

ECON 613: Applied Econometrics

Methods

January 21, 2019

Overview: Linear Models

- ▶ Study the relationship between an outcome variable y and a set of regressors x .
 - ▶ Conditional Prediction.
 - ▶ Causal inference.
 - ▶ Example: propensity to consume.
- ▶ Loss function approach

$$L(e) = L(y - \hat{y})$$

where $\hat{y} = E(y \mid x)$ is a predictor of y , and the error $e = y - \hat{y}$

Squared Loss Function

- ▶ Squared error loss: $L(e) = e^2$
- ▶ Optimization problem

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_i^N (y_i - f(x_i, \beta))^2$$

Linear Prediction

- ▶ $E[y \mid x] = x'\beta$
- ▶ OLS

$$y = x\beta + e$$

- ▶ Derivation

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta) &= (y - x\beta)'(y - x\beta) \\ &= y'y - 2y'x\beta + \beta'X'X\beta \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = -2x'y + 2x'x\beta = 0$$

- ▶ Formula

$$\hat{\beta} = (x'x)^{-1}x'y$$

Properties

see 4.4.4 and 4.4.5.

Properties of an estimator

- ▶ Unbiasedness: $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$.
- ▶ Consistency: $\text{plim}\hat{\theta}_n = \theta$.
- ▶ Efficiency: Reach Cramer-Rao lower bound asymptotically.

Codes

////R

```
fitR = lm(Y~X)
```

////Matlab

```
fitM = fitlm(X,Y,'linear')
```

////Stata

```
fitM = reg Y X
```

Codes

```
////R
```

```
#### define X matrix and y vector
```

```
X = as.matrix(cbind(1,X))
```

```
y = as.matrix(Y)
```

```
#### estimate the coefficients beta
```

```
#### beta = ((X'X)^(-1))X'y
```

```
beta = solve(t(X)%*%X)%*%t(X)%*%y
```


Maximum Likelihood

GMM

Bootstrap

Numerical Optimization

Introduction to MLE

Consider a parametric model in which the joint distribution of $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ has a density $\ell(y, \theta)$ with respect to a measure μ . Then consider $P_\theta = \ell(y, \theta)\mu$ where $\theta \in \Theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Once $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is observed, the maximum likelihood method consists of estimating the parameter θ a value $\hat{\theta}(y)$ that maximizes the likelihood function $\theta \rightarrow \ell(y, \theta)$. Formally, a maximum likelihood estimator of θ is a solution to the maximization problem

$$\max_{\theta} \ell(Y; \theta)$$

or

$$\max_{\theta} \log(\ell(Y; \theta))$$

Feasible examples: Poisson distribution

Consider a dependent variable that takes only non negative integer values $0, 1, 2, \dots$, and one assumes that the dependent variable follows a Poisson distribution, and we wishes to estimate the Poisson parameter.

- ▶ Given $y_i \sim f(\lambda, y_i) = \frac{\exp(-\lambda)\lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!}$
- ▶ Likelihood $\mathcal{L}(y; \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\exp(-\lambda)\lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!} = \frac{\exp(-N\lambda)\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^N y_i!}$
- ▶ Log likelihood
 $\log \mathcal{L}(y; \lambda) = -N\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \log(\lambda) - \sum_{i=1}^N \log(y_i!)$
- ▶ Estimate

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{L}(y; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \implies \hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i}{N}$$

Feasible examples: Least Squares

- ▶ Normality assumption $e \sim \mathbb{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, then $y \sim \mathbb{N}(x\beta, \sigma^2)$.
- ▶ Likelihood $L(\beta) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \exp(-0.5\sigma^{-2}(y - x\beta)'(y - x\beta))$
- ▶ log likelihood $\log L(\beta) = -\frac{N}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - x\beta)'(y - x\beta)$
- ▶ $\beta = (x'x)^{-1}x'y$

Some difficulties

- ▶ Non-uniqueness of the Likelihood Function
- ▶ Non-existence of a solution to the Maximization Problem
- ▶ Multiple Solutions to the Maximization Problem

Asymptotic Properties (1): Convergence

Definition

Under a set of regularity conditions, there exists a sequence of maximum likelihood estimators converging almost surely to the true parameter value θ_0

- ▶ The variables $Y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ are independent and identically distributed with density $f(y; \theta), \theta \in \Theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- ▶ The parameter space Θ is compact.
- ▶ The log likelihood function $\mathcal{L}(y, \theta)$ is continuous in θ and is a measurable function of y .
- ▶ The log-likelihood function is such that $(1/n)\mathcal{L}_n(y, \theta)$ converges surely to $E_{\theta_0} \log(f(Y_i; \theta))$ uniformly in $\theta \in \Theta$. $E_{\theta_0} \log(f(Y_i; \theta))$ exists.

Asymptotic Properties (2): Asymptotic Normality

- ▶ The log likelihood function $\mathcal{L}_n(\theta)$ is twice continuously differentiable in an open neighborhood of θ_0
- ▶ The matrix (Fisher Information Matrix)

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\theta_0) = E_{\theta_0} \left(-\frac{\partial^2 \log f(Y_1; \theta_0)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right)$$

Definition

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}(0, \mathcal{I}_1(\theta_0)^{-1}).$$

Concentrated Likelihood Function

Definition

Let the parameter set $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$. The solutions $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$ to the maximization problem $\max_{\alpha, \beta} \log \mathcal{L}(y; \alpha, \beta)$ can be obtained via the following two-step procedure:

- a) Maximize the log-likelihood function with respect α given β . The maximum value is attained for values of α in a set $A(\beta)$ depending on the parameter β . Thus, if $\alpha \in A(\beta)$, the log-likelihood value is

$$\log \mathcal{L}_c(y; \beta) = \max_{\alpha} \log \mathcal{L}(y; \alpha, \beta)$$

The mapping $\log \mathcal{L}_c$ is called the concentrated (in α) log likelihood function.

