

EXAMINING CROWD WORK AND GIG WORK THROUGH THE HISTORICAL LENS OF PIECEWORK

Ali Alkhatib, Michael Bernstein, Margaret Levi

ali.alkhatib@cs.stanford.edu || [@_alialkhatib](https://twitter.com/_alialkhatib)

March 27, 2017

Stanford University

INTRODUCTION

- Complexity [13, 7, 15, 14, 11, 4]

OPEN PROBLEMS IN CROWDSOURCING [1 minute]



- Complexity [13, 7, 15, 14, 11, 4]
- Decomposition [1, 9, 8, 2, 12]

OPEN PROBLEMS IN CROWDSOURCING [1 minute]

- Complexity [13, 7, 15, 14, 11, 4]
- Decomposition [1, 9, 8, 2, 12]
- Relationships [6, 5, 3, 10]

PIECEWORK PRIMER

PIECEWORK REVIEW [1 minute]



CASE STUDIES

- images of hand-drawn tables
- maybe some illustration of the mathematical work that was being done
- draw to attention that you could break this into pieces (an example of breaking a formula down?)

DOMESTIC & FARMHAND LABOR [0.5 minutes]

THE MATCH GIRLS [1 minute]

INDUSTRIAL WORKERS [0.5 minutes]

RESEARCH THREADS

COMPLEXITY LIMITS [3 minutes]

- Crowdwork's perspective

COMPLEXITY LIMITS [3 minutes]

- Crowdwork's perspective
- Piecework's perspective

COMPLEXITY LIMITS [3 minutes]

- Crowdwork's perspective
- Piecework's perspective
- **Comparisons**

COMPLEXITY LIMITS [3 minutes]

- Crowdwork's perspective
- Piecework's perspective
- Comparisons
- Implications

- Crowdwork's perspective

DECOMPOSITION LIMITS [3 minutes]

- Crowdwork's perspective
- Piecework's perspective

- Crowdwork's perspective
- Piecework's perspective
- **Comparisons**

- Crowdwork's perspective
- Piecework's perspective
- Comparisons
- **Implications**

WORKER RELATIONSHIPS [3 minutes]

- Crowdwork's perspective

WORKER RELATIONSHIPS [3 minutes]

- Crowdwork's perspective
- Piecework's perspective

WORKER RELATIONSHIPS [3 minutes]

- Crowdwork's perspective
- Piecework's perspective
- **Comparisons**

WORKER RELATIONSHIPS [3 minutes]

- Crowdwork's perspective
- Piecework's perspective
- Comparisons
- Implications

DISCUSSION

DISCUSSION [1 minute]

name: Ali Alkhatib

email: ali.alkhatib@cs.stanford.edu

twitter: [@_alialkhatib](https://twitter.com/_alialkhatib)

REFERENCES



L. Elisa Celis et al. “Assignment Techniques for Crowdsourcing Sensitive Tasks”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*. CSCW '16. ACM, 2016, pp. 836–847. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3592-8. DOI: [10.1145/2818048.2835202](https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2835202).

URL:

<http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2835202>.



Joseph Chee Chang, Aniket Kittur, and Nathan Hahn. “Alloy: Clustering with Crowds and Computation”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '16. ACM, 2016, pp. 3180–3191. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3362-7. DOI: [10.1145/2858036.2858411](https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858411).

URL:

<http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858411>.



Mary L. Gray et al. “The Crowd is a Collaborative Network”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*. CSCW ’16. ACM, 2016, pp. 134–147. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3592–8. DOI: [10.1145/2818048.2819942](https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2819942). URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2819942>.



Nathan Hahn et al. “The Knowledge Accelerator: Big Picture Thinking in Small Pieces”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI ’16. ACM, 2016, pp. 2258–2270. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: [10.1145/2858036.2858364](https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858364). URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858364>.



Lilly C. Irani and M. Six Silberman. “Stories We Tell About Labor: Turkopticon and the Trouble with ”Design””. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in*

Computing Systems. CHI '16. ACM, 2016, pp. 4573–4586. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3362-7. DOI: [10.1145/2858036.2858592](https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858592).

URL:

<http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858592>.



Lilly C. Irani and M. Six Silberman. “Turkothon: Interrupting Worker Invisibility in Amazon Mechanical Turk”. In:

Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '13. ACM, 2013, pp. 611–620. ISBN: 978-1-4503-1899-0. DOI: [10.1145/2470654.2470742](https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2470742).

URL:

<http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2470654.2470742>.



Joy Kim and Andrés Monroy-Hernández. “Storia: Summarizing Social Media Content Based on Narrative Theory Using Crowdsourcing”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work &*

Social Computing. CSCW '16. ACM, 2016, pp. 1018–1027. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3592-8. DOI: [10.1145/2818048.2820072](https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2820072).

URL:

<http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2820072>.



Edith Law et al. “Curiosity Killed the Cat, but Makes Crowdwork Better”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '16. ACM, 2016, pp. 4098–4110. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3362-7. DOI: [10.1145/2858036.2858144](https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858144). URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858144>.



Ioanna Lykourantzou et al. “Personality Matters: Balancing for Personality Types Leads to Better Outcomes for Crowd Teams”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*. CSCW '16. ACM, 2016, pp. 260–273. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3592-8.

DOI: [10.1145/2818048.2819979](https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2819979). URL:

<http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2819979>.



Brian McInnis et al. “Taking a HIT: Designing Around Rejection, Mistrust, Risk, and Workers’ Experiences in Amazon Mechanical Turk”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI ’16. ACM, 2016, pp. 2271–2282. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: [10.1145/2858036.2858539](https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858539). URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858539>.



Michael Nebeling et al. “WearWrite: Crowd-Assisted Writing from Smartwatches”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI ’16. ACM, 2016, pp. 3834–3846. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: [10.1145/2858036.2858169](https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858169). URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858169>.



Edward Newell and Derek Ruths. “How One Microtask Affects Another”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI ’16. ACM, 2016, pp. 3155–3166. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: [10.1145/2858036.2858490](https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858490). URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858490>.



Ryo Suzuki et al. “Atelier: Repurposing Expert Crowdsourcing Tasks As Micro–internships”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI ’16. ACM, 2016, pp. 2645–2656. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: [10.1145/2858036.2858121](https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858121). URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858121>.



Lixiu Yu, Aniket Kittur, and Robert E. Kraut. “Encouraging “Outside–The–Box” Thinking in Crowd Innovation Through Identifying Domains of Expertise”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th*

ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing. CSCW '16. ACM, 2016, pp. 1214–1222. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3592-8. DOI: [10.1145/2818048.2820025](https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2820025).

URL:

<http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2820025>.



Alvin Yuan et al. “Almost an Expert: The Effects of Rubrics and Expertise on Perceived Value of Crowdsourced Design Critiques”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*. CSCW '16. ACM, 2016, pp. 1005–1017. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3592-8. DOI: [10.1145/2818048.2819953](https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2819953).

URL:

<http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2819953>.