

MATH CAMP ASSIGNMENT 6

Optimal Control Theory

Xiankang Wang

August 21, 2025

1 Q1

Problem. Maximize

$$\int_0^1 (y - u^2) dt \quad \text{s.t. } \dot{y} = u, y(0) = 2, y(1) = a.$$

Hamiltonian

$$H(y, u, \lambda) = y - u^2 + \lambda u.$$

PMP conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{y} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} = u, \\ \dot{\lambda} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = -1, \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = -2u + \lambda \Rightarrow u = \frac{\lambda}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Both endpoints of y are fixed, so $\lambda(1)$ is free.

Solution From $\dot{\lambda} = -1$, $\lambda(t) = -t + c$. Hence

$$u(t) = \frac{c-t}{2}, \quad y(t) = 2 + \int_0^t \frac{c-s}{2} ds = 2 - \frac{t^2}{4} + \frac{c}{2}t.$$

Impose $y(1) = a$: $a = 2 - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{c}{2} \Rightarrow c = 2a - \frac{7}{2}$.

Optimal paths

$$\lambda^*(t) = 2a - \frac{7}{2} - t, \quad u^*(t) = a - \frac{7}{4} - \frac{t}{2}, \quad y^*(t) = 2 - \frac{t^2}{4} + \left(a - \frac{7}{4}\right)t.$$

Because H is strictly concave in u and the dynamics are linear, this candidate is globally optimal.

2 Q2

Problem. Maximize

$$\int_0^2 (2y - 3u - au^2) dt, \quad \dot{y} = u + y, \quad y(0) = 5, y(2) \text{ free}, a > 0.$$

Hamiltonian

$$H = 2y - 3u - au^2 + \lambda(u + y).$$

PMP conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y} &= u + y, \\ \dot{\lambda} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = -(2 + \lambda), \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = -3 - 2au + \lambda \Rightarrow u = \frac{\lambda - 3}{2a}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y(2)$ is free, the transversality condition is $\lambda(2) = 0$.

Costate & control Solve $\dot{\lambda} + \lambda = -2$:

$$\lambda(t) = Ce^{-t} - 2, \quad 0 = \lambda(2) = Ce^{-2} - 2 \Rightarrow C = 2e^2.$$

Thus

$$\boxed{\lambda^*(t) = 2(e^{2-t} - 1)}, \quad \boxed{u^*(t) = \frac{2e^{2-t} - 5}{2a}}.$$

State Solve the linear ODE $\dot{y} - y = u^*(t)$:

$$(e^{-t}y)' = e^{-t}u^*(t), \quad y(0) = 5.$$

Integrating gives

$$\boxed{y^*(t) = e^t \left[5 + \frac{1}{2a} \left(e^2(1 - e^{-2t}) - 5(1 - e^{-t}) \right) \right]}.$$

Strict concavity of H in u ensures global optimality.

3 Q3

Problem. Maximize

$$\int_0^T (K - aK^2 - I^2) dt, \quad \dot{K} = I - \delta K, \quad K(0) = K_0, \quad K(T) \text{ free}, \quad a > 0, \quad \delta > 0.$$

Hamiltonian

$$H = K - aK^2 - I^2 + \lambda(I - \delta K).$$

PMP conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{K} &= I - \delta K, \\ \lambda &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial K} = -1 + 2aK + \delta\lambda, \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial I} = -2I + \lambda \Rightarrow \boxed{I^* = \frac{\lambda}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Free terminal state $\Rightarrow \lambda(T) = 0$.

Reduction to a second-order ODE From $\dot{K} = I - \delta K$ and $I = \lambda/2$,

$$\lambda = 2(\dot{K} + \delta K).$$

Differentiate and substitute the costate equation:

$$2(\ddot{K} + \delta\dot{K}) = -1 + 2aK + \delta \cdot 2(\dot{K} + \delta K) \Rightarrow \boxed{\ddot{K} - (a + \delta^2)K = -\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $\gamma := \sqrt{a + \delta^2} > 0$ and $K_p = \frac{1}{2(a + \delta^2)}$. The general solution is

$$K(t) = K_p + \alpha \cosh(\gamma t) + \beta \sinh(\gamma t).$$

Use $K(0) = K_0 \Rightarrow \alpha = K_0 - K_p$. The terminal condition $\lambda(T) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\dot{K}(T) + \delta K(T) = 0,$$

which yields

$$\boxed{\beta = -\frac{\alpha[\gamma \sinh(\gamma T) + \delta \cosh(\gamma T)] + \delta K_p}{\gamma \cosh(\gamma T) + \delta \sinh(\gamma T)}}.$$

Optimal paths

$$\begin{aligned} K^*(t) &= K_p + \alpha \cosh(\gamma t) + \beta \sinh(\gamma t), \\ \lambda^*(t) &= 2(\dot{K}^*(t) + \delta K^*(t)), \\ I^*(t) &= \frac{\lambda^*(t)}{2} = \dot{K}^*(t) + \delta K^*(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since H is strictly concave in (K, I) and dynamics are linear, the solution is globally optimal.

