INTRODUCTION

The past decade has seen a flourishing of on-demand work, largely driven by the reformulation of work as the constituent parts of larger tasks. This framing of work into abstract blocks has allowed people to engage in work despite limited time, little to no awareness of the broader context of the work, and (often) fleeting identities and associations [13, 24, 19]. The realization that complex tasks can be accomplished by directing and managing crowds of workers has spurred the research and and industry communities to flock to sites of labor like Amazon's Mechanical Turk (AMT) to explore the limits of this distributed, fleeting workforce. Researchers in particular have taken to the space in earnest, finding opportunities to enable new forms of work using this population of "Turkers" ¹ [1, 34, 27].

This form of work has grown considerably in size, far beyond the domain of "information work" from which it first sprang. While Howe described crowdsourcing as "outsourcing [work] to an undefined, generally large group of people in the form of an open call", for years the instantiation of this work was limited to the utilization of human intelligence to process data and act on information [15, 37, 40, 6, 28]. More recently, crowdsourcing of embodied work — driving, cleaning, for instance — has become a focus of on–demand labor markets [21, 36, 9, 35]. Today, on–demand work promises to become a yuge industry [citation needed].

For all the growth we've observed in this labor market, we have also seen a complicated and conflicted culture emerge among its constituent workers. Researchers have made efforts to understand the people that have gravitated toward crowdsourcing platforms since its emergence and popularization, but as the form of work has grown and changed, so too have the demographics of workers [30, 32]. Some of this research has been motivated by the identification of the sociality of gig work, and the frustration and disenfranchisement that these systems embody [12, 31]. Other work has focused on the *outcomes* of this frustration, reflecting on the resistance workers express against digitally mediated labor markets [21].

The extant body of work has ostensibly sought to answer one underlying question: What does the future hold for work and those that do it? Researchers have offered their input on this open question along three major threads:

- 1. What are the limits of crowdsourcing? Specifically, 1) how complex can crowd work get? and 2) how far will crowd work reach into the everyday lives of people? [29, 33, 14, 39, 38, 25, 8];
- 2. To what extent can we decompose work (especially into micro-tasks)? [17, 1, 4, 22, 18, 20, 3, 5, 26]; and
- 3. What will work and the place of work look like for the workers? [12, 11, 31, 7, 2, 23]

Piecework as a lens to understand crowdsourcing

This large and growing body of research has conversed to varying degrees with labor scholarship, but has not offered a persuasive framing for holistically explaining the developments in worker processes that researchers have developer, or the phenomena in social environments we have observed; nor has any research, to our knowledge, gone as far as predict future developments.

We offer a framing for crowd work spanning the aforementioned industries collectively as a contemporary instantiation of "piecework". Piecework as a metaphor for the type of work at hand is not new. Indeed, Kittur et al. in 2013 referenced crowd work as "piecework" briefly as a loose analogy to the form of work emerging at the time [16]. But more than this, the framing of on–demand labor as a re–instantiation of piecework gives us more material to make sense of the broader research on this new form of work by evaluating this work through a much more refined theoretical lens, informed by decades of rigorous, empirically based research.

More concretely, by looking at task-based or "gig" work as an instantiation (or even a continuation) of piecework, and by looking for patterns of behavior that the corresponding literature predicts on this basis, we can 1) make sense of the phenomena so far as part of a much larger series of interrelated events; 2) bring into focus the ongoing work among workers, system-designers, and researchers in this space; and finally, 3) offer predictions of what social computing researchers, and workers themselves, should expect to see on the horizon of on-demand work.

We'll look at a broad range of cases under a number of major themes we propose as broadly describing the types of research being done in crowd work and more generally in what we argue is contemporary piecework. After validating this lens as a way of reasoning about on–demand labor, we'll attempt to use this perspective to suggest areas of research worth anticipating, and developments we should expect to see in the maturation of digitally mediated work. Finally, we will offer design implications based on this research.

References

- [1] Michael S. Bernstein et al. "Soylent: A Word Processor with a Crowd Inside". In: UIST '10 (2010), pp. 313–322. DOI: 10.1145/1866029.1866078. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1866029.1866078.
- [2] Robin Brewer, Meredith Ringel Morris, and Anne Marie Piper. ""Why Would Anybody Do This?": Understanding Older Adults' Motivations and Challenges in Crowd Work". In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 2246–2257. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036.2858198. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858198.
- [3] Carrie J. Cai, Shamsi T. Iqbal, and Jaime Teevan. "Chain Reactions: The Impact of Order on Microtask Chains". In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 3143–3154. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036.2858237. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858237.

