Why does pretraining help more when the network is deep?

As nets get deeper, contrastive divergence objective used during pretraining

Deeper nets have more parameters than shallow ones and they overfit easily.



4.

Un-selected is correct

gets closer to the classification objective.

Therefore, initializing them sensibly is important. Correct More parameters means that the model can find ingenious ways of overfitting by

learning features that don't generalize well. Pretraining can initialize the weights in a proper region of weight space so that the features learned are not too bad.

layers. Un-selected is correct

Backpropagation algorithm cannot give accurate gradients for very deep

networks. So it is important to have good initializtions, especially, for the lower

During backpropagation in very deep nets, the lower level layers get very small

gradients, making it hard to learn good low-level features. Since pretraining starts those low-level features off at a good point, there is a big win.

Correct

change it to

units are used (such as logistic or tanh units). Pretraining can initialize the weights in a proper region of weight space so that the features don't have to start learning from scratch.

Lower level layers can get very small gradients, especially if saturating hidden



5.

 $E(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{i} rac{(v_i - b_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2} - \sum_{j} h_j a_j - \sum_{i,j} rac{v_i}{\sigma_i} W_{ij} h_j$

Why can't we still use the same old one?

 $E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = -\sum_{i} v_i b_i - \sum_{j} h_j a_j - \sum_{i,j} v_i W_{ij} h_j$

The energy function for binary RBMs goes by

If we use the old one, the real-valued vectors would end up being constrained to be binary.

When modeling real-valued data (i.e., when ${f v}$ is a real-valued vector not a binary one) we

Un-selected is correct

would assign energy $(e_1 + e_2)/2$ to state $(\mathbf{v_1} + \mathbf{v_2})/2$, h. This does not make sense for the kind of distributions we usually want to model.

If the model assigns an energy e_1 to state $\mathbf{v_1}$, \mathbf{h} , and e_2 to state $\mathbf{v_2}$, \mathbf{h} , then it

Correct

Suppose v_1 and v_2 represent two images. We would like e_1 and e_2 to be small. This makes the energy of the average image low, but the average of two images would not look like a natural image and should not have low energy.



If we continue to use the same one, then in general, there will be infinitely many v's and h's such that, $E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})$ will be infinitely small (close to $-\infty$). The probability distribution resulting from such an energy function is not useful for

would behave in weird ways. Probability distributions over real-valued data can only be modeled by having a

, then $E
ightarrow -\infty$. So the Boltzmann distribution based on this energy function

term.

conditional Gaussian distribution over them. So we have to use a quadratic