 29208, Email: filaseta@math.sc.edu

Robert Groth

Mathematics Department, University of South Carolina
Columbia, SC 29208, Email: rgroth@email.sc.edu

Thomas Luckner

Mathematics Department, University of South Carolina
Columbia, SC 29208, Email: luckner@email.sc.edu

May 17, 2023

Abstract

A Sierpiński number is a positive odd integer k such that $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ is composite for all positive integers n . Fix an integer A with $2 \leq A$. We show that there exists a positive odd integer k such that $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is composite for all integers $a \in [2, A]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

1 Introduction

A *covering system* (on n) is a finite collection of congruence classes $\mathcal{C} = \{n \equiv r_j \pmod{m_j}\}$ such that every integer is a member of at least one class in \mathcal{C} . P. Erdős [3] used a covering system to show that there is an arithmetic progression of positive integers, none of which can be written as a prime plus a power of 2. Another early application of covering systems is due to W. Sierpiński [9] who showed that there is an arithmetic progression of positive integers k having the property that $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ is

composite for all positive integers n . Specifically, Sierpiński observed the implications

$$\begin{array}{llll}
n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{3} & \implies & k \cdot 2^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\
n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{5} & \implies & k \cdot 2^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \\
n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{17} & \implies & k \cdot 2^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{17} \\
n \equiv 8 \pmod{16}, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{257} & \implies & k \cdot 2^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{257} \\
n \equiv 16 \pmod{32}, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{65537} & \implies & k \cdot 2^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{65537} \\
n \equiv 32 \pmod{64}, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{641} & \implies & k \cdot 2^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{641} \\
n \equiv 0 \pmod{64}, & k \equiv -1 \pmod{6700417} & \implies & k \cdot 2^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6700417}.
\end{array}$$

The congruences on n on the left form a covering system. The conditions on k then force the implications to all hold. From this, one can deduce then that if

$$k \equiv 15511380746462593381 \pmod{3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot 6700417},$$

then $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ is divisible by one of the primes

$$3, \quad 5, \quad 17, \quad 257, \quad 65537, \quad 641, \quad 6700417$$

for each positive integer n and, hence, $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ is composite. An *odd* positive integer k having the property that $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ is composite for all positive integers n is called a *Sierpiński number*. The condition that k be odd was introduced at least in part to avoid the possibility of k being a power of 2 (see [1] for more details). We note that the smallest known Sierpiński number is 78557, which was found by J. Selfridge (unpublished).

The main purpose of this paper is to offer the following generalization of Sierpiński's result.

Theorem 1.1. *Fix $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \leq A$. There exists an arithmetic progression of odd positive integers k such that $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is composite for all $a \in [2, A]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

Further related results are described in the last section of the paper.

Since for a given positive integer k , Dirichlet's theorem implies there are infinitely many positive integers a such that $ka + 1$ is prime, we know that there cannot be a k such that $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is composite for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Thus, we cannot replace $a \in [2, A]$ in Theorem 1.1 by $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will show that there is an arithmetic progression of odd positive integers k such that *every sufficiently large* k in the arithmetic progression satisfies $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is composite for all $a \in [2, A]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. This will be sufficient as one merely needs consider a sub-arithmetic progression of such an arithmetic progression to obtain the theorem as stated.

Before proceeding, we comment that the work of A. Brunner, C. Caldwell, D. Krywaruczenko, and C. Lownsdale [1] discusses the notion of a -Sierpiński numbers which

is different from the numbers k considered in Theorem 1.1. More relevant to this paper is their nice observation that if one removes the choice of $a = 2$ in Theorem 1.1, the result is easily established. More precisely, if one takes P to be the product of the primes $\leq A$ and $k \equiv -1 \pmod{P}$, then for each integer $a \in [3, A]$ and p a prime divisor of $a - 1$, we have $k \cdot a^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, every sufficiently large $k \equiv -1 \pmod{P}$ has the property that $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is composite. Capturing however the case $a = 2$ in Theorem 1.1 as well seems considerably more difficult, which is what we are addressing in this paper.

