

## Homework 1 Solutions

Due: Monday, September 19th by 11:59 PM ET

### Chapter 0 Exercises

**Problem 1** (5 points) Let  $A, B, C$  be sets. Prove the following set relation properties:

- (i) (*Transitivity of set inclusion*) If  $A \supset B$  and  $B \supset C$ , then  $A \supset C$
- (ii) (*Transitivity of set equality*) If  $A = B$  and  $B = C$ , then  $A = C$

(i) Assume  $A \subset B$  and  $B \subset C$ . Then,  $x \in A \implies x \in B \implies x \in C$ , so  $x \in A \implies x \in C$ . Thus,  $A \subset C$ .

(ii) Assume  $A = B$  and  $B = C$ . Then, by definition of set equality,  $A \subset B$  and  $B \subset C$ , and  $C \subset B$  and  $B \subset A$ . By transitivity of set inclusion (i),  $A \subset C$  and  $C \subset A$ . Thus,  $A = C$ .

**Problem 2** (5 points) For each function, determine if it is (i) injective and (ii) surjective. Don't forget to justify your answer with a proof.

- (a)  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$  where  $f(x) := 1/x$
- (b)  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by  $g(x) := \lfloor x \rfloor$ , where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the *floor* function which 'rounds down', i.e. returns the largest integer less than or equal to  $x$ .

(a)  $f$  is **injective** because for all  $y \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $1/x = y$  has at most one solution  $x = 1/y$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(\{y\})$  always consists of one or zero elements.

Furthermore, if  $y > 1$ , then  $x = 1/y < 1$  and  $x > 0$ . Thus, for all  $y \in (1, \infty)$  in the target space, there is at least one element  $x = 1/y$  is always in the domain  $(0, 1)$  that maps to it. Thus,  $f$  is **surjective**.

(b)  $g$  is **not injective** because  $\lfloor 1.5 \rfloor = \lfloor 1 \rfloor = 1$ , so  $g^{-1}(1)$  has at least two elements.

$g$  is **surjective**, because  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , so every integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  can be written as  $n = g(n)$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{R}$  is in the domain.

**Problem 3** (6 points) For  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\mathbb{N}^p := \mathbb{N} \times \dots \times \mathbb{N}$  ( $p$  times) to be the set of  $p$ -tuples of natural numbers, i.e.  $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p$ .

- (a) Let  $f_2 : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the bijection defined in example 0.3.31, so  $f_2(1, 1) = 1$ ,  $f_2(1, 2) = 2$ , etc...

Define a function  $f_3 : \mathbb{N}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^2$  by  $f_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) := (n_1, f_2(n_2, n_3))$ . Show that  $f_3$  is a bijection.

- (b) Show using induction that  $\mathbb{N}^p$  is countable for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$

(Note: It is possible to prove this without induction, but you should practice using induction for this problem.)

(a) Since  $f_2$  is bijective, for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there is exactly one  $(n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  such that  $f_2(n_2, n_3) = p$ . Thus for every pair  $(n_1, p) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , there is exactly one triplet  $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$  such that  $f_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (n_1, p)$ . Thus,  $f_3$  is bijective.

(b) (Basis statement,  $p = 2$ ) The textbook has already proven that  $\mathbb{N}^2$  is countable.

(Induction step) Assume  $\mathbb{N}^{p-1}$  is countable, so there exists a function  $g : \mathbb{N}^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  which is bijective. Define a function  $f_p : \mathbb{N}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{p-1}$  by  $f_p(n_1, \dots, n_{p-2}, n_{p-1}, n_p) := (n_1, \dots, n_{p-2}, f_2(n_{p-1}, n_p))$ .

By the same logic as in (a),  $f_p$  is a bijection. Thus,  $g \circ f_p : \mathbb{N}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a bijection. Thus,  $\mathbb{N}^p$  is countable.

