```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
      [] => []
      | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman

Overriding and Dynamic Dispatch

Overriding

- ThreeDPoint is more interesting than ColorPoint because it overrides distFromOrigin and distFromOrigin2
 - Gets code reuse, but highly disputable if it is appropriate to say a ThreeDPoint "is a" Point
 - Still just avoiding copy/paste

```
class ThreeDPoint < Point
  def initialize(x,y,z)
    super(x,y)
    0z = z
  end
  def distFromOrigin # distFromOrigin2 similar
    d = super
    Math.sqrt(d*d + @z*@z)
  end
end
```

So far...

- With examples so far, objects are not so different from closures
 - Multiple methods rather than just "call me"
 - Explicit instance variables rather than environment where function is defined
 - Inheritance avoids helper functions or code copying
 - "Simple" overriding just replaces methods
- But there is one big difference:

Overriding can make a method defined in the superclass call a method in the subclass

The essential difference of OOP, studied carefully next lecture

Example: Equivalent except constructor

```
class PolarPoint < Point</pre>
  def initialize(r,theta)
    0r = r
    @theta = theta
  end
  def x
    @r * Math.cos(@theta)
  end
  def v
    @r * Math.sin(@theta)
  end
  def distFromOrigin
    @r
  end
end
```

- Also need to define x= and y= (see code file)
- Key punchline: distFromOrigin2, defined in Point, "already works"

```
def distFromOrigin2
  Math.sqrt(x*x+y*y)
end
```

 Why: calls to self are resolved in terms of the object's class