# RESIDUE CLASSES FREE OF VALUES OF EULER'S FUNCTION

## KEVIN FORD, SERGEI KONYAGIN AND CARL POMERANCE

Dedicated to Andrzej Schinzel on his sixtieth birthday

# 1. Introduction

By a totient we mean a value taken by Euler's function  $\phi(n)$ . Dence and Pomerance [DP] have established

**Theorem A.** If a residue class contains at least one multiple of 4, then it contains infinitely many totients.

Since 1 is the only odd totient, it remains to examine residue classes consisting entirely of numbers  $\equiv 2 \pmod 4$ . In this paper we shall characterize which of these residue classes contain infinitely many totients and which do not. We show that the union of all residue classes that are totient-free has asymptotic density 3/4, that is, almost all numbers that are  $\equiv 2 \pmod 4$  are in a residue class that is totient-free. In the other direction, we show the existence of a positive density of odd numbers m, such that for any  $s \ge 0$  and any even number a, the residue class  $a \pmod {2^s m}$  contains infinitely many totients.

We remark that if a residue class  $r \pmod{s}$  contains infinitely many totients, it is possible, using the methods of [DP] and Narkiewicz [N], to get an asymptotic formula for the number of  $n \leq x$  with  $\phi(n) \equiv r \pmod{s}$ .

Acknowledgements. We take this opportunity to thank Sybilla Beckmann-Kazez, Andrew Granville, Robert Rumely, Andrzej Schinzel, Roy Smith, Robert Varley and Felipe Voloch for helpful discussions. Research of the second author was supported by Grant 96-01-00378 from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research. The third author is supported in part by an NSF grant.

### 2. Preliminary results

Totients in a residue class consisting of numbers that are  $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  necessarily are of the form  $p^k - p^{k-1}$  for some prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $k \ge 1$ . We begin by characterizing those residue classes which contain only finitely many totients.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose  $s \ge 1$ ,  $k \ge 1$ ,  $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Then there is a number  $y \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  such that  $y^k - y^{k-1} \equiv a \pmod{2^s}$ .

*Proof.* The lemma is trivial when s=1 or k=1, so suppose  $s\geq 2,\ k\geq 2$ . It suffices to show that the congruence

$$y^k - y^{k-1} \equiv x^k - x^{k-1} \pmod{2^s}$$

has no solutions with  $y, x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $x \not\equiv y \pmod{2^s}$ . If such a solution exists, write x = zy, so that  $y(1-z^k) \equiv 1-z^{k-1} \pmod{2^s}$ . Since  $z \not\equiv 1 \pmod{2^s}$ , we have

$$y(1+z+\cdots+z^{k-1}) \equiv 1+\cdots+z^{k-2} \pmod{2^s}$$
.

However, as y and z are both odd, the above congruence is impossible.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $k \geq 2$ ,  $M \geq 1$  and  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is prime. Then there is a number x with (x, M) = 1 and  $x^k - x^{k-1} \equiv p^k - p^{k-1} \pmod{M}$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the existence of such x for  $M = r^l$  where r is a prime. If  $r \neq p$  we set x = p. If r = p we look for  $x = p^{k-1}u + 1$  for some number u. Then

(1) 
$$u(p^{k-1}u+1)^{k-1} \equiv p-1 \pmod{p^w},$$

where  $w = \max(0, l - k + 1)$ . Let

$$f(U) = U(p^{k-1}U + 1)^{k-1} - p + 1.$$

Since  $f(U) \equiv U + 1 \pmod{p}$ , which has the root -1, and  $f'(-1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , Hensel's lemma implies there is some root u of (1).  $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.** Suppose m is odd,  $s \ge 2$ ,  $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . If the congruence

(2) 
$$x^k - x^{k-1} \equiv a \pmod{m}$$

has a solution with  $k \geq 1$  and (x,m) = 1, then the progression a  $\pmod{2^s m}$  contains infinitely many totients. Otherwise the progression contains either one or no totients, according as a = p - 1 for some p|m or not.

*Proof.* Assume that (2) has such a solution. By Lemma 1, there is a number  $y \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  such that  $y^k - y^{k-1} \equiv a \pmod{2^s}$ . It follows from Dirichlet's Theorem that there are infinitely many primes  $p \equiv x \pmod{m}$ ,  $p \equiv y \pmod{2^s}$ , and for each we have  $\phi(p^k) \equiv a \pmod{2^s m}$ .

