

# Opaque Types

# TypeScript: a static type checker

- Check the types at compile-time
- Prevent data types errors

```
const increment = (value: number) => value + 1;  
  
// @ts-expect-error  
increment('1');
```

What's wrong with TypeScript  
types?

# TypeScript types are not enough 1/2

```
type User = {  
  id: string;  
  username: string;  
};  
const getPosts = async (userId: string) => {  
  // Fetch the posts  
  return Promise.resolve([]);  
};  
const posts = await getPosts(user.username);
```

# TypeScript types are not enough 2/2

```
type UserId = string;
type User = {
  id: UserId;
  username: string;
};
const getPosts = async (userId: UserId) => {
  // Fetch the posts
  return Promise.resolve([]);
};
const posts = await getPosts(user.username);
```

Opaque types to the rescue

# Defining a new type

- Native feature in [Flow](#) but does not exist in TypeScript
- Accomplished by adding a tag to an existing type to create a new, more specific type

```
type Brand<BaseType, Name> = BaseType & { __brand: Name };  
type UserId = Brand<string, 'UserId'>;
```

# Putting it all together

```
type Brand<BaseType, Name> = BaseType & { __brand: Name };
type UserId = Brand<string, 'UserId'>;
type User = {
  id: UserId;
  username: string;
};
const getPosts = async (userId: UserId) => {
  // Fetch the posts
  return Promise.resolve([]);
};
// @ts-expect-error
// TS2345: Argument of type string is not assignable to
// parameter of type UserId
await getPosts(user.username);

await getPosts(user.id);
```



# Why using opaque types?

- Clarity
  - Explicit the domain type
- Safety and correctness
  - Add validation on the types
  - Allow the compiler to catch new errors
- Maintainability
  - Reduce ambiguity
  - Simplify refactoring by providing a clear distinction in the different types

# Improving our new type

- Use a computed property key instead of a hardcoded name
- Use a `unique symbol` to prevent duplication
- Extract the Brand utility into its own file to prevent access to `__brand`

# What are Symbols?

- Since ES6 `symbol` is a primitive type like `string` or `number`
- Created using the `Symbol()` function (cannot use `new Symbol()`)
- Symbols are immutable, and unique

```
let sym2 = Symbol("key");  
let sym3 = Symbol("key");  
sym2 === sym3; // false, symbols are unique
```

# Symbols in real life

- Intended to be private and unique but ended up not being private
- Can be used to declare well-typed properties on objects
- You can see symbols using `Object.getOwnPropertySymbols()`
- But they are not visible using:
  - `for` loops
  - `Object.keys()`
  - `Object.getOwnPropertyNames()`
  - When converting an object to a JSON string

# unique symbol

- Create a symbol in TypeScript without creating it in JavaScript: it won't exist after compiling!
- Allowed only on `const` declarations and `readonly static` properties

# Our final type

```
// In its own file
declare const __brand: unique symbol;
type Brand<Name> = { [__brand]: Name };
export type Branded<BaseType, Name> = BaseType & Brand<Name>;
```

Usage

# Basic usage (not recommended)

- Cast your type as the opaque type



# Add domain validation

- Help with the creation of function that can validate the format of the data
- Examples:
  - Validate an email
  - Ensure a number is between positive
  - Ensure an ID is for a specific object

# Using zod / valibot

- Use [zod](#) / [valibot](#) to parse your objects / API response and improve their types

Advanced opaque types

# Weak Opaque Type

- Can be used either as the opaque type or the base type

```
type EmailAddress = Branded<string, 'EmailAddress'>;

const sayHello = (value: string) ⇒ `Hello ${value}`;
const sendEmail = (value: EmailAddress) ⇒ `Hello ${value}`;

const value = 'xavier@kumojin.com';
const email = 'xavier@kumojin.com' as EmailAddress;

sayHello(value);
sayHello(email);

// @ts-expect-error
sendEmail(value);
sendEmail(email);|
```

# Strong Opaque Type

- Can be used either as the opaque type or the base type but needs to be explicitly casted to the base type
- Useful if we don't accidentally want to use the more specific type for more general cases without a clear exception being made via explicit casting

```
declare const __brand: unique symbol;  
type Brand<T> = { [__brand]: T };  
export type StrongOpaqueType<BaseType, T> = (BaseType & Brand<T>) | Brand<T>;
```

# Strong Opaque Type - Example

```
type EmailAddress = StrongOpaqueType<string, 'EmailAddress'>;

const sayHello = (value: string) ⇒ `Hello ${value}`;
const sendEmail = (value: EmailAddress) ⇒ `Hello ${value}`;

const value = 'xavier@kumojin.com';
const email = 'xavier@kumojin.com' as EmailAddress;

sayHello(value);
sayHello(email as string);

// @ts-expect-error
sendEmail(value);
sendEmail(email);
```

# Super Opaque Type

- Can not be explicitly or implicitly casted to their base type
- This is useful for cases where we are truly making a new type that is unrelated any base type
- This is like comparing number to string

```
declare const __brand: unique symbol;  
type Brand<T> = { [__brand]: T };  
type SuperOpaqueType<BaseType, T> = Brand<T>;
```

# Super Opaque Type - Example

```
type EmailAddress = SuperOpaqueType<string, 'EmailAddress'>;

const sayHello = (value: string) ⇒ `Hello ${value}`;
const sendEmail = (value: EmailAddress) ⇒ `Hello ${value}`;

const value = 'xavier@kumojin.com';
const email = 'xavier@kumojin.com' as any as EmailAddress;

sayHello(value);
sayHello(email as any as string);

// @ts-expect-error
sendEmail(value);
sendEmail(email);
```



Question?