EC2020 ZA

BSc DEGREES AND GRADUATE DIPLOMAS IN ECONOMICS, MANAGEMENT, FINANCE AND THE SOCIAL SCIENCES, THE DIPLOMA IN ECONOMICS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES AND THE CERTIFICATE IN EDUCATION IN SOCIAL SCIENCES

Summer 2021 Online Assessment Instructions

EC2020 Elements of Econometrics

The assessment will be an **open-book take-home online assessment within a 6-hour window**. The requirements for this assessment remain the same as the closed-book exam, with an expected time/effort of 3 hours and 15 minutes (inclusive of 15 minutes reading time).

Candidates should answer **EIGHT** of the following **NINE** questions: all **FIVE** from **Section A** (8 marks each) and **THREE** from **Section B** (20 marks each). Candidates are strongly advised to divide their time accordingly. If more than EIGHT questions are answered, only the first EIGHT questions attempted will be counted.

Extracts from statistical tables are given after the final question on this paper.

You should complete your assessment using pen and paper. Please use **BLACK INK only.**

Handwritten work then needs to be scanned, converted to PDF and then uploaded to the VLE as **ONE individual file** including the coversheet. Each scanned sheet should have your **candidate number written clearly at the top**. Please **do not write your name anywhere** on any sheet.

The paper will be available at 15:00 (BST) on Thursday 10 June 2021.

You have **until 21:00 (BST) on Thursday 10 June 2021** to upload your file into the VLE submission portal. However, you are advised not to leave your submission to the last minute.

The assessment has been designed with a duration of 6 hours to provide a more flexible window in which to complete the assessment and to appropriately test the course learning outcomes. As an open-book exam, the expected amount of effort required to complete all questions and upload your answers during this window is no more than 3 hours and 15 minutes. Organise your time well.

You may use *any* calculator for any appropriate calculations, but you may not use any computer software to obtain solutions. Credit will only be given if all workings are shown.

You are assured that there will be no benefit in you going beyond the expected 3 hours and 15 minutes of effort. Your assessment has been carefully designed to help you show what you have learned in the hours allocated.

This is an open book assessment and as such you may have access to additional materials including but not limited to subject guides and any recommended reading. But the work you submit is expected to be 100% your own. Therefore, unless instructed otherwise, you must not collaborate or confer with anyone during the assessment. The University of London will carry out checks to ensure the academic integrity of your work. Many students that break the University of London's assessment regulations did not intend to cheat but did not properly understand the University of London's regulations on referencing and plagiarism. The University of London considers all forms of plagiarism, whether deliberate or otherwise, a very serious matter and can apply severe penalties that might impact on your award. The University of London 2020-21 *Procedure for the consideration of Allegations of Assessment Offences* is available online at:

Assessment Offence Procedures - University of London

SECTION A

Answer all questions from this section.

1. Consider the following multivariate regression to explain housing price $price$, using the total area $(totsize)$, the number of bedrooms $(bedrooms)$, and the house location $(urban)$:

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 totsize + \beta_2 bedrooms + \beta_3 urban + u. \tag{1.1}$$

The error term u has a variance $var(u) = \sigma^2 \log(totsize)$, where σ^2 is an unknown constant.

   (a) **(3 marks)** Describe a weighted least squares (WLS) estimator to address heteroskedasticity in Regression (1.1). Explain the intuition behind this estimator.

   (b) **(2 marks)** Discuss the validity of the hypothesis test of $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ using the WLS estimator.

   (c) **(3 marks)** Explain why the residuals from an OLS estimation of Model (1.1) sum to zero, whereas the residuals from the WLS estimation in (a) may not.

2. Let us consider the following stationary time series model

$$y_t = \alpha + \rho y_{t-1} + \beta x_t + \varepsilon_t, \qquad |\rho| < 1, \qquad t = 1, ..., T.$$

The zero mean error ε_t exhibits autocorrelation that can be represented well by a stationary AR(2) process. You may assume that ε_t and x_s are independent for all t, s and $y_0 = 0$.

   (a) **(3 marks)** Discuss how you can test H_0 : no autocorrelation against H_A : AR(2).

   (b) **(3 marks)** Briefly discuss the properties of the OLS estimator when applied to the above model (unbiasedness, consistency). Support your answers with suitable arguments (no derivations expected).

   (c) **(2 marks)** What is the purpose of heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation robust (HAC) standard errors? Discuss whether HAC standard errors can resolve the main problem associated with estimating the model using OLS.

3. Consider the model

$$\textit{paid} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \textit{nwifeinc} + \beta_2 \textit{educ} + \beta_3 \textit{exper} + \beta_4 \textit{exper}^2 + u, \tag{3.1}$$

where *paid* = 1 if the woman reports working for a wage outside the home, and zero otherwise, *nwifeinc* is other sources of income, *educ* is years of education, and *exper* is past years of labor experience. You may assume that $E\left(u|\textit{nwifeinc,educ,exper}\right) = 0$

(a) **(2 marks)** Provide an economic interpretation of the parameter β_2.

Suppose that *npaid* =1 if the woman is not working for a wage outside the home, and zero otherwise. You have been provided with parameter estimates of model

$$\textit{npaid} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \textit{nwifeinc} + \alpha_2 \textit{educ} + \alpha_3 \textit{exper} + \alpha_4 \textit{exper}^2 + e. \tag{3.2}$$

(b) **(3 marks)** Discuss how you can obtain the parameter estimates of the β parameters using this regression.

Perhaps surprisingly, the R^2 of both models will be identical as both the total sum of squares and the residual sum of squares of both models are the same.

(c) **(3 marks)** Prove that the total sum of squares of both models will be identical.

4. Consider the following bivariate regression model

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + u_i,$$

for $i = 1, ..., n$. The regressor is stochastic and exhibits variability in the sample, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})^2 \neq 0$. The error term u_i is i.i.d. with mean zero and constant variance of σ^2 for any value of x_i. Given a random sample of observations $\{(y_{i,}, x_i)\}_{i=1}^{n}$ from the population of interest, consider the following estimator

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^3}.$$

(a) **(3 marks)** Under which assumption is $\tilde{\beta}$ an unbiased estimator of β?

(b) **(5 marks)** Let us assume that the assumption in (a) is satisfied so that $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased. Will this assumption also ensure that $\tilde{\beta}$ is consistent? In your answer, discuss the difference between unbiasedness and consistency.

5. Let us consider the following cross-sectional model for household consumption

$$C = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y + \beta_2 Y^2 + u,$$

where Y denotes household income, $E(u) = 0$ and $E\left(u^2\right) = \sigma^2$. We will assume that the error is independent of Y (and therefore Y^2 as well). You are provided with a random sample $\{(C_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$.

(a) **(2 marks)** Discuss the following statement "The above specification captures diminishing marginal effect of income on consumption".

(b) **(2 marks)** What will happen to the OLS parameter estimates when we measure consumption and income in £1,000 instead of £? Explain your answer.

(c) **(4 marks)** Let the above model represent the true relationship between consumption and income. Discuss the effect of ignoring the quadratic term on the OLS estimator of β_1. Will the bias be upward or downward? Explain your answer.

SECTION B

Answer three questions from this section.

6. A logit regression model and a Linear Probability Model (LPM) are used to explain the mortgage denial rates amongst a random sample of 1234 young families in Britain, 35% of whom belong to a minority ethnicity group. There are 520 successful mortgage applications and 714 denied applications, with an average salary of £33,000 and an average deposit of £50,000. The regression results are as follows:

$$\widehat{denial}_i = \Lambda\left(\underset{(0.011)}{-0.032} - \underset{(0.052)}{0.103}\ salary_i + \underset{(0.054)}{0.240}\ minority_i - \underset{(0.044)}{0.095}\ deposit_i\right) \quad \text{(Logit)}$$

$$\widehat{denial}_i = \underset{(0.022)}{-0.103} - \underset{(0.152)}{0.263}\ salary_i + \underset{(0.024)}{0.062}\ minority_i - \underset{(0.144)}{0.295}\ deposit_i \quad \text{(LPM)}$$

where $\Lambda(z) = \exp(z)/(1+\exp(z))$ is the logistic function. The usual standard errors for the Logit model and the robust standard errors for the LPM are reported in parentheses.

The variable $denial_i$ equals to 1 if the mortgage application was denied, 0 otherwise. The variables $salary_i$ and $deposit_i$ are the total annual salary and the downpayment by family i in £10,000. The variable $minority_i$ equals to 1 if the applicant belongs to a minority group, 0 otherwise.