If (2) has no solution with (x,m)=1, the only possible solutions of  $\phi(z)\equiv a\pmod{2^sm}$  are z=4,  $z=p^k$  or  $z=2p^k$  where p is an odd prime dividing m. If z=4, then a=2, implying (2) has the solution x=2, k=2, a contradiction. In addition, by Lemma 2, if  $a\equiv p^k-p^{k-1}\pmod{m}$  for some odd prime p and  $k\geq 2$ , then (2) has a solution with (x,m)=1. Hence z is either a prime or twice a prime dividing m.  $\square$ 

Using Lemma 3, it is possible to find residue classes consisting of even numbers which are free of totients. For example, the progressions 302 (mod 1092) and 790 (mod 1092) contain no totients. In verifying this, since  $1092 = 4 \times 3 \times 7 \times 13$ , one only needs to check (2) for k up to 12.

In the other direction, we prove

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $M = 2^s m$ , where  $s \ge 2$  and m is odd. If  $a = \phi(b) > 1$ , where b is neither prime nor twice an odd prime, then any arithmetic progression  $a \pmod{M}$  contains infinitely many totients.

*Proof.* If a is divisible by 4, the result follows from Theorem A. Otherwise a=2 or  $a=p^k-p^{k-1}$  where p is an odd prime, k>1.

If a=2,  $M=2^s m$ , m is odd, then for any prime q such that  $q\equiv -1\pmod{2^s}$ ,  $q\equiv 2\pmod{m}$  we have  $\phi(q^2)\equiv 2\pmod{M}$ .

In the case  $a = p^k - p^{k-1}$ , by Lemma 2 there is an x such that (x, M) = 1 and  $x^k - x^{k-1} \equiv a \pmod{M}$ . For any prime  $q \equiv x \pmod{M}$  we have  $\phi(q^k) \equiv a \pmod{M}$ .  $\square$ 

**Question.** Suppose  $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  is either a non-totient or a totient with exactly two pre-images  $\{p, 2p\}$  for some prime p. Is a contained in a residue class containing no totients other than a itself?

The numbers 10 and 14 are the two smallest such a. A short search using a computer reveals that the progression 14 (mod  $2^2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 13 \times 37$ ) contains no totients and the progression

10 
$$\pmod{4M}$$
,  $M = 3 \times 7 \times 11 \times 13 \times 29 \times 31 \times 41 \times 43 \times 101 \times 151 \times 211 \times 281 \times 701$ 

contains no totients other than 10. Theorem 2 (next section) implies that for almost all such a, the question may be answered in the affirmative.

## 3. A negative result

**Theorem 2.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist such m that at least  $(1-\varepsilon)m$  residue classes  $a \pmod{4m}$ , 0 < a < 4m,  $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  are totient-free.

Corollary. The union of all totient-free residue classes has density 3/4.

**Lemma 4.** For any prime  $r \geq 5$  and for any k = 2, ..., r - 2, the number of distinct residues  $x^k - x^{k-1} \pmod{r}$  with (x, r) = 1 is less than  $r - \sqrt{r/2}$ .

Remark 1. The restriction (x,r) = 1 is not essential as  $0^k - 0^{k-1} = 1^k - 1^{k-1}$ .

Remark 2. Surely, the estimate of Lemma 4 is very weak, and it should be  $\leq cr$ , c < 1. However, Lemma 4 is sufficient to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Lemma 4. Let us consider the congruence

(3) 
$$x^k - x^{k-1} \equiv y^k - y^{k-1} \pmod{r}, \quad 1 \le x < r, \quad 1 \le y < r, \quad x \ne y.$$

Let  $y \equiv xz \pmod{r}$ ,  $2 \le z < r$ . Any z entails the unique solution of (3) (namely,  $x \equiv (z^{k-1}-1)/(z^k-1)$ ) if  $z^{k-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{r}$  and  $z^k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ , otherwise z does not entail any solutions. So, the number of solutions of (3) is

$$N = r - (r - 1, k) - (r - 1, k - 1),$$

since (r-1,j) is the number of solutions to  $z^j \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ . Now (r-1,k) and (r-1,k-1) are coprime proper divisors of r-1. Thus, their sum is at most 2+(r-1)/2, so  $N \geq (r-3)/2$ . If the number of distinct residues  $x^k-x^{k-1} \pmod{r}$  with (x,r)=1 is r-L, then  $L(L-1)\geq N$ , hence  $L^2\geq N+L>r/2$ .  $\square$ 

Theorem 2 is equivalent to the following statement.

