

# Contents

[6.10] Collective Defense Mechanisms . . . . .	1
------------------------------------------------	---

## [6.10] Collective Defense Mechanisms

**1. Operational Definition:** The unconscious, organization-wide use of psychological defenses (e.g., denial, rationalization, projection) to avoid anxiety associated with security threats. This manifests as a systematic downplaying of risk metrics, attributing breaches solely to “sophisticated nation-states” (projection), or believing “it won’t happen to us” (denial).

### 2. Main Metric & Algorithm:

- **Metric:** Risk Rationalization Ratio (RRR). Formula: (Number of confirmed true positive alerts) / (Number of alerts initially closed as 'false positive' or 'risk accepted').

- **Pseudocode:**

python

```
def calculate_rrr(alerts):
    true_positives = 0
    rationalized_alerts = 0
    for alert in alerts:
        if alert.final_verdict == "True Positive":
            true_positives += 1
        if alert.initial_closure_reason in ["False Positive", "Risk Accepted", "Not Applied"]:
            rationalized_alerts += 1
    return true_positives / rationalized_alerts if rationalized_alerts > 0 else 0
```

- **Alert Threshold:**  $RRR > 0.1$  (More than 10% of alerts initially dismissed are actually true positives, indicating a pattern of rationalization/denial).

### 3. Digital Data Sources (Algorithm Input):

- **SIEM/SOAR:** Alert queue. Fields: `initial_closure_reason`, `final_verdict` (from later investigation or pentest findings), `severity`.

**4. Human-To-Human Audit Protocol:** In a blameless post-incident review meeting for a missed true positive, focus on the initial triage decision. Ask: “What was the thought process behind initially closing this as a non-issue? Was there any pressure, conscious or unconscious, to make the alert queue go down? Did we convince ourselves it was fine because dealing with it would have been difficult?”

### 5. Recommended Mitigation Actions:

- **Technical/Digital Mitigation:** Implement a mandatory peer review step for closing any high-severity alert as a false positive. Require a second opinion.
- **Human/Organizational Mitigation:** Leadership must actively foster a culture of psychological safety where speaking up about risks and admitting mistakes is rewarded, not punished. Discuss cognitive biases openly.

- **Process Mitigation:** Institute a regular (e.g., quarterly) “alert audit” process where a sample of closed alerts, especially those dismissed as FP, is re-examined by a different team (e.g., Red Team) to validate the conclusions.