

# Künstliche Intelligenz

## Hausaufgabe 6

N. Lehmann, T. Gleißner, A. Zubarev

27.05.2015

### Aufgabe 1: Modallogik

there are two agents  $a$  and  $b$

$ws_i$  means agent  $i$  has a white spot

$\Box_i x$  means agent  $i$  knows  $x$

$\Box_{common} x$  means all agents know  $x$

1. At least one agent has a white spot. This implies it is common knowledge that at least one agent has a white spot.

$$\Box_{common}(ws_a \vee ws_b) \quad (1)$$

2. If one agent has a white spot the other agent sees/knows this.

$$\Box_{common}(ws_a \implies \Box_b ws_a) \quad (2)$$

$$\Box_{common}(ws_b \implies \Box_a ws_b) \quad (3)$$

3. If one agent does not have a white spot the other agent sees/knows this.

$$\Box_{common}(\neg ws_a \implies \Box_b \neg ws_a) \quad (4)$$

$$\Box_{common}(\neg ws_b \implies \Box_a \neg ws_b) \quad (5)$$

4.  $\Box_{common}$  is modelled as an S4 operator.

$$(\Box_{common} x) \implies x \quad \text{only things that are true can be known} \quad (6)$$

$$(\Box_{common} x) \implies (\Box_{common} \Box_{common} x) \quad \text{if } x \text{ is commonly known, it is commonly known that } x \text{ is commonly known} \quad (7)$$

5.  $\Box_a, \Box_b$  are modelled as K operators.

$$(\Box_a x) \implies (\Box_a \Box_a x) \quad \text{a knows that a knows that } x \quad (8)$$

$$(\Box_b x) \implies (\Box_b \Box_b x) \quad \text{b knows that b knows that } x \quad (9)$$

6. Connect common knowledge with the knowledge of the agents.

$$(\Box_{common}x) \implies \Box_ax \text{ if } x \text{ is common knowledge then } a \text{ knows } x \text{ too} \quad (10)$$

$$(\Box_{common}x) \implies \Box_bx \text{ if } x \text{ is common knowledge then } b \text{ knows } x \text{ too} \quad (11)$$

7. When an agent does (not) know something the other agent knows that he does (not) know.

$$(\Box_ax) \implies \Box_b\Box_ax \quad (\Box_bx) \implies \Box_a\Box_bx \quad (12)$$

$$(\neg\Box_ax) \implies \Box_b\neg\Box_ax \quad (\neg\Box_bx) \implies \Box_a\neg\Box_bx \quad (13)$$

8. The first agent does not know whether he has a white spot.

$$\neg\Box_aws_a \quad (14)$$

9. We can now prove: The second agent does know he has a whit spot (or the opposite).

$$\Box_bws_b \quad (15)$$

## Aufgabe 2: Tableau

### 2a $\Diamond(P \implies \Box P)$ in **T**

(1) $\neg(\Diamond(P \implies \Box P))$	negation (1)
(1) $\neg(P \implies \Box P)$	from eq. 1: T rule (2)
(1) $P$	from eq. 2: $\neg(\cdot \implies \cdot)$ (3)
(1) $\neg\Box P$	from eq. 2: $\neg(\cdot \implies \cdot)$ (4)
(1.1) $\neg P$	from eq. 4: prefix (1.1) new to b. (5)
(1.1) $\neg(P \implies \Box P)$	from eq. 1: prefix (1.1) already occurs on path (6)
(1.1) $P$	from eq. 6: $\neg(\cdot \implies \cdot)$ (7)
(1.1) $\neg\Box P$	from eq. 6: $\neg(\cdot \implies \cdot)$ (8)
	(9)

The only path is closed by (1.1)  $P$  (eq. 7) and (1.1)  $\neg P$  (eq. 5).

### 2b $(\Box P \wedge \Box Q) \implies (\Box(\Box P \wedge \Box Q))$ in **K4**

(1) $\neg((\Box P \wedge \Box Q) \implies (\Box(\Box P \wedge \Box Q)))$	negation (1)
(1) $\Box P \wedge \Box Q$	from eq. 1: $\neg(\cdot \implies \cdot)$ (2)
(1) $\neg\Box(\Box P \wedge \Box Q)$	from eq. 1: $\neg(\cdot \implies \cdot)$ (3)
(1) $\Box P$	from eq. 2: $\cdot \wedge \cdot$ (4)
(1) $\Box Q$	from eq. 2: $\cdot \wedge \cdot$ (5)
(1.1) $\neg(\Box P \wedge \Box Q)$	from eq. 3: prefix (1.1) new to b.: $\neg\Box\cdot$ (6)
(1.1) $\neg\Box P$	(1.1) $\neg Q$ from eq 6: $\neg(\cdot \wedge \cdot)$ (7)
(1.1) $\Box P$	(1.1) $\Box Q$ from eq. 4 and 5, 4 rule (8)
	(1) $\Box Q$ already occurs on the branch (9)

The left path is closed by (1.1)  $\neg \Box P$  (eq. 7) and (1.1)  $\Box P$  (eq. 8).  
The right path is closed by (1.1)  $\neg Q$  (eq. 7) and (1.1)  $Q$  (eq. 9).

**2c  $\Box(P \implies Q) \vee \Box \neg \Box(\neg Q \implies \neg P)$  in S5**

(1) $\neg(\Box(P \implies Q) \vee \Box \neg \Box(\neg Q \implies \neg P))$	negation (1)
(1.1) $\neg((P \implies Q) \vee \Box \neg \Box(\neg Q \implies \neg P))$	from eq. 1: prefix (1.1) new to b. (2)
(1.1) $\neg(P \implies Q)$	from eq. 2: $\neg(\cdot \vee \cdot)$ (3)
(1.1) $\neg \Box \neg \Box(\neg Q \implies \neg P)$	from eq. 2: $\neg(\cdot \vee \cdot)$ (4)
(1.1) $P$	from eq. 3: $\neg(\cdot \vee \cdot)$ (5)
(1.1) $\neg Q$	from eq. 3: $\neg(\cdot \vee \cdot)$ (6)
(1.2) $\neg \neg \Box(\neg Q \implies \neg P)$	from eq. 4: prefix (1.2) new to b. (7)
(1.2) $\Box(\neg Q \implies \neg P)$	double negation (8)
(1.1) $\Box(\neg Q \implies \neg P)$	4r rule (9)
(1) $\Box(\neg Q \implies \neg P)$	4r rule (10)
(1.1) $\neg Q \implies \neg P$	from eq. 10: $\Box \cdot$ (11)
(1.1) $Q$	from eq. 11: $\neg \cdot \implies \cdot$ (12)
(1.1) $\neg P$	from eq. 11: $\neg \cdot \implies \cdot$ (13)

The only path is closed by (1.1)  $P$  (eq. 5) and (1.1)  $\neg P$  (eq. 13).