
Statistical Multiplexing; Layered Network Architecture

Qiao Xiang

<https://qiaoxiang.me/courses/cnns-xmuf22/index.shtml>

9/20/2022


Outline

- Admin. and recap
- ❑ A taxonomy of communication networks
 - datagram and virtual circuit packet switched networks
- ❑ Layered network architecture

Admin

- ❑ Questions on Assignment One

Recap: Summary of the Taxonomy of Communication Networks



Recap: Circuit Switching vs. Packet Switching

	circuit switching	packet switching
resource usage	use a single partition bandwidth	use whole link bandwidth
reservation/setup	need reservation (setup delay)	no reservation
resource contention	busy signal (session loss)	congestion (long delay and packet losses)
charging	time	packet
header	no per-pkt header	per packet header
fast path processing	fast	per packet processing


Recap: Queueing Theory

- ❑ Model **system state**
- ❑ Introduce **state transition** diagram
- ❑ Focus on **equilibrium**: state trend neither growing nor shrinking

Recap: Queueing Theory

Analysis of Circuit-Switching

system state: # of busy lines




Equilibrium = Time Reversibility [Frank Kelly]

- Statistically cannot distinguish

$$\# f_{k \rightarrow k+1} = \# f_{k+1 \rightarrow k}$$

$$\# b_{k \rightarrow k+1} \neq \# b_{k+1 \rightarrow k}$$


Play the sequence backwards



Recap: Queueing Theory

Analysis of Circuit-Switching

system state: # of busy lines



at equilibrium (time reversibility) in one unit time:

$\#(\text{transitions } k \rightarrow k+1) = \#(\text{transitions } k+1 \rightarrow k)$


$$p_k \lambda = p_{k+1} (k+1) \mu$$

$$p_{k+1} = \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{\lambda}{\mu} p_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right)^{k+1} p_0$$


$$p_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1!} \frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right)^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right)^N}$$

Recap: Queueing Theory

Analysis of Packet Switching



system state: #packets in queue



at equilibrium (time reversibility) in one unit time:

$\#(\text{transitions } k \rightarrow k+1) = \#(\text{transitions } k+1 \rightarrow k)$

$$p_k \lambda = p_{k+1} \mu$$



$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k = 1$$

$$p_{k+1} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} p_k = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{k+1} p_0 = \rho^{k+1} p_0$$

$$p_0 = 1 - \rho$$

$$\rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$$

Recap: Analysis of Delay



□ Average queueing delay:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k \cdot k \cdot \frac{1}{\mu} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^k (1 - \rho) k \frac{1}{\mu}$$

□ Transmission delay:

$$S = \frac{1}{\mu}$$

□ Queueing + transmission:

Recap: Analysis of Delay

$$\rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$$

$$S = \frac{1}{\mu}$$


$$\text{average queueing delay: } w = S \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho}$$

$$\text{queueing} + \text{trans} = S \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} + S = S \frac{1}{1 - \rho}$$

For a demo of M/M/1, see:

http://www.dcs.ed.ac.uk/home/jeh/Simjava/queueing/mm1_q/mm1_q.html

Recap: Statistical Multiplexing




A simple model to compare bandwidth efficiency of

- reservation/dedication (aka circuit-switching) vs
- no reservation (aka packet switching)

setup

- a single bottleneck link with service rate μ
- n flows; each flow has an arrival rate of λ/n



- no reservation: all arrivals into the single link, the queueing delay + transmission delay:

$$S \frac{1}{1 - \rho}$$

- reservation: each flow uses its own reserved (sub)link with rate μ/n , the queueing delay + transmission delay:

For each flow i :

$$\rho_i = \frac{\lambda/n}{\mu/n} = \rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad n S \frac{1}{1 - \rho}$$
$$S_i = \frac{1}{\mu/n} = nS$$

Summary:

Packet Switching vs. Circuit Switching

- ❑ Advantages of packet switching over circuit switching
 - most important advantage of packet-switching over circuit switching is **statistical multiplexing**, and therefore more efficient bandwidth usage
- ❑ Disadvantages of packet switching
 - **potential congestion**: packet delay and high loss
 - protocols needed for reliable data transfer, congestion control
 - it is possible to guarantee quality of service (QoS) in packet-switched networks and still gain statistical multiplexing, but it adds much complexity
 - **packet header overhead**
 - **per packet processing overhead**


