Vault 7: CIA Hacking Tools Revealed



Releases ▼ (../index.html) **Documents** ▼ (index.html)

Navigation: » Directory (index.html) » AED Development Tradecraft (space_3506177.html) » AED Development Tradecraft Home (page_3375113.html)

Owner: User #2064619

Development Tradecraft DOs and DON'Ts

SECRET//NOFORN

(U) General (e.g. all PE/Mach-O/ELF or other binary files)

Directive

Rationale

(S//NF) DO obfuscate or encrypt all strings and configuration data that directly relate to tool functionality. Consideration should be made to also only de-obfuscating strings inmemory at the moment the data is needed. When a previously de-obfuscated value is no longer needed, it should be wiped from memory.

(S//NF) String data and/or configuration data is very useful to analysts and reverse-engineers.

(S//NF) DO NOT decrypt or deobfuscate all string data or configuration data immediately upon execution. (S//NF) Raises the difficulty for automated dynamic analysis of the binary to find sensitive data.

(S//NF) DO explicitly remove sensitive data (encryption keys, raw collection data, shellcode, uploaded modules, etc) from memory as soon as the data is no longer needed in plain-text form.

(S//NF) Raises the difficulty for incident response and forensics review.

DO NOT RELY ON THE OPERATING SYSTEM TO DO THIS UPON TERMINATION OF EXECUTION.

(S//NF) DO utilize a deploymenttime unique key for obfuscation/deobfuscation of sensitive strings and configuration data.

(S//NF) Raises the difficulty of analysis of multiple deployments of the same tool.

(S//NF) DO strip all debug symbol information, manifests(MSVC artifact), build paths, developer usernames from the final build of a binary.

(U//FOUO) DO strip all debugging output (e.g. calls to printf(), OutputDebugString(), etc) from the final build of a tool.

(S//NF) DO NOT explicitly import/call functions that is not consistent with a tool's overt functionality (i.e. WriteProcessMemory, VirtualAlloc, CreateRemoteThread, etc - for binary that is supposed to be a notepad replacement).

(S//NF) DO NOT export sensitive function names; if having exports are required for the binary, utilize an ordinal or a benign function name.

(S//NF) Raises the difficulty for analysis and reverse-engineering, and removes artifacts used for attribution/origination.

(S//NF) Raises the difficulty for analysis and reverse-engineering.

(S//NF) Lowers potential scrutiny of binary and slightly raises the difficulty for static analysis and reverseengineering.

(S//NF) Raises the difficulty for analysis and reverse-engineering.

(S//NF) DO NOT generate crashdump files, coredump files, "Blue" screens, Dr Watson or other dialog pop-ups and/or other artifacts in the event of a program crash.

DO attempt to force a program

crash during unit testing in order to properly verify this.

(S//NF) DO NOT perform operations that will cause the target computer to be unresponsive to the user (e.g. CPU spikes, screen flashes, screen "freezing", etc).

(S//NF) DO make all reasonable efforts to minimize binary file size for all binaries that will be uploaded to a remote target (without the use of packers or compression). Ideal binary file sizes should be under 150KB for a fully featured tool.

(S//NF) Avoids suspicion by the end user and system admins, and raises the difficulty for incident response and reverse-engineering.

(S//NF) Avoids unwanted attention from the user or system administrator to tool's existence and behavior.

(S//NF) Shortens overall "time on air" not only to get the tool on target, but to time to execute functionality and clean-up.

(S//NF) DO provide a means to completely "uninstall"/"remove" implants, function hooks, injected threads, dropped files, registry keys, services, forked processes, etc whenever possible. Explicitly document (even if the documentation is "There is no uninstall for this <feature>") the procedures, permissions required and side effects of removal.

(S//NF) Avoids unwanted data left on target. Also, proper documentation allows operators to make better operational risk assessment and fully understand the implications of using a tool or specific feature of a tool.

(S//NF) DO NOT leave dates/times such as compile timestamps, linker timestamps, build times, access times, etc. that correlate to general US core working hours (i.e. 8am-6pm Eastern time)

(S//NF) Avoids direct correlation to origination in the United States.