We turn to terminology regarding congruence systems. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x \equiv r_j \pmod{m_j} : 1 \leq j \leq s\}$$

denote a finite congruence system on x . If t is a member of any of the congruence classes in \mathcal{S} , we say \mathcal{S} *covers* t (equivalently, t is covered by \mathcal{S}). As is typical, if t is a member of all of the congruence classes in \mathcal{S} , we say \mathcal{S} is *satisfied* by t (equivalently, t satisfies \mathcal{S}). Thus, we have

$$t \text{ is covered by } \mathcal{S} \iff t \equiv r_j \pmod{m_j} \text{ for some } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\},$$

and

$$t \text{ satisfies } \mathcal{S} \iff t \equiv r_j \pmod{m_j} \text{ for all } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}.$$

These definitions extend naturally to subsets of the integers. Thus, if $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, then T is covered by a congruence system \mathcal{S} if each element of T is covered by \mathcal{S} and T satisfies \mathcal{S} if each element of T satisfies \mathcal{S} . Lastly, suppose \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 are two congruence systems. We will say \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 are *compatible* if the set of integers that satisfy both \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 is nonempty. In other words, there exist integers k that satisfy the system $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$.

Cyclotomic polynomials also play an important role in our work. Recall the m^{th} cyclotomic polynomial in x , denoted $\Phi_m(x)$, is the unique irreducible polynomial with integer coefficients that divides $x^m - 1$ and does not divide $x^j - 1$ for any $1 \leq j < m$. For any integer p , note the implication

$$p \mid \Phi_m(a) \implies p \mid (a^m - 1) \implies a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

This observation illuminates a connection between producing a Sierpiński number (or a Sierpiński-like number, where a may take on a different value than 2) and the prime factors of $\Phi_m(a)$. Explicitly, given $n \equiv r \pmod{m}$ and $p \mid \Phi_m(a)$, we can be assured $k \cdot a^n + 1$ will be divisible by p by setting $k \equiv -a^{-r} \pmod{p}$. We will also utilize other facts about cyclotomic polynomials to help achieve our result.

2 Preliminaries

We give one definition and establish five lemmas before addressing the main result. The definition is primarily for ease of writing.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{k \equiv r_j \pmod{m_j}\}$ be a congruence system on k . If $r_j \equiv 1 \pmod{m_j}$ for each j , then we call \mathcal{K} a **1-system** (on k).

In other words, a 1-system is a congruence system where each congruence class may be represented with a common residue of 1. As an example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k &\equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ k &\equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ k &\equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we see that the integers k that satisfy a 1-system are the same as the integers k that satisfy $k \equiv 1 \pmod{L}$ where L is the least common multiple of the moduli in the 1-system.

Lemma 2.2. Fix $T \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let a be an integer greater than or equal to 2. Then there exists a 1-system of T congruences with prime moduli p_1, \dots, p_T such that, for every k satisfying this 1-system, and every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ where $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^T}$, the expression $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is divisible by one of p_1, \dots, p_T .

Proof. Let p_j be a prime dividing $a^{2^{j-1}} + 1$ where $1 \leq j \leq T$. Here, the p_j need not be distinct. For each j , we have $a^{2^{j-1}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p_j}$ and $a^{2^j} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j}$. For $n \equiv 2^{j-1} \pmod{2^j}$, we can write $n = 2^j t + 2^{j-1}$ for some integer t . Then we obtain

$$k \cdot a^n + 1 = k \cdot a^{2^j t + 2^{j-1}} + 1 \equiv k(1)(-1) + 1 \equiv -k + 1 \pmod{p_j}.$$