Outline

- Admin. and recap

- *A taxonomy of communication networks*
 - circuit switched networks
 - packet switched networks
 - circuit switching vs. packet switching
- *datagram and virtual circuit packet switched networks*

A Taxonomy of Packet-Switched Networks According to Routing


- Two types of packet switching
 - datagram network
 - each packet of a flow is switched **independently**
 - virtual circuit network:
 - all packets from one flow are sent along a **pre-established** path (= virtual circuit)




Datagram Packet Switching

- ❑ Commonly when we say packet switching we mean datagram switching
- ❑ Example: IP networks
- ❑ Each packet is independently switched
 - each packet header contains *complete destination address*
 - receiving a packet, a router looks at the packet's destination address and *searches* its current routing table to determine the possible next hops, and pick one
- ❑ Analogy: postal mail system

Datagram Packet Switching



Timing Diagram of Datagram Switching



Virtual-Circuit Packet Switching


❑ Example: Multiple Label Packet Switching (MPLS) in IP networks

❑ Hybrid of circuit switching and datagram switching

- fixed path determined at *virtual circuit setup time*, remains fixed thru flow
- Implementation:
 1. each packet carries a short local, **tag** (virtual-circuit (VC) #); tag determines next hop

Incoming VC#	Outgoing Interface	QoS
12	2	
16	3	
20	3	
...		


Virtual-Circuit Switching



Virtual-Circuit Packet Switching

- Three phases
 1. VC establishment
 2. Data transfer
 3. VC disconnect


Timing Diagram of Virtual-Circuit Switching



Discussion: Datagram Switching vs. Virtual Circuit Switching

- ❑ What are the benefits of datagram switching over virtual circuit switching?
- ❑ What are the benefits of virtual circuit switching over datagram switching?

Summary of the Taxonomy of Communication Networks



Summary of Progress

- We have seen the hardware infrastructure, the basic communication scheme, a next key question is how to develop the software system.

Summary of Progress


- We have seen the hardware infrastructure, the basic communication scheme, a next key question is how to develop the software system.

Outline

- ❑ Admin. and recap
- ❑ A taxonomy of communication networks
- ❑ Layered network architecture
 - *what is layering?*
 - ❑ why layering?
 - ❑ how to determine the layers?
 - ❑ ISO/OSI layering and Internet layering

What is Layering?

- A technique to organize a networked system into a **succession** of logically distinct entities, such that the service provided by one entity is **solely** based on the service provided by the previous (lower level) entity.



Outline

- ❑ Admin. and recap
- ❑ A taxonomy of communication networks
- ❑ Layered network architecture
 - ❑ what is layering?
 - *why layering?*

Why Layering?

Networks are complex !

- ❑ many “pieces”:
 - hardware
 - hosts
 - routers
 - links of various media
 - software
 - applications
 - infrastructure


Dealing with complex systems:
explicit structure allows
identification of the relationship
among a complex system's pieces

- layered **reference model** for discussion

Modularization eases maintenance,
updating of system:

- change of implementation of a layer's service transparent to rest of system, e.g., changes in routing protocol doesn't affect rest of system


An Example: No Layering



- ❑ No layering: each new application has to be **re**-implemented for every network technology !

An Example: Benefit of Layering

- Introducing an intermediate layer provides a **common abstraction** for network technologies




ISO/OSI Concepts


- ❑ ISO - International Standard Organization
- ❑ OSI - Open System Interconnection

- ❑ Service - says **what** a layer does
- ❑ Interface - says **how** to **access** the service
- ❑ Protocol - specifies **how** the service is **implemented**
 - a set of rules and formats that govern the communications between two or more **peers**

An Example of Layering




An Example of Layering



Layering -> Logical Communication

E.g.: application


- ❑ provide services to users
- ❑ application protocol:
 - send messages to peer
 - for example, HELO, MAIL FROM, RCPT TO are messages between two SMTP peers




Layering: *Logical* Communication

E.g.: transport

- ❑ Trans. msg for app
- ❑ Transport protocol
 - add control info to form “segment”
 - send segment to peer
 - wait for peer to ack receipt; if no ack, retransmit




Layering: *Physical* Communication




Protocol Layering and Meta Data

Each layer takes data from above

- ❑ adds header (meta) information to its peer to create new data unit
- ❑ passes new data unit to layer below




Packet as a Stack in a Layered Architecture




Some Implications of Layered Architecture

- A packet as a stack container



- Each layer needs **multiplexing** and **demultiplexing** to serve layer above



Has a field to indicate
which higher layer
requires the service

Key design issue:

How do you *divide* functionalities
among the layers?