**Theorem 2'.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist such odd m that for at least  $(1 - \varepsilon)m$  residues  $a \pmod{m}$  the congruence (2) does not have solutions with integers k > 0 and x with (x, m) = 1.

The equivalence of Theorems 2 and 2' follows directly from Lemma 3 and from the fact that the number of values of a in (2) of the form p-1 with p a prime factor of m is  $O(\log m)$ .

**Lemma 5.** For any  $D \ge 1$  there are  $\gg_D x/\log x$  primes  $p \le x$  for which D|(p-1) and no prime factor of p-1 exceeds  $x^{9/20}$ . The result holds for x sufficiently large depending on D.

*Proof.* When D=1, this follows from the Theorem 1 of [P]. Since D is fixed and  $x\to\infty$ , the general result follows by the same method.  $\square$ 

Remark 3. The exponent 9/20 in Lemma 5 is not the best possible exponent. For example, using the main theorem of [F], one can replace 9/20 with any number larger than  $1/(2\sqrt{e})$ . However, all we shall need below is an exponent smaller than 1/2.

*Proof of Theorem 2'*. Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_I$  and  $q_1, \ldots, q_J$  be distinct odd primes such that

(4) 
$$\prod_{i} (1 - 1/p_i) < \varepsilon/4, \quad \prod_{i} (1 - 1/q_i) < \varepsilon/4.$$

Set  $D = \text{lcm}(p_1 - 1, \dots, p_I - 1, q_1 - 1, \dots, q_J - 1)$ . Let y be a sufficiently large number and let  $r_1, \dots, r_L$  denote the primes  $\leq y$ , different from all  $p_i, q_j$ , for which each  $r_l - 1$  is divisible by D and by no prime  $> y^{9/20}$ . By Lemma 5,  $L \gg y/\log y$ . Take

$$m = \prod_{i} p_i \prod_{j} q_j \prod_{l} r_l.$$

By (4), the number of  $a \pmod{m}$  satisfying

(5) 
$$\exists i \ a \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}, \quad \exists j \ a \equiv -1 \pmod{q_j}$$

is at least  $(1 - \varepsilon/2)m$ . If a satisfies (5) and x is a solution of (2) with (x, m) = 1 then  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_i - 1}$  and  $k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q_j - 1}$ , therefore  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{r_l - 1}$  and  $k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{r_l - 1}$  for all l. For such k we can estimate the number of possible residues  $a \pmod{r_l}$  by Lemma 4. Denote

$$n = \text{lcm}(p_1 - 1, \dots, p_I - 1, q_1 - 1, \dots, q_J - 1, r_1 - 1, \dots, r_L - 1) = \text{lcm}(r_1 - 1, \dots, r_L - 1).$$

By construction,

$$n \le \prod_{p \le y^{9/20}} p^{[\log y/\log p]} \le \exp\{y^{9/20}\log y\}.$$

By Lemma 4, for any k = 1, ..., n such that for each  $l, k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{r_l - 1}$  and  $k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{r_l - 1}$ , the number of  $a \pmod{m}$  for which there exists x with (x, m) = 1 satisfying (2) does not exceed

$$m\prod_{l}(1-1/\sqrt{2r_l}) < m\exp(-L/\sqrt{2y}).$$

Thus, the number of a satisfying (5) for which a solution of (2) with (x, m) = 1 exists is less than  $mn \exp(-L/\sqrt{2y}) \le \varepsilon m/2$  if y is large enough.  $\square$ 

# 4. A positive result

**Theorem 3.** The set of all odd numbers m such that for any  $s \ge 1$  and for any even a the residue class  $a \pmod{2^s m}$  contains infinitely many totients, has a positive lower density.

