Xi Liu, xl3504, Homework 1

for this entire assignment, I use "IH" as a cronym for "inductive hypothesis"

Question 0:

None

Question 1:

let P(n) be the proposition such that

$$(1-r)(1+r+r^2+...+r^{n-1})=1-r^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

1.

base step:

P(1) is true, when $n=1, \quad n-1=0$, substitute 0 for n-1 of left-hand side and substitute 1 for n of right-hand side

$$(1-r)(1) = 1 - r^1$$

2.

inductive step:

assume P(k) is true for some positive integer k, or equivalently, assume

$$(1-r)(1+r+r^2+\ldots+r^{k-1})=1-r^k$$

is true

$$\begin{split} (1-r)(1+r+r^2+\ldots+r^k) &= (1-r)(1+r+r^2+\ldots+r^{k-1}+r^k) \\ &= (1-r)(1+r+r^2+\ldots+r^{k-1}) + (1-r)r^k \\ &\stackrel{\mathrm{IH}}{=} 1-r^k+(1-r)r^k \\ &= 1-r^k+r^k-r(r^k) \\ &= 1-r^{k+1} \end{split}$$

so P(k+1) is true

by mathematical induction, P(n) is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

3.

rewrite equation (1) in equivalent form:

$$(1-r)(1+r+r^2+...+r^{n-1})=1-r^n$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r^i = 1 + r + r^2 + \dots + r^{n-1} = \frac{1 - r^n}{1 - r}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} r^{i} = 1 + r + r^{2} + \dots + r^{n} = \frac{1 - r^{n+1}}{1 - r}$$

now evaluate the following sum:

$$2^{0} \cdot 3^{n} + 2^{1} \cdot 3^{n-1} + 2^{2} \cdot 3^{n-2} + \dots + 2^{n} \cdot 3^{n-n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(2^{i} \cdot 3^{n-i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(2^{i} \left(\frac{3^{n}}{3^{i}} \right) \right)$$

$$= 3^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(\frac{2^{i}}{3^{i}} \right)$$

$$= 3^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{i}$$
apply equation (1) with $r = \frac{2}{3}$

$$= 3^{n} \left(\frac{1 - (2/3)^{n+1}}{1 - (2/3)} \right)$$

$$= 3^{n} \left(\frac{1 - (2/3)^{n+1}}{1/3} \right)$$

$$= 3 \cdot 3^{n} \left(1 - (2/3)^{n+1} \right)$$

$$= 3^{n+1} \left(1 - (2/3)^{n+1} \right)$$

$$= 3^{n+1} - 2^{n+1}$$

Question 2:

1.

false

let
$$f(n) = n, g(n) = n^2$$

f(n) = O(g(n)) or equivalently $n = O(n^2)$ because there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \le n \le cn^2$ for all $n \ge n_0$

$$n < cn^2$$

dividing by n yields

$$1 \le cn$$

we can make the inequality hold for any value of $n \geq 1$ by choosing any constant $c \geq 1$

claim: but $g(n) \neq O(f(n))$ because $n^2 \neq O(n)$

proof: for contradiction, assume $n^2 = O(n)$, then there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \le n^2 \le cn$ for all $n \ge n_0$

$$n^2 < cn$$

dividing by n yields

$$n \leq c$$

which cannot remain true for arbitrary large n, since c is a constant

2.

true

f = O(g) means $f(n) \le c_1 g(n)$ for all $n \ge n_1$, when c_1 and n_1 are some positive constants

g=O(h) means $g(n)\leq c_2h(n)$ for all $n\geq n_2$, when c_2 and n_2 are some positive constants

when $n \geq n_1$ and $n \geq n_2$, multiply $g(n) \leq c_2 h(n)$ by c_1 yields

$$c_1g(n) \le c_1c_2h(n)$$

so

$$f(n) \le c_1 g(n) \le c_1 c_2 h(n)$$

let $c_3 = c_1 c_2$, then

$$f(n) \le c_3 h(n)$$

so

$$f(n) = O(h(n))$$

3.

false

let $f(n) = n^2 + n$, $g(n) = n^2$, then $\forall n \ge 1$, f(n) > g(n)

f(n)=O(g(n)) or equivalently $n^2+n=O(n^2)$ because there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0\leq n^2+n\leq cn^2$ for all $n\geq n_0$

 $n^2+n \leq cn^2$ can remain true when $c \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$

g(n) = O(f(n)) or equivalently $n^2 = O(n^2 + n)$ because there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \le n^2 \le c(n^2 + n)$ for all $n \ge n_0$

