## Xi Liu, xl3504, Homework 1

for this entire assignment, I use "IH" as acronym for "inductive hypothesis"

## Problem 1

let p(n) be the proposition that

$$1 \times 1! + 2 \times 2! + \dots + n \times n! = (n+1)! - 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

1.

base step:

$$p(1)$$
 is true, when  $n = 1, 1 \times 1! = 1 = (1+1)! - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$ 

2

inductive step:

assume p(k) is true for some positive integer k, or equivalently, assume

$$1 \times 1! + 2 \times 2! + \dots + k \times k! = (k+1)! - 1$$

is true

$$1 \times 1! + 2 \times 2! + \dots + k \times k! + (k+1) \times (k+1)!$$

$$\stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} ((k+1)! - 1) + (k+1) \times (k+1)!$$

$$= (k+1)! - 1 + (k+1) \times (k+1)!$$

$$= (k+2)(k+1)! - 1$$

$$= (k+2)! - 1$$

$$= ((k+1)+1)! - 1$$

so p(k+1) is true

by mathematical induction, p(n) is true  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ 

let p(n) be the proposition that

$$a_n = 2^n + 1$$

1.

base step:

- p(1) is true, when  $n = 1, a_1 = 2^1 + 1 = 3$  p(2) is true, when  $n = 2, a_2 = 2^2 + 1 = 5$

2.

inductive step:

assume p(i) is true for some positive integers i, k such that  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , in particular for i = k and i = k - 1:

$$a_k = 2^k + 1$$
$$a_{k-1} = 2^{k-1} + 1$$

is true

$$a_{k+1} = 3a_k - 2a_{k-1}$$

$$= 3(2^k + 1) - 2(2^{k-1} + 1)$$

$$= 3 \cdot 2^k + 3 - 2^k - 2$$

$$= (3 - 1) \cdot 2^k + 3 - 2$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2^k + 1$$

$$= 2^{k+1} + 1$$

so p(k+1) is true

by mathematical induction, p(n) is true  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ 

the order is: (e)  $2^{\log_2 n}$ , (b)  $n^{1.5} \log_2 n$ , (c)  $n^2 - 1$ , (a)  $2^n$ , (f)  $3^n$ , (d) n!

a.

false

let 
$$f(n) = n, g(n) = n^2$$

f(n) = O(g(n)) or equivalently  $n = O(n^2)$  because there exist positive constants c and  $n_0$  such that  $0 \le n \le cn^2$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ 

$$n < cn^2$$

dividing by n yields

we can make the inequality hold for any value of  $n = n_0 \ge 1$  by choosing any constant  $c \ge 1$ 

claim: but  $g(n) \neq O(f(n))$  because  $n^2 \neq O(n)$ 

proof: for contradiction, assume  $n^2 = O(n)$ , then there exist positive constants c and  $n_0$  such that  $0 \le n^2 \le cn$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ 

$$n^2 < cn$$

dividing by n yields

$$n \leq c$$

which cannot remain true for arbitrary large n, since c is a constant

b.

true

 $f = \Omega(h)$  means  $f(n) \ge c_1 h(n)$  for all  $n \ge n_1$ , when  $c_1$  and  $n_1$  are some positive constants

 $g = \Omega(h)$  means  $g(n) \geq c_2 h(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_2$ , when  $c_1$  and  $n_1$  are some positive constants

$$(f+g)(n) = f(n) + g(n) \ge c_1 h(n) + c_2 h(n) = (c_1 + c_2)h(n)$$

when  $n \ge n_1$  and  $n \ge n_2$ , let  $c_3 = c_1 + c_2$  since

$$(f+g)(n) \ge c_3 h(n)$$

$$(f+g)(n) = \Omega(h(n))$$

c.

true

f = O(g) means  $f(n) \le c_1 g(n)$  for all  $n \ge n_1$ , when  $c_1$  and  $n_1$  are some positive constants

g = O(h) means  $g(n) \le c_2 h(n)$  for all  $n \ge n_2$ , when  $c_2$  and  $n_2$  are some positive constants

when  $n \geq n_1$  and  $n \geq n_2$ , multiply  $g(n) \leq c_2 h(n)$  by  $c_1$  yields

$$c_1 g(n) \le c_1 c_2 h(n)$$

so

$$f(n) \le c_1 g(n) \le c_1 c_2 h(n)$$

let  $c_3 = c_1 c_2$ , then

$$f(n) \le c_3 h(n)$$

so

$$f(n) = O(h(n))$$

d.

false

let g(n) = n,  $f(n) = n^2$ ,  $h(n) = n^3$ 

 $f = \Omega(g)$  or equivalently  $n^2 = \Omega(n)$ , since  $n^2 \ge c_1 n$  for all  $n \ge n_1$ , when  $c_1 \ge 1$  and  $n_1 \ge 1$ 

