Table of Contents

Many of our joins are joining tables by matching the fk and pk of two tables and doing an equality match. There are a few more join conditions that may be useful.

1. Associating tables on conditions other than equality

Demo 01: This uses a join that involves two attributes to check if any items were sold at more than their list price. This does not use a Where clause- the testing is done in the join.

```
select product.products.prod_id, quoted_price, prod_list_price, order_id
from orderEntry.orderDetails od
join product.products on  od.prod_id = product.products.prod_id
   and quoted_price > prod_list_price ;
+---------+--------------+-----------------+----------+
| prod_id | quoted_price | prod_list_price | order_id |
+---------+--------------+-----------------+----------+
|    1010 |       175.00 |          150.00 |      390 |
|    1010 |       195.00 |          150.00 |      395 |
|    1010 |       175.00 |          150.00 |      550 |
|    1010 |       175.00 |          150.00 |      551 |
|    1010 |       175.00 |          150.00 |      609 |
|    1010 |       175.00 |          150.00 |     2120 |
|    1010 |       175.00 |          150.00 |     2121 |
|    1100 |       205.00 |           49.99 |      301 |
|    1150 |         7.25 |            4.99 |      223 |
+---------+--------------+-----------------+---------+
9 rows in set (0.05 sec)
```

2. Self-Joins

You can join a table to itself. You need to use a table alias to distinguish the two copies of the table involved in the join. The following is the traditional self-join of employees and their managers

Demo 02: Employees and managers . Note that the first row here has no Manager. Employee 100 is at the top of the chart . Remember if we want to sort by an alias, we use the back ticks on the order by keys

```
select concat(m.emp_id, ' ' , m.name_last) as "Manager"
, concat(e.emp_id, ' ' , e.name_last) as "Supervises"
from employee.employees e
left join employee.employees  m on  m.emp_id = e.emp_mng
order by  `Manager`, `Supervises` ;
+------------+------------+
| Manager    | Supervises |
+------------+------------+
| NULL       | 100 King   |
| 100 King   | 101 Koch   |
| 100 King   | 102 D'Haa  |
| 100 King   | 145 Russ   |
| 100 King   | 146 Partne |
| 100 King   | 201 Harts  |
| 101 Koch   | 108 Green  |
| 101 Koch   | 162 Holme  |
```

```
| 101 Koch   | 200 Whale  |
| 101 Koch   | 203 Mays   |
| 101 Koch   | 205 Higgs  |
| 102 D'Haa  | 103 Hunol  |
| 103 Hunol  | 104 Ernst  |
| 108 Green  | 109 Fiet   |
| 108 Green  | 110 Chen   |
| 145 Russ   | 150 Tuck   |
| 145 Russ   | 155 Hiller |
| 145 Russ   | 207 Russ   |
| 146 Partne | 160 Dorna  |
| 146 Partne | 161 Dewal  |
| 205 Higgs  | 204 King   |
| 205 Higgs  | 206 Geitz  |
+------------+------------+
22 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

This is another self-join. The following query returns pairs of employees who have the same job id. We are joining on the job id and also on an inequality between the employees' ids. If we do not add that second joining condition, then each employee would be paired with themselves (since the job id values would match). The output shows one row if there are two employees with the same job id; and three rows if there are three employees with the same job id due to the pair matching .

Demo 03: Pairing Employees who have the same job id

```
select emp_1.job_id
, emp_1.emp_id as Emp1, emp_2.emp_id as Emp2
from employee.employees emp_1
join employee.employees emp_2 on   emp_1.job_id = emp_2.job_id
   and  emp_1.emp_id < emp_2.emp_id
order by emp_1.job_id, emp_1.emp_id, emp_2.emp_id;
+--------+------+------+
| job_id | Emp1 | Emp2 |
+--------+------+------+
|      8 |  150 |  155 |
|      8 |  150 |  207 |
|      8 |  155 |  207 |
|     16 |  101 |  108 |
|     16 |  101 |  161 |
|     16 |  101 |  162 |
|     16 |  101 |  200 |
|     16 |  101 |  203 |
|     16 |  101 |  205 |
|     16 |  108 |  161 |
|     16 |  108 |  162 |
|     16 |  108 |  200 |
|     16 |  108 |  203 |
|     16 |  108 |  205 |
|     16 |  161 |  162 |
|     16 |  161 |  200 |
|     16 |  161 |  203 |
|     16 |  161 |  205 |
|     16 |  162 |  200 |
|     16 |  162 |  203 |
|     16 |  162 |  205 |
|     16 |  200 |  203 |
|     16 |  200 |  205 |
|     16 |  203 |  205 |
|     32 |  104 |  109 |
|     32 |  104 |  110 |
|     32 |  104 |  160 |
|     32 |  104 |  204 |
```

