# CS100 Introduction to Programming

Lecture 25: C++17, variadic templates, type deduction

### **Outline**

- std::optional
- C++17: Structured Bindings
- C++17: Template Argument Deduction
- C++17: Selection Initialization
- Variadic templates
- Understanding type deduction

### **Outline**

- std::optional
- C++17: Structured Bindings
- C++17: Template Argument Deduction
- C++17: Selection Initialization
- Variadic templates
- Understanding type deduction

- Adds a flag to a type to achieve "nullable" types
- Use-case:
  - We need to express whether or not value has been set
- Example:

```
std::string UI::FindUserNick() {
   std::string result;
   if (nick_available)
      result = mStrNickName;
   return result;
}
...
std::string UserNick = UI->FindUserNick();
if (!UserNick.empty())
   show(UserNick);
```

- Problem:
  - Sentinel values are used to differentiate nulltype
  - Examples:
    - int: -1
    - string: an empty string
    - pointer: nullptr
  - Sub-ideal
    - Prevents certain values to be used
  - Alternative:
    - std::unique\_ptr<T>
    - Requires memory allocation on the heap

- Solution:
  - Use std::optional
    - If the variable is available, it will return it
    - If not, it returns nullopt

```
std::optional<std::string> UI::FindUserNick() {
   if (nick_available)
      return { mStrNickName };
   return std::nullopt; // same as return { };
}

// use:
std::optional<std::string> UserNick = UI->FindUserNick();
if (UserNick)
   Show(*UserNick);
```

• Creation of std::optional

```
// empty:
std::optional<int> oEmpty;
std::optional<float> oFloat = std::nullopt;

// direct:
std::optional<int> oInt(10);
std::optional oIntDeduced(10); // deduction guides

// make_optional
auto oDouble = std::make_optional(3.0);
auto oComplex = make_optional<std::complex<double>>(3.0, 4.0);
```

- Creation of std::optional
  - Using option std::in\_place saves creation of temporary!

```
// in_place
std::optional<std::complex<double>> o7{std::in_place, 3.0, 4.0};

// will call vector with direct init of {1, 2, 3}
std::optional<std::vector<int>> oVec(std::in_place, {1, 2, 3});

// copy/assign:
auto oIntCopy = oInt;
```

• std::in\_place works with custom types

```
struct Point {
   Point(int a, int b) : x(a), y(b) { }
   int x;
   int y;
};

std::optional<Point> opt{std::in_place, 0, 1};
// vs
std::optional<Point> opt{{0, 1}};
```

- Returning std::optional from function
  - Return values are implicitly wrapped into **std::optional!**

```
std::optional<std::string> TryParse(Input input) {
   if (input.valid())
      return input.asString();
   return std::nullopt;
}
```

- Accessing the stored value
  - operator\* and operator->
    - similar to iterators. If there's no value the behavior is **undefined**!
  - value()
    - returns the value, or throws std::bad\_optional\_access
  - value\_or(defaultVal)
    - returns the value if available, or **defaultVal** otherwise

- Checking if has value
  - Use has\_value()
  - Check with if ( optional )

Access examples

```
// by operator*
std::optional<int> oint = 10;
std::cout<< "oint " << *opt1 << '\n';
// by value()
std::optional<std::string> ostr("hello");
try {
  std::cout << "ostr " << ostr.value() << '\n';</pre>
} catch (const std::bad optional access& e) {
  std::cout << e.what() << "\n";
// by value or()
std::optional<double> odouble; // empty
std::cout<< "odouble " << odouble.value or(10.0) << '\n';
```

Most common way of usage

```
// compute string function:
std::optional<std::string> maybe_create_hello();
// ...

auto ostr = maybe_create_hello();
if (ostr)
   std::cout << "ostr " << *ostr << '\n';
else
   std::cout << "ostr is null\n";</pre>
```