(a) **(5 marks)** Describe the estimator underlying the logit model and discuss its properties. **Note:** clearly indicate the likelihood function used, but a detailed derivation of the estimator is not expected.

(b) **(5 marks)** Discuss the advantages and drawbacks of using the LPM, rather than the logit model, to model the mortgage denial rates.

(c) **(5 marks)** Discuss how you can test the null hypothesis that the ceteris paribus effect of $salary$ on the probability of denial is equal to -0.25. Clearly state the alternative hypothesis, the test statistic, and rejection rule.

(d) **(5 marks)** Using the logit model, what is the marginal effect of being a minority on mortgage denial rate at the mean values of the explanatory variables. Discuss the limitation(s) of the marginal effect obtained and propose an alternative that overcomes such limitation(s). **Note:** there is no need to calculate the marginal effect using the alternative approach.

7. Let us consider the relationship between the natural logarithm of GDP, GDP_t, and the lagged long-term interest rates $rate_t$, and $rate_{t-1}$.

   (a) Assume that GDP_t and $rate_t$ are $I(1)$.

      i. **(4 marks)** If GDP_t and $rate_t$ are not co-integrated, what are the properties of an OLS estimator in the regression of GDP_t on $rate_t$?

      ii. **(4 marks)** Discuss the implications of the existence of cointegration between GDP_t and $rate_t$ on the short-run and long-run relationship of two variables.

      iii. **(7 marks)** Consider the following regression model, where ε_t has mean zero and is uncorrelated with GDP_{t-1}, GDP_{t-2}, $rate_t$, and $rate_{t-1}$:

$$GDP_t = \delta + \theta_1 GDP_{t-1} + \theta_2 GDP_{t-2} + \phi_1 rate_t + \phi_2 rate_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$$

      Derive the Error Correction Model (ECM) representation of the equation, and discuss the long-run equilibrium relation for GDP_t and $rate_t$. Interpret the parameters of the model and discuss the properties of the OLS estimator of the ECM.

   (b) **(5 marks)** Using the UK data from 1988Q4 to 2021Q1, a researcher estimates regressions with the growth rate of GDP, $growth_t = GDP_t - GDP_{t-1}$, as the dependent variable, and the growth of physical capital $capital_t$, the unemployment rate $unemploy_t$, the growth of money supply $msupply_t$, and the growth of productivity, $prod_t$, as the regressors. Assuming these variables are $I(0)$, the researcher reports three estimation results, one with the full sample, and two with sub-periods split at 2006Q1. Robust standard errors are in parentheses, n is the number of observations, SSR is the sum of squared residuals, $\log L$ is the maximised log-likelihood, R^2 is the R-squared.

   Describe how to test whether the regression parameters are the same for both periods. Carefully indicate the alternative hypothesis, the test-statistic, and rejection rule.

	1988Q4-2021Q1 (1)	1988Q4-2006Q1 (2)	2006Q2-2021Q1 (3)
$capital_t$	0.113 (0.002)	0.033 (0.012)	0.163 (0.052)
$unemploy_t$	-0.005 (0.014)	-0.08 (0.024)	0.005 (0.013)
$msupply_t$	-0.211 (0.054)	-0.142 (0.084)	-0.335 (0.014)
$prod_t$	0.116 (0.024)	-0.014 (0.004)	0.125 (0.046)
constant	0.003 (0.002)	0.013 (0.005)	0.001 (0.002)
n	130	70	60
SSR	0.288	0.226	0.033
R^2	0.67	0.45	0.47
$\log L$	367.868	179.521	205.485

8. It is postulated that more open economies have lower inflation rates. A proposed model looks at a cross section of n countries using time averages:

$$\textit{infl}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\textit{inc}_i) + \beta_2 \textit{open}_i + u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n. \tag{8.1}$$

$\textit{infl}_i$ is average inflation rate, $\textit{open}_i$ is the measure of openness of the economy (average share of imports in GDP), and $\textit{inc}_i$ is average per capita income, and i denotes a particular country.

(a) **(4 marks)** The model (8.1) was estimated by OLS and provided $\hat{\beta}_2 = -.215$ (with $SE(\hat{\beta}_2) = .095$). If openness of the economy itself depends on inflation rate ($\textit{infl}_i$), what sort of problems will this cause for the OLS estimator of (8.1)? Explain your answer.

(b) **(5 marks)** Suppose that the equation

$$\textit{open}_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \textit{infl}_i + \alpha_2 \log(\textit{inc}_i) + \alpha_3 \log(\textit{land}_i) + v_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n. \tag{8.2}$$

$\textit{land}_i$ is the land area of the country, holds in addition to (8.1). Could this relation be helpful in providing a suitable method of estimation of β_2 in (8.1)? What is the relevant estimation method and what conditions must be satisfied for it to be valid?

(c) **(6 marks)** Using the cross section of countries, the following regression was obtained by OLS, with robust standard errors reported in parentheses:

$$\widehat{\textit{open}_i} = \underset{(15.85)}{117.08} + \underset{(1.493)}{.546} \log(\textit{inc}_i) - \underset{(.81)}{7.57} \log(\textit{land}_i). \tag{8.3}$$

Discuss whether (and if so how) we can use this equation to verify whether the conditions in (b) are satisfied and how this equation can help us to estimate β_2.

(d) **(3 marks)** Some economists claim that inflation is I(1). Below is an estimated time series model for US inflation, with robust standard errors reported in parentheses:

$$\widehat{\Delta \textit{infl}_t} = \underset{(.21)}{.51} - \underset{(.04)}{.11} \textit{infl}_{t-1} - \underset{(.08)}{.19} \Delta \textit{infl}_{t-1} - \underset{(.07)}{.26} \Delta \textit{infl}_{t-2} + \underset{(.09)}{.20} \Delta \textit{infl}_{t-3} + \underset{(.08)}{.01} \Delta \textit{infl}_{t-4},$$

with $t = 5, ..., T$. Conduct an ADF for the presence of a unit root in inflation using a 5% level of significance. The ADF critical value equals -2.86. Clearly indicate the null and the alternative hypotheses, the test statistic and explain the conclusion.

(e) **(2 marks)** Briefly indicate whether you think your result in (d) has a bearing on the cross-sectional results in (a) - (c). Explain.

9. Let us consider a dataset containing information on government expenditure on education ($EducE$), gross domestic product (GDP), population (Pop), and capital stock ($Capital$) for 153 countries in 2019. Economic theory suggests that government expenditure on education per capita has a positive effect on per capita GDP. That is,

$$perGDP_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 perEducE_i + \beta_3 perCapital_i + u_i,$$

where $perGDP_i = \frac{GDP_i}{Pop_i}$, $perEducE_i = \frac{EducE_i}{Pop_i}$, and $perCapital_i = \frac{Capital_i}{Pop_i}$, and i denotes a particular country.

   (a) **(6 marks)** Explain intuitively why we should be worried about the presence of heteroskedasticity in this model. Briefly indicate **three** distinct methods to potentially deal with heteroskedasticity in this situation.

   (b) **(5 marks)** Discuss how you would implement the White test for the presence of heteroskedasticity in this case. Briefly discuss how the White test differs from the Goldfeld-Quandt test.

   (c) **(4 marks)** Discuss two reasons why we may expect the OLS estimator for β_2 to be neither unbiased nor consistent. Provide supportive arguments for each.

   (d) **(5 marks)** The dataset also has a dummy variable $Democratic_i$ which equals 1 if country i is democratic, 0 otherwise. Discuss how you could test whether the effect of government expenditure on education per capita is higher for democratic countries. Clearly indicate the regression you would run and the test you would use.
   Comment: You may assume that the OLS estimator of the regression you would run is consistent, but there may be heteroskedasticity in your model.

