

Pre-processing data

Handling missing data

Handling missing data: Plan

- Linear interpolation

Handling missing data: Plan

- Linear interpolation
- Modelling approach

Handling missing data: Plan

- Linear interpolation
- Modelling approach
- Using STL decomposition

Linear Interpolation

Idea

To fill in missing data we need to restore the values so that they fit perfectly on the straight line (form an **arithmetic progression**),

$$\Delta y_t^{imp} = const$$

Linear Interpolation

Idea

To fill in missing data we need to restore the values so that they fit perfectly on the straight line (form an **arithmetic progression**),

$$\Delta y_t^{imp} = const$$

Example:

10, **NA**, **NA**, 100

Linear Interpolation

Idea

To fill in missing data we need to restore the values so that they fit perfectly on the straight line (form an **arithmetic progression**),

$$\Delta y_t^{imp} = const$$

Example:

10, **NA**, **NA**, 100

10, **40**, **70**, 100

Modelling approach to handle the missing data

1. Evaluate a model that **allows** the missing data:
ARIMA or automatic ARIMA works fine!

Modelling approach to handle the missing data

1. Evaluate a model that **allows** the missing data:
ARIMA or automatic ARIMA works fine!
2. Missing values of y_t can be replaced by the conditional mathematical expectation, assuming the estimated parameters of the model to be true,

$$y_t^{imp} = \mathbb{E}(y_t \mid \text{data})$$

For that **Kalman filter** is used

Modelling approach to handle the missing data

1. Evaluate a model that **allows** the missing data:
ARIMA or automatic ARIMA works fine!
2. Missing values of y_t can be replaced by the conditional mathematical expectation, assuming the estimated parameters of the model to be true,

$$y_t^{imp} = \mathbb{E}(y_t \mid \text{data})$$

For that **Kalman filter** is used

Modelling approach to handle the missing data

1. Evaluate a model that **allows** the missing data:
ARIMA or automatic ARIMA works fine!
2. Missing values of y_t can be replaced by the conditional mathematical expectation, assuming the estimated parameters of the model to be true,

$$y_t^{imp} = \mathbb{E}(y_t \mid \text{data})$$

For that **Kalman filter** is used

The ability to evaluate a model on data with missing values is highly dependent on **implementation**

Using STL decomposition

1. We decompose the series with missing data into components:

$$y_t = \text{trend}_t + \text{seasonal}_t + \text{remainder}_t = \text{seasonal}_t + \text{deseason}_t.$$

STL restores the **seasonal component** without the gaps !

Using STL decomposition

1. We decompose the series with missing data into components:

$$y_t = \text{trend}_t + \text{seasonal}_t + \text{remainder}_t = \text{seasonal}_t + \text{deseason}_t.$$

STL restores the **seasonal component** without the gaps !

2. Recover the missing values of the deseasoned series by **linear** interpolation

Using STL decomposition

1. We decompose the series with missing data into components:

$$y_t = \text{trend}_t + \text{seasonal}_t + \text{remainder}_t = \text{seasonal}_t + \text{deseason}_t.$$

STL restores the **seasonal component** without the gaps !

2. Recover the missing values of the deseasoned series by **linear** interpolation
3. The missing values of y_t are replaced by the sum of the restored deseasoned values and the seasonal component,

$$y_t^{imp} = \text{seasonal}_t + \text{deseason}_t^{imp}$$

Why we need to handle the missing data?

- Filling in the gaps is sometimes a **main task**

Why we need to handle the missing data?

- Filling in the gaps is sometimes a **main task**
- Possibility to use **more algorithms** of prediction for the reconstructed series

Why we need to handle the missing data?

- Filling in the gaps is sometimes a **main task**
- Possibility to use **more algorithms** of prediction for the reconstructed series
- Ability to use the restored row **as a predictor**

Handling missing data: Summary

- Linear **interpolation**: simple and fast!

Handling missing data: Summary

- Linear **interpolation**: simple and fast!
- Using **ARIMA** or more complex models

Handling missing data: Summary

- Linear **interpolation**: simple and fast!
- Using **ARIMA** or more complex models
- **STL decomposition** and restoring components

Handling missing data: Summary

- Linear **interpolation**: simple and fast!
- Using **ARIMA** or more complex models
- **STL decomposition** and restoring components
- **Variations** for each algorithm

Anomaly detection

Anomaly detection: Plan

- Which observation is **anomalous**?

Anomaly detection: Plan

- Which observation is **anomalous**?
- Algorithms for **detection and correction** of anomalies

Anomaly detection: Plan

- Which observation is **anomalous**?
- Algorithms for **detection and correction** of anomalies
- **Why** we look for anomalies?

Which observation is considered anomalous?

Which observation is considered anomalous?

Categorizing the observations into anomalous and ordinary one is **subjective**.

Which observation is considered anomalous?

