



PasswordStore Initial Audit Report

Version 0.1

vSum.io

March 15, 2025

PasswordStore Audit Report

Guanghua Xiang

2025-03-14

PasswordStore Audit Report

Prepared by: Guanghua Xiang Lead Auditors:

- Guanghua Xiang

Assisting Auditors:

- None

Table of contents

See table

- PasswordStore Audit Report
- Table of contents
- About Guanghua Xiang
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
- Protocol Summary
 - Roles
- Executive Summary

- Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - ★ [H-1] Passwords stored on-chain are visible to anyone, not matter solidity variable visibility
 - ★ [H-2] `PasswordStore::setPassword` is callable by anyone
- Low Risk Findings
 - L-01. Initialization Timeframe Vulnerability
 - ★ Relevant GitHub Links
 - Summary
 - Vulnerability Details
 - Impact
 - Tools Used
 - Recommendations
 - ★ [L-1] The `PasswordStore::getPassword` natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

About Guanghua Xiang

As a Smart Contract Auditor, I specialize in identifying and mitigating vulnerabilities in blockchain-based applications to ensure their security and reliability. With expertise in Solidity, Rust, and Vyper, I have audited smart contracts for DeFi protocols, NFT platforms, and DAOs, uncovering risks such as reentrancy attacks, integer overflows, and logic flaws. I utilize tools like Slither, MythX, and Echidna to perform thorough static and dynamic analysis, delivering clear and actionable audit reports.

My focus is on enhancing the security of blockchain ecosystems by providing detailed insights and recommendations to development teams. I am passionate about contributing to a safer decentralized future and helping projects build trust with their users. With a commitment to excellence and a deep understanding of blockchain threats, I strive to make Web3 more secure and resilient.

Disclaimer

My audit team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is

not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

Audit Details

The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash:

```
1 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990
```

Scope

```
1 src/  
2 --- PasswordStore.sol
```

Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

Roles

- Owner: Is the only one who should be able to set and access the password.

For this contract, only the owner should be able to interact with the contract.

Executive Summary

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	1
Info	1
Gas Optimizations	0
Total	0

Findings

High

[H-1] Passwords stored on-chain are visible to anyone, not matter solidity variable visibility

Description: All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The `PasswordStore:s_password` variable is intended to be a private variable, and only accessed through the `PasswordStore:getPassword` function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

However, anyone can directly read this using any number of off chain methodologies

Impact: The password is not private.

Proof of Concept: The below test case shows how anyone could read the password directly from the blockchain. We use foundry's cast tool to read directly from the storage of the contract, without being the owner.

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

3. Run the storage tool

We use 1 because that's the storage slot of `s_password` in the contract.

```
1 cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You'll get an output that looks like this:

[illegible]

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

[illegible]

And get an output of:

```
1 myPassword
```

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword is callable by anyone

Description: The `PasswordStore::setPassword` function is set to be an `external` function, however the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that `This function allows only the owner to set a new password`.

```
1 function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2 @> // @audit - There are no access controls here
3     s_password = newPassword;
4     emit SetNetPassword();
5 }
```

Impact: Anyone can set/change the password of the contract.

Proof of Concept:

Add the following to the `PasswordStore.t.sol` test suite.

```
1 function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
```

```
2     vm.prank(randomAddress);
3     string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
4     passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
5     vm.prank(owner);
6     string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
7     assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
8 }
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add an access control modifier to the `setPassword` function.

```
1  if (msg.sender != s_owner) {
2      revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3  }
```

Low Risk Findings

L-01. Initialization Timeframe Vulnerability

Submitted by dianivanov.

Relevant GitHub Links

<https://github.com/Cyfrin/2023-10-PasswordStore/blob/main/src/PasswordStore.sol>

Summary

The PasswordStore contract exhibits an initialization timeframe vulnerability. This means that there is a period between contract deployment and the explicit call to `setPassword` during which the password remains in its default state. It's essential to note that even after addressing this issue, the password's public visibility on the blockchain cannot be entirely mitigated, as blockchain data is inherently public as already stated in the "Storing password in blockchain" vulnerability.

Vulnerability Details

The contract does not set the password during its construction (in the constructor). As a result, when the contract is initially deployed, the password remains uninitialized, taking on the default value for a string, which is an empty string.

During this initialization timeframe, the contract's password is effectively empty and can be considered a security gap.

Impact

The impact of this vulnerability is that during the initialization timeframe, the contract's password is left empty, potentially exposing the contract to unauthorized access or unintended behavior.

Tools Used

No tools used. It was discovered through manual inspection of the contract.

Recommendations

To mitigate the initialization timeframe vulnerability, consider setting a password value during the contract's deployment (in the constructor). This initial value can be passed in the constructor parameters.

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

Description:

```
1      /*
2      * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3  @>   * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4      */
5      function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

The natspec for the function `PasswordStore::getPassword` indicates it should have a parameter with the signature `getPassword(string)`. However, the actual function signature is `getPassword()`.

Impact: The natspec is incorrect.

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
1  -      * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```