

7 Poisson random measures

7.1 Construction and basic properties

For $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ we say that a random variable X in \mathbb{Z}^+ is *Poisson of parameter λ* and write $X \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$ if

$$\mathbb{P}(X = n) = e^{-\lambda} \lambda^n / n!.$$

We also write $X \sim \text{Poi}(0)$ to mean $X \equiv 0$ and write $X \sim \text{Poi}(\infty)$ to mean $X \equiv \infty$.

Proposition 7.1.1 (Addition property) *Let N_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be independent random variables, with $N_k \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda_k)$ for all k . Then*

$$\sum_k N_k \sim \text{Poi}\left(\sum_k \lambda_k\right).$$

Proposition 7.1.2 (Splitting property) *Let N , Y_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be independent random variables, with $N \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$, $\lambda < \infty$ and $\mathbb{P}(Y_n = j) = p_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$ and all n . Set*

$$N_j = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_n=j\}}.$$

Then N_1, \dots, N_k are independent random variables with $N_j \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda p_j)$ for all j .

Let (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. A *Poisson random measure with intensity μ* is a map

$$M : \Omega \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$$

satisfying, for all sequences $(A_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ of disjoint sets in \mathcal{E} ,

- (i) $M(\cup_k A_k) = \sum_k M(A_k)$,
- (ii) $M(A_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are independent random variables,
- (iii) $M(A_k) \sim \text{Poi}(\mu(A_k))$ for all k .

Denote by E^* the set of integer-valued measures on \mathcal{E} and define

$$X : E^* \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad X_A : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad A \in \mathcal{E}$$

by

$$X(m, A) = X_A(m) = m(A).$$

Set $\mathcal{E}^* = \sigma(X_A : A \in \mathcal{E})$.

Theorem 7.1.3 *There exists a unique probability measure μ^* on (E^*, \mathcal{E}^*) such that X is a Poisson random measure with intensity μ .*

Proof. (*Uniqueness.*) For disjoint sets $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{E}$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, set

$$A^* = \{m \in E^* : m(A_1) = n_1, \dots, m(A_k) = n_k\}.$$

Then, for any measure μ^* making X a Poisson random measure with intensity μ ,

$$\mu^*(A^*) = \prod_{j=1}^k e^{-\mu(A_j)} \mu(A_j)^{n_j} / n_j!.$$

Since the set of such sets A^* is a π -system generating \mathcal{E}^* , this implies that μ^* is uniquely determined on \mathcal{E}^* .

(*Existence.*) Consider first the case where $\lambda = \mu(E) < \infty$. There exists a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ on which are defined independent random variables N and Y_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $N \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$ and $Y_n \sim \mu/\lambda$ for all n . Set

$$M(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_n \in A\}}, \quad A \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (7.1)$$

It is easy to check, by the Poisson splitting property, that M is a Poisson random measure with intensity μ .

More generally, if (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) is σ -finite, then there exist disjoint sets $E_k \in \mathcal{E}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\cup_k E_k = E$ and $\mu(E_k) < \infty$ for all k . We can construct, on some probability space, independent Poisson random measures M_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with M_k having intensity $\mu|_{E_k}$. Set

$$M(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M_k(A \cap E_k), \quad A \in \mathcal{E}.$$

It is easy to check, by the Poisson addition property, that M is a Poisson random measure with intensity μ . The law μ^* on E^* is then a measure with the required properties. \square

7.2 Integrals with respect to a Poisson random measure

Theorem 7.2.4 *Let M be a Poisson random measure on E with intensity μ and let g be a measurable function on E . If $\mu(E)$ is finite or g is integrable, then*

$$X = \int_E g(y) M(dy)$$

is a well-defined random variable with

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{iuX}) = \exp\left\{\int_E (e^{iug(y)} - 1) \mu(dy)\right\}.$$

Moreover, if g is integrable, then so is X and

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \int_E g(y) \mu(dy), \quad \text{Var}(X) = \int_E g(y)^2 \mu(dy).$$

Proof. Assume for now that $\lambda = \mu(E) < \infty$. Then $M(E)$ is finite a.s. so X is well defined. If $g = \mathbb{I}_A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{E}$, then $X = M(A)$, so X is a random variable. This extends by linearity and by taking limits to all measurable functions g .