4 Q4

Problem. Maximize

$$\int_0^1 (2x - x^2) dt, \quad \dot{x} = u, \quad x(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = 0, \quad u \in [-1, 1].$$

Note $2x - x^2 = 1 - (x - 1)^2$ is concave in x .

Hamiltonian

$$H = 2x - x^2 + \lambda u.$$

Since H is linear in u and u is box-constrained, the optimal control is bang-bang.

PMP conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= u, \\ \dot{\lambda} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = -(2 - 2x) = 2(x - 1), \\ u^*(t) &= \arg \max_{u \in [-1, 1]} \{\lambda(t) u\} = \begin{cases} +1, & \lambda(t) > 0, \\ -1, & \lambda(t) < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

No singular arc exists: $\lambda \equiv 0$ would imply $x \equiv 1$, incompatible with the rate bound and $x(0) = x(1) = 0$ on a horizon of length 1.

Structure and switching time With a single switch at $t = \tau$,

$$u^*(t) = \begin{cases} +1, & 0 \leq t < \tau, \\ -1, & \tau < t \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad x^*(t) = \begin{cases} t, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau, \\ 2\tau - t, & \tau \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Imposing $x(1) = 0$ gives $2\tau - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\tau = \frac{1}{2}}$.

Costate (consistency check) For $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\dot{\lambda} = 2(t - 1)$, hence

$$\lambda(t) = (t - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{4} > 0 \Rightarrow u = +1.$$

For $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $\dot{\lambda} = -2t$, hence

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{4} - t^2 < 0 \Rightarrow u = -1.$$

Optimal paths

$$u^*(t) = \begin{cases} +1, & 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}, \\ -1, & \frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad x^*(t) = \begin{cases} t, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1 - t, & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad \lambda^*(t) = \begin{cases} (t - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{4} - t^2, & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Concavity of the integrand in x ensures global optimality.

5 Q5

Problem. Maximize

$$\int_0^4 3y \, dt, \quad \dot{y} = y + u, \quad y(0) = 5, \quad y(4) \geq 300, \quad u \in [0, 2].$$

Hamiltonian and PMP

$$H = 3y + \lambda(y + u), \quad \dot{\lambda} = -(3 + \lambda).$$

The maximizing control is

$$u^*(t) = \begin{cases} 2, & \lambda(t) > 0, \\ 0, & \lambda(t) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Terminal inequality $y(4) \geq 300$ gives the transversality condition

$$\lambda(4) = \mu, \quad \mu \geq 0, \quad \mu [y(4) - 300] = 0.$$

Unconstrained terminal multiplier Assume the constraint is slack so that $\lambda(4) = 0$. Solve $\dot{\lambda} + \lambda = -3$:

$$\lambda(t) = Ce^{-t} - 3, \quad 0 = \lambda(4) = Ce^{-4} - 3 \Rightarrow C = 3e^4.$$

Hence $\lambda(t) = 3(e^{4-t} - 1) > 0$ on $[0, 4]$, so

$$u^*(t) = 2 \text{ for all } t \in [0, 4].$$

State and feasibility Solve $\dot{y} - y = 2$ with $y(0) = 5$:

$$y^*(t) = 7e^t - 2, \quad y^*(4) = 7e^4 - 2 \approx 380.19 > 300.$$

Thus the terminal constraint is non-binding and the multiplier is $\mu = 0$; the above solution is self-consistent.

Optimal paths

$$u^*(t) = 2, \quad y^*(t) = 7e^t - 2, \quad \lambda^*(t) = 3(e^{4-t} - 1).$$

Sufficiency Remarks

In Q1–Q3 the Hamiltonians are strictly concave in the control (and state, where relevant), and the dynamics are linear; therefore any trajectory satisfying PMP is globally optimal. In Q4–Q5 the Hamiltonian is affine in the control with box constraints, yielding bang–bang (or boundary) controls; the integrands are concave in the state, which, together with feasibility, ensures global optimality of the PMP solution.