- Changing the value
  - Consider the class

```
#include <optional>
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
class UserName {
public:
  explicit UserName(const std::string& str) : mName(str) {
    std::cout << "UserName::UserName(\'";</pre>
    std::cout << mName << "\') \n";
  ~UserName() {
    std::cout << "UserName::~UserName(\'";</pre>
    std::cout << mName << "\') \n";
private:
  std::string mName;
};
```

Changing the values

```
int main() {
  std::optional<UserName> oEmpty;
  // emplace:
 oEmpty.emplace("Steve");
  // calls ~Steve and creates new Mark:
 oEmpty.emplace("Mark");
  // reset so it's empty again
 oEmpty.reset(); // calls ~Mark
  // same as:
  //oEmpty = std::nullopt;
  // assign a new value:
 oEmpty.emplace("Fred");
 oEmpty = UserName("Joe");
}
```

Use within comparisons

```
#include <optional>
#include <iostream>

int main() {
    std::optional<int> oEmpty;
    std::optional<int> oTwo(2);
    std::optional<int> oTen(10);
    std::cout << std::boolalpha;
    std::cout << (oTen > oTwo) << "\n";
    std::cout << (oTen < oTwo) << "\n";
    std::cout << (oEmpty < oTwo) << "\n";
    std::cout << (oEmpty == std::nullopt) << "\n";
    std::cout << (oTen == 10) << "\n";
}</pre>
```

- A last example: Looping to find minimum
  - Old way

```
bool initialized = false;
float minimum;

for (auto v : values) {
   if (!initialized)
      minimum = v;
   else {
      if (v < minimum)
        minimum = v;
   }
}</pre>
```

- A last example: Looping to find minimum
  - Another old way

```
float minimum = 1000000.0f;

for (auto v : values) {
   if (v< minimum)
      minimum = v;
}</pre>
```

- A last example: Looping to find minimum
  - Using std::optional

```
std::optional<float> minimum;

for (auto v : values) {
   if (!minimum.has_value())
      minimum = v;
   else {
      if (v < minimum)
          minimum = v;
   }
}</pre>
```

# Keyword explicit

Going back to our example:

```
#include <optional>
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
class UserName {
public:
 explicit UserName(const std::string& str) : mName(str) {
   std::cout << "UserName::UserName(\'";</pre>
    std::cout << mName << "\') \n";
  ~UserName() {
    std::cout << "UserName::~UserName(\'";</pre>
    std::cout << mName << "\') \n";
private:
  std::string mName;
};
```

# Keyword explicit

#### • Example:

```
class Foo {
 public:
  // single parameter constructor
  // can be used as an implicit conversion
  Foo (int foo) : m foo (foo) {}
  int GetFoo () { return m foo; }
 private:
  int m foo;
};
void DoBar (Foo foo) {
  int i = foo.GetFoo();
int main () {
                         42 is not of type Foo, but there exists Foo
  DoBar (42);
                         constructor taking int \rightarrow implicit conversion
```

# Keyword explicit

#### • Example:

```
class Foo {
public:
 // single parameter constructor
 // can no longer be used as an implicit conversion
 explicit Foo (int foo) : m foo (foo) {}
 int GetFoo () { return m foo; }
private:
 int m foo;
};
void DoBar (Foo foo) {
 int i = foo.GetFoo();
int main () {
```

### **Outline**

- std::optional
- C++17: Structured Bindings
- C++17: Template Argument Deduction
- C++17: Selection Initialization
- Variadic templates
- Understanding type deduction

### C++17: Structured bindings

- In a nutshell:
  - Define multiple variables in one go!
- Pre-C++17:
  - Use std::tuple

```
std::tuple<char, int, bool> mytuple() {
  char a = 'a';
  int i = 123;
  bool b = true;
  return std::make_tuple(a, i, b);
}
```

Assign to different variables:

```
char a;
int i;
bool b;
std::tie(a, i, b) = mytuple();
```

With structured bindings!

```
auto [a, i, b] = mytuple();
```

- Use with returned compound types (i.e. **struct**, **pair**, **tuple**)
- The old way:

```
std::map<char,int> mymap;
auto mapret = mymap.insert(std::pair('a', 100));
```