Call an odd number m "good" if for any a the congruence (2) has a solution with positive integers k and (x, m) = 1. Theorem 3 has an equivalent form:

**Theorem 3'.** The set of all good odd numbers has a positive lower density.

**Lemma 6.** Suppose f(x,y) is a polynomial absolutely irreducible modulo p. Then the number N of solutions modulo p of  $f(x,y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  satisfies

$$|N - (p+1)| \le (d-1)(d-2)\sqrt{p} + d,$$

where d is the total degree of f.

*Proof.* In the case that f is non-singular over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , we use Weil's theorem. The extra d on the right of the inequality is an upper estimate for the number of solutions "at infinity". If f is singular, we use the principal result of Leep and Yeomans [LY].  $\square$ 

**Lemma 7.** Suppose p is a prime and L, a, s, t are positive integers with (as, p) = 1. Then the polynomial

$$f(x,y) = y^L(1-x^s) - ax^t$$

is absolutely irreducible modulo p.

*Proof.* If f(x,y) is reducible over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , then

$$h(y) = y^L - \frac{ax^t}{1 - x^s}$$

is reducible over the field  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p(x)$ . By the criterion of Capelli and Rédei (see Theorem 21 in [S]), this forces the existence of some b in k such that  $ax^t/(1-x^s) = b^q$  for some prime q dividing L, or  $ax^t/(1-x^s) = -4b^4$ , in which case 4 divides L. However, since s is coprime to p, 1-x divides  $1-x^s$  to just the first power, so neither possibility can occur.  $\square$ 

Remark 4. It is also possible to give a direct proof of Lemma 7. Over  $\bar{k}$  we have the factorization

$$h(y) = (y - r_1 z) \cdots (y - r_L z),$$

where each  $r_i \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  satisfies  $r_i^L = 1$ ,  $z \in \overline{k}$ , and  $z^L = ax^t/(1-x^s)$ . Since h is reducible over k, there exists a product

$$(y-r_{i_1}z)\cdots(y-r_{i_i}z)\in k[y],$$

where j < L. In particular, the constant coefficient lies in k, whence  $z^j \in k$ . If m is the smallest positive integer with  $z^m \in k$ , then we have m|L, m < L. Writing  $u(x) = z^m$ , we have

$$u(x)^{L/m} = \frac{ax^t}{1 - x^s}.$$

As 1-x divides  $1-x^s$  to just the first power, this equation is clearly impossible.

**Lemma 8.** There is a number  $p_0$  such that for any prime  $p > p_0$ , any positive integers  $L \le p^{1/10}$  and  $l \le L$  and any integer a the congruence (2) has a solution with m = p,  $k \equiv l \pmod{L}$  and (x, p) = 1.

*Proof.* We may assume  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . To prove the lemma, it is enough to show the existence of a solution y of the congruence

(6) 
$$y^L(1-g) \equiv ag^l \pmod{p}$$

with a primitive root g. Indeed, we can let  $x \equiv g^{-1} \pmod{p}$  and k = l - uL, where u is such that  $y \equiv g^u \pmod{p}$ . We show a solution y, g to (6) exists by estimating the number of solutions of

(7) 
$$y^{L}(1-z^{s}) \equiv az^{sl} \pmod{p},$$

where s is a square-free divisor of p-1, and using inclusion-exclusion. By Lemma 7, the polynomial  $y^L(1-z^s) - az^{sl}$  is absolutely irreducible. For a square-free divisor s of p-1, let  $N_s$  be the number of solutions of (7). For  $s \leq p^{1/5}$  we apply Lemma 6 and for larger s we use the trivial bound  $N_s \leq pL$ . Write  $N_s = p + E_s$ . By

inclusion-exclusion, the number of solutions of (6) with a primitive root g is

$$N = \sum_{\substack{s|p-1}} \frac{\mu(s)N_s}{s}$$

$$\geq p \prod_{\substack{q|p-1\\q \text{ prime}}} (1 - 1/q) - \sum_{\substack{s|p-1}} \frac{|E_s|}{s}$$

$$\geq \phi(p-1) - \sum_{\substack{s \leq p^{1/5}\\s|p-1}} (L+sl)^2 \sqrt{p}/s - \sum_{\substack{s > p^{1/5}\\s|p-1}} p^{9/10}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\phi(p-1)$$

provided p is sufficiently large.  $\square$ 

**Corollary.** Suppose  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$  are odd primes larger than  $p_0$ ,  $m = p_1 \cdots p_r$  and for any  $j \geq 2$ 

$$(p_j - 1, \text{lcm}(p_i - 1 : 1 \le i < j)) \le p_j^{1/10}.$$

Then m is good.

