

Category 2: Temporal Vulnerabilities

Contents

Overview	1
Indicators	1
Implementation Schema	2
Key Formulas	2
Urgency-Induced Bypass Rate	2
Hyperbolic Discounting	2
Key Data Sources	2
Detection Approach	2
Poisson Regression for Bypass Rate	2
Baseline Establishment	2
Common Event Types	3
Risk Levels	3
Mitigation Strategies	3
Related Resources	3

This directory contains detailed implementation schemas for all 10 indicators in the Temporal vulnerability category.

Overview

Temporal vulnerabilities exploit time pressure, deadline stress, and urgency manipulation to induce security-degrading behaviors.

Indicators

1. **[2.1] Urgency-Induced Security Bypass** - Task completion time analysis under pressure
2. **[2.2] Time Pressure Decision Degradation** - Decision quality metrics vs deadline proximity

3. **[2.3] Deadline-Driven Risk Acceptance** - Exception requests correlated with project deadlines
4. **[2.4] After-Hours Security Fatigue** - Off-hours event patterns and error rates
5. **[2.5] Rushed Change Implementation** - Change control bypass during time constraints
6. **[2.6] Crisis Countdown Effect** - Behavior degradation as deadlines approach
7. **[2.7] Temporal Discounting of Security** - Hyperbolic discounting in security decisions
8. **[2.8] Weekend/Holiday Exploitation** - Reduced vigilance during off-peak periods
9. **[2.9] Shift Transition Vulnerability** - Incidents during handoff periods
10. **[2.10] Artificial Urgency Susceptibility** - Response to manufactured time pressure

Implementation Schema

Each indicator file follows the **OFTLISRV** framework for systematic operationalization.

Key Formulas

Urgency-Induced Bypass Rate

$$U_i = (\Delta t_normal - \Delta t_urgent) / \Delta t_normal$$

When $U_i > 0.5$ (50% acceleration), security degradation is predictable.

Hyperbolic Discounting

$$V = A / (1 + k \times D)$$

Where A = actual value, D = delay, k = discount rate (calibrated per org)

Key Data Sources

- **Project Management Systems:** Deadlines, milestone dates, sprint schedules
- **Change Management:** Change request timestamps, approval times
- **SIEM:** Event timestamps, after-hours activity
- **Ticketing:** Issue resolution times, SLA compliance
- **Email/Slack:** Urgency keywords, time pressure indicators

Detection Approach

Poisson Regression for Bypass Rate

$$= e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \text{pressure} + \beta_2 \times \text{deadline_proximity})}$$

Models expected bypass rate given temporal pressure.

Baseline Establishment

Temporal indicators require: - 60-day baseline for normal task completion times - Business hour patterns per department - Seasonal/cyclical deadline patterns - Shift schedule data

Common Event Types

- `urgent_request` → 2.1, 2.10
- `after_hours_access` → 2.4, 2.8
- `change_expedited` → 2.5, 2.6
- `shift_handoff` → 2.9
- `deadline_approaching` → 2.3, 2.7

Risk Levels

- **Low** (0-0.33): Normal time pressure, controls maintained
- **Medium** (0.34-0.66): Elevated time pressure, some control bypasses
- **High** (0.67-1.00): Extreme urgency, systematic security degradation

Mitigation Strategies

- Implement “security time budgets” in project planning
- Pre-authorize common urgent scenarios
- Enhanced monitoring during known deadline periods
- Automated validation for expedited changes

Related Resources

- **Dense Foundation:** `/foundation docs/core/en-US/` - Temporal vulnerability formalization
- **Implementation Guide:** `/docs/cpf_implementation_guide.md`
- **Dashboard:** `/dashboard/soc/` - Timeline visualization