• The new way:

No need to look-up mapret.first & mapret.second

Template argument deduction

```
auto [itelem, success] = mymap.insert(std::pair('a', 100));
if (!success) {
   // Insert failure
}
```

- Works together with range-based for-loops:
- The old way:

```
for (const auto & entry : mymap) {
  auto & key = entry.first;
  auto & value = entry.second;
  // Process entry
}
```

• The new "structured binding" way:

```
for (const auto & [key, value] : mymap) {
   // Process entry using key and value
}
```

- Direct initialization with std::tuple
- The old way:

```
auto a = 'a';
auto i = 123;
auto b = true;
```

• The new way:

```
auto [a, i, b] = tuple('a', 123, true);
// With no types needed for the tuple! -> See Slide 32
// No types needed at all!
```

- Where else is direct initialization useful?
  - For-loops:
    - Initialization of multiple variables that belong to for-loop inside the for-loop statement!

#### • Example:

```
Definition outside for-loop undesirable

istringstream iss(head);
for (string name; getline(iss, name);) {
    // Process name
}
```

• Limitation pre-C++17:

```
i and j need to be of same type!!
for (int i = 0, j = 100; i < 42; ++i, --j) {
   // Use i and j
}</pre>
```

With structured bindings we can now do

```
for (
    auto [iss, name] = pair(istringstream(head), string {});
    getline(iss, name); ) {
    // Process name
}
```

#### • Summary:

- a single declaration that declares one or more local variables
- that can have different types
- whose types are always deduced using a single auto
- assigned from a composite type

### **Outline**

- std::optional
- C++17: Structured Bindings
- C++17: Template Argument Deduction
- C++17: Selection Initialization
- Variadic templates
- Understanding type deduction

### Pre-C++17

- Template Argument Deduction in a more general sense is the ability of templated functions or classes to determine the type of the passed arguments and from here derive the template types
- It has worked for functions since a while
  - Example:

```
template < class T>
void swap (T &x, T &y) {
   T t = std::move(x);
   x = std::move(y);
   y = std::move(t);
}
//...
char ch1, ch2;
swap(ch1,ch2);
```

### Pre-C++17

How to extend to classes?

```
• Example:

Need to specify template parameters, though it should not be necessary!

std::pair<int, double> p(2, 4.5);
```

Alternative:

```
auto p = std::make_pair(2, 4.5);
```

- Confusing and artificial
- Inconsistent with non-template class construction
- Need for individual make\_xyz functions for each case
- Have to implement your own make\_xyz functions for custom types

# C++17: Template argument deduction

• In C++17, we can now write

```
std::pair p1(2, 4.5);
auto p2 = std::pair(2, 4.5);
```

- Example:
  - Generating a tuple

```
auto mytuple() {
  char a = 'a';
  int i = 123;
  bool b = true;
  return std::tuple(a, i, b); // No types needed
}
```

## Template argument deduction

- Even better:
  - No types at all needed anymore!

```
auto mytuple() {
   return std::tuple('a', 123, true);
   // Auto type deduction from arguments
}
```

#### **Outline**

- std::optional
- C++17: Structured Bindings
- C++17: Template Argument Deduction
- C++17: Selection Initialization
- Variadic templates
- Understanding type deduction

#### C++17: Selection initialization

- Allows for optional variable initializations inside if and switch statements
- Consider

```
for (int a = 0; a < 10; ++a) {
    // ...
    // Good: a is limited to the scope of the for loop
}</pre>
```

With if we do however have

```
{
  auto a = getval();
  if (a < 10) {
    // Use a
  }
  //a is still within this scope, though used only inside if
}</pre>
```

What is the problem?

```
int a;
if ((a = getval()) < 10) {
    // Use a
}
// ...
// Much further on in the code
// a has the same value as previously

if (a == b) {
    //...
}</pre>
```

- The intention was that a in the second **if** is a different variable, and an error has been committed by not initializing it
- Will not be picked up by compiler!