END OF PAPER

TABLE 4. THE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

The function tabulated is $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2}\, dt$. $\Phi(x)$ is the probability that a random variable, normally distributed with zero mean and unit variance, will be less than or equal to x. When $x < 0$ use $\Phi(x) = 1 - \Phi(-x)$, as the normal distribution with zero mean and unit variance is symmetric about zero.



x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$
0·00	0·5000	0·40	0·6554	0·80	0·7881	1·20	0·8849	1·60	0·9452	2·00	0·97725
·01	·5040	·41	·6591	·81	·7910	·21	·8869	·61	·9463	·01	·97778
·02	·5080	·42	·6628	·82	·7939	·22	·8888	·62	·9474	·02	·97831
·03	·5120	·43	·6664	·83	·7967	·23	·8907	·63	·9484	·03	·97882
·04	·5160	·44	·6700	·84	·7995	·24	·8925	·64	·9495	·04	·97932
0·05	0·5199	0·45	0·6736	0·85	0·8023	1·25	0·8944	1·65	0·9505	2·05	0·97982
·06	·5239	·46	·6772	·86	·8051	·26	·8962	·66	·9515	·06	·98030
·07	·5279	·47	·6808	·87	·8078	·27	·8980	·67	·9525	·07	·98077
·08	·5319	·48	·6844	·88	·8106	·28	·8997	·68	·9535	·08	·98124
·09	·5359	·49	·6879	·89	·8133	·29	·9015	·69	·9545	·09	·98169
0·10	0·5398	0·50	0·6915	0·90	0·8159	1·30	0·9032	1·70	0·9554	2·10	0·98214
·11	·5438	·51	·6950	·91	·8186	·31	·9049	·71	·9564	·11	·98257
·12	·5478	·52	·6985	·92	·8212	·32	·9066	·72	·9573	·12	·98300
·13	·5517	·53	·7019	·93	·8238	·33	·9082	·73	·9582	·13	·98341
·14	·5557	·54	·7054	·94	·8264	·34	·9099	·74	·9591	·14	·98382
0·15	0·5596	0·55	0·7088	0·95	0·8289	1·35	0·9115	1·75	0·9599	2·15	0·98422
·16	·5636	·56	·7123	·96	·8315	·36	·9131	·76	·9608	·16	·98461
·17	·5675	·57	·7157	·97	·8340	·37	·9147	·77	·9616	·17	·98500
·18	·5714	·58	·7190	·98	·8365	·38	·9162	·78	·9625	·18	·98537
·19	·5753	·59	·7224	·99	·8389	·39	·9177	·79	·9633	·19	·98574
0·20	0·5793	0·60	0·7257	1·00	0·8413	1·40	0·9192	1·80	0·9641	2·20	0·98610
·21	·5832	·61	·7291	·01	·8438	·41	·9207	·81	·9649	·21	·98645
·22	·5871	·62	·7324	·02	·8461	·42	·9222	·82	·9656	·22	·98679
·23	·5910	·63	·7357	·03	·8485	·43	·9236	·83	·9664	·23	·98713
·24	·5948	·64	·7389	·04	·8508	·44	·9251	·84	·9671	·24	·98745
0·25	0·5987	0·65	0·7422	1·05	0·8531	1·45	0·9265	1·85	0·9678	2·25	0·98778
·26	·6026	·66	·7454	·06	·8554	·46	·9279	·86	·9686	·26	·98809
·27	·6064	·67	·7486	·07	·8577	·47	·9292	·87	·9693	·27	·98840
·28	·6103	·68	·7517	·08	·8599	·48	·9306	·88	·9699	·28	·98870
·29	·6141	·69	·7549	·09	·8621	·49	·9319	·89	·9706	·29	·98899
0·30	0·6179	0·70	0·7580	1·10	0·8643	1·50	0·9332	1·90	0·9713	2·30	0·98928
·31	·6217	·71	·7611	·11	·8665	·51	·9345	·91	·9719	·31	·98956
·32	·6255	·72	·7642	·12	·8686	·52	·9357	·92	·9726	·32	·98983
·33	·6293	·73	·7673	·13	·8708	·53	·9370	·93	·9732	·33	·99010
·34	·6331	·74	·7704	·14	·8729	·54	·9382	·94	·9738	·34	·99036
0·35	0·6368	0·75	0·7734	1·15	0·8749	1·55	0·9394	1·95	0·9744	2·35	0·99061
·36	·6406	·76	·7764	·16	·8770	·56	·9406	·96	·9750	·36	·99086
·37	·6443	·77	·7794	·17	·8790	·57	·9418	·97	·9756	·37	·99111
·38	·6480	·78	·7823	·18	·8810	·58	·9429	·98	·9761	·38	·99134
·39	·6517	·79	·7852	·19	·8830	·59	·9441	·99	·9767	·39	·99158
0·40	0·6554	0·80	0·7881	1·20	0·8849	1·60	0·9452	2·00	0·9772	2·40	0·99180

TABLE 4. THE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$
2·40	0·99180	2·55	0·99461	2·70	0·99653	2·85	0·99781	3·00	0·99865	3·15	0·99918
·41	·99202	·56	·99477	·71	·99664	·86	·99788	·01	·99869	·16	·99921
·42	·99224	·57	·99492	·72	·99674	·87	·99795	·02	·99874	·17	·99924
·43	·99245	·58	·99506	·73	·99683	·88	·99801	·03	·99878	·18	·99926
·44	·99266	·59	·99520	·74	·99693	·89	·99807	·04	·99882	·19	·99929
2·45	0·99286	2·60	0·99534	2·75	0·99702	2·90	0·99813	3·05	0·99886	3·20	0·99931
·46	·99305	·61	·99547	·76	·99711	·91	·99819	·06	·99889	·21	·99934
·47	·99324	·62	·99560	·77	·99720	·92	·99825	·07	·99893	·22	·99936
·48	·99343	·63	·99573	·78	·99728	·93	·99831	·08	·99896	·23	·99938
·49	·99361	·64	·99585	·79	·99736	·94	·99836	·09	·99900	·24	·99940
2·50	0·99379	2·65	0·99598	2·80	0·99744	2·95	0·99841	3·10	0·99903	3·25	0·99942
·51	·99396	·66	·99609	·81	·99752	·96	·99846	·11	·99906	·26	·99944
·52	·99413	·67	·99621	·82	·99760	·97	·99851	·12	·99910	·27	·99946
·53	·99430	·68	·99632	·83	·99767	·98	·99856	·13	·99913	·28	·99948
·54	·99446	·69	·99643	·84	·99774	·99	·99861	·14	·99916	·29	·99950
2·55	0·99461	2·70	0·99653	2·85	0·99781	3·00	0·99865	3·15	0·99918	3·30	0·99952

The critical table below gives on the left the range of values of x for which $\Phi(x)$ takes the value on the right, correct to the last figure given; in critical cases, take the upper of the two values of $\Phi(x)$ indicated.

x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$	x	$\Phi(x)$
			0·9994		0·99990		0·99995
3·075		3·263		3·731		3·916	
	0·9990		0·9995		0·99991		0·99996
3·105		3·320		3·759		3·976	
	0·9991		0·9996		0·99992		0·99997
3·138		3·389		3·791		4·055	
	0·9992		0·9997		0·99993		0·99998
3·174		3·480		3·826		4·173	
	0·9993		0·9998		0·99994		0·99999
3·215		3·615		3·867		4·417	
	0·9994		0·9999		0·99995		1·00000

When $x > 3{\cdot}3$ the formula $1-\Phi(x) \doteqdot \dfrac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}}{x\sqrt{2\pi}}\left[1-\dfrac{1}{x^2}+\dfrac{3}{x^4}-\dfrac{15}{x^6}+\dfrac{105}{x^8}\right]$ is very accurate, with relative error less than $945/x^{10}$.

TABLE 5. PERCENTAGE POINTS OF THE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

This table gives percentage points $x(P)$ defined by the equation

$$\frac{P}{100} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{x(P)}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2}\,dt.$$

If X is a variable, normally distributed with zero mean and unit variance, $P/100$ is the probability that $X \geqslant x(P)$. The lower P per cent points are given by symmetry as $-x(P)$, and the probability that $|X| \geqslant x(P)$ is $2P/100$.



P	$x(P)$	P	$x(P)$	P	$x(P)$	P	$x(P)$	P	$x(P)$	P	$x(P)$
50	0·0000	5·0	1·6449	3·0	1·8808	2·0	2·0537	1·0	2·3263	0·10	3·0902
45	0·1257	4·8	1·6646	2·9	1·8957	1·9	2·0749	0·9	2·3656	0·09	3·1214
40	0·2533	4·6	1·6849	2·8	1·9110	1·8	2·0969	0·8	2·4089	0·08	3·1559
35	0·3853	4·4	1·7060	2·7	1·9268	1·7	2·1201	0·7	2·4573	0·07	3·1947
30	0·5244	4·2	1·7279	2·6	1·9431	1·6	2·1444	0·6	2·5121	0·06	3·2389
25	0·6745	4·0	1·7507	2·5	1·9600	1·5	2·1701	0·5	2·5758	0·05	3·2905
20	0·8416	3·8	1·7744	2·4	1·9774	1·4	2·1973	0·4	2·6521	0·01	3·7190
15	1·0364	3·6	1·7991	2·3	1·9954	1·3	2·2262	0·3	2·7478	0·005	3·8906
10	1·2816	3·4	1·8250	2·2	2·0141	1·2	2·2571	0·2	2·8782	0·001	4·2649
5	1·6449	3·2	1·8522	2·1	2·0335	1·1	2·2904	0·1	3·0902	0·0005	4·4172

TABLE 8. PERCENTAGE POINTS OF THE χ^2-DISTRIBUTION

This table gives percentage points $\chi^2_\nu(P)$ defined by the equation

$$\frac{P}{100} = \frac{1}{2^{\nu/2}\,\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \int_{\chi^2_\nu(P)}^{\infty} x^{\frac{1}{2}\nu-1}\, e^{-\frac{1}{2}x}\, dx.$$

If X is a variable distributed as χ^2 with ν degrees of freedom, $P/100$ is the probability that $X \geqslant \chi^2_\nu(P)$.

