

## A PROOF OF THEOREM 3.8

To provide the proof, we first show some definitions and prepositions from [37]:

*Definition A.1 (Partial Order).* Let  $S$  and  $L$  be two sequences. Then, if for some  $k$ ,  $L^k = S$  we say that  $S$  is a pre-sequence of  $L$  and write  $S \sqsubseteq L$ . If  $k < n$ , we say that  $S$  is a proper pre-sequence of  $L$ .

Then we have the Prepositions 3.5, 3.9, 4.1 from [37] as following:

**PROPOSITION 1.** *A function  $F(S)$  on a sequence  $S$  can be computed using a non-blocking operator, if and only if  $F$  is monotonic with respect to the partial ordering  $\sqsubseteq$  defined in Definition A.1.*

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $F$  be a function that is valid on both sequences and sets. Then, if  $F$  is a function on sequences that is monotonic with respect to the pre-sequence partial order  $\sqsubseteq$  in Definition A.1, then the set function  $F$  is monotonic with respect to set containment.*

**PROPOSITION 3.** *A function  $F$  on relations can be computed using a non-blocking operator if and only if  $F$  is monotonic with respect to the set containment ordering.*

Based on such prepositions, we can have the following proofs: Given operator  $G$  and  $i < j$ , we have  $G^i(S) \subseteq G^j(S)$  based on the accumulative definition. If  $G$  is non-blocking operator, we then have  $G^i(S) = G(S^i)$  and  $G^j(S) = G(S^j)$ , then we have  $G(S^i) \subseteq G(S^j)$ . If we have  $G(S^i) \subseteq G(S^j)$  for any  $i, j$ , then we  $G^i(S^i) \subseteq G^j(S^j)$ . Thus, the operator  $G$  at step  $i + 1$  returns all the tuples that are contained in  $G^{i+1}(S^{i+1})$  but were not in  $G^i(S^i)$ , then  $G$  is non-blocking.

## B PROOF OF THEOREM 3.10

Given a stream  $S$ , where the recursion operator  $G$  does not contain aggregates, we want to show  $G$  is monotonic w.r.t. the set containment ordering  $\subseteq$ . Before step  $i$ , the operator  $G$  already seen the tuples in  $S^{i-1}$  and the recursion must reach the fixpoint which output  $G^{i-1}(S^{i-1})$ . Similarity, after the step  $i$ , the operator comes the output  $G^i(S^i)$ . Thus, we could obtain that  $G^{i-1}(S^{i-1}) \subseteq G^i(S^i)$  since  $S^{i-1} \subseteq S^i$ .

Based on the fixpoint semantics, we could assert that  $G^{i-1}(S^{i-1}) = G(S^{i-1})$  and  $G^i(S^i) = G(S^i)$  since the fixpoint only depends on the input rather than the calculation orders. Then, we have  $G(S^{i-1}) \subseteq G(S^i)$ . Thus,  $G(S^i) \subseteq G(S^j)$  is established by mathematics induction. Based on the theorem 3.8, we know the recursion operator is non-blocking.

## C THE PREM PROPERTY

To address the problems raised above, the Pre-Mappability(PRE-M) property [64] provides formal semantics for pushing extrema aggregates, i.e. max and min, into recursion while preserving the semantics of the original stratified program. As shown in Definition C.1, its definition is based on viewing a Datalog program as function  $T(R)$  where  $T$  is a relational algebra expression, and  $R$  is the vector of relations used in the expression.

*Definition C.1 (PREM).* Given a function  $T(R_1, \dots, R_k)$  defined by relational algebra and a constraint  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$  is said to be Pre-Mappable to  $T$  if the following property holds:

$$\gamma(T(R_1, \dots, R_k)) = \gamma(T(\gamma(R_1), \dots, \gamma(R_k))).$$

For instance, if  $T$  denotes the union operator, and  $\gamma$  denotes the min or max constraint, we can pre-map (i.e., push)  $\gamma$  to the relations taking part in the union. The PREM property that has proven so useful in parallel and distributed data processing of extrema, is also critical in resolving the non-monotonic conundrum created by their presence in recursion.

## D PROOF OF THEOREM 3.11

The recursion operator  $G$  with aggregation satisfying the PreM properties is also a non-blocking operator.

**PROOF.** We consider the operator  $G$  consists of two part : a recursion operator  $T$  without aggregation and inner aggregate operation  $\gamma$ . Based on the Theorem 3.10, we already know that the recursive operator  $T$  following the non-blocking properties. During each window, the aggregation  $\gamma$  can be moved out of  $T$  based on the PreM properties, i.e.  $\gamma(T(\gamma)) = \gamma(T)$ . For the stream not expiring tuples, the aggregation  $\gamma$  out of the  $T$  can be further interpreted as the monotonic aggregation on the stream, thus is also a non-blocking operator. Moreover, the expiration of tuples on the streams can be considered as the negation, which therefore following the PCWA assumption and also won't influence the non-blocking properties. Thus, the recursion operator  $G$  with aggregation satisfying the PreM properties is also a non-blocking operator.  $\square$