## Test for Autourrelation

	· ·
(I)	Durbin-Watson Test:
	<b>▲</b>
	Disadvantages: 1) only for 1st order AC
	2) inwordusive meas [di,du]
	3) only for models with const term (k>
	4) only for models without by of y
	9) Only for models without by of y  => DW will be biased towards $2 => h - Purbin$ $\frac{\sum (E + - E_{4-1})}{\sum E_{4}} \longrightarrow 2(1-p) \in (0,4)$
	2 (Et-64-1)
	5 ch 2 (1-f) e(0,1)
	Non-rejection area
	<del>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</del>
	1)
	Ha: p>0
	4-00
	. <u>2</u> 9
	Ha: P<0
	$oldsymbol{artheta}$

Advantages: 1) Works well for small samples  $2) \quad DW \longrightarrow 2(1-p)$  $\hat{p} = 1 - \frac{pw}{2} \longrightarrow \int$ Note: Êt = pêr-1 + Ur No: p=0 h-Purbin: Disadvantages: 1) unly for 1st order AC 2) Only for 1 lag of yt 1- <u>DW</u> 3) May be impossible to calculate, because Of VX, X26

## Remedies for Autocorrelation

1) Specification

La false autocorrelation

because of omitted variables

yt = d+ BXt + Et
11
pet-1 + Ut

- 2) AR transformation => Cohrain-Orchutt Procedure
- 3) MA fransformation La GLS
- 4) Adding lag of y+
- 5) Using more complex ARPL(p,q)

Test Breusch-Godfrey: Ho: no Autocorrelation of order 9 Ét = do + d. Nt + d. Zt+ f. Ét-1 + . + fg Et-9 B6 =  $p \cdot R^2$   $\chi^2$   $\chi$ 1) Works with any model

- W/o const - with any # of lass of y 2) asymptotic (only works with big samples)