1 point	1.	One regularization technique is to start with lots of connections in a neural network, and then remove those that are least useful to the task at hand (removing connections is the same as setting their weight to zero). Which of the following regularization techniques is best at removing connections that are least useful to the task that the network is trying to accomplish? Early stopping L2 weight decay Weight noise L1 weight decay
1 point	2.	Why don't we usually train Restricted Boltzmann Machines by taking steps in the exact direction of the gradient of the objective function, like we do for other systems? Because it's unsupervised learning (i.e. there are no targets), there is no objective function that we would like to optimize. That would lead to severe overfitting, which is exactly what we're trying to avoid by using unsupervised learning. That gradient is intractable to compute exactly.
1 point	3.	When we want to train a Restricted Boltzmann Machine, we could try the following strategy. Each time we want to do a weight update based on some training cases, we turn each of those training cases into a full configuration by adding a sampled state of the hidden units (sampled from their distribution conditional on the state of the visible units as specified in the training case); and then we do our weight update in the direction that would most increase the goodness (i.e. decrease the energy) of those full configurations. This way, we expect to end up with a model where configurations that match the training data have high goodness (i.e. low energy). However, that's not what we do in practice. Why not? High goodness (i.e. low energy) doesn't guarantee high probability. The gradient of goodness for a configuration with respect to the model parameters is intractable to compute exactly. Correctly sampling the state of the hidden units, given the state of the visible units, is intractable. That would lead to severe overfitting, which is exactly what we're trying to avoid by using unsupervised learning.
1 point	4.	CD-1 and CD-10 both have their strong sides and their weak sides. Which is the main advantage of CD-10 over CD-1? CD-10 is less sensitive to small changes of the model parameters. The gradient estimate from CD-10 has less variance than the gradient estimate of CD-1. CD-10 gets its negative data (the configurations on which the negative part of the gradient estimate is based) from closer to the model distribution than CD-1 does. The gradient estimate from CD-10 takes less time to compute than the gradient estimate of CD-1. The gradient estimate from CD-10 has more variance than the gradient estimate of CD-1.
1 point	5.	CD-1 and CD-10 both have their strong sides and their weak sides. Which are significant advantages of CD-1 over CD-10? Check all that apply. The gradient estimate from CD-1 has more variance than the gradient estimate of CD-10. CD-1 gets its negative data (the configurations on which the negative part of the gradient estimate is based) from closer to the model distribution than CD-10 does. The gradient estimate from CD-1 has less variance than the gradient estimate of CD-10. The gradient estimate from CD-1 takes less time to compute than the gradient estimate from CD-10.
1 point	6.	With a lot of training data, is the perceptron learning procedure more likely or less likely to converge than with just a little training data? Clarification: We're not assuming that the data is always linearly separable. More likely. Less likely.
1 point	7.	You just trained a neural network for a classification task, using some weight decay for regularization. After training it for 20 minutes, you find that on the validation data it performs much worse than on the training data: on the validation data, it classifies 90% of the data cases correctly, while on the training data it classifies 99% of the data cases correctly. Also, you made a plot of the performance on the training data and the performance on the validation data, and that plot shows that at the end of those 20 minutes, the performance on the training data is improving while the performance on the validation data is getting worse. What would be a reasonble strategy to try next? Check all that apply. Redo the training with fewer hidden units. Redo the training with more weight decay. Redo the training with more hidden units. Redo the training with more hidden units.
point		sample from the correct distribution, which is a very important advantage. For which systems, and under which conditions, are the hidden units independent of each other? Check all that apply. For a Sigmoid Belief Network where the only connections are from hidden units to visible units (i.e. no hidden-to-hidden or visible-to-visible connections), when we condition on the state of the visible units, the hidden units are independent of each other. For a Sigmoid Belief Network where the only connections are from hidden units to visible units (i.e. no hidden-to-hidden or visible-to-visible connections), when we don't condition on anything, the hidden units are independent of each other. For a Restricted Boltzmann Machine, when we don't condition on anything, the hidden units are independent of each other. For a Restricted Boltzmann Machine, when we condition on the state of the visible units, the hidden units are independent of each other.
1 point	9.	What is the purpose of momentum? The primary purpose of momentum is to speed up the learning. The primary purpose of momentum is to reduce the amount of overfitting. The primary purpose of momentum is to prevent oscillating gradient estimates from causing vanishing or exploding gradients.
1 point	10	Consider a Restricted Boltzmann Machine with 2 visible units v_1, v_2 and 1 hidden unit h . The visible units are connected to the hidden unit by weights w_1, w_2 and the hidden unit has a bias b . An illustration of this model is given below.