Taking $k \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j}$, we see that the expression $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is divisible by p_j . Thus, the implications

$$\begin{array}{lll} n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{p_1} & \implies k \cdot a^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_1} \\ n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{p_2} & \implies k \cdot a^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_2} \\ n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{p_3} & \implies k \cdot a^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ n \equiv 2^{T-1} \pmod{2^T}, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{p_T} & \implies k \cdot a^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p_T} \end{array}$$

all hold. Observe that the least common multiple of the moduli in the congruences on n above is 2^T . Further, every integer in $[1, 2^T - 1]$ is of the form $2^{j-1}t$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, T\}$ and odd integer t . Note $2^{j-1}t \equiv 2^{j-1} \pmod{2^j}$, so each integer $1, \dots, 2^T - 1$ satisfies one of the congruences on n above. Thus, these congruences on n cover

every integer except those that are 0 modulo 2^T . For k satisfying the 1-system above with moduli p_1, \dots, p_T and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfying $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^T}$, we see that $k \cdot a^n + 1$ will be divisible by some prime among p_1, \dots, p_T . \square

Lemma 2.3. *Fix $T \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $T \geq 2$. Let q be an odd, positive integer such that $q \leq T + 1$. Let*

$$L = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_q\} \subseteq [0, T] \cap \mathbb{Z}.$$

The congruence class $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^T}$ is covered by the congruence system

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \{n \equiv 2^T j \pmod{2^{\ell_j} q} \mid 1 \leq j \leq q\}.$$

Proof. The numbers $2^T j$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$ run through a complete residue system modulo q . The result follows on noting that $n \equiv 2^T j \pmod{2^{\ell_j} q}$ covers the integers that are 0 modulo 2^T and $2^T j$ modulo q . \square

The idea of using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 originates from [5].

Lemma 2.4. *Let n and m be positive integers such that $n > m$. If n/m is not a power of a prime, then there exists polynomials $u(x), v(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ satisfying*

$$\Phi_n(x)u(x) + \Phi_m(x)v(x) = 1.$$

If for some prime p the quotient n/m is a power of p , then there exists polynomials $u(x), v(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ satisfying

$$\Phi_n(x)u(x) + \Phi_m(x)v(x) = p.$$

We omit the proof of Lemma 2.4. One can find the statement and proof of Lemma 2.4 above in [4]; also, see [2]. Relative to our work, the primary purpose of Lemma 2.4 is to establish our next lemma.

Our next lemma involves the odd prime factors of $\Phi_N(a)$ where $N \geq 3$ and $a \geq 2$ with a even. We show such an odd prime factor exists for each choice of N and a before stating the lemma. Given $N \geq 3$ and $a \geq 2$ with a even, the value of $\Phi_N(a)$ is odd. To guarantee $\Phi_N(a)$ has an odd prime factor, it suffices to show $|\Phi_N(a)| > 1$. Recall

$$\Phi_N(a) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ \gcd(j, N) = 1}} (a - \zeta_N^j)$$

where $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$. Note the ζ_N^j resides on the unit circle in the complex plane, and $\zeta_N^j \neq 1$ for $N \geq 3$ and $\gcd(j, N) = 1$. We deduce that $|a - \zeta_j| > 1$ for each j in the product above since $a \geq 2$. Hence, $|\Phi_N(a)| > 1$, and $\Phi_N(a)$ has an odd prime factor.

Lemma 2.5. *Fix an even, positive integer a . The largest, necessarily odd, prime divisor of $\Phi_{2^j q}(a)$ as j varies among the nonnegative integers and q varies over the odd primes are distinct.*

Proof. Let j, j' be nonnegative integers and q, q' be odd primes. Let $N = 2^j q$ and $N' = 2^{j'} q'$. Observe that $N = N'$ if and only if $j = j'$ and $q = q'$. Recall $\Phi_N(a)$ and $\Phi_{N'}(a)$ are odd, and neither is equal to ± 1 . Let p and p' be the largest prime divisors of $\Phi_N(a)$ and $\Phi_{N'}(a)$ respectively. It suffices to show $p \neq p'$ when $j \neq j'$ or $q \neq q'$.

Suppose $q \neq q'$. Without loss of generality, let $N \geq N'$. Then N/N' will not be a power of a prime, and, by Lemma 2.4, there exists $u(x), v(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that

$$\Phi_N(x) u(x) + \Phi_{N'}(x) v(x) = 1.$$

By evaluating the above expression at $x = a$, we can see $\Phi_N(a)$ and $\Phi_{N'}(a)$ are relatively prime. Thus $p \neq p'$.