Categorizing the observations into anomalous and ordinary one is **subjective**.

Informally, an anomalous observation **doesn't fit** into the **normal dynamics** of the series.

Which observation is considered anomalous?

Categorizing the observations into anomalous and ordinary one is **subjective**.

Informally, an anomalous observation **doesn't fit** into the **normal dynamics** of the series.

What is considered a "normal dynamics"? What does "not fitting in" mean?

Anomaly detection algorithm

- We take any algorithm that allows us to obtain residuals \hat{u}_t from the series

Anomaly detection algorithm

- We take any algorithm that allows us to obtain residuals \hat{u}_t from the series

Residuals is the difference between the actual value and the prediction within the training set

Anomaly detection algorithm

- We take any algorithm that allows us to obtain residuals \hat{u}_t from the series

Residuals is the difference between the actual value and the prediction within the training set

The *ARIMA*, *ETS*, ...models, as well as the *STL* algorithm will do

Anomaly detection algorithm

- We take any algorithm that allows us to obtain **residuals** \hat{u}_t from the series

Residuals is the difference between the actual value and the prediction within the training set

The *ARIMA*, *ETS*, ...models, as well as the *STL* algorithm will do

- Estimate **standard error** of the residuals

Anomaly detection algorithm

- We take any algorithm that allows us to obtain **residuals** \hat{u}_t from the series

Residuals is the difference between the actual value and the prediction within the training set

The *ARIMA*, *ETS*, ...models, as well as the *STL* algorithm will do

- Estimate **standard error** of the residuals
- If the absolute value of the remainder is greater than **three** standard errors, we consider the observation to be anomalous

Correction of anomalies

Correction of anomalies

We subtract the remainder from the anomalous observation:

$$y_t^{imp} = y_t - \hat{u}_t$$

Why look for anomalous sightings?

- Sometimes anomaly detection is the **main goal**

Why look for anomalous sightings?

- Sometimes anomaly detection is the **main goal**
- Possibility to get **more accurate** predictions for the corrected series

Why look for anomalous sightings?

- Sometimes anomaly detection is the **main goal**
- Possibility to get **more accurate** predictions for the corrected series
- Possibility to get **more accurate** predictions by using the corrected series as a predictor

Anomaly detection: Summary

- We take any algorithm (STL, ARIMA, ETS, ...) that extracts **residual** from the series

Anomaly detection: Summary

- We take any algorithm (STL, ARIMA, ETS, ...) that extracts **residual** from the series
- There are **a bunch** of special algorithms

Anomaly detection: Summary

- We take any algorithm (STL, ARIMA, ETS, ...) that extracts **residual** from the series
- There are **a bunch** of special algorithms
- If the remainder **is large**, then we consider the observation to be anomalous

Anomaly detection: Summary

- We take any algorithm (STL, ARIMA, ETS, ...) that extracts **residual** from the series
- There are **a bunch** of special algorithms
- If the remainder **is large**, then we consider the observation to be anomalous
- To correct the anomalous observation, we replace the its remainder with **zero**

Anomaly detection: Summary

- We take any algorithm (STL, ARIMA, ETS, ...) that extracts **residual** from the series
- There are **a bunch** of special algorithms
- If the remainder **is large**, then we consider the observation to be anomalous
- To correct the anomalous observation, we replace the its remainder with **zero**
- **Correction** of anomalous observations before forecasting can improve forecasts!

Structural break detection

Structural break detection: Plan

- What is structural break?

Structural break detection: Plan

- What is structural break?
- Detecting single structural break

Structural break detection: Plan

- What is structural break?
- Detecting single structural break
- Detecting several structural breaks

What is considered a structural break?

What is considered a structural break?

Division of the time series into periods between structural break points is **subjective**.

What is considered a structural break?

Division of the time series into periods between structural break points is **subjective**.

Informally, at the moment of structural break the behavior (pattern) of the series **changes**.

What is considered a structural break?

Division of the time series into periods between structural break points is **subjective**.

Informally, at the moment of structural break the behavior (pattern) of the series **changes**.

What is considered by "changing"?

The idea of detecting a single break

- We start with a penalty function that measures **heterogeneity** in observations y_a, y_{a+1}, \dots, y_b ,

$$C(y_{a:b})$$

The idea of detecting a single break

- We start with a penalty function that measures **heterogeneity** in observations y_a, y_{a+1}, \dots, y_b ,

$$C(y_{a:b})$$

- Then we iterate over all moments $\tau \in [1; T - 1]$ and find the minimum of the value

$$C(y_{1:\tau}) + C(y_{\tau+1:T})$$

The idea of detecting a single break

- We start with a penalty function that measures **heterogeneity** in observations y_a, y_{a+1}, \dots, y_b ,

$$C(y_{a:b})$$

- Then we iterate over all moments $\tau \in [1; T - 1]$ and find the minimum of the value