1 point	11.	Consider the following feed-forward neural network with one <i>logistic</i> hidden neuron and one <i>linear</i> output neuron. $ \begin{array}{c} y\\ \\ w_2 = 4\\ \\ h \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} w_1 = 1.0986123\\ \end{array} $
		The input is given by $x=1$, the target is given by $t=5$, the input-to-hidden weight is given by $w_1=1.0986123$, and the hidden-to-output weight is given by $w_2=4$ (there are no bias parameters). What is the cost incurred by the network when we are using the squared error cost ? Remember that the squared error cost is defined by $\operatorname{Error} = \frac{1}{2}(y-t)^2$. Write down your answer with at least 3 digits after the decimal point.
1 point	12	Consider the following feed-forward neural network with one <i>logistic</i> hidden neuron and one <i>linear</i> output neuron .
		The input is given by $x=1$, the target is given by $t=5$, the input-to-hidden weight is given by $w_1=1.0986123$, and the hidden-to-output weight is given by $w_2=4$ (there are no bias parameters). If we are using the squared error cost then what is $\frac{\partial \mathrm{Error}}{\partial w_1}$, the derivative of the error with respect to w_1 ? Remember that the squared error cost is defined by $\mathrm{Error}=\frac{1}{2}(y-t)^2.$ Write down your answer with at least 3 digits after the decimal point.
1 point	13.	-1.500 Suppose that we have trained a semantic hashing network on a large collection of images. We then present to the network four images: two dogs, a cat, and a car (shown below).
		Dog 1
		Dog 2
		Cat
		Car The network produces four binary vectors: (a) [0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1] (b) [1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1] (c) [1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1] (d) [1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0]
		One may wonder which of these codes was produced from which of the images. Below, we've written four possible scenarios, and it's your job to select the most plausible one. Remember what the purpose of a semantic hashing network is, and use your intuition to solve this question. If you want to quantitatively compare binary vectors, use the number of different elements, i.e., the <i>Manhattan distance</i> . That is, if two binary vectors are [1,0,1] and [0,1,1] then their Manhattan distance is 2.
		(a) Car (b) Dog 1 (c) Dog 2 (d) Cat (a) Dog 1 (b) Cat (c) Car (d) Dog 2 (a) Dog 2 (b) Dog 1 (c) Car (d) Cat (a) Cat
1 point	14.	(b) Car (c) Dog 2 (d) Dog 1 The following plots show training and testing error as a neural network is being trained (that is, error per epoch). Which of the following plots is an obvious example of overfitting occurring as the learning progresses?
		Error versus learning iteration — Training error
		0.5 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
		0.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
		0.5 0.4 0.1 0.0 20 40 60 80 100 Error versus learning iteration Training error Test error
		Error versus learning iteration O.6 Error versus learning iteration Training error Training error Trest error
1 point	15	Throughout this course, we used optimization routines such as gradient descent and conjugate gradients in order to learn the weights of a neural network. Two principal methods for optimization are online methods, where we update the parameters after looking at a single example, and full batch methods, where we update the parameters only after looking at all of the examples in the training set. Which of the following statements about online versus full batch methods are true? Check all that apply. Online methods scale much more gracefully to large datasets. Mini-batch optimization is where we use several examples for each update. This interpolates between online and full batch optimization.
		Throughout this course, we used optimization routines such as gradient descent and conjugate gradients in order to learn the weights of a neural network. Two principal methods require all of the subject to the statements about online versus full batch methods are true? Check all that apply. Online methods scale much more gracefully to large datasets. Mini-batch optimization is order to learn the weight of the subject of
point		Error versus learning iteration Error versus learning iteration Error versus learning iteration Training error Rest error Test error
point	16	Throughout the course, we used optimization routines such as gradient descent and conjugate praiders in order to learn the weights of a neural networks and a single example, and full batch methods a required as a lock and the parameters after looking at a single example, and full batch methods are true? Check all that apply. This interpolates between online and full batch methods are true? Check all that apply. The late the methods scale much more gracefully to large datasets. Annie hanch optimization is where we use several examples for each update. This interpolates between online and full batch methods are true? Check all that spoly. Online methods scale much more gracefully to large datasets. Annie hanch optimization is where we use several examples for each update. This interpolates between online and full batch methods are true? Check all that spoly interpolates between online and full batch methods are true? Check all that spoly. Online methods require us to compute the Hessain matrix (the matrix of second derivatives), where we use several examples for each update. This interpolates between online and full batch optimization. Guille methods require us to compute the Hessain matrix (the matrix of second derivatives), where we use several examples the Hessain, and refine this approximation are only the sprown of the responsability of the second derivatives, where we use of momentum, otherwise they will diverge. In full batch methods momentum is optional. You have seen the concept of weight sharing, or weight typing appear throughout this course. For example, in dropout we combine an exponential number of neural networks with that dweights. In a conocultural neural network and non-corrodulutional networks with dropout both use weight sharing, or weight will read non-corrodulutional networks with dropout both use weight sharing is true? Since ordinary convolutional neural networks and non-corrodulutional networks with dropout both use weight sharing is true? Since ordinary convolutional neural net
point 1 point	16	Error versus learning iteration Error versus learning iteration Error versus learning iteration Training error Training er