¹as test subjects in a sort of at-scale laboratory for economic and other behavioral studies

- [4] L. Elisa Celis et al. "Assignment Techniques for Crowdsourcing Sensitive Tasks". In: Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing. CSCW '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 836–847. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3592–8. DOI: 10.1145/2818048.2835202. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2835202.
- [5] Joseph Chee Chang, Aniket Kittur, and Nathan Hahn. "Alloy: Clustering with Crowds and Computation". In: Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 3180–3191. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036.2858411. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858411.
- [6] David Geiger et al. "Managing the Crowd: Towards a Taxonomy of Crowdsourcing Processes." In: AMCIS. 2011.
- [7] Mary L. Gray et al. "The Crowd is a Collaborative Network". In: Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing. CSCW '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 134–147. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3592–8. DOI: 10. 1145/2818048.2819942. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10. 1145/2818048.2819942.
- [8] Nathan Hahn et al. "The Knowledge Accelerator: Big Picture Thinking in Small Pieces". In: Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 2258–2270. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036.2858364. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10. 1145/2858036.2858364.
- [9] House Cleaning, Handyman, Lawn Care Services in Austin, Denver, Kansas City, Minneapolis and San Francisco — Zaarly. Sept. 2015. URL: https://www.zaarly. com/.
- [10] Jeff Howe. Crowdsourcing: How the power of the crowd is driving the future of business. Random House, 2008.
- [11] Lilly C. Irani and M. Six Silberman. "Stories We Tell About Labor: Turkopticon and the Trouble with "Design"". In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 4573–4586. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036.2858592. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858592.
- [12] Lilly C. Irani and M. Six Silberman. "Turkopticon: Interrupting Worker Invisibility in Amazon Mechanical Turk". In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '13. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2013, pp. 611–620. ISBN: 978–1-4503–1899–0. DOI: 10.1145/2470654.2470742. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2470654.2470742.
- [13] David R. Karger, Sewoong Oh, and Devavrat Shah. "Iterative Learning for Reliable Crowdsourcing Systems". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 24. Ed. by J. Shawe-Taylor et al. Curran Associates, Inc., 2011, pp. 1953–1961. URL: http://papers.

- nips.cc/paper/4396-iterative-learning-for-reliablecrowdsourcing-systems.pdf.
- [14] Joy Kim and Andrés Monroy-Hernández. "Storia: Summarizing Social Media Content Based on Narrative Theory Using Crowdsourcing". In: Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing. CSCW '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 1018–1027. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3592–8. DOI: 10.1145/2818048.2820072. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2820072.
- [15] Aniket Kittur, Ed H. Chi, and Bongwon Suh. "Crowd-sourcing User Studies with Mechanical Turk". In: Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '08. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2008, pp. 453–456. ISBN: 978–1-60558–011–1. DOI: 10.1145/1357054.1357127. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1357054.1357127.
- [16] Aniket Kittur et al. "The Future of Crowd Work". In: Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work. CSCW '13. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2013, pp. 1301–1318. ISBN: 978–1-4503–1331–5. DOI: 10.1145/2441776.2441923. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2441776.2441923.
- [17] Ranjay A. Krishna et al. "Embracing Error to Enable Rapid Crowdsourcing". In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 3167–3179. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036.2858115. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858115.
- [18] Pavel Kucherbaev et al. "ReLauncher: Crowdsourcing Micro-Tasks Runtime Controller". In: *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*. CSCW '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 1609–1614. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3592–8. DOI: 10.1145/2818048.2820005. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2820005.
- [19] Thomas D LaToza et al. "Crowd development". In: *Cooperative and Human Aspects of Software Engineering (CHASE)*, 2013 6th International Workshop on. Citeseer. 2013, pp. 85–88.
- [20] Edith Law et al. "Curiosity Killed the Cat, but Makes Crowdwork Better". In: Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 4098– 4110. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036. 2858144. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036. 2858144.
- [21] Min Kyung Lee et al. "Working with Machines: The Impact of Algorithmic and Data—Driven Management on Human Workers". In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '15. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2015, pp. 1603–1612. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3145–6. DOI: 10.1145/2702123.2702548. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2702123.2702548.