• In C++17, we can now write

```
if (auto a = getval(); a < 10) {
   //...
   //a is limited to scope of if body
}</pre>
```

- Follows same logic than with the for-loops
- Can be used with switch statements

```
switch (auto ch = getnext(); ch) {
  // case statements as needed
}
```

Back to our example

```
if (auto a = getval(); a < 10) {
    // Use a
}

// ...
// Much further on in the code - a is now not defined

if (a == b) {
    // ...
}</pre>
```

Compiler will throw error

Together with structured bindings, we now can do

```
if (
    auto [itelem, success] = mymap.insert(std::pair('a',100));
    success) {
    // Insert success
}
```

• Or

```
if (auto [a, b] = myfunc(); a < b) {
   // Process using a and b
}</pre>
```

#### **Outline**

- std::optional
- C++17: Structured Bindings
- C++17: Template Argument Deduction
- C++17: Selection Initialization
- Variadic templates
- Understanding type deduction

- In C, we already had variadic functions
  - functions that take an arbitrary number of parameters
  - Example:
    - printf()
  - How does it work?

```
// C program to demonstrate use of variable number of arguments
#include <stdarg.h>
#include <stdio.h>
// this function returns minimum of integer numbers passed.
// First argument is count of numbers.
int min(int arg count, ...) {
  int i;
  int min, a;
  // va list is a type to hold information about variable arguments
  va list ap;
  // va start must be called before accessing variable argument list
  va start(ap, arg count);
  // Now arguments can be accessed one by using va arg macro.
  // Initialize min as first argument in list
  min = va arg(ap, int);
  // traverse rest of the arguments to find out minimum
  for (i = 2; i <= arg count; i++)</pre>
    if ((a = va arg(ap, int)) < min)</pre>
      min = a;
  // va end should be executed before the function
  // returns whenever va start has been previously used in that function
  va end(ap);
  return min;
```

#### Variadic functions

• Example application:

```
// Driver code
int main() {
  int count = 5;
  printf("Minimum value is %d", min(count, 12, 67, 6, 7, 100));
  return 0;
}
```

```
// C program to demonstrate working of
// variable arguments to find average
// of multiple numbers
#include <stdarg.h>
#include <stdio.h>
int average(int num, ...) {
  va list valist;
  int sum = 0, i;
  va start(valist, num);
  for (i = 0; i < num; i++)
    sum += va arg(valist, int);
  va end(valist);
  return sum / num;
// Driver code
int main() {
  printf("Average of \{2, 3, 4\} = %d n",
                         average(2, 3, 4));
  printf("Average of \{3, 5, 10, 15\} = %d\n",
                       average(3, 5, 10, 15));
  return 0;
```

#### Variadic functions

- Drawbacks:
  - Number and type of arguments must be known upfront
  - How does printf work?
    - printf(char\* str,...)
    - str is a string with placeholders for variables indicating their type
      - %s
      - %f
      - %d
    - Parsing this string will tell the number/type of the expected arguments

- Example:
  - std::tuple<int,float,string>
  - How is it done?
- Before variadic templates:
  - Emulations
    - Many defaulted template parameters
    - Sets of overloaded function templates, each one taking a different number of template parameters
  - Code duplication is avoided by preprocessor metaprogramming

- Before variadic templates
  - Example of extra defaulted template parameters

• Presuming N is large enough, we can define

```
typedef tuple<char, short, int, long, long long> integral_types;
```

- Drawbacks:
  - would leave N-5 unused parameters
  - Need to be somehow ignored by implementation

- Extra defaulted template parameters would need
  - (Clear limitation in terms of maximum number of parameters)

```
template<>
class tuple<> {
    /* handle zero-argument version. */
};

template<typename T1>
class tuple<T1> {
    /* handle one-argument version. */
};

template<typename T1, typename T2>
class tuple<T1,T2> {
    /* handle two-argument version. */
};
```

 Variadic templates let us explicitly state that class accepts variable number of template parameters

```
template<typename... Elements> class tuple;
```

