

Harvard University
Computer Science 20

In-Class Problems 17

Monday, March 7, 2016

Author: Michelle Danoff, Tom Silver

Executive Summary

1. A *directed graph* G consists of a set of *vertices* V and a set of *edges* $E \subset V \times V$. G is a binary relation on V , where uGw iff there is an edge from u to w .
 - A directed edge $(u, v) \in E$ means that there is an edge pointing from vertex u to vertex v in the graph.
 - The *in-degree* of a vertex is the number of edges pointing into it. The *out-degree* of a vertex is the number of edges pointing out of it.
2. A *walk* is a sequence of vertices in the directed graph obtained by “walking” along the edges from one vertex to the next in the sequence. A *path* is a walk that does not visit the same vertex more than once.
 - The shortest walk between two vertices is a path.
3. The binary relations G^* and G^+ are defined such that for $u, v \in V$:
 - uG^*v means that there is a walk of length ≥ 0 in G from u to v
 - uG^+v means that there is a positive length walk in G from u to v
4. A *cycle* is a walk from a vertex to itself, with no other repeated vertices in the walk. A single vertex is a length 0 cycle.
 - A *closed walk* is a walk that begins and ends at the same vertex. The shortest positive length closed walk through a vertex is a positive length cycle containing that vertex.
5. A *directed acyclic graph* (DAG) contains no positive length cycles.

PROBLEM 1

Prove that any finite DAG has at least one vertex with in-degree 0.

Solution.

Proof by contradiction. Assume there is no vertex with in-degree 0; this means that every vertex has at least one edge that points to it. Let us begin to follow these edges backwards. Start this process at any random node in the graph; we follow this node to the node that points to it. Since every node has in degree of at least one, we can continue this process at every reachable node, or infinitely. Since we know the graph has no cycle, every edge must lead backwards to a new node. Thus, we have a contradiction since the graph is finite, but following edges backwards will allow us to reach an infinite number of unique vertices. We must have at least one vertex with in-degree 0.

PROBLEM 2

Consider the following set of prerequisites for CS classes (loosely adapted from the course catalog; not all of these are actually strict prerequisites):

Prerequisites:

CS 182: CS 51, CS 121

CS 121: CS 20

CS 124: CS 50, CS 51, CS 121, Stat 110

CS 51: CS 50

CS 61: CS 50


CS 20, CS 50, & Stat 110 have no prerequisites, but should be in the graph.

(A) Draw the directed graph representing these prerequisites, where the vertices represent classes and where an edge from x to y means that x is a prerequisite for y .

(B) Is this graph a directed acyclic graph (DAG)? Why does it not make sense for a graph like this representing prerequisites to contain cycles?

(C) If you must take all of a class's prerequisite classes before taking the class, what is the fewest possible number of semesters it would take to complete all these courses? Assume you are able to handle an unlimited workload every semester.

Solution.



(A)

(B) This graph is a DAG. It should not have a cycle because that would make it impossible to successfully construct a schedule; if courses are prerequisites for each other there is no way to start taking courses since there is a barrier to entry for every course.

(C) Three semesters. The first semester we take CS20, CS50, and Stat110. The second semester we take CS121, CS61, and CS51. Third semester we take CS182 and CS124.

PROBLEM 3

Prove the triangle inequality, which states that for any $u, x, v \in V$

$$\text{dist}(u, v) \leq \text{dist}(u, x) + \text{dist}(x, v)$$

where $\text{dist}(u, v)$ is the length of the shortest path between the vertices u and v .

Solution.

Proof by contradiction. Assume it is not the case that

$$\text{dist}(u, v) \leq \text{dist}(u, x) + \text{dist}(x, v)$$

There are two possible cases: either x is a point on the path from u to v , or it is a point not on the path. If x is on the path, then $\text{dist}(u, x) + \text{dist}(x, v) = \text{dist}(u, v)$, so by definition the inequality holds. The other possible case occurs if x is not a point on the path from u to v . By definition, we know that $\text{dist}(u, v)$ is the length of a shortest path between u and v . Thus, any path that goes through another point must be of equal length or longer to this path by definition. The triangle inequality holds in this case as well. Thus, we have a contradiction; the triangle inequality must hold.

PROBLEM 4

[BONUS] A topological ordering of a graph is an ordering of the nodes such that if there is an edge between vertexes a and b in the graph, then a is before b in the topological ordering. Prove that we can provide a topological ordering for any DAG.

Solution.

Proof by induction. Base case: a single vertex. The topological ordering is just that vertex. Induction: assume we can provide a topological ordering for n vertices. Let us prove this is also the case for $n + 1$ vertices. If we insert another vertex into our graph containing n vertexes, let us consider where we will place this new vertex in the topological ordering. If it has only edges coming in, then we can place it at the end of our ordering. If it has only edges going out, we can place it at the beginning. If it has both in and out, we place it in the ordering such that it is after the vertexes that have edges to it and before those it has edges to. Since there is no cycle in the graph, we know we can find such a location without disrupting the topological ordering. By the principles of induction, we can provide a topological ordering for a DAG containing any number n vertices.