

On the Density of Integers  $n$  Divisible by a Certain Integer  $m$  Such  
That  $m$  Does Not Divide  $\sigma(n)$ - $(n)$

©2012 by Youssef CHTAIBI

15/05/2012

Translated from French to English by Bill Winslow 2012-05-28

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to present the proof of a theorem concerning the density of integers  $n$  divisible by a certain integer  $m$  such that  $m$  doesn't divide  $\sigma(n)$ - $(n)$ . We will demonstrate that this density is zero by giving an asymptotic upper bound of the cardinality of these numbers  $n$  less than a real  $x$ .

## 2 Notations and Definitions

In the rest of the paper:

Let  $m$  be a fixed natural integer  $\geq 3$ .

$x$  and  $t$  will designate positive real numbers.

We denote  $(\bmod m)$  by  $[m]$  for brevity.

We define the sum-of-divisors and sum-of-proper-divisors functions like so:

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|k} d. \quad (1)$$

And

$$\sigma'(n) = \sigma(n) - n. \quad (2)$$

And we also define the function  $\phi(n)$  as Euler's totient function, which counts the number of integers  $\leq n$  that are coprime to  $n$ .

### 3 Theorem 1

The (asymptotic) density of the integers  $n$  divisible by  $m$  such that  $m$  doesn't divide  $\sigma'(n)$  is zero.

Furthermore, we also give the following asymptotic upper bound for any sufficiently large real number  $x$ :

$$A_m(x) := \text{card}\{n \leq x \text{ such that } m|n \text{ and } m \nmid \sigma'(n)\} = O\left(\frac{x}{(\ln \ln x)^{\frac{1}{\phi(m)}}}\right). \quad (3)$$

### 4 Proof of the Theorem

In order to prove this theorem, we need to prove the following intermediate lemma:

### 5 Lemma

For all real numbers  $x$ :

$$S_m(x) := \text{card}\{n \leq x \text{ such that } m \nmid \sigma(n)\} = O\left(\frac{x}{(\ln \ln x)^{\frac{1}{\phi(m)}}}\right). \quad (4)$$

### 6 Proof of the Lemma

Let  $x$  and  $t$  be two sufficiently large reals such that  $1 \ll t \ll x$ .

It's clear that if a prime number  $q$  exists such that  $q \equiv -1[m]$ ,  $q|n$ , and  $q^2 \nmid n$ , then  $m|\sigma(n)$ .

Let  $q_1 < q_2 < \dots$  be the prime numbers such that  $q_i \equiv -1[m]$ .

From a corollary of a theorem by Dirichlet concerning the prime numbers in arithmetic progressions, we have the following:

$$\sum_{q \leq t, q \equiv -1[m]} \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\ln \ln t}{\phi(m)} + O(1). \quad (5)$$

And from this we deduce that:

$$\sum_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2} = \frac{\ln \ln t}{\phi(m)} + O(1). \quad (6)$$

Now we will consider the following product:  $\prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} (1 - \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2})$

We know that for all real  $y$  such that  $0 \leq y < 1$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\ln(1 - y) \leq -y. \quad (7)$$

Thus:

$$\ln \left( \prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \left(1 - \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2}\right) \right) \leq - \left( \sum_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2} \right) \quad (8)$$

Whence:

$$\ln \left( \prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \left(1 - \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2}\right) \right) \leq - \left( \frac{\ln \ln t}{\phi(m)} \right) + O(1) \quad (9)$$

And from this we deduce that:

$$\prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \left(1 - \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2}\right) = O \left( \exp \left( \frac{-\ln \ln t}{\phi(m)} \right) \right) = O \left( \frac{1}{(\ln t)^{\frac{1}{\phi(m)}}} \right) \quad (10)$$

Now we'll consider the following product:

$$Q_t = \prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} q_i \quad (11)$$

If for a certain integer  $a$  such that  $1 \leq a \leq Q_t^2$  and for a prime number  $q_i$  ( $q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]$ ) such that  $q_i | a$  and  $q_i^2 \nmid a$  and  $n \equiv a[m]$ , then  $m | \sigma(n)$ .

By applying the sieve of Eratosthenes, we'll find that the number of classes of

residues  $(\bmod Q_t^2)$  for which the preceding property isn't checked for any index  $i$  is equal to:

$$Q_t^2 \prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i} + \frac{1}{q_i^2}\right) = Q_t^2 \prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \left(1 - \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2}\right) \quad (12)$$

From this we thus conclude:

$$S_m(x) := \text{card}\{n \leq x \text{ such that } m \nmid \sigma(n)\} \leq \left(\frac{x}{Q_t^2} + 1\right) Q_t^2 \prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \left(1 - \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2}\right). \quad (13)$$

Whence:

$$S_m(x) \leq x \prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \left(1 - \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2}\right) + Q_t^2 \prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \left(1 - \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2}\right). \quad (14)$$

If we define  $t = \frac{\ln x}{2}$ , the theorem of primes in arithmetic progressions shows that:

$$\ln(Q_t) \sim \frac{\ln x}{2\phi(m)} \quad (15)$$

Whence:

$$\ln(Q_t^2) \sim \frac{\ln x}{\phi(m)} \quad (16)$$

And since  $2 \leq \phi(m)$  because  $3 \leq m$ , then:

$$Q_t^2 = o(x) \quad (17)$$

Thus:

$$S_m(x) = O\left(x \prod_{q_i \leq t, q_i \equiv -1[m]} \left(1 - \frac{q_i - 1}{q_i^2}\right)\right). \quad (18)$$

Whence the following result:

$$S_m(x) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\ln \ln x + \ln(\frac{1}{2}))^{\frac{1}{\phi(m)}}}\right) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\ln \ln x)^{\frac{1}{\phi(m)}}}\right) \quad (19)$$

QED.

## 7 Following the Proof of the Theorem

Now we'll use the lemma and start by remarking that if  $m|n$  and  $m \nmid \sigma'(n)$  then  $m \nmid \sigma(n)$ .

Thus:

$$\{n \leq x \text{ such that } m|n \text{ and } m \nmid \sigma'(n)\} \subset \{n \leq x \text{ such that } m \nmid \sigma(n)\}. \quad (20)$$

Whence:

$$A_m(x) := \text{card}\{n \leq x \text{ such that } m|n \text{ and } m \nmid \sigma'(n)\} \leq S_m(x) := \text{card}\{n \leq x \text{ such that } m \nmid \sigma(n)\} \quad (21)$$

And from the lemma we deduce:

$$A_m(x) := \text{card}\{n \leq x \text{ such that } m|n \text{ and } m \nmid \sigma'(n)\} = O\left(\frac{x}{(\ln \ln x)^{\frac{1}{\phi(m)}}}\right) \quad (22)$$