

# Basic Algorithms CSCI-UA.0310

## Additional Problems

**Remark:** For most of the problems, only a pointer to the solution is provided. You need to complete the solutions on your own.

**Problem 1.** Complete the following divide & conquer algorithm to determine if the  $n$  elements of the array  $A[1 \dots n]$  are all equal. The initial call is `ALLEQUAL(A,1,n)`.

*Note: There is much easier algorithm to do this! The following algorithm is just an example of the divide & conquer technique.*

```
1 ALLEQUAL(A, i, j)
2   If  $i == j$  Return TRUE
3   If  $A[i] \neq A[j]$  Return FALSE
4   ...
5   ...
```

Write a recursion for the time complexity of your algorithm and solve it to obtain the worst-case asymptotic time complexity for your algorithm. You do NOT need to prove your result!

Here is a complete version:

```
1 ALLEQUAL(A, i, j)
2   If  $i == j$  Return TRUE
3   If  $A[i] \neq A[j]$  Return FALSE
4    $m = (i + j) / 2$ 
5   Return ALLEQUAL(A, i, m) && ALLEQUAL(A, m + 1, j)
```

For the time complexity, try to find the time complexity of each line, then you will obtain the following recursion for the time complexity  $T(n)$ :

$$T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + O(1)$$

Draw the recursion tree for the worst case, then you get that  $T(n) = \Theta(n)$ .

**Problem 2.** For each of the following functions, indicate the most accurate asymptotic bound that  $f(n)$  satisfies among the following options.

(a)  $O(g(n))$

(b)  $\Omega(g(n))$

(c) Both (i.e.,  $\Theta(g(n))$ )

If  $f(n) = O(g(n))$ , then find the constant  $c > 0$  and the positive integer  $n_0$  such that  $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Similarly, if  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ , find  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that  $f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

- $f(n) = 3n^2$       $g(n) = n^2$
- $f(n) = 2n^4 - 3n^2 + 7$       $g(n) = n^5$
- $f(n) = \frac{\log_2 n}{n}$       $g(n) = \frac{1}{n}$
- $f(n) = \log_2 n$       $g(n) = \log_2 n + \frac{1}{n}$
- $f(n) = 2^{k \log_2 n}$       $g(n) = n^k$

- $f(n) = 2^n \quad g(n) = 2^{2n}$
- $\Theta: c_\Omega = c_O = 3, n_0 = 1$
- $O: c_O = 2, n_0 = 7$
- $\Omega: c_\Omega = 1, n_0 = 2$
- $\Theta: c_\Omega = 1/3, c_O = 1, n_0 = 2$
- $\Theta: c_\Omega = c_O = 1, n_0 = 2$
- $O: c_O = 1, n_0 = 1$

**Problem 3.** (a) Given an array  $A$  of  $2n$  distinct elements, we have seen a naive algorithm to find the minimum element using  $2n - 1$  comparisons. Write the pseudo-code!

(b) Similarly, we can find the maximum element using  $2n - 1$  comparisons. So you can simply merge the two algorithms to find the maximum and minimum elements using  $2(2n - 1)$  comparisons. Write down the pseudo-code for this algorithm.

(c) Develop an algorithm that finds both the minimum and maximum elements using at most  $3n - 2$  comparisons.

(c) **POINTER TO THE SOLUTION:** Group  $2n$  elements into  $n$  pairs and compare elements within each pair. Within each pair, call the bigger element the "winner" and the smaller one the "loser". We get  $n$  winners and  $n$  losers. The maximum element is among the winners and the minimum is among the losers. Then, using Part (a), we need  $n - 1$  comparisons to find the maximum element among the winners and  $n - 1$  comparisons to find the minimum element among the losers. This way, we get the maximum and minimum elements using

$$n + (n - 1) + (n - 1) = 3n - 2$$

comparisons.

**Problem 4.** (a) Given an array  $A[1 \dots n]$  of  $n$  distinct integers, give an  $O(n^2)$  algorithm to find the number of pairs  $(x, y)$  such that  $x < y$ .

(b) Improve the former algorithm to an  $O(1)$  algorithm.

