

What is a probability? There are two ways to

answer:

- ① It is a number that tells you how often some event will happen
 \Rightarrow Frequentist view
- ② It is a number that expresses our belief that something would happen
 \Rightarrow Bayesian view

Both views are useful and we will take whichever is more convenient. No need to join the Bayes vs. Frequentist debate.

For an event X :

$P(X) = 1 \Rightarrow$ it will happen

$P(X) = 0 \Rightarrow$ it will not happen

$0 \leq P(X) \leq 1 \Rightarrow$ it might or might not happen

X^- : opposite of event X

$$P(X) + P(X^-) = 1$$

\Rightarrow Either X happens or not

\Rightarrow Normalized

For two events X and Y :

$$P(X+Y) = P(X) + P(Y) - P(X, Y)$$



$P(X, Y)$: joint probability

$P(X)$: marginal probability

$P(X|Y)$: conditional probability

Marginal sum rule: $P(X) = \sum_Y P(X, Y)$

Product rule: $P(X, Y) = P(X|Y) \cdot P(Y)$
 $= P(Y|X) \cdot P(X)$

Note: In general it is wrong to assume $P(X) \approx P(X|Y)$

Example: X : Patient dies of disease

Y : Patient goes to hospital

A doctor might think $P(X)$ is high, but
he/she is only observing $P(X|Y)$.

For three events: X, Y, Z

$$P(X, Y, Z) = P(X|Y, Z) \cdot P(Y, Z) = P(X|Y, Z) \cdot P(Y|Z) \cdot P(Z)$$

This is going to be handy when we talk about graphical models.

Bayes rule:

$$P(X, Y) = P(X, Y)$$

$$P(Y|X) \cdot P(X) = P(X|Y) \cdot P(Y)$$

$$P(Y|X) = \frac{P(X|Y) \cdot P(Y)}{P(X)}$$

If you don't have $P(X)$:

$$P(X) = \sum_Y P(X, Y) = \sum_Y P(X|Y) \cdot P(Y)$$

Some vocabulary: $\text{posterior} = \frac{\text{likelihood} \cdot \text{prior}}{\text{evidence}}$

We will later often omit the evidence and use

$$P(Y|X) \propto P(X|Y) \cdot P(Y)$$

In 1999 Sally Clark was found guilty of the murder of her two infant sons. Both sons died within a few weeks of their birth, one in 1996 and one in 1998.

Prosecuter's argument:

- prob. of SIDS: $\frac{1}{8500}$
- prob. of two deaths: $\left(\frac{1}{8500}\right)^2$
- prob. of Clark's innocence: one in 73 million

Mistakes:

- ① Independence assumption. There might have been a genetic cause
- ② $P(\text{innocence} | \text{evidence}) \neq P(\text{evidence} | \text{innocence})$!

Bayes theorem:

$$P(\text{innocence} | \text{evidence}) = \frac{P(\text{evidence} | \text{innocence}) \cdot P(\text{innocence})}{P(\text{evidence})}$$

While the likelihood is low, the prior should be high!

Unfortunately Clark spent three years in jail before her conviction was overturned.

Independence:

$$P(X, Y) = P(X) \cdot P(Y)$$

Note: X and Y being uncorrelated does not imply independent.

Example: $X \sim N(0, 1)$ and $Y = X^2$ then

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X] \cdot E[Y]$$

$$= 0 - 0 = 0$$

$$\text{as } E[XY] = E[X^3] = 0$$

But, X and Y are dependent by definition! (But the dependence is not linear)

If X and Y are independent, then

$$P(X|Y) = \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(Y)} = \frac{P(X) \cdot P(Y)}{P(Y)} = P(X)$$

$\Rightarrow Y$ tells us nothing about X .

Conditional independence:

$$P(X, Y | Z) = P(X | Z) \cdot P(Y | Z)$$

Note: Conditional independence does not imply independence!

Example: Play online game against two ^{possible} opponents

opponent A: evenly matched

opponent B: 0.75 chance of you winning

X : You win the first game

Y : You win the second game

Z : playing against A

Then $X|Z=1$ and $Y|Z=1$ are both independent and Bernoulli(0.5).

Same holds for $X|Z=0$ and $Y|Z=0$ with Bernoulli(0.75)

But X and Y are not independent! Observing $X=1$ makes it more likely that you played against B.

$P(Y=1|X=1) > P(Y=1)$ as the past game gave us some information who your opponent might have been.

Let's talk more about distributions:

For the discrete case, a distribution is a function that takes an event and gives us its probability.

Bernoulli: Probability of a binary outcome. Experiment can be a success or a failure.

\Rightarrow two outcomes: $K=0$ or $K=1$

$$f(K, p) \begin{cases} p & \text{if } K=1 \text{ (success)} \\ 1-p & \text{if } K=0 \text{ (failure)} \end{cases}$$

$$f(K, p) = p^K (1-p)^{1-K}$$

Bernoulli considers only one event. If we have a sequence of events and want the probability that we have K successes out of n trials, we get:

Binomial:

$$P(X=k; n, p) = \frac{n!}{k! (n-k)!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

Poisson: Probability of a number of events within a fixed interval

$$P(X=k; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$$

Catch for discrete PMFs: Random variables are not the same as PMFs!

- If we sample from Bernoulli(0.75) we do not get 0.25 or 0.75. We only get 1s and 0s!
- Given a random variable X how do we get the PMF of $2X$? Not by multiplying the PMF of X with a factor of 2. The resulting function would no longer be normalized.

If X takes on values x_j with probability p_j , then $2X$ takes on values $2x_j$ with probability p_j .

Let's move on to continuous distributions:

One difficulty is that for continuous distributions the probability for a single event collapses to 0.

Hence, it only makes sense to talk about ranges.

Normal distribution (Gaussian):

$$f(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Many, many phenomena in nature follow this distribution. Central limit theorem: the distribution

of a sum of random variables can be approximated by a normal distribution.

⇒ If you have noisy measurements and the noise has multiple sources then your error bars will be Gaussian.

Exponential: Describes the time between events in a Poisson process. ⇒ Time between events which occur continuously and independently at a constant average rate

$$f(x; \lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & \text{for } x \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Other PDFs worth looking at: Beta, Gamma