 $n^2 \le c(n^2+n)$ can remain true when $c \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$

$$f(n) - g(n) = n^2 + n - n^2 = n \neq O(1)$$

proof of $n \neq O(1)$: for contradiction, assume n = O(1), then there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \leq n \leq c \cdot 1$ for all $n \geq n_0$

which cannot remain true for arbitrary large n, since c is a constant so $f(n) - g(n) = n \neq O(1)$

4.

true

f = O(g) means $f(n) \le c_1 g(n)$ for all $n \ge n_1$, when c_1 and n_1 are some positive constants

g = O(f) means $g(n) \le c_2 f(n)$ for all $n \ge n_2$, when c_2 and n_2 are some positive constants

multiply $g(n) \leq c_2 f(n)$ by c_1 yields

$$c_1 g(n) \le c_1 c_2 f(n)$$

SO

$$f(n) \le c_1 g(n) \le c_1 c_2 f(n)$$

divide by c_1 yields

$$\frac{f(n)}{c_1} \le g(n) \le c_2 f(n)$$

let f(n) be the function that is divided by each of the items in the above inequality, then

$$\frac{f(n)}{f(n)/c_1} \ge \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \ge \frac{f(n)}{c_2 f(n)}$$
$$c_1 \ge \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \ge \frac{1}{c_2}$$

since $f(n)/g(n) \le c_1 \cdot 1$ f/g = O(1)

5.

false

let h(n) = n, $f(n) = n^2$, $g(n) = n^3$

f = O(g) or equivalently $n^2 = O(n^3)$, since $n^2 \le c_1 n^3$ for all $n \ge n_1$, when $c_1 \ge 1$ and $n_1 \ge 1$

h=O(g) or equivalently $n=O(n^3)$, since $n\leq c_2n^3$ for all $n\geq n_2$, when $c_2\geq 1$ and $n_2\geq 1$

but $f \neq O(h)$ or equivalently $n^2 \neq O(n)$, since $n^2 \not\leq c_3 n$ or equivalently $n^2 > c_3 n$ for all $n \geq n_3$, when $c_3 \geq 1$ and $n_3 > c_3$

```
Question 3: the order is: \sqrt{n}\log_2 n, 2^{\log_3 n}, n^2, 2^n, n!
```

Question 4:

let P(n) be the proposition that $f_n > 3n$ for all n > 9

base step:

$$P(10)$$
 is true, because $f_{10} = f_9 + f_8 + f_7 = 24 + 13 + 7 = 44 > 3n = 3(10) = 30$

$$P(11)$$
 is true, because $f_{11} = f_{10} + f_9 + f_8 = 44 + 24 + 13 = 81 > 3(11) = 33$ $P(12)$ is true, because $f_{12} = f_{11} + f_{10} + f_9 = 81 + 44 + 24 = 149 > 3(12) = 36$

inductive step:

for the inductive hypothesis, assume P(k) is true for an arbitrary integer k > 9; that is, assume that $f_k = f_{k-1} + f_{k-2} + f_{k-3} > 3k$

$$f_{k+1} = f_{(k+1)-1} + f_{(k+1)-2} + f_{(k+1)-3} = f_k + f_{k-1} + f_{k-2}$$

because of the inductive hypothesis, $f_k > 3k$

smallest possible f_{k-1} is when k = 10, then $f_{k-1} = f_{10-1} = f_9 = 24$ smallest possible f_{k-2} is when k = 10, then $f_{k-2} = f_{10-2} = f_8 = 13$

$$f_{k+1} = f_k + f_{k-1} + f_{k-2} > 3k + 24 + 13 > 3k + 3 = 3(k+1)$$

so P(k+1) is true

by mathematical induction P(n) is true for all n > 9

Question 5: 1. transpositions of array (7, 5, 2, 6, 9): (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3)

2. smallest number of transpositions happen when array is sorted in ascending order: (1, 2, ..., n), smallest number of transpositions = 0

largest number of transpositions happen when array is sorted in descending order: (n, n-1, n-2, ..., 1), there will be n-i transpositions with the ith index, then

largest number of transpositions = (n-1) + (n-2) + ... + 1

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = \frac{(n-1)((n-1)+1)}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