Now suppose $q = q'$ and $j \neq j'$. Then N/N' will be a power of 2, and, by Lemma 2.4, there exists $u(x), v(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that

$$\Phi_N(x) u(x) + \Phi_{N'}(x) v(x) = 2.$$

By evaluating the above expression at $x = a$, we can see the greatest common divisor of $\Phi_N(a)$ and $\Phi_{N'}(a)$ is at most 2. Recall p and p' are necessarily odd. Since $\Phi_N(a)$ and $\Phi_{N'}(a)$ may have no common factors greater than 2, we deduce $p \neq p'$. \square

Through a modified argument, one may show the conclusion of Lemma 2.5 is true for both even and odd values of a . However, for our work, we need only apply the result when a is even.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We find a finite set of primes \mathcal{M} and an arithmetic progression of k 's satisfying the following property. For each such k , each $a \in [2, A]$ and each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there is a prime in \mathcal{M} that divides $k \cdot a^n + 1$. To do this, we impose a congruence system on k that ensures $k \cdot a^n + 1$ will be divisible by some prime in \mathcal{M} for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The simplest case is when a is odd. If a is odd, then we take 2 to be in \mathcal{M} and $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ensuring $k \cdot a^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We also then have that k is odd.

Now suppose a is even. Fix $T \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For each even a , we will impose a congruence system \mathcal{K}_a on k to ensure $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is divisible by some odd prime in \mathcal{M} for each $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^T}$, and then a second congruence system \mathcal{L}_a on k to ensure $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is divisible by some odd prime in \mathcal{M} for each of the remaining $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^T}$. Thus, any k satisfying both \mathcal{K}_a and \mathcal{L}_a will ensure $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is divisible by some prime in

\mathcal{M} for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. To guarantee the desired k exists, the systems \mathcal{K}_a and \mathcal{L}_a must be compatible as a varies among the even integers in $[2, A]$. We begin with the \mathcal{K}_a congruence systems.

We take T to be large enough so that $A < \log \log T$. For each even a in $[2, A]$, by Lemma 2.2, there exist a set \mathcal{P}_a of T primes and a 1-system \mathcal{K}_a of T congruences on k such that $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is divisible by a prime in \mathcal{P}_a for each k satisfying \mathcal{K}_a and $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^T}$. Since a is even, we take the primes in \mathcal{P}_a to be odd. In line with our approach outlined at the outset, we set

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in [2, A] \\ a \text{ even}}} \mathcal{P}_a$$

and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Since all of the \mathcal{K}_a are 1-systems, we are assured they are compatible as a varies. In other words, there exists a solution to the congruence system

$$\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in [2, A] \\ a \text{ even}}} \mathcal{K}_a$$

on k . For k satisfying \mathcal{K} , the expression $k \cdot a^n + 1$ will be divisible by some prime in $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, dependent on a and n , for all even a in $[2, A]$ and $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^T}$. We make an observation about \mathcal{K} to be used later. Since \mathcal{K} is the union of $\lfloor A/2 \rfloor$ systems of T congruences each, we have

$$|\mathcal{P}| < T \cdot A < T \log \log T.$$

Now we construct the \mathcal{L}_a systems to address the $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^T}$. Set $Q = \log T$. For each even $a \in [2, A]$, let $q = q(a)$ be an odd prime (not necessarily different for different a) less than or equal to Q . For each such q , we consider q distinct integers ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_q in $[0, T]$, where the ℓ_j need not differ as q varies. By Lemma 2.5, for each $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, there exists an odd prime p'_j that divides $\Phi_{2^{\ell_j} q}(a)$, where the p'_j are different for different choices of the pair (ℓ_j, q) . Importantly,

$$a^{2^{\ell_j} q} \equiv 1 \pmod{p'_j}$$

for each j . It follows there exists a set \mathcal{P}'_a of q distinct primes p'_1, \dots, p'_q such that the implications