Proof. Let a be arbitrary. Set  $n_j = \operatorname{lcm}(p_i - 1 : 1 \le i < j)$  and  $P_j = p_1 \cdots p_j$  for each j. We construct numbers  $x_j, k_j$  inductively as follows. Choose  $x_1, k_1$  so that  $(x_1, p_1) = 1$  and  $x_1^{k_1} - x_1^{k_1-1} \equiv a \pmod{p_1}$ . For  $j = 2, \dots, r$ , Lemma 8 implies the existence of numbers  $x_j, k_j$  for which  $(x_j, P_j) = 1$ ,  $x_j \equiv x_{j-1} \pmod{P_{j-1}}$ ,  $k_j \equiv k_{j-1} \pmod{n_j}$  and  $x_j^{k_j} - x_j^{k_j-1} \equiv a \pmod{P_j}$ . The pair  $(x_r, k_r)$  satisfies (2) with  $(x_r, m) = 1$ .  $\square$ 

Call an odd number m "forbidden" if  $m=p_1\dots p_j$  where  $p_1\leq \dots \leq p_j$  are primes and

$$(p_j - 1, \text{lcm}(p_i - 1 : 1 \le i < j)) > p_j^{1/10}.$$

**Lemma 9.** The number of forbidden numbers in (x, 2x] is  $O(x/\log^5 x)$ .

Theorem 3' follows easily from Lemma 9. Take some  $P \ge p_0$ . Then for  $x \ge 2P$  there are  $\gg x/\log P$  positive integers without prime factors  $\le P$ . If m in (x, 2x] is not good, the Corollary to Lemma implies m is divisible by a forbidden number  $> P^2$ . By Lemma 9, there are  $\ll x/\log^4 P$  such numbers. Therefore, for sufficiently large P and  $x \ge 2P$  we get  $\gg x/\log P$  good numbers not exceeding x.

Proof of Lemma 9. There is a constant c > 0 so that whenever  $n \ge 10$ , the number of divisors of n is  $\le n^{c/\log\log n}$ . By standard estimates from the distribution of "smooth" numbers (see [HT]), the number of integers in (x, 2x] with all prime factors  $\le x^{20c/\log\log x}$  is  $O(x/\log^5 x)$ . Thus, we have to estimate the number N

of forbidden integers  $m \in (x, 2x]$  such that  $p_j > x^{20c/\log\log x}$ . Denoting  $l = m/p_j$ ,  $n = \text{lcm}(p_i - 1 : 1 \le i < j) = \text{lcm}(p - 1 : p|l)$ , we have

$$(p_i - 1, n) > x^{2c/\log\log x}.$$

For fixed l there are at most  $x^{c/\log\log x}$  divisors of n, and for any d|n there are at most 2x/(dl) numbers  $p_j > 1$  for which  $lp_j \leq 2x$  and  $p_j \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ . Summing over all divisors  $d > x^{2c/\log\log x}$ , we find that l generates at most

$$\sum_{d} 2x/(dl) < \sum_{d} 2x/(lx^{2c/\log\log x}) \le 2x/(lx^{c/\log\log x})$$

forbidden numbers. Further, taking the sum over l, we obtain the required inequality  $N \ll x/\log^5 x$ .  $\square$ 

#### References

- [DP] T. Dence and C. Pomerance, Euler's function in residue classes, The Ramanujan J. (to appear).
- [F] J. Friedlander, Shifted primes without large prime factors, Number theory and applications (Banff, AB, 1988), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dorbrecht, 1989, pp. 393–401.
- [HT] A. Hildebrand and G. Tenenbaum, *Integers without large prime factors*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **5** (1993), 411–484.
- [LY] D. B. Leep and C. C. Yeomans, The number of of points on singular curve over a finite field, Arch. Math. 63 (1994), 420–426.
- [N] W. Narkiewicz, Uniform distribution of sequences of integers in residue classes, vol. 1087 in Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [P] C. Pomerance, Popular values of Euler's function, Mathematika 27 (1980), 84–89.
- [S] A. Schinzel, Selected topics on polynomials, The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1982.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSTIY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, TX 78712, USA

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119899, Russia

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA 30602, USA