Since the value of $\mathbb{E}(e^{iuX})$ depends only on the law μ^* of M on E^* , we can assume that M is given as in (7.1). Then

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{iuX} \mid N = n) = \mathbb{E}(e^{iug(Y_1)})^n = \left(\int_E e^{iug(y)} \frac{\mu(dy)}{\lambda} \right)^n$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(e^{iuX}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(e^{iuX} \mid N = n) \mathbb{P}(N = n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_E e^{iug(y)} \frac{\mu(dy)}{\lambda} \right)^n e^{-\lambda} \lambda^n / n! = \exp \left\{ \int_E (e^{iug(y)} - 1) \mu(dy) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If g is integrable, then formulae for $\mathbb{E}(X)$ and $\text{Var}(X)$ may be obtained by a similar argument.

It remains to deal with the case where g is integrable and $\mu(E) = \infty$. Assume for now that $g \geq 0$, then X is obviously well defined. We can find $0 \leq g_n \uparrow g$ with $\mu(|g_n| > 0) < \infty$ for all n . The conclusions of the theorem are then valid for the corresponding integrals X_n . Note that $X_n \uparrow X$ and $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \leq \mu(g) < \infty$ for all n . It follows that X is a random variable and, by dominated convergence, $X_n \rightarrow X$ in $L^1(\mathbb{P})$. Further, using the estimate $|e^{iux} - 1| \leq |ux|$, we can obtain the desired formulae for X by passing to the limit. Finally, for a general integrable function g , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \int_E |g(y)| M(dy) = \int_E |g(y)| \mu(dy)$$

so X is well defined. Also $X = X_+ - X_-$, where

$$X_{\pm} = \int_{\{\pm g > 0\}} g(y) M(dy)$$

and X_+ and X_- are independent. Hence the formulae for X follow from those for X_{\pm} . \square

We now fix a σ -finite measure space (E, \mathcal{E}, K) and denote by μ the product measure on $(0, \infty) \times E$ determined by

$$\mu((0, t] \times A) = tK(A), \quad t \geq 0, \quad A \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Let M be a Poisson random measure with intensity μ and set $\widetilde{M} = M - \mu$. Then \widetilde{M} is a *compensated Poisson measure with intensity μ* .

Proposition 7.2.5 *Let g be an integrable function on E . Set*

$$X_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{(0, t] \times E} g(y) \widetilde{M}(ds, dy).$$

Then $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a cadlag martingale with stationary independent increments. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(e^{iuX_t}) &= \exp\left\{t \int_E (e^{iug(y)} - 1 - iug(y)) K(dy)\right\}, \\ \mathbb{E}(X_t^2) &= t \int_E g(y)^2 K(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.2.6 Let $g \in L^2(K)$ and let $(g_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of integrable functions such that $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^2(K)$. Set

$$X_t^n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{(0,t] \times E} g_n(y) \widetilde{M}(ds, dy).$$

Then there exists a cadlag martingale $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n - X_s|^2\right) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ has stationary independent increments and

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{iuX_t}) = \exp\left\{t \int_E (e^{iug(y)} - 1 - iug(y)) K(dy)\right\}.$$

The notation $\int_{(0,t] \times E} g(y) \widetilde{M}(ds, dy)$ is used for X_t even when g is not integrable with respect to K . Of course $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ does not depend on the choice of approximating sequence (g_n) . This is a simple example of a *stochastic integral*.

Proof. Fix $t > 0$. By Doob's L^2 -inequality and Proposition 7.2.5,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n - X_s^m|^2\right) \leq 4\mathbb{E}((X_t^n - X_t^m)^2) = 4t \int_E (g_n - g_m)^2 K(dy) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence X_s^n converges in L^2 for all $s \leq t$. For some subsequence we have

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^{n_k} - X_s^{n_j}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

as $j, k \rightarrow \infty$. The uniform limit of cadlag functions is cadlag, so there is a cadlag process $(X_s)_{s \leq t}$ such that

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^{n_k} - X_s| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since X_s^n converges in L^2 for all $s \leq t$, $(X_s)_{s \leq t}$ is a martingale and so by Doob's L^2 -inequality

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n - X_s|^2\right) \leq 4\mathbb{E}((X_t^n - X_t)^2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $|e^{iug} - 1 - iug| \leq u^2 g^2 / 2$. Hence, for $s < t$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(e^{iu(X_t - X_s)} \mid \mathcal{F}_s^M \right) &= \lim_n \mathbb{E} \left(e^{iu(X_t^n - X_s^n)} \mid \mathcal{F}_s^M \right) \\ &= \lim_n \exp \left\{ (t - s) \int_E (e^{iug_n(y)} - 1 - iug_n(y)) K(dy) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ (t - s) \int_E (e^{iug(y)} - 1 - iug(y)) K(dy) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ has stationary independent increments with the claimed characteristic function. \square