- b) In a second step, maximize the concentrated log-likelihood function with respect to β .

Application

Consider the likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}(y, \beta, \sigma) = -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - x\beta)'(y - x\beta)$$

► First step

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(y; \beta, \sigma)}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^3} (y - x\beta)'(y - x\beta) = 0$$

Then

$$\sigma^2(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} (y - x\beta)'(y - x\beta)$$

► Substituting $\sigma^2(\beta)$ into the likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}_c(y, \beta, \sigma) = -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{1}{n} (y - x\beta)'(y - x\beta) - \frac{n}{2}$$

Hypothesis Testing

Three procedures to do tests

Likelihood Ratio

- ▶ The likelihood ratio statistic is

$$LR = 2(\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \ell(\tilde{\theta}))$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\theta}$ are the restricted and unrestricted maximum likelihood estimates of θ .

- ▶ Wilk's theorem shows that

$$LR \sim \chi^2(r)$$

where r is the number of restrictions.

Additional Tests

- ▶ Wald Test
- ▶ LM test

We will see in GMM.

In practice

- ▶ The regularity conditions are strong.
- ▶ What happens if we weaken them?

Problem 1

Number of parameters increases with the number of observations

- ▶ Convergence holds
- ▶ Estimates may be biased

Problem 2

True parameter value θ_0 does not belong to Θ : The model is misspecified

- Convergence holds to a parameter that is not the true parameter.

Problem 3

Correlated Observations

- ▶ Convergence does not hold.

Problem 3

Discontinuity of the likelihood function

- ▶ Numerical problems.

Maximum Likelihood

GMM

Bootstrap

Numerical Optimization

Method of Moments

- Orthogonality condition in Linear Models

$$E(x(y' - x)) = 0 \quad (1)$$

- Moment Condition

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_i x_i (y_i - x' \beta) \quad (2)$$

- Moment Estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{MM}} = \left(\sum_i x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_i x_i y_i \right) \quad (3)$$

Nonlinear Model

- ▶ Consider

$$Y_i = g(X_i, b_0) + u_i$$

- ▶ Orthogonality Condition

$$E[X'(y - g(X, b_0))] = 0$$

- ▶ Moments condition

$$E_0 h(Y, X, a_0) = 0$$

- ▶ The function h is H -dimensional and the parameter a is of size K .

Formal Idea

Definition

The basic idea of generalized method of moments is to choose a value for a such that the sample mean is closest to zero.

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(Y_i, X_i, a)$$

Formal Definition

Definition

Let \mathbb{S}_n be an $(H \times H)$ symmetric positive definite matrix that may depend on the observations. The generalized method of moments (GMM) estimator associated with \mathbb{S}_n is a solution $\tilde{a}_n(\mathbb{S}_n)$ to the problem

$$\min_a \left[\sum_{i=1}^n h(Y_i, X_i, a) \right]' \mathbb{S}_n \left[\sum_{i=1}^n h(Y_i, X_i, a) \right]$$

Assumptions

- H1 The variables (Y_i, X_i) are independent and identically distributed.
- H2 The expectation $E_0 h(Y, X, a)$ exists and is zero when a is equal to the true value a_0 of the parameter of interest.
- H3 The matrix S_n converges almost surely to a nonrandom matrix S_0
- H4 The parameter a_0 is identified from the equality constraints, i.e. $E_0 h(Y, X, a)' S_0 E_0 h(Y, X, a) = 0$
- H5 The parameter value a_0 is known to belong to a compact set \mathcal{A}
- H6 The quantity $(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n h(Y_i, X_i, a)$ converges almost surely and uniformly in a to $E_0 h(Y, X, a)$
- H7 The function $h(Y, X, a)$ is continuous in a
- H8 The matrix $\left[E_0 \frac{h(Y, X, a)}{\partial a} \right]' S_0 \left[E_0 \frac{h(Y, X, a)}{\partial a'} \right]$ is nonsingular, which implies $H \geq K$.

Asymptotic Normality

Under the assumptions, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{a}_n(\mathbb{S}_n) - a_0) \sim \mathbb{N}(0, \Sigma(\mathbb{S}_0))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\mathbb{S}_0) = & \left(\left[E_0 \frac{h(Y, X, a)}{\partial a} \right]' \mathbb{S}_0 \left[E_0 \frac{h(Y, X, a)}{\partial a'} \right] \right)^{-1} \\ & \left(\left[E_0 \frac{h(Y, X, a)}{\partial a} \right]' \mathbb{S}_0 V_0(h(Y, X, a_0)) \mathbb{S}_0 \left[E_0 \frac{h(Y, X, a)}{\partial a'} \right] \right)^{-1} \\ & \left(\left[E_0 \frac{h(Y, X, a)}{\partial a} \right]' \mathbb{S}_0 \left[E_0 \frac{h(Y, X, a)}{\partial a'} \right] \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Optimal GMM

- ▶ S_0 is not known.
- ▶ Two-step procedure
 - ▶ Estimate

$$\min_a \left[\sum_{i=1}^n h(Y_i, X_i, a) \right]' I \left[\sum_{i=1}^n h(Y_i, X_i, a) \right]$$

where I is the identity matrix, and recover \hat{a} .

- ▶ Matrix of variance/covariance

$$\hat{S} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^n h(Y_i, X_i, \hat{a}) h(Y_i, X_i, \hat{a})'$$

Relationship to IV.

- ▶ Nonlinear 2SLS is a very good application of GMM.

Inference

- ▶ Over identification test see iv section.

Applications

- ▶ Matrix of variance/covariance in practice
- ▶ Indirect Inference
- ▶ Simulated method of moments