Problem of Bad Controls

Prof. Tzu-Ting Yang 楊子霆

Institute of Economics, Academia Sinica 中央研究院經濟研究所

March 27, 2023

Bad Control Problem: Main Idea

Bad Control Problem

- Controlling for additional covariates increases the likelihood that regression estimates have a causal interpretation
- Bad control problem: More controls are not always better
 - Bad controls are variables that could themselves be outcomes, which are also affected by treatment
- The bad control problem is a version of selection bias

Bad Control Problem

- We should NOT include bad controls into regression or matching process even if including them can change estimated coefficients of treatment effect
- Good controls are variables that is pre-determined
 - The value of variables have been determined before getting treatment
 - Whether the variables are pre-determined or not, depending on timing of treatment
 - Examples:
 - The effect of master degree
 - Pre-determined variables: Gender, age, birth place, father's education, mother's education

- We are interested in the effect of a college degree on earnings.
- People can work in two occupations:
 - White collar $(W_i = 1)$
 - Blue collar $(W_i = 0)$
- Occupation is highly correlated with both education (treatment) and earnings (outcome)
 - Occupation is a potential omitted variable, should we include it into our regression?
 - Should we look at the effect of college degree on earnings for those within an occupation (e.g. white collar) ?

- Note that a college degree also increases the chance of getting a high-paying white collar job.
- That is, occupational choices are also affect by treatment (get college degree): Bad Controls

- Comparisons of earnings by college degree status within an occupation are no longer apples-to-apples comparison
 - Those who have college degree and white color jobs
 - Those who do not have college degree but still have white color jobs
 - Two groups are different types of people (e.g. different ability)
- Even if college degree completion is randomly assigned

- If our goal was to estimate the causal effect of college degree on earnings, it would be a bad idea to control for occupation
- The reason is that one of the main ways that education can affect one's earning is through changing occupation
- If our regression controls for occupation, we might shut down this channel and underestimate the effect of college degree
 - The causal effect of college degree on earnings given the occupation does not change

Good Controls



- X is the confounding factor and good control variable
- If you want to estimate the (total) effect of treatment *D*, you should control for all confounding factors *X*

Bad Controls



- W is the mediator and bad control variable
- If you want to estimate the (total) effect of treatment *D*, you should NOT control for mediator *W*

Bad Control Problem: Formal Illustration

Formal Illustration

■ The realization of earnings Y_i and occupations W_i is determined by college graduation status D_i

$$Y_i = Y_i^1 D_i + Y_i^0 (1 - D_i)$$

 $W_i = W_i^1 D_i + W_i^0 (1 - D_i)$

 D_i: a dummy that indicate whether individual i gets college degree or not

$$D_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if individual } i \text{ gets college degree} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Potential outcomes for earnings:
 - Y_i^1 : Potential earnings for an individual i getting college degree
 - Y_i^0 : Potential earnings for an individual i not getting college degree

- Potential outcomes for occupation:
 - W_i^1 : Potential occupation for an individual i getting college degree
 - W_i⁰: Potential occupation for an individual i not getting college degree
- W_i^d : a dummy that indicate whether individual i have white collar job or not

$$W_i^1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if individual } i \text{ with college degree becomes white collar} \\ 0 & \text{if individual } i \text{ with college degree becomes blue collar} \end{cases}$$

$$W_i^0 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if individual } i \text{ without college degree becomes white collar} \\ 0 & \text{if individual } i \text{ without college degree becomes blue collar} \end{cases}$$

- Assume that college degree completion D_i is randomly assigned
- So D_i is independent of all potential outcomes $(Y_i^1, Y_i^0, W_i^1, W_i^0)$

