# Homework 1: Due January 31 (11:55 a.m.)

#### **Instructions**

- Answer each question on a separate page.
- · Honors questions are optional.
- You must enter the names of your collaborators or other sources as a response to Question 0. Do NOT leave this blank; if you worked on the homework entirely on your own, please write "None" here. Even though collaborations in groups of up to 3 people are encouraged, you are required to write your own solution.

# Question 0: List all your collaborators and sources: $(-\infty \text{ points if left blank})$

### Question 1: (1+6+3=10 points)

Prove the following equality using induction on n:<sup>1</sup>

$$(1-r)(1+r+r^2+\cdots+r^{n-1}) = 1-r^n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} .$$
 (1)

- 1. Check the base case (n = 1).
- 2. Prove the inductive step.
- 3. Using Eq. (1), evaluate the following sum:

$$3^{n} + 2 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 2^{2} \cdot 3^{n-2} + \dots + 2^{n} = ???$$

# Question 2: (1+2+2+3+2=10 points)

 $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$  is defined for functions f and g (both from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ ) to mean that there exist positive constants  $n_0$  and C such that:

$$f(n) \le C \cdot g(n)$$
 for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

For each of the following statements either prove the statement if it is true or otherwise provide a counter-example and justify why your counterexample is indeed a counterexample:

- 1. If  $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$  then  $g = \mathcal{O}(f)$ .
- 2. If  $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$  and  $g = \mathcal{O}(h)$  then  $f = \mathcal{O}(h)$ .
- 3. If  $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$  and  $g = \mathcal{O}(f)$  and  $\forall n, f(n) > g(n)$  then  $f g = \mathcal{O}(1)$ .
- 4. If  $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$  and  $g = \mathcal{O}(f)$  then  $\frac{f}{g} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ .
- 5. If  $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$  and  $h = \mathcal{O}(g)$  then  $f = \mathcal{O}(h)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Recall that  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots\}$ .

### Question 3: (5 points)

Rank the following functions by order of growth (You need not prove the correctness of your ranking). That is, find an order  $f_a$ ,  $f_b$ ,  $f_c \dots f_e$  so that  $f_a = \mathcal{O}(f_b)$ ,  $f_b = \mathcal{O}(f_c)$ , and so on:

- a)  $2^{\log_3 n}$
- b)  $\sqrt{n}\log_2 n$
- c)  $2^n$
- d) n! where  $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots (n-1) \cdot n$  so for example  $4! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 = 24$
- e)  $n^2$

### Question 4: (5 points)

By  $f_n$  we denote the *n*-th Tribonacci number. Tribonacci numbers are defined by  $f_1 = f_2 = 0$ ,  $f_3 = 1$ , and  $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} + f_{n-3}$  for  $n \ge 4$ . Thus the Tribonacci sequence goes as:

$$0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 4, 7, 13, 24, 44, 81, 149, 274, 504, 927, \dots$$

Prove (by induction on n) that  $f_n > 3n$  for all n > 9.

# Question 5: (2+3+5=10 points)

Let A[1, 2, ..., n] be an array of n distinct numbers. If i < j and A[i] > A[j], then the pair (i, j) is called a *transposition* of A. Answer the following questions:

- 1. List all the transpositions of the array (7, 5, 2, 6, 9)
- 2. Which arrays with distinct elements from the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  have the smallest and the largest number of transpositions and why? State the expressions exactly in terms of n.
- 3. Give an algorithm that determines the number of transpositions in an array consisting of n numbers in  $\Theta(n \log n)$  worst-case time. Also prove the correctness and run time bounds for your algorithm. (Hint: Modify merge sort.)




Figure 1: Horses A and B, with all the rest of the horses lying in the violet region common to both the sets.

# Question 6: (5 points)

Find a flaw in the following "proof by induction" (see Figure 1 for an illustration). In particular, state why the inductive step is incorrect:

**Claim:** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and any set of n horses, all horses in the set have the same color.

- 1. Base Case (n = 1): If there is just one horse in the set, obviously all horses have the same color.
- 2. Inductive Step: Suppose the induction hypothesis holds for all 1, 2, ..., n. Our goal is to prove the statement for sets of n + 1 horses. So take any such set. Now exclude one horse, call this horse A, and look at the set of n remaining horses. By the induction hypothesis, they all have the same color. Now exclude a different horse, call it B, and look at the set of n remaining horses, which includes horse A. Then, all horses in this set must also have the same color. This implies that A and B also have the same color. Hence, we obtain that all n + 1 horses in our set have the same color, "proving" the claim.

# **Honors Question 1:**

Intuitively  $f = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(g)$  means that f and g are the same up to constants. What if we wanted to consider functions that are the same up to logarithmic factors? Thus we would want for example  $\log_2 n + n \log_2^2 n = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ . However  $n^{\log_2 n}$  is not  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ . Try to define an analogous notion  $f = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(g)$  that does this. Comment on what sort of properties this new notion has (you can look at Question 2 for inspiration as to what sort of properties to consider).

# **Honors Question 2:**

Prove by induction: A convex n-gon has n(n-3)/2 diagonals. A convex n-gon is a shape with n angles such that each interior angle is less than or equal to 180 degrees. A diagonal is a line segment connecting any two non-adjacent vertices. For example, a triangle is a "3-gon" and a pentagon is a "5-gon".