

# Homework 1

Due: Monday, September 19th by 11:59 PM ET

- To fulfill the **collaboration requirement**, clearly write the name(s) of collaborators on the top of your first page. Remember that you must **write up your own solutions independently**.
- Please make sure your submission is **easily readable**. Typed solutions are accepted.
- You can use any result proved in the course text, in class, or on a previous homework question provided you **clearly mention** the result you are using.

**Assigned Readings** 0.2-0.3, 1.1-1.2

## Chapter 0 Exercises

**Problem 1** (5 points) Let  $A, B, C$  be sets. Prove the following set relation properties:

- (i) (*Transitivity of set inclusion*) If  $A \supset B$  and  $B \supset C$ , then  $A \supset C$
- (ii) (*Transitivity of set equality*) If  $A = B$  and  $B = C$ , then  $A = C$

**Problem 2** (5 points) For each function, determine if it is (i) injective and (ii) surjective. Don't forget to justify your answer with a proof.

- (a)  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$  where  $f(x) := 1/x$
- (b)  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by  $g(x) := \lfloor x \rfloor$ , where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the *floor* function which 'rounds down', i.e. returns the largest integer less than or equal to  $x$ .

**Problem 3** (6 points) For  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\mathbb{N}^p := \mathbb{N} \times \dots \times \mathbb{N}$  ( $p$  times) to be the set of  $p$ -tuples of natural numbers, i.e.  $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p$ .

- (a) Let  $f_2 : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the bijection defined in example 0.3.31, so  $f_2(1, 1) = 1$ ,  $f_2(1, 2) = 2$ , etc...  
Define a function  $f_3 : \mathbb{N}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^2$  by  $f_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) := (n_1, f_2(n_2, n_3))$ . Show that  $f_3$  is a bijection.
- (b) Show using induction that  $\mathbb{N}^p$  is countable for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$   
(*Note:* It is possible prove this without induction, but you should practice using induction for this problem.)

**Problem 4** (6 points) Prove Proposition 0.3.16: Consider  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Let  $C, D$  be subsets of  $A$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(C \cup D) &= f(C) \cup f(D) \\ f(C \cap D) &\subset f(C) \cap f(D) \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, find a function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and sets  $C, D$  such that  $f(C \cap D) \not\subset f(C) \cap f(D)$ .

## Chapter 1 Exercises

**Problem 5** (6 points) Let  $E = (\infty, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < b\}$  where  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Compute  $\sup E$  and  $\inf E$  if they exist, or prove that  $E$  is unbounded above/below if they do not exist. Don't forget to justify your answer by proof.

(Note: do not use the extended reals for this problem)

**Problem 6** (6 points) Suppose  $A, B$  are non-empty sets that are both bounded above and below, and furthermore that  $A \subset B$ . Prove that

$$\inf B \leq \inf A \leq \sup A \leq \sup B$$

**Problem 7** (6 points) Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be bounded above, and let  $c = \sup B$ . Prove the following statements:

- (a)  $c$  is unique; that is, if  $c'$  is also a supremum of  $B$ , then  $c = c'$
- (b) For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $x > c$  then  $x \notin B$

**Problem 8** (5 points each) Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a non-empty subset which is bounded above and below. Let  $c = \sup B$  and  $d = \inf B$ :

- (a) For all real numbers  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in B$  such that  $c - \varepsilon < x \leq c$
- (b) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $[d, d + \varepsilon) \cap B$  is non-empty.

(Hint: The first statement (a) takes the form of a nested quantifier, “ $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \infty), \exists x \in B$  s.t.  $P(\varepsilon, x)$  is true”. The negation of this double quantifier is “ $\exists \varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$  s.t.  $\forall x \in B, P(\varepsilon, x)$  is false”. This can be seen through ‘abstract logic’ by negating the statement “ $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \infty), Q(\varepsilon)$  is true” where  $Q(\varepsilon)$  is the predicate “ $\exists x \in B$  s.t.  $P(\varepsilon, x)$  is true”.

In plain English, the negation of (a) would be “there exists a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $x \in B$ ,  $x \leq c - \varepsilon$  or  $x > c$ ”. One way to prove (a) is to assume the negation of (a), then prove a contradiction.

To prove (b), try converting it to a statement similar to (a). Note that (b) provides a “geometric” interpretation of a double quantifier statement.)