$$C(y_{1:\tau}) + C(y_{\tau+1:T})$$

We suspect that the break could be at this point τ^*

The idea of detecting a single break

- We start with a penalty function that measures **heterogeneity** in observations y_a, y_{a+1}, \dots, y_b ,

$$C(y_{a:b})$$

- Then we iterate over all moments $\tau \in [1; T - 1]$ and find the minimum of the value

$$C(y_{1:\tau}) + C(y_{\tau+1:T})$$

We suspect that the break could be at this point τ^*

- We assume that the break was in τ^* if the total heterogeneity of the the two fragments is **significantly** less than the heterogeneity of the entire series,

$$C(y_{1:\tau^*}) + C(y_{\tau^*+1:T}) < C(y_{1:T}) - \beta$$

Choice of penalty function C

- There are many options

Choice of penalty function C

- There are **many** options
- Often we can take the log-likelihood function **of some** model, multiplied by minus two:

$$C(y_{a:b}) = -2 \max_{\theta} \ln L(y_a, \dots, y_b \mid \theta)$$

Choice of penalty function C

- There are **many** options
- Often we can take the log-likelihood function **of some** model, multiplied by minus two:

$$C(y_{a:b}) = -2 \max_{\theta} \ln L(y_a, \dots, y_b \mid \theta)$$

The simplest model: $y_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and are independent

Choice of penalty function C

- There are **many** options
- Often we can take the log-likelihood function **of some** model, multiplied by minus two:

$$C(y_{a:b}) = -2 \max_{\theta} \ln L(y_a, \dots, y_b \mid \theta)$$

The simplest model: $y_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and are independent

- The choice of the C function is related to the choice of β when checking for a break at the assumed break point τ^* ,

$$C(y_{1:\tau^*}) + C(y_{\tau^*+1:T}) < C(y_{1:T}) - \beta$$

Choice of penalty function C

- There are **many** options
- Often we can take the log-likelihood function **of some** model, multiplied by minus two:

$$C(y_{a:b}) = -2 \max_{\theta} \ln L(y_a, \dots, y_b \mid \theta)$$

The simplest model: $y_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and are independent

- The choice of the C function is related to the choice of β when checking for a break at the assumed break point τ^* ,

$$C(y_{1:\tau^*}) + C(y_{\tau^*+1:T}) < C(y_{1:T}) - \beta$$

The more parameters in θ , the larger β should be

How to detect many structural breaks?

- Run algorithm to detect **single** structural break

How to detect many structural breaks?

- Run algorithm to detect **single** structural break
If the algorithm did not detect a break, then we consider that there are no structural break in the series

How to detect many structural breaks?

- Run algorithm to detect **single** structural break
If the algorithm did not detect a break, then we consider that there are no structural break in the series
- Else divide the original series into **two sections** according to the detected structural break

How to detect many structural breaks?

- Run algorithm to detect **single** structural break
If the algorithm did not detect a break, then we consider that there are no structural break in the series
- Else divide the original series into **two sections** according to the detected structural break
- Then **recursively** run the detection algorithm for one structural break to **each** of the detected subsections

Transformations before the search

The structural break can be **easier** to detect on the transformed series

- **Simple transformations** of the initial series: logarithm, Box-Cox transformation, transition to differences

Transformations before the search

The structural break can be **easier** to detect on the transformed series

- **Simple transformations** of the initial series: logarithm, Box-Cox transformation, transition to differences
- Decomposition of the series: *STL*, *ETS*, ...

Why look for structural breaks?

- Sometimes structural break detection is the **main goal**

Why look for structural breaks?

- Sometimes structural break detection is the **main goal**
- Ability to get **more accurate** forecasts if a dummy variable (equal to one after the break) is added to the set of predictors

Why look for structural breaks?

- Sometimes structural break detection is the **main goal**
- Ability to get **more accurate** forecasts if a dummy variable (equal to one after the break) is added to the set of predictors
- Possibility to get **more accurate** forecasts of other series if corrected for the structural break series is used as the predictor

Structural break detection: Summary

- There are **many** specialized algorithms

Structural break detection: Summary

- There are **many** specialized algorithms
- Does the **sum of inhomogeneities** on the left and right sections to the possible break strongly differ from the heterogeneity of the entire series?

Structural break detection: Summary

- There are **many** specialized algorithms
- Does the **sum of inhomogeneities** on the left and right sections to the possible break strongly differ from the heterogeneity of the entire series?
- To find **several** breaks, it is enough to search for the next break in the already identified subsections of the series

Structural break detection: Summary

- There are **many** specialized algorithms
- Does the **sum of inhomogeneities** on the left and right sections to the possible break strongly differ from the heterogeneity of the entire series?
- To find **several** breaks, it is enough to search for the next break in the already identified subsections of the series
- *STL* decomposition allows you to search for **breaks in the components** of a series