Cantor Primes as Prime-Valued Cyclotomic Polynomials

Christian Salas

The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, United Kingdom e-mail: c.p.h.salas@open.ac.uk

Abstract

Cantor primes are primes p such that 1/p belongs to the middle-third Cantor set. One way to look at them is as containing the base-3 analogues of the famous Mersenne primes, which encompass all base-2 repunit primes, i.e., primes consisting of a contiguous sequence of 1's in base 2 and satisfying an equation of the form $p+1=2^q$. The Cantor primes encompass all base-3 repunit primes satisfying an equation of the form $2p+1=3^q$, and I show that in general all Cantor primes > 3 satisfy a closely related equation of the form $2pK+1=3^q$, with the base-3 repunits being the special case K=1. I use this to prove that the Cantor primes > 3 are exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form $\Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Significant open problems concern the infinitude of these, making Cantor primes perhaps more interesting than previously realised.

Keywords: Cantor set, prime numbers, cyclotomic polynomials

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A41, 11R09

1 Introduction

Any base-N repunit prime p is a cyclotomic polynomial evaluated at N, $\Phi_q(N)$, with q also prime, i.e.,

$$p = \Phi_q(N) = \frac{N^q - 1}{N - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} N^k$$
 (1)

It is therefore expressible as a contiguous sequence of 1's in base N. For example, p=31 satisfies (1) for N=2 and q=5 and can be expressed as 11111 in base 2. The term repunit was coined by A. H. Beiler [1] to indicate that numbers like these consist of repeated units.

2 Christian Salas

The case N=2 corresponds to the famous Mersenne primes on which there is a vast literature [6]. They are sequence number A000668 in The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [7] and are exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form $\Phi_s(2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

In this note I show that *Cantor primes* can be characterised in a similar way as being exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form $\Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. They are primes whose reciprocals belong to the middle-third Cantor set \mathcal{C}_3 .

It is easily shown that C_3 contains the reciprocals of all base-3 repunit primes, i.e., those primes p which satisfy an equation of the form $2p + 1 = 3^q$ with q prime. C_3 is a fractal consisting of all the points in [0,1] which have non-terminating base-3 representations involving only the digits 0 and 2. Rerranging (1) to get the infinite series

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{N-1}{N^q - 1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N-1}{N^{qk}}$$
 (2)

and putting N=3 shows that those primes p which satisfy $2p+1=3^q$ are such that $\frac{1}{p}$ can be expressed in base 3 using only zeros and the digit 2. This single digit 2 will appear periodically in the base-3 representation of $\frac{1}{p}$ at positions which are multiples of q. Since only zeros and the digit 2 appear in the ternary representation of $\frac{1}{p}$, $\frac{1}{p}$ is never removed in the construction of \mathcal{C}_3 , so $\frac{1}{p}$ must belong to \mathcal{C}_3 .

Base-3 repunit primes are sequence number A076481 in The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences and the exact analogues of the Mersenne primes, i.e., they are the case N=3 in (1). In the next section I show that Cantor primes > 3 more generally satisfy a closely related equation of the form $2pK+1=3^q$, with the base-3 repunits being the special case K=1. A subsequent section proves that the Cantor primes > 3 are exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form $\Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and a final section considers related open problems.

2 An Exponential Equation Characterising All Cantor Primes

Theorem 2.1. A prime number p > 3 is a Cantor prime if and only if it satisfies an equation of the form $2pK + 1 = 3^q$ where q is the order of 3 modulo p and K is a sum of non-negative powers of 3 each smaller than 3^q .

Comment. The base-3 repunit primes are then the special case in which $K = 3^0 = 1$. An example is 13, which satisfies $2p + 1 = 3^3$. A counterexample

which shows that not all Cantor primes are base-3 repunit primes is 757, which satisfies $26p + 1 = 3^9$ with $K = 3^0 + 3^1 + 3^2 = 13$ and q = 9.

Proof. Each $x \in \mathcal{C}_3$ can be expressed in ternary form as

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{3^k} = 0.a_1 a_2 \dots$$
 (3)

where all the a_k are equal to 0 or 2. The construction of \mathcal{C}_3 amounts to systematically removing all the points in [0,1] which cannot be expressed in ternary form with only 0's and 2's, i.e., the removed points all have $a_k = 1$ for one or more $k \in \mathbb{N}$ [4].

