

Category 2: Temporal Vulnerabilities

Contents

Overview	1
Indicators	1
Implementation Schema	2
Key Formulas	2
Urgency-Induced Bypass Rate	2
Hyperbolic Discounting	2
Key Data Sources	2
Detection Approach	2
Poisson Regression for Bypass Rate	2
Baseline Establishment	2
Common Event Types	3
Risk Levels	3
Mitigation Strategies	3
Related Resources	3

This directory contains detailed implementation schemas for all 10 indicators in the Temporal vulnerability category.

Overview

Temporal vulnerabilities exploit time pressure, deadline stress, and urgency manipulation to induce security-degrading behaviors.

Indicators

1. [2.1] **Urgency-Induced Security Bypass** - Task completion time analysis under pressure
2. [2.2] **Time Pressure Decision Degradation** - Decision quality metrics vs deadline proximity

3. [2.3] **Deadline-Driven Risk Acceptance** - Exception requests correlated with project deadlines
4. [2.4] **After-Hours Security Fatigue** - Off-hours event patterns and error rates
5. [2.5] **Rushed Change Implementation** - Change control bypass during time constraints
6. [2.6] **Crisis Countdown Effect** - Behavior degradation as deadlines approach
7. [2.7] **Temporal Discounting of Security** - Hyperbolic discounting in security decisions
8. [2.8] **Weekend/Holiday Exploitation** - Reduced vigilance during off-peak periods
9. [2.9] **Shift Transition Vulnerability** - Incidents during handoff periods
10. [2.10] **Artificial Urgency Susceptibility** - Response to manufactured time pressure

Implementation Schema

Each indicator file follows the **OFTLISR**V framework for systematic operationalization.

Key Formulas

Urgency-Induced Bypass Rate

$$U_i = (\Delta t_{normal} - \Delta t_{urgent}) / \Delta t_{normal}$$

When $U_i > 0.5$ (50% acceleration), security degradation is predictable.

Hyperbolic Discounting

$$V = A / (1 + k \times D)$$

Where A = actual value, D = delay, k = discount rate (calibrated per org)

Key Data Sources

- **Project Management Systems:** Deadlines, milestone dates, sprint schedules
- **Change Management:** Change request timestamps, approval times
- **SIEM:** Event timestamps, after-hours activity
- **Ticketing:** Issue resolution times, SLA compliance
- **Email/Slack:** Urgency keywords, time pressure indicators

Detection Approach

Poisson Regression for Bypass Rate

$$= e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \times pressure + \beta_2 \times deadline_proximity)}$$

Models expected bypass rate given temporal pressure.

Baseline Establishment

Temporal indicators require:

- 60-day baseline for normal task completion times
- Business hour patterns per department
- Seasonal/cyclical deadline patterns
- Shift schedule data

Common Event Types

- `urgent_request` → 2.1, 2.10
- `after_hours_access` → 2.4, 2.8
- `change_expedited` → 2.5, 2.6
- `shift_handoff` → 2.9
- `deadline_approaching` → 2.3, 2.7

Risk Levels

- **Low** (0-0.33): Normal time pressure, controls maintained
- **Medium** (0.34-0.66): Elevated time pressure, some control bypasses
- **High** (0.67-1.00): Extreme urgency, systematic security degradation

Mitigation Strategies

- Implement “security time budgets” in project planning
- Pre-authorize common urgent scenarios
- Enhanced monitoring during known deadline periods
- Automated validation for expedited changes

Related Resources

- **Dense Foundation:** </foundation/docs/core/en-US/> - Temporal vulnerability formalization
- **Implementation Guide:** /docs/cpf_implementation_guide.md
- **Dashboard:** </dashboard/soc/> - Timeline visualization