## Introduction To Algorithm

## Third Edition

## Answer

## Xia Ding

January 5, 2016

## 5.1

#### 5.1 - 1

Partial order: A relation which is reflexive, anti-symmetric and transitive.

**Total order:** A total order that is a partial order which has *totality* property  $(\forall a \ b \in S: aRb \text{ or } bRa).$ 

First, we prove the partial order.

- Reflexive: Because everybody is as good or better as themselves.
- Transitive: If A is better than B and B is better than C, then A is better than C.
- Anti-symmetric: If A is better than B, than B is not better than A.

Now, the set S is partial order, because any two candidates are comparable, so the set S is total order.

#### 5.1-2

Let n = b - a. The algorithm is as follows:

- 1. find the smallest integer c such that  $2^c \ge n$ , that is  $c = \lceil \lg n \rceil$ .
- 2. call RANDOM (0, 1) c times to get a c-digit binary number r.
- 3. if r > n we go back to the step 2.
- 4. return a + r.

This produces a uniformly random number in that range. However, there is a possibility to have to repeat step 2. There is  $p = \frac{n}{2c}$  chance of not having to repeat step two. The geometric distribution suggests that on average it takes  $\frac{1}{p}$  trials before we get such a number, that is  $\frac{2c}{n}$  trials. Since we perform c calls to RANDOM (0, 1) on each trial, the expected running time is

$$O(\frac{2^{c}}{n}c) = O(\frac{\lg(b-2)2^{\lg(b-a)}}{b-a}) = O(\lg(b-a))$$

#### 5.1 - 3

- 1. Generate two random numbers x and y
- 2. If they are not same, return x
- 3. Otherwise, repeat from step 1

Because P[0,1] = P[1,0] = 2p(1-p), so number of trails is  $\frac{1}{2p(1-p)}$ . So running time is  $O(\frac{1}{p(1-p)})$ .

## 5.2

#### 5.2 - 1

When the best candidate is the first one fired, then you hire exactly one time,  $P = \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} = \frac{1}{n}$ . When the order of candidates is increasing, you will hire n times,  $P = \frac{1}{n!}$ .

## 5.2-2

You hire twice when your first fired candidate is rank i(i < n) and all candidates with rank k such that i < k < n come after candidate with rank n. Let  $X_i$  be the case that first candidate is rank i and you hire exactly twice. Because you first hire candidate ranked i has probability  $\frac{1}{n}$ , and the best candidate comes first in rest n-i better candidate has probability  $\frac{1}{n-i}$ . So,

$$P(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n-1}$$

So the probability of hiring exactly twice is:

$$P(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i} = \frac{1}{n} (\lg(n-1) + O(1))$$

#### 5.2 - 3

First, we analysis one dice. Let  $X_i$  be the indicator such that  $X_i = Ithis dices how snumber i$ . Let  $Y_j$  be the random variable denoting the number got from the j-th dice. Then  $Y_j = \sum_{i=1}^6 X_i$ , because  $E[X_A] = \Pr\{A\}$ ,

$$E[Y_j] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{6} X_i]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{6} E[X_i]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{6} i \Pr\{i\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{6} i \frac{1}{6}$$

$$= 3.5$$

So the expect value of sum of n dice is:

$$E[Y] = E[\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} 3.5 = 3.5n$$

#### 5.2 - 4

Define a random variable X that equals the number of customers that get back their own hat, so that we want to compute E[X]. For  $i=1,2,3\ldots n$ , define the indicator random variable

 $X_i = I\{customerigetsbackhisownhat\}.$ 

Then 
$$X = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$$
.

Since the ordering of hats is random, each customer has a probability of 1/n of getting back his hat. In other word,  $\Pr\{X_i = 1\} = 1/n$ , which, implies that  $E[X_i] = 1/n$ . Thus:

$$E[X] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} = 1$$

#### 5.2 - 5

Let  $X_{ij}$  be an indicator random variable for the event where the pair A[i], A[j] for i < j is inverted, i.e., A[i] > A[j]. More precisely, we define  $X_{ij} = IA[i] > A[j]$  for  $1 \le i < j \le n$ . We have  $\Pr{X_{ij} = 1 = 1/2}$ , because given two distinct random numbers, the probability that the first is bigger than the second is 1/2, By Lemma 5.1,  $E[X_{ij} = 1/2]$ .

Let X be the random variable denoting the total number of inverted pairs in the array, so that

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} X_{ij}$$

We want the expected number of inverted pairs, so we take the expectation of both sides of the above equation to obtain

$$E[X] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} X_{ij}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)}{4}$$

## 5.3

#### 5.3 - 1

Before enter the loop, you can pick a random number in A and swap it with A[1]. Then start the loop with i = 2. And modify the Proof's **Initialization:** to "Consider the situation just before the first loop iteration, so that  $i = 2 \cdots$ ."