Since  $\mathbb{N}^1 = \mathbb{N}$  is countable, this proves that  $\mathbb{N}^p$  is countable for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Problem 4** (6 points) Prove Proposition 0.3.16: Consider  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Let  $C, D$  be subsets of  $A$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}f(C \cup D) &= f(C) \cup f(D) \\f(C \cap D) &\subset f(C) \cap f(D)\end{aligned}$$

Additionally, find a function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and sets  $C, D$  such that  $f(C \cap D) \not\supset f(C) \cap f(D)$ .

**Claim** :  $f(C \cup D) = f(C) \cup f(D)$

**Proof** : To prove this, we will show  $f(C \cup D) \subset f(C) \cup f(D)$  and  $f(C \cup D) \supset f(C) \cup f(D)$

First, suppose  $y \in f(C \cup D)$ . This means there exists  $x \in C \cup D$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Then,  $f(x) = y$  for either  $x \in C$  or  $x \in D$ . Thus,  $y \in f(C) \cup f(D)$  so  $f(C \cup D) \subset f(C) \cup f(D)$ .

Now, suppose  $y \in f(C) \cup f(D)$ . This means  $y = f(x)$  for  $x \in C$  or  $x \in D$ . This means there exists  $x \in C \cup D$  such that  $y = f(x)$ . Thus,  $f(C \cup D) \supset f(C) \cup f(D)$ .  $\square$

**Claim** :  $f(C \cap D) \subset f(C) \cap f(D)$

**Proof** : Suppose  $y \in f(C \cap D)$ . Then, there exists some  $x \in C \cap D$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Since  $x \in C \cap D \implies x \in C$  and  $x \in D$ , then  $y \in f(C)$  and  $y \in f(D)$ , so  $y \in f(C) \cap f(D)$ . Thus,  $f(C \cap D) \subset f(C) \cap f(D)$ .  $\square$

**Counterexample Function** : Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(x) = x^2$ , and let  $C = (-1, 0)$  and  $D = (0, 1)$ . Then,  $C \cap D = \emptyset$ , but  $f(C) = f(D) = (0, 1)$  so  $f(C) \cap f(D) = (0, 1) \not\subset f(C \cap D) = \emptyset$

## Chapter 1 Exercises

**Problem 5** (6 points) Let  $E = (-\infty, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < b\}$  where  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Compute  $\sup E$  and  $\inf E$  if they exist, or prove that  $E$  is unbounded above/below if they do not exist. Don't forget to justify your answer by proof.

(Note: do not use the extended reals for this problem)

**Claim** :  $b = \sup E$

**Proof** :  $E$  is non-empty, since  $b - 1 < b$  so  $b - 1 \in E$ .  $b$  is an upper bound for  $E$ , since  $x < b$  for all  $x \in E$ . Thus, since  $E$  is non-empty and bounded above, it has a least upper bound. In particular,  $\sup E \leq b$ .

Now, suppose there was another upper bound  $b'$  such that  $b' < b$ . Then,  $b' < (b' + b)/2 < b$ . However,  $(b' + b)/2 \in E$ , so  $b'$  cannot be an upper bound of  $E$ . Thus, any upper bound  $b'$  must satisfy  $b' \geq b$ . In particular,  $\sup E \geq b$ .

Combining these two inequalities,  $\sup E = b$  as desired.  $\square$

**Claim** :  $\inf E$  does not exist, since  $E$  is unbounded below.

**Proof** : Suppose  $a$  was a lower bound for  $E$ . Assume without loss of generality  $a < b$ . Then,  $a - 1 < b$  so  $a - 1 \in E$ . However,  $a - 1 < a$ , which contradicts  $a$  being a lower bound for  $E$ . Thus,  $E$  cannot have a lower bound, and is thus unbounded below.  $\square$

**Problem 6** (6 points) Suppose  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$  are non-empty sets that are both bounded above and below, and furthermore that  $A \subset B$ . Prove that

$$\inf B \leq \inf A \leq \sup A \leq \sup B$$

First, since  $A, B$  are non-empty and bounded above and below,  $\inf B$ ,  $\inf A$ ,  $\sup A$ ,  $\sup B$  all exist.

