```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
    [] => []
    | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

## Programming Languages Dan Grossman

**Duck Typing** 

## Duck Typing

"If it walks like a duck and quacks like a duck, it's a duck"

Or don't worry that it may not be a duck

When writing a method you might think, "I need a **Foo** argument" but really you need an object with enough methods similar to **Foo**'s methods that your method works

 Embracing duck typing is always making method calls rather than assuming/testing the class of arguments

Plus: More code reuse; very OOP approach

What messages an object receive is "all that matters"

Minus: Almost nothing is equivalent

- x+x versus x\*2 versus 2\*x
- Callers may assume a lot about how callees are implemented

## Duck Typing Example

```
def mirror_update pt
  pt.x = pt.x * (-1)
end
```

- Natural thought: "Takes a Point object (definition not shown here), negates the x value"
  - Makes sense, though a Point instance method more OOP
- Closer: "Takes anything with getter and setter methods for @x instance variable and multiplies the x field by -1"
- Closer: "Takes anything with methods x= and x and calls x= with the result of multiplying result of x and -1"
- Duck typing: "Takes anything with method x= and x where result of x has a \* method that can take -1. Sends result of calling x the \* message with -1 and sends that result to x="

## With our example

```
def mirror_update pt
  pt.x = pt.x * (-1)
end
```

- Plus: Maybe mirror\_update is useful for classes we did not anticipate
- Minus: If someone does use (abuse?) duck typing here, then we cannot change the implementation of mirror\_update
  - For example, to pt.x
- Better (?) example: Can pass this method a number, a string, or a MyRational
   def double x

x + x end