The construction of the Cantor set suggests some simple conditions which a prime number must satisfy in order to be a Cantor prime. If a prime number p > 3 is to be a Cantor prime, the first non-zero digit a_{k_1} in the ternary expansion of $\frac{1}{p}$ must be 2. This means that for some $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, p must satisfy

$$\frac{2}{3^{k_1}} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{3^{k_1 - 1}} \tag{4}$$

or equivalently

$$3^{k_1} \in (2p, 3p) \tag{5}$$

Prime numbers for which there is no power of 3 in the interval (2p, 3p), e.g., 5, 7, 17, 19, 23, 41, 43, 47, ..., can therefore be excluded immediately from further consideration. Note that there cannot be any other power of 3 in the interval (2p, 3p) since 3^{k_1-1} and 3^{k_1+1} lie completely to the left and completely to the right of (2p, 3p) respectively.

If the next non-zero digit after a_{k_1} is to be another 2 rather than a 1, it must be the case for some $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\frac{2}{3^{k_1+k_2}} < \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{3^{k_1}} < \frac{1}{3^{k_1+k_2-1}} \tag{6}$$

or equivalently

$$3^{k_2} \in \left(\frac{2p}{3^{k_1} - 2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_1} - 2p}\right) \tag{7}$$

Thus, any prime numbers for which there is a power of 3 in the interval (2p, 3p) but for which there is no power of 3 in the interval $(\frac{2p}{3^{k_1}-2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_1}-2p})$ can again be excluded, e.g., 37, 113, 331, 337, 353, 991, 997, 1009.

Continuing in this way, the condition for the third non-zero digit to be a 2 is

$$3^{k_3} \in \left(\frac{2p}{3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p}\right) \tag{8}$$

4 Christian Salas

and the condition for the nth non-zero digit to be a 2 is

$$3^{k_n} \in \left(\frac{2p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\cdots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1}-2p)-2p)\cdots)-2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\cdots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1}-2p)-2p)\cdots)-2p}\right)$$

The ternary expansions under consideration are all non-terminating, so at first sight it seems as if an endless sequence of tests like these would have to be applied to ensure that $a_k \neq 1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. However, this is not the case. Let p be a Cantor prime and let 3^{k_1} be the smallest power of 3 that exceeds 2p. Since p is a Cantor prime, both (5) and (9) must be satisfied for all n. Multiplying (9) through by $3^{k_1-k_n}$ we get

$$3^{k_1} \in \left(\frac{3^{k_1-k_n} \cdot 2p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\cdots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1}-2p)-2p)\cdots)-2p}, \frac{3^{k_1-k_n} \cdot 3p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\cdots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1}-2p)-2p)\cdots)-2p}\right)$$

$$(10)$$

Since all ternary representations of prime reciprocals $\frac{1}{p}$ for p > 3 have a repeating cycle which begins immediately after the point, it must be the case that $k_n = k_1$ for some n in (10). Setting $k_n = k_1$ in (10) we can therefore deduce from the fact that $3^{k_1} \in (2p, 3p)$ and the fact that (10) must be consistent with this for all values of n, that all Cantor primes must satisfy an equation of the form

$$3^{k_{n-1}}(\cdots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1}-2p)-2p)\cdots)-2p=1 \tag{11}$$

where $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_{n-1} = q$ is the cycle length in the ternary representation of $\frac{1}{p}$. In other words, q is the order of 3 modulo p. By successively considering the cases in which there is only one non-zero term in the repeating cycle, two non-zero terms, three non-zero terms, etc., in (11), and defining

$$d_{1} = q - k_{1}$$

$$d_{2} = q - k_{1} - k_{2}$$

$$d_{3} = q - k_{1} - k_{2} - k_{3}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$d_{n} = q - k_{1} - k_{2} - \dots - k_{n} = 0$$

it is easy to see that (11) can be rearranged as

$$2p\sum_{i=1}^{n} 3^{d_i} + 1 = 3^q \tag{12}$$

Setting $K = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 3^{d_i}$, we conclude that every Cantor prime must satisfy an equation of the form $2pK + 1 = 3^q$ as claimed.

Conversely, every prime which satisfies an equation of this form must be a Cantor prime. To see this, note that we can rearrange (12) to get

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^{n} 3^{d_i}}{3^q - 1} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} 3^{d_i} \left\{ \frac{1}{3^q} + \frac{1}{3^{2q}} + \frac{1}{3^{3q}} + \dots \right\}$$
(13)

Since $2\sum_{i=1}^{n} 3^{d_i}$ involves only products of 2 with powers of 3 which are each less than 3^q , (13) is an expression for $\frac{1}{p}$ which corresponds to a ternary representation involving only 2s. Thus, $\frac{1}{p}$ must be in the Cantor set if $2pK+1=3^q$.

3 Cantor Primes as Cyclotomic Polynomials

Let n be a positive integer and let ζ_n be the complex number $e^{2\pi i/n}$. The n^{th} cyclotomic polynomial is defined as

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \le k < n \\ \gcd(k,n)=1}} (x - \zeta_n^k)$$

The degree of $\Phi_n(x)$ is $\varphi(n)$ where φ is the Euler totient function. There is now a powerful body of theory relating to cyclotomic polynomials and discussions of their basic properties can be found in any textbook on abstract algebra.