Next, since  $A$  is non-empty, there exists some  $x \in A$  which satisfies  $\inf A \leq x \leq \sup A$ . Thus,  $\inf A \leq \sup A$ .

Then, since  $A \subset B$ , we have  $x \in B$  for every  $x \in A$ . Thus,  $\inf B \leq x \leq \sup B$  for every  $x \in A$ . Thus,  $\inf B$  and  $\sup B$  are respectively a lower bound and an upper bound for  $A$ . Thus, the greatest lower bound of  $A$  satisfies  $\inf B \leq \inf A$ , and the least upper bound of  $A$  satisfies  $\sup A \leq \sup B$ .

Finally, chaining the inequalities together, we get  $\inf B \leq \inf A \leq \sup A \leq \sup B$

**Problem 7** (6 points) Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be bounded above, and let  $c = \sup B$ . Prove the following statements:

- (a)  $c$  is unique; that is, if  $c'$  is also a supremum of  $B$ , then  $c = c'$
- (b) For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $x > c$  then  $x \notin B$

(a) If  $c$  and  $c'$  are suprema of  $B$ , then  $c \leq c'$  and  $c' \leq c$  since  $c$  and  $c'$  are both least upper bounds, so  $c' = c$ .

(b) Since  $c$  is an upper bound of  $B$ ,  $y \leq c$  for all  $y \in B$ , hence any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x > c$  cannot be in  $B$ .

**Problem 8** (5 points each) Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a non-empty subset which is bounded above and below. Let  $c = \sup B$  and  $d = \inf B$ :

- (a) For all real numbers  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in B$  such that  $c - \varepsilon < x \leq c$
- (b) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $[d, d + \varepsilon) \cap B$  is non-empty.

(Hint: The first statement (a) takes the form of a nested quantifier, “ $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \infty), \exists x \in B$  s.t.  $P(\varepsilon, x)$  is true”. The negation of this double quantifier is “ $\exists \varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$  s.t.  $\forall x \in B, P(\varepsilon, x)$  is false”. This can be seen through ‘abstract logic’ by negating the statement “ $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \infty), Q(\varepsilon)$  is true” where  $Q(\varepsilon)$  is the predicate “ $\exists x \in B$  s.t.  $P(\varepsilon, x)$  is true”.

In plain English, the negation of (a) would be “there exists a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $x \in B$ ,  $x \leq c - \varepsilon$  or  $x > c$ ”. One way to prove (a) is to assume the negation of (a), then prove a contradiction.

To prove (b), try converting it to a statement similar to (a). Note that (b) provides a “geometric” interpretation of a double quantifier statement.)

(a) We will show this via contradiction. Assume the negation of (a), i.e. assume there exists a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $x \in B$ , either  $x \leq c - \varepsilon$  or  $x > c$ .

Since  $c$  is an upper bound of  $B$ ,  $x > c$  cannot be true. Thus,  $x \leq c - \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in B$ . However, this would imply that  $c - \varepsilon$  is an upper bound of  $B$ . However,  $c - \varepsilon < c$  contradicts the assumption that  $c$  is the least upper bound of  $B$ . This proves a contradiction.

Thus, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there must exist an  $x \in B$  such that  $c - \varepsilon < x \leq c$ .

(b) Note that  $[d, d + \varepsilon) \cap B$  is non-empty if and only if there exists an  $x \in B$  such that  $d \leq x < d + \varepsilon$ . Thus, (b) is equivalent to the statement “for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in B$  such that  $d \leq x < d + \varepsilon$ ”.

Assume for sake of contradiction that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $x \in B$ , either  $x < d$  or  $x \geq d + \varepsilon$ .  $x < d$  cannot be true since  $d$  is a lower bound of  $B$ , so  $x \geq d + \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in B$ . This implies  $d + \varepsilon$  is a lower bound of  $B$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $d$  is the greatest lower bound. Thus, the original statement must hold. This implies the desired statement.