(a) Find every possible pair and check whether it satisfies the given condition. Count the number of such pairs. Write the pseudo-code!

(b) Note that the smallest element in the array appears in  $n - 1$  such pairs, the second smallest element appears in  $n - 2$  such pairs, etc.

So, in total, there are  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = n(n - 1)/2$  such pairs. You only need to return this number!

(We do not even need this reasoning; There are  $\binom{n}{2} = n(n - 1)/2$  such pairs!)

**Problem 5.** Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be a set of  $n$  positive integers. You may assume that all basic arithmetic operations, i.e., addition and multiplication, and comparisons, can be executed in  $O(1)$  time.

(a) Develop an  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm to check whether for all subsets  $T \subset A$ , the sum of all elements in  $T$  is at least  $|T|^2$ . ( $|T|$  stands for the cardinality of  $T$ )

- (b) Now suppose that in addition to  $A$  and  $n$ , you are also given another integer  $k \leq n$ . Give a more efficient algorithm to check whether the former statement holds **only** for all subsets  $T \subset A$  of cardinality  $k$ .
- (a) Sort  $A$  in  $O(n \log n)$  time using MERGE SORT. Let  $a'_1 \leq a'_2 \leq \dots \leq a'_n$  be the elements of  $A$  in the sorted order. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , check whether  $\sum_{j=1}^i a'_j \geq i^2$ . By maintaining a running sum, checking this sum for each value of  $i$  requires only one addition and one comparison operation. Thus, the total running time is  $O(n \log n) + O(n) = O(n \log n)$ .
- (b) Find all the  $k^{\text{th}}$  smallest elements of  $A$  in  $O(n)$  time (HW4 P4). We only need to check that the sum of these  $k$  elements is at least  $k^2$ . The total run time is  $O(n) + O(k) = O(n)$ . (Note that  $k \leq n$ )

**Problem 6.** Given an array  $A$  of  $n$  integers, develop an  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm to check whether the elements of  $A$  are all distinct. Why does your algorithm run in  $O(n \log n)$  time?

Idea: Sort the array  $A$ . Compare consecutive elements to see if any element is repeated. If so, the elements are not distinct.

Algorithm:

```
MERGESORT( $A[1 \dots n]$ )
 $i = 1$ 
While  $i < n$ 
    If  $A[i] \neq A[i + 1]$      $i = i + 1$ 
    Else Return FALSE
Return TRUE
```

This algorithm takes  $O(n \log n)$  time in total: The initial sorting takes  $O(n \log n)$  time. The **While** loop is executed at most  $n - 1$  times and each iteration takes  $O(1)$  time. All other steps take  $O(1)$  time.

**Problem 7.** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  be  $k$  sorted arrays each with  $n$  elements. Develop an  $\Theta(nk \log k)$  algorithm to combine them into a single sorted array of  $kn$  elements. (Assume  $k$  is a power of 2)

Merge them pairwise:  $A_i$  with  $A_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 3, \dots, k - 1$ . Assuming that merging two arrays of size  $n$  takes  $\Theta(n)$  time, the  $k/2$  merges take  $\Theta(nk)$  time.

In the next step, merge pairwise the resulting  $k/2$  arrays each with  $2n$  elements. These  $k/4$  merges take  $\Theta(2n \cdot k/4) = \Theta(nk)$  time.

Repeat this process until there is only one array of  $kn$  elements.

There are  $\log_2 k$  steps and each step takes  $\Theta(nk)$  time, giving the total running time of  $\Theta(nk \log k)$ .

**Problem 8.** Given an array  $A[1 \dots n]$  of  $n$  distinct positive integers and another integer  $t$ , develop an  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm that determines whether there exist two elements in  $A$  such that their sum is exactly  $t$ . Justify the running time of your algorithm.

One naive solution is to try all possible pairs of elements of  $A$  and check if their sum equals  $t$ . This requires  $O(n^2)$  time.