Lemma 3.1. $x^{(n-1)a} + x^{(n-2)a} + \cdots + x^{2a} + x^a + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ if and only if n = p and $a = p^k$ for some prime p and non-negative integer k.

Proof. This is proved as Theorem 4 in [5].

Theorem 3.2. A prime number p > 3 is a Cantor prime if and only if $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ where s is an odd prime and j is a non-negative integer.

Proof. Assume p is a Cantor prime. By Theorem 2.1 we then have

$$pK = \frac{3^q - 1}{2} = R_q^{(3)} \tag{14}$$

where $R_q^{(3)}$ denotes the base-3 repunit consisting of q contiguous units, and q and K are as defined in that theorem. If q is composite, say q=rs, we obtain the factorisation

$$R_q^{(3)} = R_r^{(3)} \cdot (3^{(s-1)r} + 3^{(s-2)r} + \dots + 3^{2r} + 3^r + 1)$$
(15)

If q is prime we can take r = 1. Therefore in both cases at least one factor of pK must be a base-3 repunit.

6 Christian Salas

If K = 1 then $p = R_q^{(3)} = \Phi_s(3)$, since q must be prime in this case. ($R_q^{(3)}$ is composite if q is). If K > 1, p is not a base-3 repunit and by Theorem 2.1 K is a sum of powers of 3, so p must be of the general form

$$p = 3^{(s-1)r} + 3^{(s-2)r} + \dots + 3^{2r} + 3^r + 1 \tag{16}$$

for some s and r, and K must be a corresponding base-3 repunit $R_r^{(3)}$, otherwise their product could not be $R_{rs}^{(3)}$. But the polynomial in (16) can only be prime if it is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. By Lemma 3.1, this requires s to be a prime number and $r = s^j$ for some non-negative integer j, and we therefore have $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ in this case. We conclude that in all cases we must have $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ if p is a Cantor prime. Note that s must be an odd prime as $\Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ is even for s = 2.

Conversely, suppose that $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ is a prime number. Then we can multiply it by the base-3 repunit $R_r^{(3)}$ where $r = s^j$ to get the repunit $R_q^{(3)}$ as in (15). Thus, p must satisfy (14) and must therefore be a Cantor prime.

Base-3 repunits are congruent to 0 modulo 4 when they consist of an even number of digits, and to 1 modulo 4 otherwise. Therefore if p > 3 is a base-3 repunit prime it must be of the form 4k + 1.

If p is prime but not a base-3 repunit, both $r = s^j$ and q = rs in (15) are odd, so both $R_q^{(3)}$ and $R_r^{(3)}$ are base-3 repunits with odd numbers of digits, and thus of the form 4k + 1. It follows that p is also of the form 4k + 1 in this case.

4 Open Problems

The infinitude of Cantor primes is currently an open problem shown to be significant in this paper because of the equivalence of Cantor primes and prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form $\Phi_s(3^{s^j})$.

In the case j = 0, it is known that $\Phi_s(3)$ is prime for s = 7, 13, 71, 103, 541, 1091, 1367, 1627, 4177, 9011, 9551, 36913, 43063, 49681, 57917, 483611, and 877843. It seems plausible that there are infinitely many such values of s but this remains to be proved.

The Cantor prime 757 = $\Phi_3(3^3)$ is an example with j > 0. It is again an open problem to prove there are infinitely many integers j > 0 for which $\Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ is prime given a prime s, though all such cyclotomic polynomials must be irreducible.

Previous studies have considered the infinitude of prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of other types. For example, primes of the form $\Phi_s(1)$ and $\Phi_s(2)$ are studied in [3], and other cases are discussed in [2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

I would like to express my gratitude to anonymous reviewers of this manuscript.

References

- [1] A. Beiler, Recreations in the theory of numbers, Dover, 1964.
- [2] P. A. Damianou, On prime values of cyclotomic polynomials, *Int. Math. Forum* **6** (2011), 1445–1456.
- [3] Y. Gallot, Cyclotomic polynomials and prime numbers, http://perso.orange.fr/yves.gallot/papers/cyclotomic.pdf, 2001.
- [4] B. R. Gelbaum and J. M. H. Olmsted, Counterexamples in analysis, Holden-Day, 1964.
- [5] R. Grassl and T. Mingus, Cyclotomic polynomial factors, *Math. Gaz.* **89** (2005), 195–201.
- [6] R. K. Guy, Unsolved problems in number theory, Springer, 2004.
- [7] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, http://oeis.org/, 2012.