3.

```
int merge(int A[], int p, int q, int r)
{
    int left_len = q - p + 1;
    int right_len = r - q;
    int L[left_len + 1];
    int R[right_len + 1];
    L[left_len + 1] = \infty;
    R[right_len + 1] = \infty;
    for(int i = 1; i < left_len; i++)
        L[i] = A[p + i];
    for(int i = 1; i < right_len; i++)
        R[i] = A[(q + 1) + i];
</pre>
```

```
int i = 1;
    int j = 1;
    int counter = 0;
    for(int k = p; k < r; k++)
    {
        if (L[i] <= right[r_i])
            A[k] = L[i];
            i = i + 1;
        else
            A[k] = R[j];
             j = j + 1;
             counter = counter + left_len - i + 1;
    return counter;
}
int merge_transposition(int A[], int p, int r)
    int c = 0;
    if(p < r)
    {
        int q = p + \lfloor (r - p) / 2 \rfloor;
        int left = merge\_transposition(A, p, q);
        int right = merge\_transposition(A, q + 1, r);
        c = left + right + merge(A, p, q, r);
    return c;
}
```

correctness of merge

loop invariant:

at the start of the each for iteration (the loop that rewrites original array A using elements in L and R arrays), A[p...k-1] contains $final_index - initial_index + 1 = (k-1) - p + 1 = k - p$ smallest elements of L and R, in sorted order, counter stores the number of transpositions (a, b) such that $p \le a and <math>q + 1 \le b \le j$

Moreover, L[i] and R[j] are smallest elements of their arrays that have not been copied back to A

initialization:

before first iteration of the loop, k=p=1, so subarray A[p...k-1] is empty. This empty subarray contains k-p=0 smallest elements of L and R. Since i=j=1, both L[i] and R[j] are the smallest elements of their arrays that have not been copied back to A, i=1, $p \leq a < p+1-1$, since $a \geq p$ and a < p is a contradiction, there is no such number a so there is no transposition and counter is 0

maintenance: $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$

if L[i] > R[j], then R[j] is the smallest element that has not yet copied back into A,

based on the inductive hypothesis (loop invariant), A[p...k-1] contains k-p smallest elements of array L and R, and counter stores the number of transpositions $(a,\ b)$ such that $p \leq a < p+i-1$ and $q+1 \leq b \leq j \quad (P(k))$.

after line 14 copies L[i] into A[k], the subarray A[p...k] contains $final_index-initial_index+1=k-p+1$ smallest elements of array L and R. since the assumption is L[i] > R[j], so R[j] is less than left_len - i + 1 elements of $L[i...left_len]$, but i < j, so there are left_len - i + 1 transpositions associated with $L[i...left_len]$ and R[j], so after adding left_len - i + 1 to counter and increment j by 1 and increment k by 1, the loop invariant is maintained for k+1 (P(k+1)).

if $L[i] \leq R[j]$, then merge appropriate action to maintain the loop invariant with the roles of L and R interchanged

termination:

at termination, k = r + 1, $i = \text{left_len}$, $j = \text{right_len}$. By the loop invariant, the subarray A[p...k-1] = A[p...(r+1)-1] = A[p...r], contains $final_index - initial_index + 1 = r - p + 1$ smallest elements of

 $L[1...n_1 + 1]$ and $R[1...n_2 + 1]$ in sorted order, counter completed the addition of all transpositions (a, b) associated with $L[i...left_len]$ and R[j] for all $p \le i \le p + left_len$, $q + 1 \le j \le q + right_len$, $p \le a , and <math>q + 1 \le b \le j$

show $\Theta(n \lg n)$ is the run time bounds: recurrence is given by

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & \text{if } n = 1\\ T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

assume $T(n) \leq c(n-2)\lg(n-2)$ is true for all positive m < n, in particular for $m = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, or equivalently assume $T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) \leq c(\lceil n/2 \rceil - 2)\lg(\lceil n/2 \rceil - 2)$ and $T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) \leq c(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2)\lg(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2)$ assume $\Theta(n) = kn$ for the recurrence stated above, where k is a positive constant

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + kn \\ &\leq c(\lceil n/2 \rceil - 2) \lg(\lceil n/2 \rceil - 2) + c(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2) \lg(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2) + kn \\ &\leq c(n/2 + 1 - 2) \lg(n/2 + 1 - 2) + c(n/2 + 1 - 2) \lg(n/2 + 1 - 2) + kn \\ &= 2c(n/2 + 1 - 2) \lg(n/2 + 1 - 2) + kn \\ &= 2c(n/2 - 1) \lg(n/2 - 1) + kn \\ &= 2c((n - 2)/2) \lg((n - 2)/2) + kn \\ &= c(n - 2) \lg((n - 2)/2) + kn \\ &= c(n - 2) (\lg(n - 2) - \lg 2) + kn \\ &= c(n - 2) (\lg(n - 2) - 1) + kn \\ &= c(n - 2) \lg(n - 2) - c(n - 2) + kn \\ &= c(n - 2) \lg(n - 2) - c(n - 2) + kn \\ &= c(n - 2) \lg(n - 2) - c(n - 2) + kn \\ &= c(n - 2) \lg(n - 2) - c(n - 2) + kn \\ &= c(n - 2) \lg(n - 2) - c(n - 2) + kn \\ &= c(n - 2) \lg(n - 2) - c(n - 2) + kn \\ &= c(n - 2) \lg(n - 2) - c(n - 2) + kn \end{split}$$