- ... indicates that Elements is template parameter pack
  - Multiple arguments are packed into a single parameter pack
  - Can be used with non-type template parameters as well

```
template<typename T, unsigned PrimaryDimension, unsigned... Dimensions>
class array { /* implementation */ };
array<double, 3, 3> rotation matrix; // 3x3 rotation matrix
```

- To use the template parameter packs, we must unpack them
  - They are subsequently passed to another template
  - The idea of unpacking arbitrary packs involves recursive, one-by-one unpacking

#### • Example:

Template that simply counts the number of arguments it is given

```
template<typename... Args> struct count;
```

```
template<typename... Args> struct count;
```

- Step 1: Define basis case specialization
  - If count has 0 zero arguments, internal value is set to 0

```
template<>
struct count<> {
   static const int value = 0;
};
```

```
template<typename... Args> struct count;
```

- Step 2: Define recursive case
  - Takes 1 plus N-1 arguments, peels one off, and initializes specialization with remaining <u>unpacked</u> arguments

- Recursive algorithm! Partial specialization ...
  - ... pulls off one template parameter
  - ... places remaining arguments into Args
  - ... defines another partial specialization with only Args
- When Args is empty, instantiation selects full spcialization count<> → recursion is terminated
- count<char, short, int> would select partial specialization
  - Binding char to T
  - Binding short and int as a parameter pack to Args

Tuple with recursive inheritance

```
template<typename... Elements> class tuple;
template<typename Head, typename... Tail>
class tuple<Head, Tail...> : private tuple<Tail...> {
   Head head;
   public:
        /* implementation */
};
template<> class tuple<> { /* zero-tuple implementation */ };
```

 Allow arbitrary number of arguments to be passed to a function

```
Function parameter pack template<typename... Args> void eat(Args... args);
```

 Now we can implement a C++-style, type-safe printf function

```
void printf(const char* s) {
 while (*s) {
    if (*s == '%' && *++s != '%')
      throw std::runtime error("invalid format string: missing args");
    std::cout << *s++;
template<typename T, typename... Args>
void printf(const char* s, T value, Args... args) {
 while (*s) {
    if (*s == '%' && *++s != '%') {
      std::cout << value;
      return printf(++s, args...);
    std::cout << *s++;
  throw std::runtime error("extra arguments provided to printf");
```

### **Outline**

- std::optional
- C++17: Structured Bindings
- C++17: Template Argument Deduction
- C++17: Selection Initialization
- Variadic templates
- Understanding type deduction

# **Understand Type Deduction**

- In C++ 98, type deduction used only for templates
  - Generally just works
  - Detailed understanding rarely needed
- In C++ 11, scope expands:
  - Auto variables, universal references, lambda captures and returns, decltype
  - Just works less frequently
- In C++ 14, scope expands further:
  - Function return types, lambda init captures
  - Same rulesets, but more usage contexts (and chances for confusion)

## (auto-related) Template Type Deduction

General Problem:

- Given type or expr, what are these types?
  - T:
    - The deduced type
  - ParamType:
    - Often different from T (e.g. const T&)
- Three general cases:
  - ParamType is a reference or pointer, but not a universal reference
  - ParamType is a universal reference
  - ParamType is neither reference nor pointer

## Non-URef Reference/Pointer Parameters

- Type deduction very simple:
  - If expr's type is a reference, ignore that
  - Pattern-match expr's type against ParamType to determine T

Note: T not a reference

## Non-URef Reference/Pointer Parameters

 ParamType of const T& ⇒ T changes, but param's type doesn't:

• Note: **T** not a reference

## Non-URef Reference/Pointer Parameters

Behavior with pointers essentially the same:

