## Sep 22, 21 1:15 **handout04.txt** Page 1/4

```
CS 202, Fall 2021
2 Handout 4 (Class 5)
   The handout from the last class gave examples of race conditions. The following
4
   panels demonstrate the use of concurrency primitives (mutexes, etc.). We are
   using concurrency primitives to eliminate race conditions (see items 1
   and 2a) and improve scheduling (see item 2b).
   1. Protecting the linked list.....
9
           Mutex list_mutex;
11
12
           insert(int data) {
13
14
               List_elem* 1 = new List_elem;
15
               1->data = data;
16
               acquire(&list_mutex);
17
18
19
               1->next = head;
               head = 1;
20
21
               release(&list_mutex);
22
23
24
```

## Sep 22, 21 1:15 **handout04.txt** Page 2/4

```
25 2. Producer/consumer revisited [also known as bounded buffer]
27
      2a. Producer/consumer [bounded buffer] with mutexes
28
29
        Mutex mutex;
30
31
        void producer (void *ignored) {
32
            for (;;) {
                 /* next line produces an item and puts it in nextProduced */
33
                 nextProduced = means_of_production();
34
35
36
                 acquire(&mutex);
                 while (count == BUFFER_SIZE) {
37
                    release(&mutex);
39
                    yield(); /* or schedule() */
40
                    acquire(&mutex);
41
42
43
                 buffer [in] = nextProduced;
                 in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
44
45
                 count++;
                 release(&mutex);
46
47
48
50
        void consumer (void *ignored) {
51
             for (;;) {
52
                 acquire(&mutex);
53
54
                 while (count == 0) {
                    release (&mutex);
55
56
                    yield(); /* or schedule() */
57
                    acquire (&mutex);
58
59
                 nextConsumed = buffer[out];
61
                 out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
62
63
                 release(&mutex);
                 /* next line abstractly consumes the item */
65
66
                 consume_item(nextConsumed);
67
68
69
```

## handout04.txt Sep 22, 21 1:15 Page 3/4 2b. Producer/consumer [bounded buffer] with mutexes and condition variables 71 72 73 Mutex mutex: 74 Cond nonempty; 75 Cond nonfull; 76 void producer (void \*ignored) { 77 78 for (;;) { /\* next line produces an item and puts it in nextProduced \*/ 79 nextProduced = means\_of\_production(); 80 81 acquire(&mutex); 82 while (count == BUFFER\_SIZE) 83 cond\_wait(&nonfull, &mutex); 84 85 buffer [in] = nextProduced; 86 in = (in + 1) % BUFFER\_SIZE; 87 88 count++; cond\_signal(&nonempty, &mutex); 89 release (&mutex); 90 91 92 93 void consumer (void \*ignored) { for (;;) { 95 96 acquire(&mutex); 97 98 while (count == 0) 99 cond\_wait(&nonempty, &mutex); 100 101 nextConsumed = buffer[out]; 102 out = (out + 1) % BUFFER\_SIZE; 103 count --; cond\_signal(&nonfull, &mutex); 104 release (&mutex); 105 106 107 /\* next line abstractly consumes the item \*/ consume\_item(nextConsumed); 108 109 110 111 112 113 Question: why does cond\_wait need to both release the mutex and sleep? Why not: 114 115 116 while (count == BUFFER\_SIZE) { release(&mutex); 117 118 cond\_wait(&nonfull); 119 acquire(&mutex); 120 121

```
handout04.txt
Sep 22, 21 1:15
                                                                                Page 4/4
        2c. Producer/consumer [bounded buffer] with semaphores
122
123
                                              /\star mutex initialized to 1 \star/
124
            Semaphore mutex(1);
            Semaphore empty(BUFFER_SIZE); /* start with BUFFER_SIZE empty slots */
125
                                              /* 0 full slots */
126
            Semaphore full(0);
127
128
            void producer (void *ignored) {
129
                  for (;;) {
                      /* next line produces an item and puts it in nextProduced */
130
                      nextProduced = means_of_production();
131
132
133
                      * next line diminishes the count of empty slots and
134
135
                      * waits if there are no empty slots
136
137
                      sem_down(&empty);
                      sem down(&mutex); /* get exclusive access */
138
139
140
                      buffer [in] = nextProduced;
                      in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
141
142
143
                      sem_up(&mutex);
144
                      sem_up(&full);
                                       /* we just increased the \# of full slots */
145
147
148
             void consumer (void *ignored) {
                  for (;;) {
149
150
151
                       \mbox{\scriptsize \star} next line diminishes the count of full slots and
152
153
                       * waits if there are no full slots
154
155
                      sem down(&full);
                      sem_down(&mutex);
156
158
                      nextConsumed = buffer[out];
159
                      out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
160
                      sem_up(&mutex);
162
                      sem_up(&empty);
                                        /* one further empty slot */
163
                      /* next line abstractly consumes the item */
164
165
                      consume_item(nextConsumed);
166
             }
167
168
            Semaphores *can* (not always) lead to elegant solutions (notice
169
170
            that the code above is fewer lines than 2b) but they are much
171
            harder to use.
172
            The fundamental issue is that semaphores make implicit (counts,
173
174
            conditions, etc.) what is probably best left explicit. Moreover,
            they *also* implement mutual exclusion.
175
176
177
            For this reason, you should not use semaphores. This example is
178
            here mainly for completeness and so you know what a semaphore
179
            is. But do not code with them. Solutions that use semaphores in
            this course will receive no credit.
180
```