Formal Illustration

• We have no trouble estimating the causal effect of D_i on Y_i since independence gives us ATE:

$$E[Y_i|D_i = 1] - E[Y_i|D_i = 0] = E[Y_i^1 - Y_i^0]$$

■ In practice, we can estimate these ATE of getting college degree on earning by regressing Y_i on D_i

$$Y_i = \delta + \alpha D_i + \epsilon_i$$

Formal Illustration

• We have no trouble estimating the causal effect of D_i on W_i since independence gives us ATE:

$$E[W_i|D_i = 1] - E[W_i|D_i = 0] = E[W_i^1 - W_i^0]$$

■ Similarly, we can estimate these ATE of getting college degree on having white color job by regressing W_i on D_i

$$W_i = \delta + \alpha D_i + \epsilon_i$$

Formal Illustration

■ Bad controls means that a comparison of earnings Y_i conditional on W_i does NOT have a causal interpretation

$$Y_i = \delta + \alpha D_i + \beta W_i + \epsilon_i$$

- Consider the difference in mean earnings between college graduates and others conditional on working in a white collar job.
- We can compute this in a regression including W_i or by regressing Y_i on D_i in the sample where $W_i = 1$

$$\alpha = E[Y_i|W_i = 1, D_i = 1] - E[Y_i|W_i = 1, D_i = 0]$$

= $E[Y_i^1|W_i^1 = 1, D_i = 1] - E[Y_i^0|W_i^0 = 1, D_i = 0]$

Formal Illustration

■ By independence of D_i and all potential outcomes $(Y_i^1, Y_i^0, W_i^1, W_i^0)$

$$E[Y_i^1|W_i^1 = 1, D_i = 1] - E[Y_i^0|W_i^0 = 1, D_i = 0]$$
$$= E[Y_i^1|W_i^1 = 1] - E[Y_i^0|W_i^0 = 1]$$

Including bad controls (i.e. occupation) leads to selection bias:

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{1}|W_{i}^{1}=1] - \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{0}|W_{i}^{0}=1] \\ & = \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{1}|W_{i}^{1}=1] - \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{0}|W_{i}^{1}=1] + \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{0}|W_{i}^{1}=1] - \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{0}|W_{i}^{0}=1] \\ & = \underbrace{\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{1}-\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{0}|W_{i}^{1}=1]}_{\text{Causal Effect}} + \underbrace{\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{0}|W_{i}^{1}=1] - \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_{i}^{0}|W_{i}^{0}=1]}_{\text{Selection Bias}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_i^1|W_i^1=1] - \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_i^0|W_i^0=1] \\ & = \underbrace{\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_i^1-\mathrm{Y}_i^0|W_i^1=1]}_{\text{Causal Effect}} + \underbrace{\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_i^0|W_i^1=1] - \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}_i^0|W_i^0=1]}_{\text{Selection Bias}} \end{split}$$

- Selection bias implies the potential outcome (earnings) is different for:
 - Those who have college degree and work at white-color jobs
 - Those who do not have college degree but work at white-color jobs
- Selection bias reflects the fact that college changes the composition of the pool of white collar workers

Control-Based Causal Inference v.s. Design-Based Causal Inference

Control-Based Causal Inference

- So far, we have learned several control-based causal inference methods
 - Matching, regression, or machine learning
- These methods are all based on CIA (selection on observables)
 - Assumed all confounding factors can be observed
 - Thus, we can eliminate selection bias by comparing the treated and untreated units with the similar observed characteristics

Unobservable Omitted Variable

- Even if we can control all observed variable, selection bias might still exist due to unobservable omitted variables
 - That is, the treated and untreated units may be very different in some characteristics that we can NOT observe
 - In other words, this means CIA (selection on observables) is not valid
 - Thus, we can NOT eliminate selection bias by including more covariates into regression or matching process

Design-Based Causal Inference

- Next four weeks, we will learn several methods to deal with unobservable omitted variables
 - Difference-in-differences design
 - Synthetic control method
 - Regression discontinuity design
- The above methods utilize an exogenous factor that drives change in treatment status to estimate the causal effect