

# XCOYNZ Audit

---

## 30 JANUARY 2019 / TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>AUDIT METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>3</b>
Design Patterns	3
Static Analysis	3
Manual Analysis	3
Network Behavior	3
Contracts Reviewed	4
<b>AUDIT SUMMARY</b>	<b>5</b>
Analysis Results	5
Test Results	5
Token Allocation Results	5
Explicit Vulnerability Check Results	5
<b>ISSUES DISCOVERED</b>	<b>6</b>
Severity Levels	6
Issues	6
XC-1 / Critical: Locking dates for tokens does not match required business logic	6
Explanation	6
Resolution	6
XC-2 / Informational: Hardcoded Values	6
Explanation	7
Resolution	7
<b>XCOYNZ AUDIT CONCLUSION</b>	<b>8</b>

## INTRODUCTION

CoinMercenary provides comprehensive, independent smart contract auditing.

We help stakeholders confirm the quality and security of their smart contracts using our comprehensive and standardized audit process. Each audit is unbiased and verified by multiple reputable auditors.

The scope of this audit was to analyze and document the XCOYNZ Token smart contracts.

This audit provides practical assurance of the logic and implementation of the contract.

## AUDIT METHODOLOGY

CoinMercenary audits consist of four categories of analysis.

### Design Patterns

We first inspect the overall structure of the smart contract, including both manual and automated analysis.

The design pattern analysis checks appropriate test coverage, utilizes a linter to ensure consistent style and composition, and code comments are reviewed. Overall architecture and safe usage of third party smart contracts are checked to ensure the contract is structured in a way that will not result in future issues.

### Static Analysis

The static analysis portion of our audit is performed using a series of automated tools, purposefully designed to test the security of the contract. These tools include:

- **Manticore** - Dynamic binary analysis tool with EVM support.
- **Mythril** - Reversing and bug hunting framework for the Ethereum blockchain.
- **Oyente** - Analyzes Solidity code to find common vulnerabilities.
- **Solgraph** - DOT graph creation for visualizing function control flow of a Solidity contract to highlight potential security vulnerabilities.

Data flow and control flow are also analyzed to identify vulnerabilities.

### Manual Analysis

Performing a hands on review of the smart contract to identify common vulnerabilities is the most intensive portion of our audit. Checks for race conditions, transaction-ordering dependence, timestamp dependence, and denial of service attacks are part of our standardized process.

### Network Behavior

In addition to our design pattern check, we also specifically look at network behavior. We model how the smart contract will operate once in production,

then determine the answers to questions such as: how much gas will be used, are there any optimizations, how will the contract interact?

### Contracts Reviewed

On January 31st, 2019 the following contract files and their respective SHA256 fingerprints were reviewed:

Filename	SHA256 Fingerprint
XCOYNZ Test SC.sol	6b05d26879e64579765e1771a049e8fb2fad32e6e65c0420924155d15f762bb2

The above referenced smart contract is also available on Rinkeby, located at: [0x59e423a58748109140978920e6a0d305704afd7f](https://rinkeby.etherscan.io/address/0x59e423a58748109140978920e6a0d305704afd7f)

## AUDIT SUMMARY

The contracts have been found to be free of security issues.

### Analysis Results

	Initial Audit
Design Patterns	Passed
Static Analysis	Passed
Manual Analysis	Passed
Token Allocation	Update Required
Network Behavior	Passed
Business Logic	Update Required

### Test Results

- No unit test coverage available.

### Token Allocation Results

- Symbol: XCOYNZ
- Decimal: 18
- Initial Supply: 1,250,000,000

### Explicit Vulnerability Check Results

Known Vulnerability	Results
Parity Multisig Bug 2	Not vulnerable
Callstack Depth Attack	Not vulnerable
Transaction-Ordering Dependence	Not vulnerable
Timestamp Dependency	Not vulnerable
Re-Entrancy Vulnerability	Not vulnerable
Proxy and Buffer Overflow	Not vulnerable

## ISSUES DISCOVERED

Issues below are listed from most critical to least critical. Severity is determined by an assessment of the risk of exploitation or otherwise unsafe behavior.

### Severity Levels

- **Informational** - No impact on the contract.
- **Low** - Minimal impact on operational ability.
- **Medium** - Affects the ability of the contract to operate.
- **High** - Affects the ability of the contract to work as designed in a significant way.
- **Critical** - Funds may be allocated incorrectly, lost or otherwise result in a significant loss.

### Issues

#### XC-1 / Critical: Locking dates for tokens does not match required business logic

Present in XCOYNZ Test SC.sol

##### Explanation

The smart contract lock a set amount of tokens based on four vesting dates. The vesting dates in the contracts do not match the dates as required by the business logic. All current dates are set to 2019-01-23 with four one hour increments, rather than the required 2020-01-02, 2020-01-08, 2021-01-08, 2022-01-08.

##### Resolution

Not yet resolved.

---

#### XC-2 / Informational: Hardcoded Values

Present in XCOYNZ Test SC.sol

### Explanation

CoinMercenary recommends that external addresses be set using constructor argument when initializing the contracts. This allows for a smoother transition between testnet and production contracts, among other benefits

The referenced address, 0xc6cFC081c9e728f6bBBC1101640f29BC57a7E76f, has been confirmed to belong to the XCOYNZ team.

### Resolution

Resolution not required.

---



## XCOYNZ AUDIT CONCLUSION

February 4th, 2019

CoinMercenary provides comprehensive, independent smart contract auditing.

We help stakeholders confirm the quality and security of their smart contracts using our comprehensive and standardized audit process. Each audit is unbiased and verified by multiple reputable auditors.

The scope of this audit was to analyze and document the XCOYNZ token contract. The audit provides practical assurance of the logic and implementation of the contracts.

CoinMercenary has reviewed the XCOYNZ smart contracts and found them to be free of security issues and logic errors.

The audit began on January 30th, 2019, ending on February 4th, 2019. One critical and one informational level issue were documented.

Working with the XCOYNZ team has been a pleasure and we look forward to seeing their continued success.

Sincerely,

JONATHAN GEORGE, Senior Auditor