Abstract

Gig work has become a new instantiation of piecework. Further, gig work platforms allow employers to geographically disperse workers and silo workers' information, making organization and coordination all but impossible, and stymieing work specialization and expertise. Our research will draw on our past work, using historical analysis of piecework to inform this contemporary phenomenon, to design and develop a "digital hiring hall". Our research will shed light on the potential complexity limits of gig work, point to possible futures of labor advocacy for gig workers, and offer some insights into the best practices of principally online collective governance.

Motivating Work: Historical Analysis of Piecework

Piecework has historically disempowered workers and empowered employers, and many the same dynamics of disenfranchisement and frustration are poised to return today. In our most recent work [1], we found that a number of aspects of piecework — a form of work payment that began more than a century ago — bears striking resemblance to the sort of work we have begun to see emerge in Uber, Lyft, TaskRabbit, and more — collectively called "gig work". By subdividing work and paying for each task, employees are driven to work faster and longer, affording them little control over working conditions. And for most of its history, piecework yielded no benefits, occupational health and safety, or social insurance. When piecework combined with job routinization, workers became interchangeable and deindividuated. This combination of piecework and routinization produced the dominant payment system during the industrial era, starting with agriculture and home production but quickly moving into factories. However, by the turn of the 20th century in the United States, campaigns for workers' rights yielded regulations on conditions, and by the late 20th century, piecework was associated primarily with migrant labor and sweatshops. This contracted piecework economy has remained relatively stable since.

Today, with the growth of on-demand labor, piecework is reemerging in new forms. Networked computational infrastructure is now mediating each unit of work and worker. Uber's taxi drivers are paid per ride and assigned jobs by an algorithm [15, 6]. Information workers, such as those on Amazon Mechanical Turk and Upwork, are paid per task, performing vast quantities of data and administrative work [17]. Increasingly numerous workers make money through one-off tasks like housecleaning and food delivery, all mediated by online platforms. Much of this work has been limited in complexity to easily verifiable work, stymieing gig workers' career opportunities in many of the same ways that historically limited information workers' careers [5].

In our examination of crowd work and gig work as a reinstantiation of piecework [1], we identify a number of parallels that relate to this question. First, that the first "human computers" were geographically distributed and only retained for several years to stifle career development opportunities [5]; second, that effective uses of historical piecework were generally limited to easily measured work due to a general mistrust [10, 18]; third, this mistrust led to such a starkly adversarial relationship between workers and managers that it may have precipitated the wave of labor advocacy which defined the first half of the 20th century [7, 8]. What remains then are serious questions about the future of gig work as informed by piecework's history. We have limited knowledge of how gig workers today are adapting to digitally mediated, constantly changing labor markets. Similarly, we know very little about gig work's potential to support complex work, let alone how to turn that potential into reality.

This work carries policy implications for the emerging, and as yet largely unregulated, gig economy. Will gig work represent empowered, independent professionals who freely control their destinies, or will gig work become a geographically distributed "digital sweatshop" [3]? Whereas researchers had relatively little control over the markets that emerged throughout the 20th century, researchers of digital sites of work have the ability not only to influence, but to architect these settings [16]. It can be argued that with a few lines of code, we can effect outsize change on the future of gig work — a form of work that continues to grow by the year [21, 20].

Research plan

While our research considered a number of possible futures for gig work in general and gig workers in particular, several important questions remain unresolved. For example, will gig work remain an undesirable career path, largely taken up by people who can't or won't commit to conventional work arrangements; or can gig work someday come to represent highly skilled, sought after work? While we offered a framework for answering questions such as this one, the reality is that any researcher or team exploring this area — especially on the basis of the parallel we drew — would fundamentally need to be conversant with several deep bodies of research spanning myriad fields.

Informed by our research into gig work, we propose to build one of the potential futures that we discussed. We will build a system that tracks gig workers' professional histories across myriad work platforms, aggregating & consolidating that data, and allowing workers to curate their professional identities, instantiated by a "digital hiring hall".

The system we intend to build will serve two roles — one for workers and one for employers. Gig workers will share their work histories from platforms such as Amazon Mechanical Turk with our repository, which will allow us to provide the worker with information upon which they can reflect (e.g. detailed analysis of their work trends, approval rates under various circumstances, etc...) as well as information in relation to other workers (e.g. offering a percentile ranking overall for approval rates, or within specific types of tasks). In doing so, we hope to find that workers will identify cues and gravitate toward qualities in work in which they identify competitive advantage (for example,)

The second aspect and second, we will incorporate their data into responses from platforms and employers looking for workers qualified to do complex work.

By disconnecting workers' data from the platforms themselves, our repository will act as a sort of "digital hiring hall", connecting employers with workers whose varied work histories provide them with the expertise necessary to do given tasks.

At a high level, we can study the usefulness of this system using standard experimental approaches. First, through quantitative methods (for example, comparing workers' earnings over time); and second, through qualitative methods (for example, through interviews to determine whether workers find and exploit specialty niches as a result of this tool). We can also explore employers perspectives in tangible ways; for example, given concerns about the quality of work [9, 14, 13], we will investigate whether a system that better communicates worker expertise allows employers to rely more confidently on crowdwork.

