# A learning scheme for a fuzzy k-NN rule

## Adam JÓŹWIK

Institute of Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering, Polish Academy of Sciences, 00-818 Warsaw, KRN 55, Poland

Received 1 February 1983

Abstract: The performance of a fuzzy k-NN rule depends on the number k and a fuzzy membership-array  $W[l, m_R]$ , where l and  $m_R$  denote the number of classes and the number of elements in the reference set  $X_R$  respectively. The proposed learning procedure consists in iterative finding such k and W which minimize the error rate estimated by the 'leaving one out' method.

Key words: NN rules, learning procedure, fuzzy decisions, probability of misclassification.

## 1. The fuzzy k-NN rule

The fuzzy k-NN rule operates as follows. Let

$$X_{\mathbf{R}} = \{\boldsymbol{x}_i\}_{i=1}^{m_{\mathbf{R}}}$$

be the reference set and

$$W = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^{m_R}$$

be a set of l-dimensional vectors, where l is the number of classes,

$$w_i = (w_{i,1}, w_{i,2}, \dots, w_{i,l}),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} w_{i,j} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le w_{i,j} \le 1.$$

Each  $w_{i,j}$ ,  $1 \le i \le m_R$ ,  $1 \le j \le l$ , is a membershipvalue of the *i*-th object (represented in the *n*-dimensional feature space by  $x_i$  and identified with  $x_i$ ) to the class *j*. The set W is identified with a membership-array  $W[l, m_R]$ . It is assumed that  $X_R$  and W are given.

For each x to be classified, the set K of indices that correspond to the k nearest neighbors of x in  $X_R$  is found and the fuzzy decision-vector

$$v = \left(\sum_{s \in K} w_s\right) / k$$

is assigned. If one is interested in a nonfuzzy decision then the vector x is assigned to the class

associated with the largest number  $v_j$ ,  $1 \le j \le 1$ , where

$$(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_1) = v.$$

Ties are broken randomly or by the single NN rule. If all  $w_{i,j}$ ,  $1 \le i \le m_R$ ,  $1 \le j \le 1$ , are equal to 0 or 1 then the fuzzy k-NN rule is equivalent to the common k-NN rule.

## 2. The learning procedure

The following input data are given: the training set  $X_{\rm T}$  ( $m_{\rm T}$  elements), the set  $X_{\rm C}$  ( $m_{\rm C}$  elements if  $X_{\rm C} \neq \emptyset$ ) of objects to be classified and the membership-sequence

$$u_{\mathrm{T}} = (u_{\mathrm{T},\,i})_{i\,=\,1}^{m_{\mathrm{T}}},$$

where  $u_{T,i} = j$  if  $x_i$  belongs to the class j.

The procedure described below consists of two stages. In the first stage the following sequence is generated:

$$(W_0, k_0, p_0), (W_1, k_1, p_1), \dots, (W_h, k_h, p_h), \dots,$$
(1)

where  $W_h$ ,  $k_h$  and  $p_h$  denote the membershiparray, the number of nearest neighbours and the expected probability of misclassification for the  $k_h$ -NN rule characterized by the array  $W_h$  and the reference set  $X_R = X_T$ . The array  $W_0$  is binary. It is derived directly from the sequence  $u_T$ , i.e.  $w_{i,j} = 1$  if  $u_{T,i} = j$ . The sequence (1) is generated by an application of the 'leaving one out' method (introduced by Lachenbruch (1965)).  $W_{h+1}$ ,  $k_h$  and  $p_h$  are derived from the array  $W_h$  and the set  $X_T$ . Each  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., m_T$ , is simultaneously classified by the fuzzy  $1, 2, ..., m_T - 2$  and  $m_T - 1$  NN rules with respect to  $X_R = X_T \setminus \{x_i\}$ . Thus, to each  $x_i$  and k, with k the number of neighbours, the fuzzy decision-vector  $v_{k,i}$  and, at the same time, the nonfuzzy decision  $u_{k,i}$  are assigned. Comparing the decision-sequences

$$u_k = (u_{k,i})_{i=1}^{m_{\rm T}}, \quad k=1,2,\ldots,m_{\rm T},$$

with the membership-sequence  $u_T$ , we may find the error rates  $q_k = e_k/m_T$ , where  $e_k$  denotes the number of objects  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., m_T$ , misclassified by the fuzzy k-NN rule. As  $k_h$  such k is taken that offers the minimum error rate

$$p_h = \min_k q_k.$$

The vector

$$w_{h+1,i} = (v_{k_h,i}k_h + w_{h,i})/(k_h + 1)$$
 (2)

forms a row of the array  $W_{h+1}$ . The relation (2) gives the same effect as if the vector  $x_i$  were classified by the fuzzy  $(k_h+1)$ -NN rule subject to  $X_R = X_T \pmod{X_R} = X_T \setminus \{x_i\}$ .