For $\nu > 100$, $\sqrt{2X}$ is approximately normally distributed with mean $\sqrt{2\nu-1}$ and unit variance.



(The above shape applies for $\nu \geqslant 3$ only. When $\nu < 3$ the mode is at the origin.)

P	99·95	99·9	99·5	99	97·5	95	90	80	70	60
$\nu = 1$	$0{\cdot}0^{6}3927$	$0{\cdot}0^{5}1571$	$0{\cdot}0^{4}3927$	$0{\cdot}0^{3}1571$	$0{\cdot}0^{3}9821$	0·003932	0·01579	0·06418	0·1485	0·2750
2	0·001000	0·002001	0·01003	0·02010	0·05064	0·1026	0·2107	0·4463	0·7133	1·022
3	0·01528	0·02430	0·07172	0·1148	0·2158	0·3518	0·5844	1·005	1·424	1·869
4	0·06392	0·09080	0·2070	0·2971	0·4844	0·7107	1·064	1·649	2·195	2·753
5	0·1581	0·2102	0·4117	0·5543	0·8312	1·145	1·610	2·343	3·000	3·655
6	0·2994	0·3811	0·6757	0·8721	1·237	1·635	2·204	3·070	3·828	4·570
7	0·4849	0·5985	0·9893	1·239	1·690	2·167	2·833	3·822	4·671	5·493
8	0·7104	0·8571	1·344	1·646	2·180	2·733	3·490	4·594	5·527	6·423
9	0·9717	1·152	1·735	2·088	2·700	3·325	4·168	5·380	6·393	7·357
10	1·265	1·479	2·156	2·558	3·247	3·940	4·865	6·179	7·267	8·295
11	1·587	1·834	2·603	3·053	3·816	4·575	5·578	6·989	8·148	9·237
12	1·934	2·214	3·074	3·571	4·404	5·226	6·304	7·807	9·034	10·18
13	2·305	2·617	3·565	4·107	5·009	5·892	7·042	8·634	9·926	11·13
14	2·697	3·041	4·075	4·660	5·629	6·571	7·790	9·467	10·82	12·08
15	3·108	3·483	4·601	5·229	6·262	7·261	8·547	10·31	11·72	13·03
16	3·536	3·942	5·142	5·812	6·908	7·962	9·312	11·15	12·62	13·98
17	3·980	4·416	5·697	6·408	7·564	8·672	10·09	12·00	13·53	14·94
18	4·439	4·905	6·265	7·015	8·231	9·390	10·86	12·86	14·44	15·89
19	4·912	5·407	6·844	7·633	8·907	10·12	11·65	13·72	15·35	16·85
20	5·398	5·921	7·434	8·260	9·591	10·85	12·44	14·58	16·27	17·81
21	5·896	6·447	8·034	8·897	10·28	11·59	13·24	15·44	17·18	18·77
22	6·404	6·983	8·643	9·542	10·98	12·34	14·04	16·31	18·10	19·73
23	6·924	7·529	9·260	10·20	11·69	13·09	14·85	17·19	19·02	20·69
24	7·453	8·085	9·886	10·86	12·40	13·85	15·66	18·06	19·94	21·65
25	7·991	8·649	10·52	11·52	13·12	14·61	16·47	18·94	20·87	22·62
26	8·538	9·222	11·16	12·20	13·84	15·38	17·29	19·82	21·79	23·58
27	9·093	9·803	11·81	12·88	14·57	16·15	18·11	20·70	22·72	24·54
28	9·656	10·39	12·46	13·56	15·31	16·93	18·94	21·59	23·65	25·51
29	10·23	10·99	13·12	14·26	16·05	17·71	19·77	22·48	24·58	26·48
30	10·80	11·59	13·79	14·95	16·79	18·49	20·60	23·36	25·51	27·44
32	11·98	12·81	15·13	16·36	18·29	20·07	22·27	25·15	27·37	29·38
34	13·18	14·06	16·50	17·79	19·81	21·66	23·95	26·94	29·24	31·31
36	14·40	15·32	17·89	19·23	21·34	23·27	25·64	28·73	31·12	33·25
38	15·64	16·61	19·29	20·69	22·88	24·88	27·34	30·54	32·99	35·19
40	16·91	17·92	20·71	22·16	24·43	26·51	29·05	32·34	34·87	37·13
50	23·46	24·67	27·99	29·71	32·36	34·76	37·69	41·45	44·31	46·86
60	30·34	31·74	35·53	37·48	40·48	43·19	46·46	50·64	53·81	56·62
70	37·47	39·04	43·28	45·44	48·76	51·74	55·33	59·90	63·35	66·40
80	44·79	46·52	51·17	53·54	57·15	60·39	64·28	69·21	72·92	76·19
90	52·28	54·16	59·20	61·75	65·65	69·13	73·29	78·56	82·51	85·99
100	59·90	61·92	67·33	70·06	74·22	77·93	82·36	87·95	92·13	95·81

TABLE 8. PERCENTAGE POINTS OF THE χ^2-DISTRIBUTION

This table gives percentage points $\chi^2_\nu(P)$ defined by the equation

$$\frac{P}{100} = \frac{1}{2^{\nu/2}\,\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \int_{\chi^2_\nu(P)}^{\infty} x^{\frac{1}{2}\nu-1}\, e^{-\frac{1}{2}x}\, dx.$$

If X is a variable distributed as χ^2 with ν degrees of freedom, $P/100$ is the probability that $X \geqslant \chi^2_\nu(P)$.

For $\nu > 100$, $\sqrt{2X}$ is approximately normally distributed with mean $\sqrt{2\nu-1}$ and unit variance.



(The above shape applies for $\nu \geqslant 3$ only. When $\nu < 3$ the mode is at the origin.)

