

**Harvard University**  
**Computer Science 20**  
**In-Class Problems 10**  
Wednesday, February 17, 2016

Author: Tom Silver

## Executive Summary

### 1. Propositions and predicates

- A proposition  $P$  is like a boolean variable. Its value is either “true” or “false.”
- A predicate  $P(x)$  is like a boolean-valued function. It may have the value “true” for some values of the  $x$  and the value “false” for others.
- A predicate can have more than one argument; e.g.  $\text{Enrolled}(x, y)$  might mean “student  $x$  is enrolled in course  $y$ .”
- In principle it is important to know the (possibly infinite) set of values  $D$  that  $x$  and  $y$  might assume (the domain of the function).

### 2. Quantifiers

- The existential quantifier:  $\exists x P(x)$  or  $\exists x.P(x)$  or  $\exists x$  s.t.  $P(x)$  means “there exists at least one  $x$  in the domain  $D$  such that  $P(x)$  is true.”
- The universal quantifier:  $\forall x P(x)$  or  $\forall x.P(x)$  means “for every  $x$  in the domain  $D$ ,  $P(x)$  is true.”

### 3. Multiple quantifiers

- $\exists x P(x)$  and  $\forall x P(x)$  are both propositions, subject to the rules of logic that you already know.
- $\exists x P(x, y)$  and  $\forall x P(x, y)$  are both predicates of the form  $Q(y)$ , subject to the rules of quantificational logic that you are learning.
- $\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$  and  $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$  are both propositions. The order of the quantifiers is irrelevant.
- $\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$  and  $\forall y \exists x P(x, y)$  are both propositions, but they are different! The order of the quantifiers is important.

### 4. Negation and quantifiers

- $\neg(\exists x.P(x)) \leftrightarrow \forall x.(\neg P(x)).$
- $\neg(\forall x.P(x)) \leftrightarrow \exists x.(\neg P(x)).$

## PROBLEM 1

Recall from Problem Set 1: Let  $A$  be the set of your pigeons, and let  $B$  be the set of pigeonholes in which they live. The *Generalized Pigeonhole Principle* states that for a natural number  $k$ , if  $|A| > k|B|$ , then there is a pigeonhole in which more than  $k$  pigeons live. Restate the GPP using quantifiers. Then negate the GPP (as you would for a proof by contradiction).

**Solution.**

Restatement:  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall A, B, |A| > k|B| \implies \exists b \in B$  s.t.  $b$  contains more than  $k$  pigeons.

Negation:  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists A, B$  s.t.  $|A| > k|B|$  and  $\forall b \in B$ ,  $b$  contains less than or equal to  $k$  pigeons.

## PROBLEM 2

The domain of discourse is the set of all finite-length binary strings. The predicates  $\text{Substring}(x, y)$  (meaning  $x$  is a substring of  $y$ ) and  $\text{Prefix}(x, y)$  (meaning  $x$  is a prefix of  $y$ ) are available.

- (A) Write an expression that means  $x$  consists of alternating 0s and 1s, e.g. 01010 or 101010.
- (B) Write an expression that means  $x$  is the binary representation of an integer of the form  $5(2^k)$  for some integer  $k \geq 0$ .
- (C) Write three different expressions that means  $x$  consists of one or more 1s, and no 0s. Important caveat: none of your expressions may contain “0”.
- (D) (BONUS) Write a fourth expression for (c) that is *recursive*, meaning that the expression appears in its own definition.

**Solution.**

- (A)  $\text{Alternating}(x) = \neg \text{Substring}(01, x) \wedge \neg \text{Substring}(10, x)$
- (B)  $\text{FiveTwoPow}(x) = \text{Prefix}(101, x)$
- (C) 1:  $\text{AllOnes}(x) = \forall y \text{ Substring}(y, x) \implies \text{Substring}(1, y)$ .
- 2:  $\text{AllOnes}(x) = \forall y \text{ Substring}(y, x) \implies \text{Prefix}(1, y)$ .
- 3:  $\text{AllOnes}(x) = \text{Prefix}(1, x) \wedge \forall y \text{ Prefix}(y, x) \implies \text{Prefix}(1, y)$
- (D)  $\text{AllOnes}(x) = \text{Prefix}(1, x) \wedge \forall y \text{ Prefix}(y, x) \implies \text{AllOnes}(y)$  (or Substring may be used)

## PROBLEM 3

We define a committee to be a set of senators  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$ . The predicate  $M(S, \mathcal{C})$  means “Senator  $S$  is a member of committee  $\mathcal{C}$ .” Rewrite the following in terms of predicate logic.

- (A) Every committee has at least two senators serving on it.
- (B) Any two distinct senators determine a unique committee.

**Solution.**

- (A)  $\forall \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{S}, \exists S_i, S_j \in \mathcal{S}$  s.t.  $i \neq j$  and  $S_i, S_j \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- (B)  $\forall \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{S}, \forall S_i, S_j \in \mathcal{S}, S_i, S_j \in \mathcal{C} \implies i = j$ .

## PROBLEM 4

The domain of discourse is the set of integers. Let  $S(x, y, z)$  mean that “ $z$  is the sum of  $x$  and  $y$ .”

- (A) Write a formula that means  $x$  is an even integer.
- (B) Write a formula that symbolizes the commutative property for addition ( $x + y = y + x$ ) of integers.
- (C) Write a formula that symbolizes the associative law for addition ( $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$ ) of integers.

**Solution.**

- (A)  $Even(x) = \exists y.S(x, y, y)$
- (B)  $\forall x_1, x_2, y, z, S(x_1, y, z) = S(x_2, z, y) \implies x_1 = x_2$
- (C)  $\forall u_1, u_2, v, w, x, y, z, S(w, x, y) \wedge S(v, y, z) \wedge S(u_1, x, v) \wedge (u_2, w, z) \implies u_1 = u_2$