The triplet  $(W_*, k_*, p_*)$  that corresponds to the smallest index h such that  $p_h \le p_{h+1}$  is treated as a result of the first stage of learning procedure.

In the second stage also a sequence of the form (1) is generated. But this time the set  $W_0 = W_* \cup V_*$ , where  $W_*$  is the set of the membership-vectors that form the array  $W_*$  and  $V_*$  is the set of the decision-vectors obtained by classification of all objects from the set

$$X_{\rm C} = \{x_i\}_{i=m_{\rm T}+1}^{m_{\rm C}+m_{\rm T}}$$

by the fuzzy  $k_*$ -NN rule with the membershiparray  $W_*$  and the reference set  $X_R = X_T$ . The arrays

$$W_{h+1}[1, m_T + m_C], h = 0, 1, ...,$$

are derived from the arrays  $W_h$  respectively, exactly in the same way as they were derived in the

first stage, i.e. classifying all objects from the set  $X_T \cup X_C$  by the 'leaving one out' method and applying formula (2). However, the optimum numbers  $k_h$  and  $p_h$  are found by comparing the decision-sequences

$$u_k = (u_{k,i})_{i=1}^{m_T}$$
,  $k = 1, 2, ..., m_T + m_C - 1$ ,

with the membership-sequence  $u_{\rm T}$ .

The triplet  $(W_{**}, k_{**}, p_{**})$  corresponding to the smallest index  $h_0$  such that  $p_{h_0} \le p_{h_0+1}$  is a result of the second stage of the learning procedure.

If  $p_* \le p_{**}$  then the triplet  $(W_*, k_*, p_*)$  is taken as a final result. If  $p_* > p_{**}$  then the triplet  $(W_{**}, k_{**}, p_{**})$  is taken. This final result will be denoted by  $(W^f, k^f, p^f)$ . Similarly  $X_R^f = X_T$  if  $p_* \le p_{**}$  and  $X_R^f = X_T \cup X_C$  if  $p_* > p_{**}$ .

### 3. The final classification

The final decisions for the objects from the set  $X_C$  are gathered in the set  $V^f = V_*$  if  $p_* \le p_{**}$  and  $V^f = W_{h_0+1} \setminus W'_*$  if  $p_* > p_{**}$ , i.e.  $v_i^f \in V^f$  corresponds to  $x_i \in X_C$ , where  $W_{h_0+1}$  is the set of the fuzzy decisions derived from  $W_{h_0}$  ( $W_{h_0} = W_{**}$ ) during the realization of the second stage of the learning procedure and  $W'_*$  consists of the first  $m_T$  rows of  $W_{h_0+1}$ .

Additional new objects (gathered in a set  $\Delta X_C$ ) can be classified by

- (1) the classifier characterized by  $W^{f}$ ,  $k^{f}$  and  $X_{R}^{f}$ ,
- (2) the new classifier obtained by the realization of the second stage of the procedure, started with the membership-array  $W_0$  that corresponds to the set  $W_0 = W^f \cup V_{**}$ , where  $V_{**}$  is a set of fuzzy decision-vectors received for the set  $\Delta X_C$  and the fuzzy  $k^f$ -NN rule with respect to  $W^f$  and  $X_R^f$ ,
- (3) the new classifier obtained by the realization of the second stage of the procedure, started with the result of the first stage, i.e.  $W_0 = W_* \cup V_*$ , where  $V_*$  is a set of the fuzzy decision-vectors found for set  $X_C \cup \Delta X_C$  and the fuzzy  $k_*$ -NN rule with respect to  $W_*$  and  $X_R = X_T$ .

### 4. Concluding remarks

The efectiveness of the proposed learning pro-

cedure has been checked experimentally on some real small-size data. The results were better than for the  $k_0 k'$ -NN rule with edited data proposed by Koplowitz and Brown (1980), where  $k_0$  is the same as in the sequence (1) and k' is also chosen by the application of the 'leaving one out' method.

In the case when the nonfuzzy membership-sequence  $u_T$  is missing but the fuzzy membership-array  $W_0$  is given (see Duin (1982)), error rates are found according to the following relation:

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\text{T}}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{1}{2} | v_{i,j} - w_{i,j} | \right) / m_{\text{T}}$$
 (3)

where  $v_{i,j}$  and  $w_{i,j}$  are elements of the fuzzy

decision-array and the fuzzy membership-array respectively. The relation (3) allows to generalize the case considered in Sections 2 and 3.

#### References

Lachenbruch, P.A. (1965), Estimation of error rates in discriminant analysis, Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. of California, Los Angeles (Chapter 5).

Koplowitz, J. and T.A. Brown (1981), On the relation of performance to editing in nearest neighbor rules, *Pattern Recognition* 13, 251-255

Duin, R.P.W. (1982), The use of continuous variables for labeling objects, Pattern Recognition Letters 1, 15-20.