$$\begin{array}{lll} n \equiv 2^T \cdot 1 \pmod{2^{\ell_1} q}, & k \equiv c_1 \pmod{p'_1} & \implies k \cdot a^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p'_1} \\ n \equiv 2^T \cdot 2 \pmod{2^{\ell_2} q}, & k \equiv c_2 \pmod{p'_2} & \implies k \cdot a^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p'_2} \\ n \equiv 2^T \cdot 3 \pmod{2^{\ell_3} q}, & k \equiv c_3 \pmod{p'_3} & \implies k \cdot a^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p'_3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ n \equiv 2^T q \pmod{2^{\ell_q} q}, & k \equiv c_q \pmod{p'_q} & \implies k \cdot a^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p'_q} \end{array}$$

all hold, provided each $c_j \equiv -a^{-2^T j} \pmod{p'_j}$. In line with our approach, denote the system on k above as \mathcal{L}_a . By Lemma 2.3, the above congruence system on n covers the integers that satisfy $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^T}$. Therefore, for every k satisfying \mathcal{L}_a , the expression $k \cdot a^n + 1$ will be divisible by some prime in \mathcal{P}'_a whenever $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^T}$. We can be assured such k exists for a fixed q , as the moduli of \mathcal{L}_a are all distinct primes. To complete the proof, we need only construct such \mathcal{L}_a that are compatible as a varies, and also compatible with \mathcal{K} .

In constructing the \mathcal{L}_a systems, let's consider the even $a \in [2, A]$ one at a time in an increasing fashion up to some even $b \leq A$. For even $b \in [4, A]$, let

$$\mathcal{Q}_b = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in [2, b-2] \\ a \text{ even}}} \mathcal{P}'_a.$$

Note \mathcal{Q}_b is the set of prime moduli used in the systems $\mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{b-2}$. In line with this description, set $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \emptyset$. Recall each of these \mathcal{L}_a systems requires $q(a) \leq Q$ primes, and there are certainly less than A of these systems. Thus, for any appropriate value of b , we have

$$|\mathcal{Q}_b| < Q \cdot A < \log T \log \log T$$

by our choices of Q and T . It follows that

$$|\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_b| < T \log \log T + \log T \log \log T < 2T \log \log T. \quad (1)$$

Observe that we have the implication

$$\mathcal{Q}_a \cap \mathcal{P}'_a = \emptyset \text{ for each even } a \in [2, b] \implies \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_b \text{ are compatible.}$$

Similarly, if the primes used as moduli in \mathcal{L}_a are distinct from those used in \mathcal{K} , then the systems \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{L}_a will be compatible as well. In summation, if we are able to sequentially construct the \mathcal{L}_a systems up to some $b \leq A$ such that the prime moduli used in each of the \mathcal{L}_a systems are distinct from both \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q}_a , then the systems $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_b$ will all be compatible, as desired. In other words, we have the implication

$$(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_a) \cap \mathcal{P}'_a = \emptyset \text{ for each even } a \in [2, b] \implies \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_b \text{ are compatible.}$$

With plans to derive a contradiction, assume we cannot construct the \mathcal{L}_a sequentially in this manner. Specifically, assume there exists a particular even $B \in [2, A]$ such that $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_B$ necessarily overlaps with every possible collection of $q \leq Q$ primes that may be chosen for moduli in \mathcal{L}_B . Recall, by Lemma 2.5, for each odd prime $q \leq Q$, each of the expressions $\Phi_{2^j q}(B)$ has a unique largest odd prime divisor as both q and j vary with $q \leq Q$ an odd prime and $j \in [0, T] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. For a fixed odd prime $q \leq Q$, denote the set of $T + 1$ largest prime divisors of $\Phi_{2^j q}(B)$, with $0 \leq j \leq T$,

by \mathcal{D}_q . Note that the sets \mathcal{D}_q are disjoint. Also, for any q , any q of the primes in \mathcal{D}_q will suffice as moduli in constructing the congruences in \mathcal{L}_B on k . Thus, by our assumption, each \mathcal{D}_q contains at most $q - 1$ primes not in $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_B$ (that is, $q - 1$ primes not previously used as moduli in $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{B-2}$). We deduce that the remaining $(T + 1) - (q - 1) > T - Q$ distinct primes in each \mathcal{D}_q must all have been used previously as moduli in $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{B-2}$, and are therefore elements of $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_B$. Thus, each set \mathcal{D}_q contains at least $T - Q$ primes in $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_B$. To arrive at a contradiction, we make an observation regarding the quantity of such sets \mathcal{D}_q , and the resulting implication on the size of $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_B$.