(S//NF) DO NOT leave data in a binary file that demonstrates CIA, USG, or its witting partner companies involvement in the creation or use of the binary/tool.

(S//NF) DO NOT have "dirty words" (see dirty word list - TBD) in the binary.

(S//NF) Attribution of binary/tool/etc by an adversary can cause irreversible impacts to past, present and future USG operations and equities.

(S//NF) Dirty words, such as hacker terms, may cause unwarranted scrutiny of the binary file in question.

(U) Networking

Directive

(U//FOUO) DO use end-to-end encryption for all network communications.

NEVER use networking protocols which break the end-to-end principle with respect to encryption of payloads.

(S//NF) DO NOT solely rely on SSL/TLS to secure data in transit.

Rationale

(S//NF) Stifles network traffic analysis and avoids exposing operational/collection data.

(S//NF) Numerous man-in-middle attack vectors and publicly disclosed flaws in the protocol.

(S//NF) DO NOT allow network traffic, such as C2 packets, to be replayable.

(S//NF) Protects the integrity of operational equities.

(S//NF) DO use ITEF RFC compliant network protocols as a blending layer. The actual data, which must be

(S//NF) Custom protocols can stand-out to network analysts and IDS filters.

encrypted in transit across the network, should be tunneled through a well known and standardized protocol (e.g. HTTPS)

(S//NF) DO NOT break compliance of an RFC protocol that is being used as a blending layer.

(S//NF) Broken network protocols can easily stand-out in IDS filters and network analysis.

(i.e. Wireshark should not flag the traffic as being broken or mangled)

(S//NF) DO use variable size and timing (aka jitter) of beacons/network communications.

DO NOT predicatively send packets with a fixed size and timing.

(S//NF) Raises the difficulty of network analysis and correlation of network activity.

(S//NF) DO proper cleanup of network connections. DO NOT leave around stale network connections.

(S//NF) Raises the difficulty of network analysis and incident response.

(U)Disk I/O

Directive

(S//NF) DO explicitly document the "disk forensic footprint" that could be potentially created by various features of a binary/tool on a remote target.

(S//NF) DO NOT read, write and/or cache data to disk unnecessarily. Be cognizant of 3rd party code that may implicitly write/cache data to disk.

(S//NF) DO NOT write plain-text collection data to disk.

(S//NF) DO encrypt all data written to disk.

Rationale

(S//NF) Enables better operational risk assessments with knowledge of potential file system forensic artifacts.

(S//NF) Lowers potential for forensic artifacts and potential signatures.

(S//NF) Raises difficulty of incident response and forensic analysis.

(S//NF) Disguises intent of file (collection, sensitive code, etc) and raises difficulty of forensic analysis and incident response.

(S//NF) DO utilize a secure erase when removing a file from disk that wipes at a minimum the file's filename, datetime stamps (create, modify and access) and its content.

(Note: The definition of "secure erase" varies from filesystem to filesystem,

(S//NF) Raises difficulty of incident response and forensic analysis.

but at least a single pass of zeros of the data should be performed. The emphasis here is on removing all filesystem artifacts that could be useful during forensic analysis)

(S//NF) DO NOT perform Disk I/O operations that will cause the system to become unresponsive to the user or alerting to a System Administrator.

(S//NF) Avoids unwanted attention from the user or system administrator to tool's existence and behavior.

(S//NF) DO NOT use a "magic header/footer" for encrypted files written to disk. All encrypted files should be completely opaque data files.

(S//NF) Avoids signature of custom file format's magic values.

(S//NF) DO NOT use hard-coded filenames or filepaths when writing files to disk. This must be configurable at deployment time by the operator.

(S//NF) Allows operator to choose the proper filename that fits with in the operational target.

(S//NF) DO have a configurable maximum size limit and/or output file count for writing encrypted output files.

(S//NF) Avoids situations where a collection task can get out of control and fills the target's disk; which will draw unwanted attention to the tool and/or the operation.

(U) Dates/Time

Directive Rationale (U//FOUO) DO use GMT/UTC/Zulu as the time zone when comparing date/time. (S//NF) DO NOT use US-centric timestamp formats such as MM-DD-YYYY. YYYYMMDD is Rationale (S//NF) Provides consistent behavior and helps ensure "triggers/beacons/etc" fire when expected. (S//NF) Maintains consistency across tools, and avoids associations with the United States.