P	50	40	30	20	10	5	2.5	1	0.5	0.1	0.05
$\nu = 1$	0.4549	0.7083	1.074	1.642	2.706	3.841	5.024	6.635	7.879	10.83	12.12
2	1.386	1.833	2.408	3.219	4.605	5.991	7.378	9.210	10.60	13.82	15.20
3	2.366	2.946	3.665	4.642	6.251	7.815	9.348	11.34	12.84	16.27	17.73
4	3.357	4.045	4.878	5.989	7.779	9.488	11.14	13.28	14.86	18.47	20.00
5	4.351	5.132	6.064	7.289	9.236	11.07	12.83	15.09	16.75	20.52	22.11
6	5.348	6.211	7.231	8.558	10.64	12.59	14.45	16.81	18.55	22.46	24.10
7	6.346	7.283	8.383	9.803	12.02	14.07	16.01	18.48	20.28	24.32	26.02
8	7.344	8.351	9.524	11.03	13.36	15.51	17.53	20.09	21.95	26.12	27.87
9	8.343	9.414	10.66	12.24	14.68	16.92	19.02	21.67	23.59	27.88	29.67
10	9.342	10.47	11.78	13.44	15.99	18.31	20.48	23.21	25.19	29.59	31.42
11	10.34	11.53	12.90	14.63	17.28	19.68	21.92	24.72	26.76	31.26	33.14
12	11.34	12.58	14.01	15.81	18.55	21.03	23.34	26.22	28.30	32.91	34.82
13	12.34	13.64	15.12	16.98	19.81	22.36	24.74	27.69	29.82	34.53	36.48
14	13.34	14.69	16.22	18.15	21.06	23.68	26.12	29.14	31.32	36.12	38.11
15	14.34	15.73	17.32	19.31	22.31	25.00	27.49	30.58	32.80	37.70	39.72
16	15.34	16.78	18.42	20.47	23.54	26.30	28.85	32.00	34.27	39.25	41.31
17	16.34	17.82	19.51	21.61	24.77	27.59	30.19	33.41	35.72	40.79	42.88
18	17.34	18.87	20.60	22.76	25.99	28.87	31.53	34.81	37.16	42.31	44.43
19	18.34	19.91	21.69	23.90	27.20	30.14	32.85	36.19	38.58	43.82	45.97
20	19.34	20.95	22.77	25.04	28.41	31.41	34.17	37.57	40.00	45.31	47.50
21	20.34	21.99	23.86	26.17	29.62	32.67	35.48	38.93	41.40	46.80	49.01
22	21.34	23.03	24.94	27.30	30.81	33.92	36.78	40.29	42.80	48.27	50.51
23	22.34	24.07	26.02	28.43	32.01	35.17	38.08	41.64	44.18	49.73	52.00
24	23.34	25.11	27.10	29.55	33.20	36.42	39.36	42.98	45.56	51.18	53.48
25	24.34	26.14	28.17	30.68	34.38	37.65	40.65	44.31	46.93	52.62	54.95
26	25.34	27.18	29.25	31.79	35.56	38.89	41.92	45.64	48.29	54.05	56.41
27	26.34	28.21	30.32	32.91	36.74	40.11	43.19	46.96	49.64	55.48	57.86
28	27.34	29.25	31.39	34.03	37.92	41.34	44.46	48.28	50.99	56.89	59.30
29	28.34	30.28	32.46	35.14	39.09	42.56	45.72	49.59	52.34	58.30	60.73
30	29.34	31.32	33.53	36.25	40.26	43.77	46.98	50.89	53.67	59.70	62.16
32	31.34	33.38	35.66	38.47	42.58	46.19	49.48	53.49	56.33	62.49	65.00
34	33.34	35.44	37.80	40.68	44.90	48.60	51.97	56.06	58.96	65.25	67.80
36	35.34	37.50	39.92	42.88	47.21	51.00	54.44	58.62	61.58	67.99	70.59
38	37.34	39.56	42.05	45.08	49.51	53.38	56.90	61.16	64.18	70.70	73.35
40	39.34	41.62	44.16	47.27	51.81	55.76	59.34	63.69	66.77	73.40	76.09
50	49.33	51.89	54.72	58.16	63.17	67.50	71.42	76.15	79.49	86.66	89.56
60	59.33	62.13	65.23	68.97	74.40	79.08	83.30	88.38	91.95	99.61	102.7
70	69.33	72.36	75.69	79.71	85.53	90.53	95.02	100.4	104.2	112.3	115.6
80	79.33	82.57	86.12	90.41	96.58	101.9	106.6	112.3	116.3	124.8	128.3
90	89.33	92.76	96.52	101.1	107.6	113.1	118.1	124.1	128.3	137.2	140.8
100	99.33	102.9	106.9	111.7	118.5	124.3	129.6	135.8	140.2	149.4	153.2

TABLE 10. PERCENTAGE POINTS OF THE t-DISTRIBUTION

This table gives percentage points $t_\nu(P)$ defined by the equation

$$\frac{P}{100} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\nu+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\nu)} \int_{t_\nu(P)}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(1+t^2/\nu)^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+1)}}.$$

Let X_1 and X_2 be independent random variables having a normal distribution with zero mean and unit variance and a χ^2-distribution with ν degrees of freedom respectively; then $t = X_1/\sqrt{X_2/\nu}$ has Student's t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom, and the probability that $t \geqslant t_\nu(P)$ is $P/100$. The lower percentage points are given by symmetry as $-t_\nu(P)$, and the probability that $|t| \geqslant t_\nu(P)$ is $2P/100$.



The limiting distribution of t as ν tends to infinity is the normal distribution with zero mean and unit variance. When ν is large interpolation in ν should be harmonic.

P	40	30	25	20	15	10	5	2·5	1	0·5	0·1	0·05
$\nu = 1$	0·3249	0·7265	1·0000	1·3764	1·963	3·078	6·314	12·71	31·82	63·66	318·3	636·6
2	0·2887	0·6172	0·8165	1·0607	1·386	1·886	2·920	4·303	6·965	9·925	22·33	31·60
3	0·2767	0·5844	0·7649	0·9785	1·250	1·638	2·353	3·182	4·541	5·841	10·21	12·92
4	0·2707	0·5686	0·7407	0·9410	1·190	1·533	2·132	2·776	3·747	4·604	7·173	8·610
5	0·2672	0·5594	0·7267	0·9195	1·156	1·476	2·015	2·571	3·365	4·032	5·893	6·869
6	0·2648	0·5534	0·7176	0·9057	1·134	1·440	1·943	2·447	3·143	3·707	5·208	5·959
7	0·2632	0·5491	0·7111	0·8960	1·119	1·415	1·895	2·365	2·998	3·499	4·785	5·408
8	0·2619	0·5459	0·7064	0·8889	1·108	1·397	1·860	2·306	2·896	3·355	4·501	5·041
9	0·2610	0·5435	0·7027	0·8834	1·100	1·383	1·833	2·262	2·821	3·250	4·297	4·781
10	0·2602	0·5415	0·6998	0·8791	1·093	1·372	1·812	2·228	2·764	3·169	4·144	4·587
11	0·2596	0·5399	0·6974	0·8755	1·088	1·363	1·796	2·201	2·718	3·106	4·025	4·437
12	0·2590	0·5386	0·6955	0·8726	1·083	1·356	1·782	2·179	2·681	3·055	3·930	4·318
13	0·2586	0·5375	0·6938	0·8702	1·079	1·350	1·771	2·160	2·650	3·012	3·852	4·221
14	0·2582	0·5366	0·6924	0·8681	1·076	1·345	1·761	2·145	2·624	2·977	3·787	4·140
15	0·2579	0·5357	0·6912	0·8662	1·074	1·341	1·753	2·131	2·602	2·947	3·733	4·073
16	0·2576	0·5350	0·6901	0·8647	1·071	1·337	1·746	2·120	2·583	2·921	3·686	4·015
17	0·2573	0·5344	0·6892	0·8633	1·069	1·333	1·740	2·110	2·567	2·898	3·646	3·965
18	0·2571	0·5338	0·6884	0·8620	1·067	1·330	1·734	2·101	2·552	2·878	3·610	3·922
19	0·2569	0·5333	0·6876	0·8610	1·066	1·328	1·729	2·093	2·539	2·861	3·579	3·883
20	0·2567	0·5329	0·6870	0·8600	1·064	1·325	1·725	2·086	2·528	2·845	3·552	3·850
21	0·2566	0·5325	0·6864	0·8591	1·063	1·323	1·721	2·080	2·518	2·831	3·527	3·819
22	0·2564	0·5321	0·6858	0·8583	1·061	1·321	1·717	2·074	2·508	2·819	3·505	3·792
23	0·2563	0·5317	0·6853	0·8575	1·060	1·319	1·714	2·069	2·500	2·807	3·485	3·768
24	0·2562	0·5314	0·6848	0·8569	1·059	1·318	1·711	2·064	2·492	2·797	3·467	3·745
25	0·2561	0·5312	0·6844	0·8562	1·058	1·316	1·708	2·060	2·485	2·787	3·450	3·725
26	0·2560	0·5309	0·6840	0·8557	1·058	1·315	1·706	2·056	2·479	2·779	3·435	3·707
27	0·2559	0·5306	0·6837	0·8551	1·057	1·314	1·703	2·052	2·473	2·771	3·421	3·690
28	0·2558	0·5304	0·6834	0·8546	1·056	1·313	1·701	2·048	2·467	2·763	3·408	3·674
29	0·2557	0·5302	0·6830	0·8542	1·055	1·311	1·699	2·045	2·462	2·756	3·396	3·659
30	0·2556	0·5300	0·6828	0·8538	1·055	1·310	1·697	2·042	2·457	2·750	3·385	3·646
32	0·2555	0·5297	0·6822	0·8530	1·054	1·309	1·694	2·037	2·449	2·738	3·365	3·622
34	0·2553	0·5294	0·6818	0·8523	1·052	1·307	1·691	2·032	2·441	2·728	3·348	3·601
36	0·2552	0·5291	0·6814	0·8517	1·052	1·306	1·688	2·028	2·434	2·719	3·333	3·582
38	0·2551	0·5288	0·6810	0·8512	1·051	1·304	1·686	2·024	2·429	2·712	3·319	3·566
40	0·2550	0·5286	0·6807	0·8507	1·050	1·303	1·684	2·021	2·423	2·704	3·307	3·551
50	0·2547	0·5278	0·6794	0·8489	1·047	1·299	1·676	2·009	2·403	2·678	3·261	3·496
60	0·2545	0·5272	0·6786	0·8477	1·045	1·296	1·671	2·000	2·390	2·660	3·232	3·460
120	0·2539	0·5258	0·6765	0·8446	1·041	1·289	1·658	1·980	2·358	2·617	3·160	3·373
∞	0·2533	0·5244	0·6745	0·8416	1·036	1·282	1·645	1·960	2·326	2·576	3·090	3·291