$$n(c-k) - 2c \ge 0$$
$$n(c-k) > 2c$$

$$n \ge \frac{2c}{c-k}$$
 so, pick $n \ge n_0 = \frac{2c}{c-k}$ and $c > k$
$$T(n) = O(n \lg n)$$

need to show $T(n) \ge cn \lg n$ for all $n \ge n_0$, where c and n_0 are positive constants

assume $T(n) \ge c(n+2)\lg(n+2)$ is true for all positive m < n, in particular for $m = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, or equivalently assume $T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) \ge c(\lceil n/2 \rceil + 2)\lg(\lceil n/2 \rceil + 2)$ and $T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) \ge c(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2)\lg(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2)$

$$T(n) = T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + kn$$

$$\geq c(\lceil n/2 \rceil + 2) \lg(\lceil n/2 \rceil + 2) + c(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2) \lg(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2) + kn$$

$$\geq c(n/2 - 1 + 2) \lg(n/2 - 1 + 2) + c(n/2 - 1 + 2) \lg(n/2 - 1 + 2) + kn$$

$$\geq 2c(n/2 - 1 + 2) \lg(n/2 - 1 + 2) + kn$$

$$= 2c(n/2 + 1) \lg(n/2 + 1) + kn$$

$$= 2c((n+2)/2) \lg((n+2)/2) + kn$$

$$= 2c((n+2)/2) \lg((n+2)/2) + kn$$

$$= c(n+2) (\lg(n+2) - \lg 2) + kn$$

$$= c(n+2) \lg(n+2) - c(n+2) + kn$$

$$= c(n+2) \lg(n+2) + n(k-c) - 2c$$

$$\geq c(n+2) \lg(n+2)$$

$$if \ n(k-c) - 2c \geq 0, \quad i.e. \quad n \geq \frac{2c}{k-c}$$

so, pick
$$n \ge n_0 = \frac{2c}{k-c}$$
 and $k > c$

SO

so

$$T(n) = \Omega(n \lg n)$$

because $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$ and $T(n) = \Omega(n \lg n)$, so $T(n) = \Theta(n \lg n)$

Question 6:

the inductive step is false for n=2

if the set S has 2 horses and $S = \{A, B\},\$

if exclude A, and look at the set $\{B\}$, all of the remaining element(s) have the same color because there is only 1 element in $\{B\}$

if exclude B, and look at the set $\{A\}$, all of the remaining element(s) have the same color because there is only 1 element in $\{A\}$

but this does not show that A and B must have the same color

Honors Question 1:

$$\tilde{O}(g(n))=\{f(n):$$
 there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that
$$0\leq f(n)\leq \log_2 n\cdot g(n),\ \forall n\geq n_0\}$$

this new notion have transitivity:

$$f(n) = \tilde{O}(g(n))$$
 and $g(n) = \tilde{O}(h(n))$ imply $f(n) = \tilde{O}(h(n))$

Honors Question 2:

let P(n) be the proposition that a convex n-gon has n(n-3)/2 diagonals

base step:

P(3) is true, because the convex 3-gon is a triangle, it has 3(3-3)/2=0 diagonals

inductive step:

assume P(k) is true, or equivalently assume a convex k-gon has k(k-3)/2 diagonals

if 1 vertex is added to the convex k-gon, then (k+1)-2 new lines can be drawn, so the number of diagonals in the newly formed convex k+1-gon is

number of diagonals in convex k-gon + (k + 1) - 2

$$= k(k-3)/2 + (k+1) - 2$$

$$= (k^2 - 3k)/2 + k - 1$$

$$= k^2/2 - 3k/2 + k - 1$$

$$= k^2/2 - k/2 - 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(k^2 - k - 2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(k-2)(k+1)$$

$$= (k+1)((k+1) - 3)/2$$

so P(k+1) is true

by mathematical induction P(n) is true for all $n \geq 3$