Interdisciplinarity

This research will call on methods and skills originally from and generally found in disparate fields. As we showed with our paper examining the relationship between piecework and gig work [1], the lens of a social scientist can inform conversations about digitally mediated work in ways that many computer scientists are not otherwise able to offer. At the same time, the perspectives of computer scientists — and the challenges digitally mediated spaces bring with them — offer to reinvigorate deeply theoretically grounded discussion and offer field sites with which to experiment and test these theories.

Anticipated Contributions & Implications

This research is of significant importance given the recent trends in the "gig economy". With more and more people joining the workforce in this capacity [21, 20], concerns about "the future of work" [19, 12] continue to grow. Without compelling career trajectories in this space, the optimistic prediction seems to be that we will have little say in the outcome of this shift in work. Our hope is that, concretely, the artifact produced by this research raises the limit on the complexity of work that can reliably be crowdsourced. More broadly, we expect to offer two major contributions to the Human–Computer Interaction community: first, an existence proof that a gig work market for complex, specialized workers is possible; and second, insights into how best to scaffold and foster ongoing collective governance.

This project's will speak to the critique that the internet, far from the democratizing force that Barlow predicted it would be, has become an infrastructure facilitating the corporate centralization of power [2, 11, 4]. The success of this project will therefore not only bring a more nuanced understanding of the shifting climate and culture of labor, but may — in a small way — deliver on the promise Barlow made that cyberspace and the Internet would be a tool for democratizing access to information, and with that, empowering more than the tech elite who engineered it.

References

- [1] Ali Alkhatib, Michael S. Bernstein, and Margaret Levi. "Examining Crowd Work and Gig Work Through The Historical Lens of Piecework". In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '17. ACM, 2017.
- [2] John Perry Barlow. A Declaration of the Independence of Cyberspace. Feb. 1996. URL: https://projects.eff.org/~barlow/Declaration-Final.html.
- [3] Ellen Cushing. Dawn of the Digital Sweatshop. Aug. 2012. url: http://www.eastbayexpress.com/oakland/dawn-of-the-digital-sweatshop/Content?oid=3301022.
- [4] Bosah L. Ebo. *Cyberghetto or Cybertopia?: Race, Class, and Gender on the Internet.* Greenwood Publishing Group Inc., 1998. ISBN: 0275959937.
- [5] David Alan Grier. When computers were human. Princeton University Press, 2013.
- [6] Jonathan V Hall and Alan B Krueger. An Analysis of the Labor Market for Uber's Driver-Partners in the United States. 2015.
- [7] Robert A Hart and J Elizabeth Roberts. "The rise and fall of piecework–timework wage differentials: market volatility, labor heterogeneity, and output pricing". In: (2013).
- [8] Robert A Hart et al. "the rise and fall of piecework". In: IZA World of Labor (2016).
- [9] Panagiotis G. Ipeirotis, Foster Provost, and Jing Wang. "Quality Management on Amazon Mechanical Turk". In: *Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD Workshop on Human Computation*. HCOMP '10. Washington DC: ACM, 2010, pp. 64–67. ISBN: 978-1-4503-0222-7. DOI: 10.1145/1837885.1837906. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1837885.1837906.
- [10] B.M. Jewell. *The problem of piece work*. The Problem of Piece Work nos. 1-16. Bronson Canode Print. Co., 1921. URL: https://books.google.com/books?id=NN5NAQAATAAJ.
- [11] Robert Jones. "Does Machinima Really Democratize?" In: Journal of Visual Culture 10.1 (2011), pp. 59–65.
- [12] Aniket Kittur et al. "The Future of Crowd Work". In: *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*. CSCW '13. ACM, 2013, pp. 1301–1318. ISBN: 978–1-4503–1331–5. DOI: 10.1145/2441776. 2441923. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2441776.2441923.
- [13] Edith Law et al. "Crowdsourcing As a Tool for Research: Implications of Uncertainty". In: *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*. CSCW '17. Portland, Oregon, USA: ACM, 2017, pp. 1544–1561. ISBN: 978-1-4503-4335-0. DOI: 10.1145/2998181.2998197. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2998181.2998197.
- [14] John Le et al. "Ensuring quality in crowdsourced search relevance evaluation: The effects of training question distribution". In: SIGIR 2010 workshop on crowdsourcing for search evaluation. 2010, pp. 21–26.
- [15] Min Kyung Lee et al. "Working with Machines: The Impact of Algorithmic and Data-Driven Management on Human Workers". In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. CHI '15. ACM, 2015, pp. 1603–1612. ISBN: 978–1-4503–3145–6. DOI: 10.1145/2702123.2702548. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2702123.2702548.
- [16] Lawrence Lessig. Code. Lawrence Lessig, 2006.
- [17] David Martin et al. "Being a Turker". In: Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing. CSCW '14. Baltimore, Maryland, USA: ACM, 2014, pp. 224–235. ISBN: 978-1-4503-2540-0. DOI: 10.1145/2531602.2531663. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2531602.2531663.
- [18] Frank Richards. "Is Anything the Matter with Piecework". In: ASME. 1904.
- [19] Mario L. Small. *The Future of Work: The Water Cooler and the Fridge*. Aug. 2015. URL: http://www.psmag.com/business-economics/the-future-of-work-the-water-cooler-and-the-fridge.
- [20] Aaron Smith. *Gig Work, Online Selling and Home Sharing*. Nov. 2016. url: http://www.pewinternet.org/2016/11/17/gig-work-online-selling-and-home-sharing/.
- [21] Aaron Smith. Shared, Collaborative, and On Demand: The New Digital Economy. May 2016.