TABLE 12(*a*). 10 PER CENT POINTS OF THE *F*-DISTRIBUTION

The function tabulated is $F(P) = F(P|\nu_1, \nu_2)$ defined by the equation

$$\frac{P}{100} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\nu_1+\frac{1}{2}\nu_2)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\nu_1)\,\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\nu_2)}\,\nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}\nu_1}\,\nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}\nu_2}\int_{F(P)}^{\infty}\frac{F^{\frac{1}{2}\nu_1-1}}{(\nu_2+\nu_1F)^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu_1+\nu_2)}}\,dF,$$

for P = 10, 5, 2.5, 1, 0.5 and 0.1. The lower percentage points, that is the values $F'(P) = F'(P|\nu_1, \nu_2)$ such that the probability that $F \leqslant F'(P)$ is equal to $P/100$, may be found by the formula

$$F'(P|\nu_1, \nu_2) = 1/F(P|\nu_2, \nu_1).$$



(This shape applies only when $\nu_1 \geqslant 3$. When $\nu_1 < 3$ the mode is at the origin.)

ν_1 =	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	10	12	24	∞
ν_2 = 1	39.86	49.50	53.59	55.83	57.24	58.20	58.91	59.44	60.19	60.71	62.00	63.33
2	8.526	9.000	9.162	9.243	9.293	9.326	9.349	9.367	9.392	9.408	9.450	9.491
3	5.538	5.462	5.391	5.343	5.309	5.285	5.266	5.252	5.230	5.216	5.176	5.134
4	4.545	4.325	4.191	4.107	4.051	4.010	3.979	3.955	3.920	3.896	3.831	3.761
5	4.060	3.780	3.619	3.520	3.453	3.405	3.368	3.339	3.297	3.268	3.191	3.105
6	3.776	3.463	3.289	3.181	3.108	3.055	3.014	2.983	2.937	2.905	2.818	2.722
7	3.589	3.257	3.074	2.961	2.883	2.827	2.785	2.752	2.703	2.668	2.575	2.471
8	3.458	3.113	2.924	2.806	2.726	2.668	2.624	2.589	2.538	2.502	2.404	2.293
9	3.360	3.006	2.813	2.693	2.611	2.551	2.505	2.469	2.416	2.379	2.277	2.159
10	3.285	2.924	2.728	2.605	2.522	2.461	2.414	2.377	2.323	2.284	2.178	2.055
11	3.225	2.860	2.660	2.536	2.451	2.389	2.342	2.304	2.248	2.209	2.100	1.972
12	3.177	2.807	2.606	2.480	2.394	2.331	2.283	2.245	2.188	2.147	2.036	1.904
13	3.136	2.763	2.560	2.434	2.347	2.283	2.234	2.195	2.138	2.097	1.983	1.846
14	3.102	2.726	2.522	2.395	2.307	2.243	2.193	2.154	2.095	2.054	1.938	1.797
15	3.073	2.695	2.490	2.361	2.273	2.208	2.158	2.119	2.059	2.017	1.899	1.755
16	3.048	2.668	2.462	2.333	2.244	2.178	2.128	2.088	2.028	1.985	1.866	1.718
17	3.026	2.645	2.437	2.308	2.218	2.152	2.102	2.061	2.001	1.958	1.836	1.686
18	3.007	2.624	2.416	2.286	2.196	2.130	2.079	2.038	1.977	1.933	1.810	1.657
19	2.990	2.606	2.397	2.266	2.176	2.109	2.058	2.017	1.956	1.912	1.787	1.631
20	2.975	2.589	2.380	2.249	2.158	2.091	2.040	1.999	1.937	1.892	1.767	1.607
21	2.961	2.575	2.365	2.233	2.142	2.075	2.023	1.982	1.920	1.875	1.748	1.586
22	2.949	2.561	2.351	2.219	2.128	2.060	2.008	1.967	1.904	1.859	1.731	1.567
23	2.937	2.549	2.339	2.207	2.115	2.047	1.995	1.953	1.890	1.845	1.716	1.549
24	2.927	2.538	2.327	2.195	2.103	2.035	1.983	1.941	1.877	1.832	1.702	1.533
25	2.918	2.528	2.317	2.184	2.092	2.024	1.971	1.929	1.866	1.820	1.689	1.518
26	2.909	2.519	2.307	2.174	2.082	2.014	1.961	1.919	1.855	1.809	1.677	1.504
27	2.901	2.511	2.299	2.165	2.073	2.005	1.952	1.909	1.845	1.799	1.666	1.491
28	2.894	2.503	2.291	2.157	2.064	1.996	1.943	1.900	1.836	1.790	1.656	1.478
29	2.887	2.495	2.283	2.149	2.057	1.988	1.935	1.892	1.827	1.781	1.647	1.467
30	2.881	2.489	2.276	2.142	2.049	1.980	1.927	1.884	1.819	1.773	1.638	1.456
32	2.869	2.477	2.263	2.129	2.036	1.967	1.913	1.870	1.805	1.758	1.622	1.437
34	2.859	2.466	2.252	2.118	2.024	1.955	1.901	1.858	1.793	1.745	1.608	1.419
36	2.850	2.456	2.243	2.108	2.014	1.945	1.891	1.847	1.781	1.734	1.595	1.404
38	2.842	2.448	2.234	2.099	2.005	1.935	1.881	1.838	1.772	1.724	1.584	1.390
40	2.835	2.440	2.226	2.091	1.997	1.927	1.873	1.829	1.763	1.715	1.574	1.377
60	2.791	2.393	2.177	2.041	1.946	1.875	1.819	1.775	1.707	1.657	1.511	1.291
120	2.748	2.347	2.130	1.992	1.896	1.824	1.767	1.722	1.652	1.601	1.447	1.193
∞	2.706	2.303	2.084	1.945	1.847	1.774	1.717	1.670	1.599	1.546	1.383	1.000

TABLE 12(*b*). 5 PER CENT POINTS OF THE *F*-DISTRIBUTION

If $F = \dfrac{X_1}{\nu_1}\Big/\dfrac{X_2}{\nu_2}$, where X_1 and X_2 are independent random variables distributed as χ^2 with ν_1 and ν_2 degrees of freedom respectively, then the probabilities that $F \geqslant F(P)$ and that $F \leqslant F'(P)$ are both equal to $P/100$. Linear interpolation in ν_1 and ν_2 will generally be sufficiently accurate except when either $\nu_1 > 12$ or $\nu_2 > 40$, when harmonic interpolation should be used.



(This shape applies only when $\nu_1 \geqslant 3$. When $\nu_1 < 3$ the mode is at the origin.)