(S//NF) PSP/AV

generally preferred.

Directive Rationale

(S//NF) DO NOT assume a "free" PSP product is the same as a "retail" copy.

Test on all SKUs where possible.

(S//NF) While the PSP/AV product may come from the same vendor and appear to have the same features despite having different SKUs, they are not. Test on all SKUs where possible.

(S//NF) DO test PSPs with live (or recently live) internet connection where possible.

NOTE: This can be a risk vs gain balance that requires careful consideration and should not be haphazardly done with in-development software. It is well known that PSP/AV products with a live internet connection can and do upload samples software based varying criteria.

(S//NF) PSP/AV products exhibit significant differences in behavior and detection when connected to the internet vise not.

(S//NF) Encryption

(S//NF) NOD publishes a Cryptography standard: "NOD Cryptographic Requirements v1.1 TOP SECRET.pdf
(files/NOD%20Cryptographic%20Requirements%20v1.1%20TOP%20SECRET Besides the guidance provided here, the requirements in that document should also be met.

Directive Rationale

SECRET//NOFORN

Comments:

2015-03-13 10:50 [User #20646<u>19</u>

I believe the spirit of what you're saying is covered in the NOD Cryptographic Requirements doc listed above (See section 2.4). TL;DR -You must use one the approved crypto suites or get an explicit wavier from NOD.

However, if you still feel strongly about having the guidance of "DO NOT write your own crypto, unless you must?" you are welcome to add it. However, I'd recommend against the "unless you must" part. Do. Or Do Not. There is no try.

2015-03-09 16:50 [User #3375388

How about: DO NOT write your own crypto, unless you must?

2014-11-21 12:22 [User #3375388

May want to have a section to talk about how to check some of these things. While most of us know how to check for strings, debug info, and such new people may not know how to look for them.

May want to consider removing the Manifest data from your binary depending on what data gets put in their as it can sometimes contain revealing information.

Attachments:

NOD Cryptographic Requirements v1.1 TOP SECRET.pdf (files/NOD%20Cryptographic%20Requirements%20v1.1%20TOP%20SECRET

Previous versions:

```
(page 14587452.html) SECRET | 30 (page 14587454.html) SECRET | 31
(page 14587461.html) SECRET | 32 (page 14587463.html) SECRET | 33
(page 14587467.html) SECRET | 34 (page 14587471.html) SECRET | 35
(page 14587476.html) SECRET | 36 (page 14587478.html) SECRET | 37
(page 14587480.html) SECRET | 38 (page 14587481.html) SECRET | 39
(page 14587482.html) SECRET | 40 (page 14587484.html) SECRET | 41
(page 14587628.html) SECRET | 42 (page 14587629.html) SECRET | 43
(page 14587630.html) SECRET | 44 (page 14587632.html) SECRET | 45
(page 14587865.html) SECRET | 46 (page 14587867.html) SECRET | 47
(page_14588097.html) SECRET | 48 (page_23592994.html) TOP SECRET | 49
(page 23592996.html) TOP SECRET | 50 (page 23592998.html) TOP SECRET | 51
(page 23592999.html) TOP SECRET | 52 (page 23593000.html) TOP SECRET |
```













Bitcoin uses

WL Research Community user contributed research based on documents published by WikiLeaks.

Tor is an encrypted anonymising network that makes it harder to intercept internet communications, stick, or SD (https://our.wikileaks.org)

communications are coming from or going

Tails is a live operating system, that you can start on almost any computer from a DVD, USB card. It aims at preserving your privacy and anonymity.

The Courage Foundation is an international organisation that supports those who risk life or liberty to make significant contributions to the historical record.

(https://tails.boum(.lottop/\$://www.cour

peer-to-peer technology to operate with no central authority or banks; managing transactions and the issuing of bitcoins is carried out collectively by agefound org/) the network.

(https://www.torproject.org/)

Development Tradecraft DOs and DON'Ts

(https://www.bitcoin.org/)