```
|     32 |  104 |  206 |
|     32 |  109 |  110 |
|     32 |  109 |  160 |
|     32 |  109 |  204 |
|     32 |  109 |  206 |
|     32 |  110 |  160 |
|     32 |  110 |  204 |
|     32 |  110 |  206 |
|     32 |  160 |  204 |
|     32 |  160 |  206 |
|     32 |  204 |  206 |
|     64 |  102 |  103 |
|     64 |  102 |  146 |
|     64 |  103 |  146 |
+--------+------+------+
42 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

Demo 04: Finding employees who earn more than other employees. This has a lot of rows of output

```
select
   e1.emp_id, e1.salary ,' earns more than '
,  e2.emp_id ,e2.salary
from employee.employees e1 ,
     employee.employees e2
where e1.salary > e2.salary
order by  e1.salary desc, e1.emp_id
;
```

The output starts with employee 161 who has the highest salary and is matched with all other employees. The next set of rows starts with employee 100 who has the next highest salary. The last set of rows starts with employee 150 who earns more than only the employee(s) with the lowest salary- in our data set that is employee 201 . Note there is no set of rows that start with this employee id.

```
+--------+-----------+------------------+--------+-----------+
| emp_id | salary    | earns more than  | emp_id | salary    |
+--------+-----------+------------------+--------+-----------+
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    101 |  98005.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    103 |  69000.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    109 |  65000.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    110 |  60300.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    146 |  88954.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    201 |  15000.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    206 |  88954.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    205 |  75000.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    108 |  62000.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    160 |  65000.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    200 |  65000.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    207 |  30000.00 |
|    161 | 120000.00 |  earns more than |    162 |  98000.00 |
. . .  rows omitted for many employees
|    207 |  30000.00 |  earns more than |    201 |  15000.00 |
|    207 |  30000.00 |  earns more than |    155 |  29000.00 |
|    207 |  30000.00 |  earns more than |    150 |  20000.00 |
|    155 |  29000.00 |  earns more than |    201 |  15000.00 |
|    155 |  29000.00 |  earns more than |    150 |  20000.00 |
|    150 |  20000.00 |  earns more than |    201 |  15000.00 |
+--------+-----------+------------------+--------+-----------+
223 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

3. Legacy comma style inner join

There is a traditional, legacy join that does the attribute matching in the Where clause. You will see this join in a lot of older code (and a lot of code written now).

Logically this syntax does a Cartesian product and adds a filter for the records that match on the joining condition.

This join syntax is not allowed in this class for assignments. I want you to get used to using the more uniform join syntax using the Condition join.

Demo 05: This is the join using the column name syntax. This join is OK

```
select customer_id
, oh.order_id
, prod_id
, quantity_ordered * quoted_price as "extprice"
from orderEntry.orderHeaders oh
join orderEntry.orderDetails od on oh.order_id = od.order_id
order by customer_id, oh.order_id
;
+-------------+----------+---------+-----------+
| customer_id | order_id | prod_id | extprice |
+-------------+----------+---------+-----------+
|      400300 |      378 |    1120 |  2250.00 |
|      400300 |      378 |    1125 |  2250.00 |
|      401250 |      106 |    1060 |   255.95 |
|      401250 |      113 |    1080 |    22.50 |
|      401250 |      119 |    1070 |   225.00 |
|      401250 |      301 |    1100 |   205.00 |
|      401250 |      552 |    2984 |    79.35 |
|      401250 |      552 |    2014 |    77.95 |
|      401890 |      112 |    1110 |    99.98 |
|      401890 |      519 |    1020 |    64.75 |
|      401890 |      519 |    1110 |    49.99 |
. . .  rows omitted
```

Demo 06: Using the join of orders and order details in the Where clause

```
select oh.customer_id
, oh.order_id
, od.prod_id
, od.quantity_ordered * od.quoted_price as "extprice"
from orderEntry.orderHeaders oh
,    orderEntry.orderDetails od
where oh.order_id = od.order_id
order by oh.customer_id, oh.order_id
;
```

The advantage of doing the join in the From clause is that it isolates the join issues from the Where clause filters. If you do the join in the Where clause then you need to take more care with other filters in the Where clause especially if you have both And and Or operators in the Where clause.