

# ECON 613: Applied Econometrics

## Methods for Cross-sectional Data

January 29, 2019

# Introduction

Binary response models are models where the variable to be explained  $y$  is a random variable taking on the values zero and one which indicate whether or not a certain event has occurred.

- ▶  $y = 1$  if a person is employed
- ▶  $y = 1$  if a family contributes to a charity during a particular year
- ▶  $y = 1$  if a firm has a particular type of pension plan
- ▶  $y = 1$  if a worker goes to college
- ▶ Regardless of what  $y$  stands for, we refer to  $y = 1$  as a success and  $y = 0$  as a failure.

An OLS regression of  $y$  on dependent variables denoted  $x$  ignores the discreteness of the dependent variable and does not constrain predicted probabilities to be between zero and one.

## Linear Probability Model (1)

$$P(y = 1) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k. \quad (1)$$

- ▶ If  $x_1$  is continuous,  $\beta_1$  is the change in the probability of success given one unit increase in  $x_1$
- ▶ If  $x_1$  is discrete,  $\beta_1$  is the difference in the probability of success when  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_1 = 0$ , holding other  $x_j$  fixed.

## Linear Probability Model (2)

Given that  $y$  is a random variable (Bernoulli), we also have

$$E(y \mid x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k. \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Var}(y \mid x) = x\beta(1 - x\beta) \quad (3)$$

Implications:

- ▶ OLS regression of  $y$  on  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  produces consistent and unbiased estimators of the  $\beta_j$ .
- ▶ Heteroskedasticity, which can be dealt with using standard heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors.
- ▶ Problem: OLS fitted values may not be between zero and one.

# Maximum Likelihood Estimation: Logit and Probit Models

For binary outcome data, the dependent variable  $y$  takes one of two values. We let

$$y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability } p \\ 0, & \text{with probability } 1 - p \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Parametrize conditional probabilities:

$$p_i = F_{\epsilon}(X\beta) \quad (5)$$

And, Marginal Effects

$$\frac{\partial \Pr(y_i = 1 \mid x_i)}{\partial x_{ij}} = F'_{\epsilon}(X\beta)\beta_j \quad (6)$$

## Probit Model (1)

The probit model corresponds to the case where  $F(x)$  is the cumulative standard normal distribution function

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}X^2\right) dX \quad (7)$$

Where  $F(X\beta) = \Phi(X\beta)$ .

## Probit Model (2)

- ▶ Consider the latent approach

$$y^{\star} = X\beta + \epsilon \quad (8)$$

where  $\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$ . Think of  $y^{\star}$  as the net utility associated with some action. If the action yields positive net utility, it is undertaken otherwise it is not.

- ▶ We would care only about the sign of  $y^{\star}$

$$y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \\ 0, & \text{with probability } 1 - p \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

- ▶ Probabilities

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(y = 1) &= Pr(y^{\star} > 0) = Pr(X\beta + \epsilon \geq 0) \\ &= Pr(\epsilon \geq -X\beta) = Pr(\epsilon \leq X\beta) = \Phi(X\beta) \end{aligned}$$

## Logit Model

The logit model specifies the cdf function  $F(x)$  is now the logistic function

$$\Lambda(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)} = \frac{\exp(x)}{1 + \exp(x)} \quad (10)$$

The logit model is most easily derived by assuming that

$$\log\left(\frac{P}{1-P}\right) = X\beta \quad (11)$$

The logarithm of the odds (ratio of two probabilities) is equal to  $X\beta$



# Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- ▶ Likelihood can not be defined as a joint density function.
- ▶ Outcome of a Bernoulli trial

$$f(y_i | x_i) = p_i^{y_i} (1 - p_i)^{1-y_i} \quad (12)$$

- ▶ Given the independence of individuals, the likelihood can be written

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n F(x_i \beta)^{y_i} (1 - F(x_i \beta))^{1-y_i} \quad (13)$$

# Log Likelihood

- ▶ The log likelihood

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \ln F(x_i \beta) + (1 - y_i) \ln(1 - F(x_i \beta)) \quad (14)$$

- ▶ First order conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i - F(x_i \beta)}{F(x_i \beta)(1 - F(x_i \beta))} F'(x_i \beta) x_i = 0 \quad (15)$$

# Empirical considerations

- ▶ Probit and logit yield same outcomes. Only difference is how parameters are scaled.
- ▶ The natural metric to compare models is the fitted log-likelihood provided that the models have the same number of parameters.
- ▶ Although estimated parameters are different, marginal effects are quite similar.

## Pseudo R<sup>2</sup>

$$R_{\text{Binary}}^2 = 1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\beta})}{N[\bar{y}\ln\bar{y} + (1 - \bar{y})\ln(1 - \bar{y})]} \quad (16)$$

# Predicted Outcomes

- ▶ The criterion  $\sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$  gives the number of wrong predictions.
  - ▶ average rule: let  $\hat{y} = 1$  when  $\hat{p} = F(X\beta) > 0.5$
  - ▶ Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curve plots the fractions of  $y = 1$  correctly classified against the fractions of  $y = 0$  incorrectly specified as the cutoffs  $\hat{p} = F(X\beta) > c$  varies.

## Example: Affairs

Infidelity data, known as Fair's Affairs. Cross-section data from a survey conducted by Psychology Today in 1969.

Table: Descriptive Characteristics

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Pctl(25)	Pctl(75)	Max
affairs	601	1.5	3.3	0	0	0	12
age	601	32.5	9.3	18	27	37	57
yearsmarried	601	8.2	5.6	0	4	15	15
religiousness	601	3.1	1.2	1	2	4	5
education	601	16.2	2.4	9	14	18	20
occupation	601	4.2	1.8	1	3	6	7
rating	601	3.9	1.1	1	3	5	5

# Understanding the determinants of having an affair

Table:

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>					
	Affairs					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
l(gender)male	0.140 (0.110)	0.120 (0.110)	0.210* (0.120)	0.200* (0.120)	0.200* (0.120)	0.210 (0.130)
l(age)		0.007 (0.006)	-0.024** (0.010)	-0.023** (0.010)	-0.023** (0.010)	-0.023** (0.010)
l(yearsmarried)			0.066*** (0.017)	0.055*** (0.018)	0.064*** (0.019)	0.064*** (0.019)
l(children)yes				0.250 (0.160)	0.270* (0.160)	0.270* (0.160)
l(religiousness)					-0.200*** (0.051)	-0.200*** (0.051)
l(education)						-0.005 (0.026)
Constant	-0.740*** (0.078)	-0.970*** (0.200)	-0.560** (0.240)	-0.670*** (0.250)	-0.150 (0.280)	-0.067 (0.480)
Log Likelihood	-337.000	-336.000	-328.000	-327.000	-319.000	-318.000

Note:

\*  $p < 0.1$ ; \*\*  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$

## Identification considerations

- ▶  $\beta$  is identified up to a scale.
- ▶ We observe only whether  $X\beta + \epsilon > 0$ .
- ▶ Implication for the interpretation of the coefficients.