As a faster algorithm, first sort the array  $A$  and then search for the desired pair by comparing  $t$  with the sum of the minimum and the maximum elements of  $A$ , and discarding either the minimum element or the maximum element depending on the result of the comparison. Here is the algorithm:

```
FINDSUM( $A[1 \dots n], t$ )
    MERGESORT( $A[1 \dots n]$ )
     $i = 1, j = n$ 
    While  $j > i$ 
        If  $A[i] + A[j] = t$     Return TRUE
        If  $A[i] + A[j] < t$      $i = i + 1$ 
        If  $A[i] + A[j] > t$      $j = j - 1$ 
    Return FALSE
```

To analyze the running time, note that the **While** loop is iterated at most  $n$  times since at each iteration, either the algorithm stops or the difference  $j - i$  decreases by 1. Each iteration of the **While** loop takes  $O(1)$  time, so the total running time of the **While** loop is  $O(n)$ . Therefore, the total running time of this algorithm is  $\Theta(n \log n)$  since the sorting step with MERGESORT takes  $\Theta(n \log n)$ , which dominates the  $O(n)$  running time of the **While** loop.

**Problem 9.** We want to make change for  $n$  cents using the least number of coins among 1, 10, 25 cents. Develop an  $O(n)$ -time dynamic programming algorithm to find the least number of coins needed. Compute the total running time of your algorithm.

For  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , let  $\text{LEASTCOINS}(i)$  denote the least number of coins required to make change for  $i$  cents. We have [Why?]

$$\text{LeastCoins}(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \text{LeastCoins}(i - 1) + 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq 9 \\ \min(\text{LeastCoins}(i - 1) + 1, \text{LeastCoins}(i - 10) + 1) & \text{if } 10 \leq i \leq 24 \\ \min(\text{LeastCoins}(i - 1) + 1, \text{LeastCoins}(i - 10) + 1, \text{LeastCoins}(i - 25) + 1) & \text{if } i \geq 25 \end{cases}$$

We have  $n + 1$  subproblems to solve (i.e.,  $\text{LEASTCOINS}(0), \dots, \text{LEASTCOINS}(n)$ ), and each takes a constant time to be solved. So the total running time is  $\Theta(n)$ .

**Exercise:** Try to simplify the recursion above.

**Exercise:** Write the pseudo-code for the bottom-up DP approach.

**Note:** We will develop a simpler greedy algorithm in HW7.

**Problem 10.** Given an array  $A[1 \dots n]$  of  $n$  positive integers and a positive integer  $t$ , develop a dynamic programming algorithm to determine if there is a subsequence of  $A$  with sum equal to  $t$ .

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $s = 1, \dots, t$ , define the boolean value  $r(i, s)$  as true if there is a subsequence of the first  $i$  elements of  $A$ , i.e.,  $A[1 \dots i]$ , with sum equal to  $s$ , and define it false otherwise. Thus, the value of  $r(i, s)$  is either true or false.

To obtain a recursion for  $r(i, s)$ , note that we have two options: the  $i^{\text{th}}$  element of  $A$ , i.e.  $A[i]$ , can be included in our subsequence or it cannot be included.

In the former case, the recursion we get is  $r(i, s) = r(i - 1, s - A[i])$  if  $s > A[i]$  (Why?), and in the latter case, the recursion we get is  $r(i, s) = r(i - 1, s)$  (Why?). Thus,  $r(i, s)$  is true if at least one of  $r(i - 1, s - A[i])$  or  $r(i - 1, s)$  is true.

**Exercise:** Write the pseudo-code for the bottom-up DP approach. Note that this is a two-dimensional recursion.

**Problem 11.** Given two strings  $X[1 \dots m]$  and  $Y[1 \dots n]$ , find the length of the shortest string that has both of them as subsequences (it is called a shortest superstring of  $X$  and  $Y$ ).

The idea of the solution is similar to *the longest common subsequence problem*. Let  $r(i, j)$  denote the length of the shortest superstring of the first  $i$  characters of  $X$  and the first  $j$  characters of  $Y$ , i.e.,  $X[1 \dots i]$  and  $Y[1 \dots j]$ . We have [Why?]

$$r(i, j) = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } i = 0 \\ i & \text{if } j = 0 \\ r(i - 1, j - 1) + 1 & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ \& } X[i] = Y[j] \\ \min(r(i, j - 1) + 1, r(i - 1, j) + 1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Exercise:** Write the pseudo-code for the bottom-up DP approach.