- Note: T not a pointer
- Behavior of const T\* parameters as you'd expected

#### auto and Non-URref Reference/Pointer Variables

• **auto** plays role of **T**:

```
int x = 22;
                                    //as before
const int cx = x;
                                    //as before
const int& rx = x;
                                    //as before
auto& v1 =x;
                                 //v1's type \equiv int& (auto \equiv int)
auto& v2 =cx;
                                 //v2's type ≡ const int&
                                 //(auto ≡ const int)
auto& v3 =rx;
                                 //v3's type ≡ const int&
                                 //(auto \equiv const int)
const auto v4 = x;
                                 //v4's type ≡ const int&
                                 //(auto \equiv int)
const auto \& v5 = cx;
                                 //v5's type \equiv const int&
                                 //(auto \equiv int)
const auto& v6 = rx;
                                 //v6's type ≡ const int&
                                                               66
                                 //(auto \equiv int)
```

### What are Universal References?

#### Consider

### What are Universal References?

However, the following works

```
Binds to both rvalues and lvalues

template <typename T>
void bar(T&& i);

bar(1);  // OK

int k = 0;
bar(k);  // OK (?!)
```

- Called: universal reference!
- Alternative name: forwarding reference

#### **Universal References**

```
template<typename T>
void f(T&& param);
f(expr);
```

- Treated like "normal" reference parameters, except:
  - If expr is Ivalue with deduced type E, T deduced as E&
    - Reference-collapsing yields type **E&** for param

```
int x = 22;
                           //as before
const int cx = x; //as before
const int& rx = x; //as before
                   // x is lvalue \Rightarrow T = int&,
f(x);
                   // param's type ≡ int&
                   // cx is lvalue \Rightarrow T = const int&
f(cx);
                   // param's type ≡ const int&
                   // rx is lvalue ⇒ T = const int&
f(rx);
                   // param's type =const int&
f(22);
                   // 22 is rvalue \Rightarrow no special handling;
                   // T ≡ int, param's type is int&&
```

## **By-Value Parameters**

- Deduction rules a bit different (vis-à-vis by reference/by-pointer):
  - As before, if expr's type is a reference, ignore that
  - If expr is const or volatile, ignore that
  - T is the result

• expr's reference-/const-qualifiers always dropped in deducing T.

#### Non-Reference Non-Pointer autos

auto again plays role of T:

- Again, expr's reference-/const-qualifiers always dropped in deducing T
  - auto never deduced to be a reference.
    - It must be manually added.
      - If present, use by-reference rulesets

# decltype

 With decltype, we can get the type of an existing named variable so we can define a new variable of same type:

```
size_t i=20000000;
decltype(i) j{9};
```

## decltype Type Deduction

- decltype (name) = declared type of name. Unlike auto;
- Never strips const/volatile/references

- In C++11:
  - Limited: single-statement lambdas only
- In C++14:
  - Extensive: all lambdas + all functions
    - Understanding type deduction more important than ever
- Deduced return type specifiers
  - **auto**: Use template (not auto!) type deduction rules
  - decltype (auto): Use decltype type deduction rules

Sometimes auto is correct:

```
auto lookupValue(context information) {
    static std::vector<int> values = initValues();
    int idx = ...; //compute index into values from context info
    return values[idx];
}
```

- Returns int
- decltype (auto) would return int&
  - Would permit caller to modify values!
     lookupValue (myContextInfo) = 0; // shouldn't compile!

• Sometimes decltype (auto) is correct:

```
decltype(auto) authorizeAndIndex(std::vector<int>& v, int idx) {
   authorizeUser();
   return v[idx];
}
```

- Returns int&
- auto would return int
  - Wouldn't permit caller to modify std::vector authorizeAndIndex(myVec, 10) = 0; // should compile!

decltype (auto) sensitive to function implementation:

```
decltype(auto) lookupValue( context information ) {
   static std::vector<int> values = initValues();
   int idx = ...; //compute index into value from context info
   auto retVal = values[idx]; // retVal's type is int
   return retVal;
                            // returns int
decltype(auto) lookupValue( context information ) {
   static std::vector<int> values = initValues();
   int idx = ...; //compute index into value from context info;
   return (retVal);  // returns int& (to local variable)
                                                       77
```

- Rules of thumb:
  - Use auto if a reference type would never be correct
  - Use decltype (auto) only if a reference type could be correct
    - "Perfect returning"