Outline

- ❑ Admin. and recap
- ❑ A taxonomy of communication networks
- ❑ Layered network architecture
 - ❑ what is layering?
 - ❑ why layering?
 - *how to determine the layers?*

The End-to-End Arguments


The function in question can completely and correctly be implemented only with the knowledge and help of the application standing at the endpoints of the communication systems. Therefore, providing that questioned function as a feature of the communications systems itself is not possible.

J. Saltzer, D. Reed, and D. Clark, 1984

What does the End-to-End Arguments Mean?

- ❑ The application knows the requirements best, place functionalities as high in the layer as possible
- ❑ Think twice before implementing a functionality at a lower layer, even when you believe it will be useful to an application


Example: Where to Provide Reliability ?



- ❑ Solution 1: the network (lower layer L1) provides reliability, i.e., each hop provides reliability
- ❑ Solution 2: the end host (higher layer L2) provides reliability, i.e., end-to-end check and retry


What are Reasons for Implementing Reliability at Higher Layer ?

- ❑ The lower layer cannot completely provide the functionality
 - the receiver has to do the check anyway !
- ❑ Implementing it at lower layer increases complexity, cost and overhead at lower layer
 - shared by all upper layer applications → everyone pays for it, even if you do not need it
- ❑ The upper layer
 - knows the requirements better and thus may choose a better approach to implement it



Are There Reasons Implementing Reliability at Lower Layer ?

- ❑ Improve performance, e.g., if high cost/delay/... on a local link
 - improves efficiency
 - reduces delay
- ❑ Share common code, e.g., reliability is required by multiple applications




Summary: End-to-End Arguments

- ❑ If a higher layer can do it, don't do it at a lower layer -- the higher the layer, the more it knows about the best what it needs
- ❑ Add functionality in lower layers iff it
 - (1) is used by and improves performance of a large number of (current and potential future) applications,
 - (2) does not hurt (too much) other applications, and
 - (3) does not increase (too much) complexity/overhead
- ❑ Practical tradeoff, e.g.,
 - allow multiple interfaces at a lower layer (one provides the function; one does not)


Examples

- ❑ We used reliability as an example
- ❑ Assume two layers (L1: network; L2: end-to-end).
Where may you implement the following functions?
 - security (privacy of traffic)
 - quality of service (e.g., delay/bandwidth guarantee)
 - congestion control (e.g., not to overwhelm network links or receiver)



Example


- ❑ Consider the presence service in a social networking system: shows which contacts are online (e.g., skype)
 - implementing by end user's host app or through a third party service?



Challenges



- Challenges to build a good (networking) system: find the right balance between:



No universal answer: the answer depends on the goals and assumptions!


Discussion: Limitations of Layered Architecture

Outline

- ❑ Admin. and recap
- ❑ A taxonomy of communication networks
- ❑ Layered network architecture
 - ❑ *what is layering?*
 - ❑ *why layering?*
 - ❑ *how to determine the layers?*
 - *ISO/OSI layering and Internet layering*


ISO/OSI Reference Model

- ❑ Seven layers
 - highest four layers are implemented in host



Internet Layering


- Five layers
 - highest two layers are implemented in host



Internet Protocol Layers

❑ Five layers

- **Application:** applications
 - ftp, smtp, http, p2p, IP telephony, blockchain, MapReduce, ...
- **Transport:** host-host data transfer
 - tcp (reliable), udp (not reliable)
- **Network:** routing of datagram from source to destination
 - ipv4, ipv6
- **Link:** data transfer between neighboring network elements
 - ethernet, 802.11, cable, DSL, ...
- **Physical:** bits “on the wire”
 - cable, wireless, optical fiber



The Hourglass Architecture of the Internet

