Metaprogramming with Macros

Eugene Burmako

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne http://scalamacros.org/

10 September 2012

The essence of macros

Macros are programmable code transformers

Example

Here we declare *let*, a Lisp function. Since it is declared as a macro, it's automatically plugged into the Lisp evaluator.

We can say that the evaluator installs a macro transformer implemented by the body of the macro into a slot named *let*.

Example

When the evaluator encounters a form that is an application of *let*, it yields control to the corresponding macro transformer, passing it the tail of the form.

Example

```
(defmacro let args
  (cons
   (cons 'lambda
         (cons (map car (car args))
               (cdr args)))
   (map cadr (car args))))
(let ((x 40) (y 2)) (print (+ x y)))
((lambda (x y) (print (+ x y))) (40 2))
```

The macro transformer takes the forms passed by the evaluator, and computes a resulting form (this is called *macro expansion*).

After that the evaluator proceeds with the form produced by macro expansion. The value of the new form is returned as the value of the original form.

Use cases

- Deeply embedded DSLs (database access, testing)
- Optimization (programmable inlining, fusion)
- Analysis (integrated proof-checker)
- Effects (effect containment and propagation)

Use cases

- Deeply embedded DSLs (database access, testing)
- Optimization (programmable inlining, fusion)
- Analysis (integrated proof-checker)
- Effects (effect containment and propagation)

Actually these use cases come from our experience with macros in Scala, which we developed this year.

All the aforementioned scenarios are either already supported by Scala macros or will be supported in vNext!

7

Challenges in macrology

Macros in Template Haskel

Macros in Nemerle

Macros in Racket

Macros in Scala

Setting the stage

In this talk we'll be looking into macros for compiled programming languages, i.e. macros as extensions to compilers.

Different combinations of junction points (function applications, code annotations, custom grammar rules, etc) and tightness of the integration (parser, namer, typer, etc) produce different challenges.

Today we're going to focus on a particular challenge in macrology: tackling syntactic abstractions. These slides discuss the ways to represent, analyze and generate code.

Revisiting *let*

```
(defmacro let args
  (cons
   (cons 'lambda
         (cons (map car (car args))
               (cdr args)))
   (map cadr (car args))))
(let ((x 40) (y 2)) (print (+ x y)))
((lambda (x y) (print (+ x y))) (40 2))
```

The code here is quite impenetrable. Without color coding it would be hard to understand the supposed structure of input and output.

Improving let

```
(defmacro let (decls body)
    '((lambda ,(map car decls) ,body) ,@(map cadr decls)))

(let ((x 40) (y 2)) (print (+ x y)))
((lambda (x y) (print (+ x y))) (40 2))
```

This snippet represents a shift towards declarativeness. Arguments of let are now pattern-matched in the macro signature. The shape of resulting form is encoded in a template.

Backquote, the template-building operator, is called *quasiquote*. By default code inside the quasiquote is not evaluated and is copied into the output verbatim (note that we no longer have to quote lambda).

Comma and comma-at are called *unquote* operators. They temporarily cancel the effect of quasiquoting, demarcating "holes" in templates.

Challenges in macrology

Macros in Template Haskell

Macros in Nemerle

Macros in Racket

Macros in Scala

Challenges in macrology

Macros in Template Haskell

Macros in Nemerle

Macros in Racket

Macros in Scala

Challenges in macrology

Macros in Template Haskell

Macros in Nemerle

Macros in Racket

Macros in Scala

Challenges in macrology

Macros in Template Haskel

Macros in Nemerle

Macros in Racket

Macros in Scala

Challenges in macrology

Macros in Template Haskell

Macros in Nemerle

Macros in Racket

Macros in Scala