```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
    [] => []
    | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman

Class Definitions are Dynamic

Changing classes

- Ruby programs (or the REPL) can add/change/replace methods while a program is running
- Breaks abstractions and makes programs very difficult to analyze, but it does have plausible uses
 - Simple example: Add a useful helper method to a class you did not define
 - Controversial in large programs, but may be useful
- For us: Helps re-enforce "the rules of OOP"
 - Every object has a class
 - A class determines its instances' behavior

Examples

- Add a double method to our MyRational class
- Add a double method to the built-in FixNum class
- Defining top-level methods adds to the built-in Object class
 - Or replaces methods
- Replace the + method in the built-in FixNum class
 - Oops: watch irb crash

The moral

- Dynamic features cause interesting semantic questions
- Example:
 - First create an instance of class C, e.g., x = C.new
 - Now replace method method m in C
 - Now call x.m

Old method or new method? In Ruby, new method

The point is Java/C#/C++ do not have to ask the question

May allow more optimized method-call implementations as a result