 $h=\Omega(g)$  or equivalently  $n^3=\Omega(n),$  since  $n^3\geq c_2n$  for all  $n\geq n_2,$  when  $c_2\geq 1$  and  $n_2\geq 1$ 

but  $f \neq \Omega(h)$  or equivalently  $n^2 \neq \Omega(n^3)$ , since  $n^2 \not\geq c_3 n^3$  or equivalently  $n^2 < c_3 n^3$  for all  $n \geq n_3$ , when  $c_3 \geq 1$  and  $n_3 > c_3$ 

```
Problem 5
(a)

SELECTION_SORT(A)

for i = A. length downto 2

max\_i = i;
for j = i - 1 downto 1

if(A[j] > A[max\_i])

max\_i = j

max = A[max\_i]

for k = max\_i + 1 to i

A[k - 1] = A[k]

A[i] = max
(b)

best case: \Theta(n^2)

worst case: \Theta(n^2)
```

since there are 2 levels of nested for loops and the lines within the innermost level take constant time

(c)

the variant of SELECTION\_SORT that finds the 2 largest elements among the remaining elements and place them at the end is not more time-efficient to the original SELECTION\_SORT

for this variant of SELECTION\_SORT: from the code below, although the number of outer for loop is approximately half of the number of original for loop (since *i* is subtracted by 2 in each iteration of the for loop), but inner for loop created by FIND\_2MAX have more assignment operations and comparisons

because for the variant of SELECTION\_SORT, there are also 2 levels of nested for loops and the lines within the innermost level take constant time, so the variant of SELECTION\_SORT that finds the 2 largest elements have complexity:

```
best case: \Theta(n^2)
worst case: \Theta(n^2)
SWAP(A, i, j):
```

```
t = A[i]
    A[i] = A[j]
    A[j] = t
FIND_2MAX(A)
    fst = 0
    sec = -1
    for i = 1 to A.length
        if A[i] > A[fst]
            sec = fst
             fst = i
        else if A[i] < A[fst]
            if \sec = -1 or A[i] > a[\sec]
                 sec = i
        else if A[i] == A[fst] and i != fst
            sec = i
    return fst, sec
SELECTION_SORT(A):
    for i = A.length downto 1 by -2
        fst, sec = FIND_2MAX(A, i + 1)
        SWAP(A, i, fst)
        if sec != i
            SWAP(A, i - 1, sec)
```

 $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ 

COMPARE-1 returns TRUE when every sum of paired elements A[i] + C[i] is less than B[j] for all  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $1 \le j \le n$ 

for example, if the function is called with the below input, then it will return TRUE

$$\begin{array}{l} C = \{0\,,\ 1\,,\ 2\} \\ B = \{5\,,\ 6\,,\ 7\} \\ n = 3 \\ \\ \text{since} \\ \\ A[1] + C[1] = 0\,+\,0\,=\,0\,<\,B[1] = 5 \\ A[1] + C[1] = 0\,+\,0\,=\,0\,<\,B[2] = 6 \\ A[1] + C[1] = 0\,+\,0\,=\,0\,<\,B[3] = 7 \\ A[2] + C[2] = 1\,+\,1\,=\,2\,<\,B[1] = 5 \\ \end{array}$$

$$A[2] + C[2] = 1 + 1 = 2 < B[2] = 6$$
  
 $A[2] + C[2] = 1 + 1 = 2 < B[3] = 7$   
 $A[3] + C[3] = 2 + 2 = 4 < B[1] = 5$   
 $A[3] + C[3] = 2 + 2 = 4 < B[2] = 6$ 

$$A[3] + C[3] = 2 + 2 = 4 < B[3] = 7$$

COMPARE-2 returns TRUE when the maximum value of A[i] + C[i] is less then B[j] for all  $1 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le j \le n$ 

so COMPARE-2 will return the same boolean value as COMPARE-1 if given the same input arrays  $\,$ 

using the same input as above, if the function is called with the below input, then it will return  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TRUE}}$ 

$$A = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

$$C = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

$$B = \{5, 6, 7\}$$

$$n = 3$$

since

$$\begin{array}{l} aux = {\rm A[3]} + {\rm C[3]} = 2 + 2 = 4 < {\rm B[1]} = 5 \\ aux = {\rm A[3]} + {\rm C[3]} = 2 + 2 = 4 < {\rm B[2]} = 6 \\ aux = {\rm A[3]} + {\rm C[3]} = 2 + 2 = 4 < {\rm B[3]} = 7 \end{array}$$

- (b) COMPARE-1 worst case running time:  $\Theta(n^2)$  since there are 2 levels of nested for loops and the lines within the innermost level take constant time
- COMPARE-2 worst case running time:  $\Theta(n)$  since there is 1 level of for loops and the lines within the for loop take constant time