- [22] Ioanna Lykourentzou et al. "Personality Matters: Balancing for Personality Types Leads to Better Outcomes for Crowd Teams". In: *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer–Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*. CSCW '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 260–273. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3592–8. DOI: 10.1145/2818048.2819979. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2819979.
- [23] Brian McInnis et al. "Taking a HIT: Designing Around Rejection, Mistrust, Risk, and Workers' Experiences in Amazon Mechanical Turk". In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 2271–2282. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036.2858539. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858539.
- [24] Tanushree Mitra, C.J. Hutto, and Eric Gilbert. "Comparing Person- and Process-centric Strategies for Obtaining Quality Data on Amazon Mechanical Turk". In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '15. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2015, pp. 1345–1354. ISBN: 978–14503–3145–6. DOI: 10.1145/2702123.2702553. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2702123.2702553.
- [25] Michael Nebeling et al. "WearWrite: Crowd-Assisted Writing from Smartwatches". In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 3834–3846. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036.2858169. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858169.
- [26] Edward Newell and Derek Ruths. "How One Microtask Affects Another". In: Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 3155–3166. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036. 2858490. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036. 2858490.
- [27] Gabriele Paolacci, Jesse Chandler, and Panagiotis G Ipeirotis. "Running experiments on amazon mechanical turk". In: *Judgment and Decision making* 5.5 (2010), pp. 411–419.
- [28] Alexander J. Quinn and Benjamin B. Bederson. "Human Computation: A Survey and Taxonomy of a Growing Field". In: Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI '11. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2011, pp. 1403–1412. ISBN: 978–1-4503–0228–9. DOI: 10.1145/1978942.1979148. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1978942.1979148.
- [29] Daniela Retelny et al. "Expert Crowdsourcing with Flash Teams". In: Proceedings of the 27th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. UIST '14. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2014, pp. 75– 85. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3069–5. DOI: 10.1145/2642918.

- 2647409. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2642918. 2647409.
- [30] Joel Ross et al. "Who Are the Crowdworkers?: Shifting Demographics in Mechanical Turk". In: CHI '10 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems. CHI EA '10. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2010, pp. 2863–2872. ISBN: 978–1-60558–930–5. DOI: 10.1145/1753846.1753873. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1753846.1753873.
- [31] Niloufar Salehi et al. "We Are Dynamo: Overcoming Stalling and Friction in Collective Action for Crowd Workers". In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '15. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2015, pp. 1621–1630. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3145–6. DOI: 10.1145/2702123. 2702508. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2702123. 2702508.
- [32] Six Silberman. Stop citing Ross et al. 2010, "Who are the crowdworkers?". Mar. 2015. URL: https://medium. com/@silberman/stop-citing-ross-et-al-2010-who-arethe-crowdworkers-b3b9b1e8d300.
- [33] Ryo Suzuki et al. "Atelier: Repurposing Expert Crowdsourcing Tasks As Micro-internships". In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 2645–2656. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3362–7. DOI: 10.1145/2858036.2858121. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2858036.2858121.
- [34] John C. Tang et al. "Reflecting on the DARPA Red Balloon Challenge". In: Commun. ACM 54.4 (Apr. 2011), pp. 78–85. ISSN: 0001–0782. DOI: 10.1145/1924421. 1924441. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1924421. 1924441
- [35] TaskRabbit connects you to safe and reliable help in your neighborhood. Sept. 2015. URL: https://www. taskrabbit.com/.
- [36] Uber. Sept. 2015. URL: https://www.uber.com/.
- [37] Shao-Yu Wu, Ruck Thawonmas, and Kuan-Ta Chen. "Video Summarization via Crowdsourcing". In: *CHI '11 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI EA '11. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2011, pp. 1531–1536. ISBN: 978–1-4503–0268–5. DOI: 10.1145/1979742.1979803. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1979742.1979803.
- [38] Lixiu Yu, Aniket Kittur, and Robert E. Kraut. "Encouraging "Outside—The—Box" Thinking in Crowd Innovation Through Identifying Domains of Expertise". In: Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer—Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing. CSCW '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 1214—1222. ISBN: 978—1-4503—3592—8. DOI: 10.1145/2818048.2820025. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2820025.

- [39] Alvin Yuan et al. "Almost an Expert: The Effects of Rubrics and Expertise on Perceived Value of Crowdsourced Design Critiques". In: Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing. CSCW '16. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2016, pp. 1005–1017. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3592–8. DOI: 10.1145/2818048.2819953. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2818048.2819953.
- [40] M. C. Yuen, I. King, and K. S. Leung. "A Survey of Crowdsourcing Systems". In: *Privacy, Security, Risk and Trust (PASSAT) and 2011 IEEE Third Inernational Conference on Social Computing (SocialCom), 2011 IEEE Third International Conference on.* Oct. 2011, pp. 766–773. DOI: 10.1109/PASSAT/SocialCom.2011.203.