$\nu_1 =$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	10	12	24	∞
$\nu_2 =$ 1	161·4	199·5	215·7	224·6	230·2	234·0	236·8	238·9	241·9	243·9	249·1	254·3
2	18·51	19·00	19·16	19·25	19·30	19·33	19·35	19·37	19·40	19·41	19·45	19·50
3	10·13	9·552	9·277	9·117	9·013	8·941	8·887	8·845	8·786	8·745	8·639	8·526
4	7·709	6·944	6·591	6·388	6·256	6·163	6·094	6·041	5·964	5·912	5·774	5·628
5	6·608	5·786	5·409	5·192	5·050	4·950	4·876	4·818	4·735	4·678	4·527	4·365
6	5·987	5·143	4·757	4·534	4·387	4·284	4·207	4·147	4·060	4·000	3·841	3·669
7	5·591	4·737	4·347	4·120	3·972	3·866	3·787	3·726	3·637	3·575	3·410	3·230
8	5·318	4·459	4·066	3·838	3·687	3·581	3·500	3·438	3·347	3·284	3·115	2·928
9	5·117	4·256	3·863	3·633	3·482	3·374	3·293	3·230	3·137	3·073	2·900	2·707
10	4·965	4·103	3·708	3·478	3·326	3·217	3·135	3·072	2·978	2·913	2·737	2·538
11	4·844	3·982	3·587	3·357	3·204	3·095	3·012	2·948	2·854	2·788	2·609	2·404
12	4·747	3·885	3·490	3·259	3·106	2·996	2·913	2·849	2·753	2·687	2·505	2·296
13	4·667	3·806	3·411	3·179	3·025	2·915	2·832	2·767	2·671	2·604	2·420	2·206
14	4·600	3·739	3·344	3·112	2·958	2·848	2·764	2·699	2·602	2·534	2·349	2·131
15	4·543	3·682	3·287	3·056	2·901	2·790	2·707	2·641	2·544	2·475	2·288	2·066
16	4·494	3·634	3·239	3·007	2·852	2·741	2·657	2·591	2·494	2·425	2·235	2·010
17	4·451	3·592	3·197	2·965	2·810	2·699	2·614	2·548	2·450	2·381	2·190	1·960
18	4·414	3·555	3·160	2·928	2·773	2·661	2·577	2·510	2·412	2·342	2·150	1·917
19	4·381	3·522	3·127	2·895	2·740	2·628	2·544	2·477	2·378	2·308	2·114	1·878
20	4·351	3·493	3·098	2·866	2·711	2·599	2·514	2·447	2·348	2·278	2·082	1·843
21	4·325	3·467	3·072	2·840	2·685	2·573	2·488	2·420	2·321	2·250	2·054	1·812
22	4·301	3·443	3·049	2·817	2·661	2·549	2·464	2·397	2·297	2·226	2·028	1·783
23	4·279	3·422	3·028	2·796	2·640	2·528	2·442	2·375	2·275	2·204	2·005	1·757
24	4·260	3·403	3·009	2·776	2·621	2·508	2·423	2·355	2·255	2·183	1·984	1·733
25	4·242	3·385	2·991	2·759	2·603	2·490	2·405	2·337	2·236	2·165	1·964	1·711
26	4·225	3·369	2·975	2·743	2·587	2·474	2·388	2·321	2·220	2·148	1·946	1·691
27	4·210	3·354	2·960	2·728	2·572	2·459	2·373	2·305	2·204	2·132	1·930	1·672
28	4·196	3·340	2·947	2·714	2·558	2·445	2·359	2·291	2·190	2·118	1·915	1·654
29	4·183	3·328	2·934	2·701	2·545	2·432	2·346	2·278	2·177	2·104	1·901	1·638
30	4·171	3·316	2·922	2·690	2·534	2·421	2·334	2·266	2·165	2·092	1·887	1·622
32	4·149	3·295	2·901	2·668	2·512	2·399	2·313	2·244	2·142	2·070	1·864	1·594
34	4·130	3·276	2·883	2·650	2·494	2·380	2·294	2·225	2·123	2·050	1·843	1·569
36	4·113	3·259	2·866	2·634	2·477	2·364	2·277	2·209	2·106	2·033	1·824	1·547
38	4·098	3·245	2·852	2·619	2·463	2·349	2·262	2·194	2·091	2·017	1·808	1·527
40	4·085	3·232	2·839	2·606	2·449	2·336	2·249	2·180	2·077	2·003	1·793	1·509
60	4·001	3·150	2·758	2·525	2·368	2·254	2·167	2·097	1·993	1·917	1·700	1·389
120	3·920	3·072	2·680	2·447	2·290	2·175	2·087	2·016	1·910	1·834	1·608	1·254
∞	3·841	2·996	2·605	2·372	2·214	2·099	2·010	1·938	1·831	1·752	1·517	1·000

TABLE 12(d). 1 PER CENT POINTS OF THE F-DISTRIBUTION

If $F = \dfrac{X_1}{\nu_1}\Big/\dfrac{X_2}{\nu_2}$, where X_1 and X_2 are independent random variables distributed as χ^2 with ν_1 and ν_2 degrees of freedom respectively, then the probabilities that $F \geqslant F(P)$ and that $F \leqslant F'(P)$ are both equal to $P/100$. Linear interpolation in ν_1 or ν_2 will generally be sufficiently accurate except when either $\nu_1 > 12$ or $\nu_2 > 40$, when harmonic interpolation should be used.



(This shape applies only when $\nu_1 \geqslant 3$. When $\nu_1 < 3$ the mode is at the origin.)

$\nu_1 =$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	10	12	24	∞
$\nu_2 =$ 1	4052	4999	5403	5625	5764	5859	5928	5981	6056	6106	6235	6366
2	98·50	99·00	99·17	99·25	99·30	99·33	99·36	99·37	99·40	99·42	99·46	99·50
3	34·12	30·82	29·46	28·71	28·24	27·91	27·67	27·49	27·23	27·05	26·60	26·13
4	21·20	18·00	16·69	15·98	15·52	15·21	14·98	14·80	14·55	14·37	13·93	13·46
5	16·26	13·27	12·06	11·39	10·97	10·67	10·46	10·29	10·05	9·888	9·466	9·020
6	13·75	10·92	9·780	9·148	8·746	8·466	8·260	8·102	7·874	7·718	7·313	6·880
7	12·25	9·547	8·451	7·847	7·460	7·191	6·993	6·840	6·620	6·469	6·074	5·650
8	11·26	8·649	7·591	7·006	6·632	6·371	6·178	6·029	5·814	5·667	5·279	4·859
9	10·56	8·022	6·992	6·422	6·057	5·802	5·613	5·467	5·257	5·111	4·729	4·311
10	10·04	7·559	6·552	5·994	5·636	5·386	5·200	5·057	4·849	4·706	4·327	3·909
11	9·646	7·206	6·217	5·668	5·316	5·069	4·886	4·744	4·539	4·397	4·021	3·602
12	9·330	6·927	5·953	5·412	5·064	4·821	4·640	4·499	4·296	4·155	3·780	3·361
13	9·074	6·701	5·739	5·205	4·862	4·620	4·441	4·302	4·100	3·960	3·587	3·165
14	8·862	6·515	5·564	5·035	4·695	4·456	4·278	4·140	3·939	3·800	3·427	3·004
15	8·683	6·359	5·417	4·893	4·556	4·318	4·142	4·004	3·805	3·666	3·294	2·868
16	8·531	6·226	5·292	4·773	4·437	4·202	4·026	3·890	3·691	3·553	3·181	2·753
17	8·400	6·112	5·185	4·669	4·336	4·102	3·927	3·791	3·593	3·455	3·084	2·653
18	8·285	6·013	5·092	4·579	4·248	4·015	3·841	3·705	3·508	3·371	2·999	2·566
19	8·185	5·926	5·010	4·500	4·171	3·939	3·765	3·631	3·434	3·297	2·925	2·489
20	8·096	5·849	4·938	4·431	4·103	3·871	3·699	3·564	3·368	3·231	2·859	2·421
21	8·017	5·780	4·874	4·369	4·042	3·812	3·640	3·506	3·310	3·173	2·801	2·360
22	7·945	5·719	4·817	4·313	3·988	3·758	3·587	3·453	3·258	3·121	2·749	2·305
23	7·881	5·664	4·765	4·264	3·939	3·710	3·539	3·406	3·211	3·074	2·702	2·256
24	7·823	5·614	4·718	4·218	3·895	3·667	3·496	3·363	3·168	3·032	2·659	2·211
25	7·770	5·568	4·675	4·177	3·855	3·627	3·457	3·324	3·129	2·993	2·620	2·169
26	7·721	5·526	4·637	4·140	3·818	3·591	3·421	3·288	3·094	2·958	2·585	2·131
27	7·677	5·488	4·601	4·106	3·785	3·558	3·388	3·256	3·062	2·926	2·552	2·097
28	7·636	5·453	4·568	4·074	3·754	3·528	3·358	3·226	3·032	2·896	2·522	2·064
29	7·598	5·420	4·538	4·045	3·725	3·499	3·330	3·198	3·005	2·868	2·495	2·034
30	7·562	5·390	4·510	4·018	3·699	3·473	3·304	3·173	2·979	2·843	2·469	2·006
32	7·499	5·336	4·459	3·969	3·652	3·427	3·258	3·127	2·934	2·798	2·423	1·956
34	7·444	5·289	4·416	3·927	3·611	3·386	3·218	3·087	2·894	2·758	2·383	1·911
36	7·396	5·248	4·377	3·890	3·574	3·351	3·183	3·052	2·859	2·723	2·347	1·872
38	7·353	5·211	4·343	3·858	3·542	3·319	3·152	3·021	2·828	2·692	2·316	1·837
40	7·314	5·179	4·313	3·828	3·514	3·291	3·124	2·993	2·801	2·665	2·288	1·805
60	7·077	4·977	4·126	3·649	3·339	3·119	2·953	2·823	2·632	2·496	2·115	1·601
120	6·851	4·787	3·949	3·480	3·174	2·956	2·792	2·663	2·472	2·336	1·950	1·381
∞	6·635	4·605	3·782	3·319	3·017	2·802	2·639	2·511	2·321	2·185	1·791	1·000