Recall $A < \log \log T$ and $Q = \log T$. For sufficiently large T , and thus Q , the Prime Number Theorem guarantees the existence of at least $Q/(2 \log Q)$ odd primes less than or equal to Q . Hence, there exist at least $Q/(2 \log Q)$ sets \mathcal{D}_q with odd primes $q \leq Q$. We deduce now that

$$|\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_B| > (T - Q) \cdot \frac{Q}{2 \log Q} = (T - \log T) \cdot \frac{\log T}{2 \log \log T},$$

contradicting (1) for sufficiently large T . Having arrived at a contradiction, it must be the case that we can sequentially construct the systems $\mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_A$ such that, at each even $a \in [2, A]$, we have

$$(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_a) \cap \mathcal{P}'_a = \emptyset,$$

implying the systems $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_A$ are all compatible.

To complete the proof, let

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in [2, A] \\ a \text{ even}}} \mathcal{L}_a$$

and consider the congruence system $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{L} \cup \{k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}$. Recall the congruence class $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ handles the cases where a is odd. Note $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ is compatible with both \mathcal{K} , a 1-system, and \mathcal{L} , which both only use odd primes as moduli. In line with our approach at the outset, let \mathcal{M} denote the set of moduli in the system \mathcal{S} . Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}_A \cup \mathcal{P}'_A \cup \{2\},$$

and \mathcal{M} is a finite collection of primes. The Chinese Remainder Theorem implies the existence of an arithmetic progression satisfying \mathcal{S} . For any of the k 's in the arithmetic progression satisfying the system \mathcal{S} , we can see the expression $k \cdot a^n + 1$, for any $a \in [2, A]$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, will be divisible by some prime in \mathcal{M} . By choosing k 's larger than any of the primes in \mathcal{M} , it follows $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is composite for all $a \in [2, A]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Thus, we see that Theorem 1.1 holds.

4 Extensions

There are a number of related results to Theorem 1.1 which one can establish along the same lines. With no changes to the covering systems or the congruences on k , the following result holds.

Corollary 4.1. *Fix $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \leq A$. There exists an arithmetic progression of odd positive integers k such that $k + a^n$ is composite for all $a \in [2, A]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

To see this, let A and k be as in Theorem 1.1. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 provides a finite set of primes \mathcal{M} such that $k \cdot a^n + 1$ is divisible by some $p \in \mathcal{M}$ for each choice of integers $a \in [2, A]$ and $n > 0$. Now, fix such an a and n . Let m be a multiple of $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{M}} (p - 1)$ which is larger than n . Then we deduce that for some $p \in \mathcal{M}$ we have $k \cdot a^{m-n} + 1$ is divisible by p . Then $p \nmid a$ and $k + a^n \equiv k \cdot a^m + a^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, showing $k + a^n$ is divisible by a prime from \mathcal{M} . By taking a sub-arithmetic progression as before, Corollary 4.1 follows.

By modifying the argument for Theorem 1.1 one can produce the analogous result for Riesel numbers, where a Riesel number is an odd integer k such that $k \cdot 2^n - 1$ is composite for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We state this as follows.

Corollary 4.2. *Fix $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \leq A$. There exists an arithmetic progression of odd positive integers k such that $k \cdot a^n - 1$ and $k - a^n$ are composite for all $a \in [2, A]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

In fact, if U and V are positive integers with $U < V$ such that the arithmetic progression in Theorem 1.1 is $U + mV$, then the arithmetic progression $(V - U) + mV$ has the property that every integer k in the progression is such that $k \cdot a^n - 1$ and $k - a^n$ are divisible by a prime in \mathcal{M} , as defined above, for all $a \in [2, A]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The corollary follows.