#### 5.3 - 2

Although it will not produce the identity permutation there are other permutations that it fails to produce. For example, consider its operation when n=3, when it should be able to produce the n!-1=5 non-identity permutations. The for loop iterates for i=1 and i=2. When i=1, the call to RANDOM returns one of two possible values (either 2 or 3), and when i=2, the call to RANDOM returns just one value (3). Thus, this procedure can produce only 2 possible permutations, rather than the 5 that are required.

#### 5.3 - 3

No. Because it produce  $n^n$  different permutations, not n!.

#### 5.3 - 4

Because once offset is determined, the entire permutation is determined. And B is the result of shifting A, each value of offset occurs with probability 1/n, so A[i] has a 1/n probability of winding up in any particular position in B.

However, there are only n different permutations, not n!, so the result is not uniformly random.

#### 5.3 - 5

$$P = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{n^3 - i}{n^3}$$

$$\geq (1 - \frac{1}{n^2})^n$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n} \quad (Bernoulli's \quad inequality)$$

#### 5.3 - 6

Generate new properties and retry.

#### 5.3 - 7

We use a loop invariant:

RANDOM-SAMPLE(i, n-m+i) produce a random *i*-subset S of  $\{1,2,3,\ldots n-m+i\}$  for  $i=0,1,2,\ldots m$ 

**Initialization:** When i = 0, RANDOM(0, n - m) return  $\emptyset$  with probability 1, which is  $\binom{n-1}{0}$ .

**Maintenance:** We assume RANDOM(i-1, n-m-1+i) produce a random (i-1)-subset of  $\{1,2,3,\ldots n-m-1+i\}$ , with each possible result have probability  $1/\binom{n-m-1+i}{i-1}$ . Then after call RANDOM(i, n-m+i), the probability of i-subset containing n-m+i is

$$\frac{i}{n-m+i} \frac{1}{\binom{n-m-1+i}{i-1}} = \frac{1}{\binom{n-m+i}{i}}$$

If it doesn't contain n-m+i, it may contain one of n-m numbers, probability of each is:

$$\frac{n-m}{n-m+i} \frac{1}{\binom{n-m-1+i}{i}} = \frac{1}{\binom{n-m+i}{i}}$$

So, RANDOM(i, n-m+i) produce a random random i-subset S of  $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots n-m+i\}$ 

**Termination:** At termination, i = m, and we conclude that RANDOM(m, n) produce a random m-subset of  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots n\}$ .

# 5.4

#### 5.4 - 1

## **Problems**

## 5.1 Probabilistic counting

**a.** Suppose at the start of the m-th INCREMENT OPERATION, the value of counter is i, if i increase, the number represented by i will increase by  $n_{i+i}-n_i$ . We use  $X_m$  be the value of increment of number represented by i.

$$E[X_m] = 0 \Pr\{i \ don't \ increase\} + (n_{i+1} - n_i) \Pr\{i \ increase\}$$

$$= 0 \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{n_{i+1} - n_i}) + (n_{i+1} - n_i) \cdot \frac{1}{n_{i+1} - n_i}$$

$$= 1$$

The expected value of increment of number represented by i is 1 during each INCREMENT operation, so after n INCREMENT OPERATION, expected value represented by counter is exactly n.

b.

$$Var[X_m] = E[X_m^2] - E^2[X_m]$$

$$= 0^2 \cdot \frac{99}{100} + 100^2 \cdot \frac{1}{100} - 1^2$$

$$= 99$$

So variance of single INCREMENT operation is 99, after n INCREMENT operation,

$$Var[X] = Var[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var[X_i] = 99n$$

#### 5.2 Searching an unsorted array

- **a.** Algorithm 1.
- **b.** Because probability of getting i is 1/n, so the expected value of trails is n.
- **c.** Because probability of getting i is k/n, so the expected value of trails is n/k.
- **d.** According to balls and bins model in 5.4.2, expected value is  $n(\ln n + O(1))$ .
- **e.** The worst-case running time is n. The average-case is (n+1)/2.

## **Algorithm 1** RANDOM-SEARCH(A, x)

```
1: S = \emptyset {S is a set}

2: while |S| \neq n do

3: i = \text{RANDOM}(1, n)

4: if A[i] \neq x then

5: S = S \cap i

6: else

7: return i

8: end if

9: end while

10: return None
```

**f.** The worst-case running time is n-k+1. Let  $X_i$  be an indicator random variable representing A[i]=x.  $\Pr\{X_i\}=\frac{1}{k+1}$ . Let Y be the indicator random variable representing after n-k search, we find A[i]=x.  $\Pr Y=1$ .

$$E[X] = E[X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \dots + X_{n-k} + Y] = 1 + \frac{n-k}{k+1} = \frac{n+1}{k+1}$$

- **g.** Both of them are n.
- h. The same as solution of part (f), replacing "average-case" with "expected".
- i. DETERMINISTIC-SEARCH. Because SCRAMBLE-SEARCH will first permute the array randomly, which will cost O(n) time.