Durbin–Watson test statistic d : 1% significance points of d_L and d_U.

n	$k'=1$		$k'=2$		$k'=3$		$k'=4$		$k'=5$	
	d_L	d_U	d_L	d_U	d_L	d_U	d_L	d_U	d_L	d_U
15	0.81	1.07	0.70	1.25	0.59	1.46	0.49	1.70	0.39	1.96
16	0.84	1.09	0.74	1.25	0.63	1.44	0.53	1.66	0.44	1.90
17	0.87	1.10	0.77	1.25	0.67	1.43	0.57	1.63	0.48	1.85
18	0.90	1.12	0.80	1.26	0.71	1.42	0.61	1.60	0.52	1.80
19	0.93	1.13	0.83	1.26	0.74	1.41	0.65	1.58	0.56	1.77
20	0.95	1.15	0.86	1.27	0.77	1.41	0.68	1.57	0.60	1.74
21	0.97	1.16	0.89	1.27	0.80	1.41	0.72	1.55	0.63	1.71
22	1.00	1.17	0.91	1.28	0.83	1.40	0.75	1.54	0.66	1.69
23	1.02	1.19	0.94	1.29	0.86	1.40	0.77	1.53	0.70	1.67
24	1.04	1.20	0.96	1.30	0.88	1.41	0.80	1.53	0.72	1.66
25	1.05	1.21	0.98	1.30	0.90	1.41	0.83	1.52	0.75	1.65
26	1.07	1.22	1.00	1.31	0.93	1.41	0.85	1.52	0.78	1.64
27	1.09	1.23	1.02	1.32	0.95	1.41	0.88	1.51	0.81	1.63
28	1.10	1.24	1.04	1.32	0.97	1.41	0.90	1.51	0.83	1.62
29	1.12	1.25	1.05	1.33	0.99	1.42	0.92	1.51	0.85	1.61
30	1.13	1.26	1.07	1.34	1.01	1.42	0.94	1.51	0.88	1.61
31	1.15	1.27	1.08	1.34	1.02	1.42	0.96	1.51	0.90	1.60
32	1.16	1.28	1.10	1.35	1.04	1.43	0.98	1.51	0.92	1.60
33	1.17	1.29	1.11	1.36	1.05	1.43	1.00	1.51	0.94	1.59
34	1.18	1.30	1.13	1.36	1.07	1.43	1.01	1.51	0.95	1.59
35	1.19	1.31	1.14	1.37	1.08	1.44	1.03	1.51	0.97	1.59
36	1.21	1.32	1.15	1.38	1.10	1.44	1.04	1.51	0.99	1.59
37	1.22	1.32	1.16	1.38	1.11	1.45	1.06	1.51	1.00	1.59
38	1.23	1.33	1.18	1.39	1.12	1.45	1.07	1.52	1.02	1.58
39	1.24	1.34	1.19	1.39	1.14	1.45	1.09	1.52	1.03	1.58
40	1.25	1.34	1.20	1.40	1.15	1.46	1.10	1.52	1.05	1.58
45	1.29	1.38	1.24	1.42	1.20	1.48	1.16	1.53	1.11	1.58
50	1.32	1.40	1.28	1.45	1.24	1.49	1.20	1.54	1.16	1.59
55	1.36	1.43	1.32	1.47	1.28	1.51	1.25	1.55	1.21	1.59
60	1.38	1.45	1.35	1.48	1.32	1.52	1.28	1.56	1.25	1.60
65	1.41	1.47	1.38	1.50	1.35	1.53	1.31	1.57	1.28	1.61
70	1.43	1.49	1.40	1.52	1.37	1.55	1.34	1.58	1.31	1.61
75	1.45	1.50	1.42	1.53	1.39	1.56	1.37	1.59	1.34	1.62
80	1.47	1.52	1.44	1.54	1.42	1.57	1.39	1.60	1.36	1.62
85	1.48	1.53	1.46	1.55	1.43	1.58	1.41	1.60	1.39	1.63
90	1.50	1.54	1.47	1.56	1.45	1.59	1.43	1.61	1.41	1.64
95	1.51	1.55	1.49	1.57	1.47	1.60	1.45	1.62	1.42	1.64
100	1.52	1.56	1.50	1.58	1.48	1.60	1.46	1.63	1.44	1.65

n = number of observations

k' = number of explanatory variables

Durbin–Watson test statistic d : 5% significance points of d_L and d_U.

n	$k'=1$		$k'=2$		$k'=3$		$k'=4$		$k'=5$	
	d_L	d_U	d_L	d_U	d_L	d_U	d_L	d_U	d_L	d_U
15	1.08	1.36	0.95	1.54	0.82	1.75	0.69	1.97	0.56	2.21
16	1.10	1.37	0.98	1.54	0.86	1.73	0.74	1.93	0.62	2.15
17	1.13	1.38	1.02	1.54	0.90	1.71	0.78	1.90	0.67	2.10
18	1.16	1.39	1.05	1.53	0.93	1.69	0.82	1.87	0.71	2.06
19	1.18	1.40	1.08	1.53	0.97	1.68	0.86	1.85	0.75	2.02
20	1.20	1.41	1.10	1.54	1.00	1.68	0.90	1.83	0.79	1.99
21	1.22	1.42	1.13	1.54	1.03	1.67	0.93	1.81	0.83	1.96
22	1.24	1.43	1.15	1.54	1.05	1.66	0.96	1.80	0.86	1.94
23	1.26	1.44	1.17	1.54	1.08	1.66	0.99	1.79	0.90	1.92
24	1.27	1.45	1.19	1.55	1.10	1.66	1.01	1.78	0.93	1.90
25	1.29	1.45	1.21	1.55	1.12	1.66	1.04	1.77	0.95	1.89
26	1.30	1.46	1.22	1.55	1.14	1.65	1.06	1.76	0.98	1.88
27	1.32	1.47	1.24	1.56	1.16	1.65	1.08	1.76	1.01	1.86
28	1.33	1.48	1.26	1.56	1.18	1.65	1.10	1.75	1.03	1.85
29	1.34	1.48	1.27	1.56	1.20	1.65	1.12	1.74	1.05	1.84
30	1.35	1.49	1.28	1.57	1.21	1.65	1.14	1.74	1.07	1.83
31	1.36	1.50	1.30	1.57	1.23	1.65	1.16	1.74	1.09	1.83
32	1.37	1.50	1.31	1.57	1.24	1.65	1.18	1.73	1.11	1.82
33	1.38	1.51	1.32	1.58	1.26	1.65	1.19	1.73	1.13	1.81
34	1.39	1.51	1.33	1.58	1.27	1.65	1.21	1.73	1.15	1.81
35	1.40	1.52	1.34	1.58	1.28	1.65	1.22	1.73	1.16	1.80
36	1.41	1.52	1.35	1.59	1.29	1.65	1.24	1.73	1.18	1.80
37	1.42	1.53	1.36	1.59	1.31	1.66	1.25	1.72	1.19	1.80
38	1.43	1.54	1.37	1.59	1.32	1.66	1.26	1.72	1.21	1.79
39	1.43	1.54	1.38	1.60	1.33	1.66	1.27	1.72	1.22	1.79
40	1.44	1.54	1.39	1.60	1.34	1.66	1.29	1.72	1.23	1.79
45	1.48	1.57	1.43	1.62	1.38	1.67	1.34	1.72	1.29	1.78
50	1.50	1.59	1.46	1.63	1.42	1.67	1.38	1.72	1.34	1.77
55	1.53	1.60	1.49	1.64	1.45	1.68	1.41	1.72	1.38	1.77
60	1.55	1.62	1.51	1.65	1.48	1.69	1.44	1.73	1.41	1.77
65	1.57	1.63	1.54	1.66	1.50	1.70	1.47	1.73	1.44	1.77
70	1.58	1.64	1.55	1.67	1.52	1.70	1.49	1.74	1.46	1.77
75	1.60	1.65	1.57	1.68	1.54	1.71	1.51	1.74	1.49	1.77
80	1.61	1.66	1.59	1.69	1.56	1.72	1.53	1.74	1.51	1.77
85	1.62	1.67	1.60	1.70	1.57	1.72	1.55	1.75	1.52	1.77
90	1.63	1.68	1.61	1.70	1.59	1.73	1.57	1.75	1.54	1.78
95	1.64	1.69	1.62	1.71	1.60	1.73	1.58	1.75	1.56	1.78
100	1.65	1.69	1.63	1.72	1.61	1.74	1.59	1.76	1.57	1.78

n = number of observations

k' = number of explanatory variables