By our construction of k in Theorem 1.1 (in particular, the system \mathcal{K}), the analogous Brier-type result is immediate for all $a \in [3, A]$, where a Brier number is a number k that is simultaneously both Sierpiński and Riesel.

Corollary 4.3. *Fix $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $3 \leq A$. There exists an arithmetic progression of odd positive integers k such that $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ and $k + 2^n$ are composite for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k \cdot a^n \pm 1$ and $k \pm a^n$ are composite for all $a \in [3, A]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

Capturing the cases $k \cdot 2^n - 1$ and $k - 2^n$ as well appears more difficult.

The Chinese Remainder Theorem implies that the system of congruences \mathcal{S} obtained for k in our proof of Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to a single congruence class of the form $L \pmod{M}$ where M is the product of the primes in \mathcal{M} and necessarily $\gcd(L, M) = 1$. Note the arithmetic progression of k 's described in the statement of

Theorem 1.1 is a sub-arithmetic progression of the integers that satisfy $L \pmod{M}$, and thus may be expressed as $L' \pmod{M'}$ for appropriate integers L' and M' with $\gcd(L', M') = 1$. As observed in [6], we obtain the following consequences of the work of J. Maynard [7] (cf. D. Shiu [8]).

Corollary 4.4. *Fix $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \leq A$. Let $K = K(A)$ denote the set of odd positive integers k such that $k \cdot a^n + 1$ and $k + a^n$ are composite for all $a \in [2, A]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then we have the following.*

1. *Let p_j denote the j^{th} prime number. For $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ fixed, there exist positive integers j such that $p_j, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_{j+t-1}$ are all in K . Furthermore, the set J of such integers j has positive density (depending on A and t) in the set of positive integers. In other words,*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{j \in J : j \leq x\}|}{x} > 0.$$

2. *There exists a $C = C(A) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that there are infinitely prime pairs k and $k + C$ both in K .*

We recall that an idea from [5] was used in our arguments. It is perhaps of some interest to note that our arguments can be modified slightly to reflect the main result in [5]. For example, in Theorem 1.1, we could instead conclude that, with R an arbitrary fixed positive integer, there is an arithmetic progression of odd positive integers k such that $k^r \cdot a^n + 1$ is composite for all integers $a \in [2, A]$, all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and all integers $r \in [1, R]$. Similarly, in Corollary 4.4, we can replace $K = K(A)$ with $K = K(A, R)$ where $K(A, R)$ denotes the set of odd positive integers k such that $k^r \cdot a^n + 1$ and $k^r + a^n$ are composite for all $a \in [2, A]$, all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and all integers $r \in [1, R]$.

References

- [1] A. Brunner, C. Caldwell, D. Krywaruczenko, and C. Lownsdale, *Generalizing Sierpiński numbers to base b* , New Aspects of Analytic Number Theory, Proceedings of RIMS, Surikaiseikikenkyusho Kokyuroku (2009), 69–79.
- [2] G. Dresden, *Resultants of cyclotomic polynomials*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 42 (2012), 1461–1469.
- [3] P. Erdős, *On integers of the form $2^k + p$ and some related problems*, Summa Brasil. Math. 2 (1950), 113–123.

- [4] M. Filaseta, *Coverings of the integers associated with an irreducibility theorem of A. Schinzel*, In Number theory for the millennium, II (Urbana, IL, 2000), pages 1–24, A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002.
- [5] M. Filaseta, C. Finch and M. Kozek, *On powers associated with Sierpiński numbers, Riesel numbers and Polignac’s conjecture*, J. Number Theory 128 (2008), 1916–1940.
- [6] M. Filaseta, J. Juillerat and T. Luckner, *Consecutive primes which are widely digitally delicate and Brier numbers*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.10646>.
- [7] J. Maynard, *Dense clusters of primes in subsets*, Compositio Math. 152 (2016), 1517–1554.
- [8] D. K. L. Shiu, *Strings of congruent primes*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 61 (2000), 359–373.
- [9] W. Sierpiński, *Sur un problème concernant les nombres $k \cdot 2^n + 1$* , Elem. Math. 15 (1960), 73–74.