

Differentiable Structures on Spheres

Author(s): John Milnor

Source: American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 81, No. 4 (Oct., 1959), pp. 962-972

Published by: The Johns Hopkins University Press

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2372998

Accessed: 25/07/2009 11:52

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=jhup.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We work with the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The Johns Hopkins University Press is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to American Journal of Mathematics.

DIFFERENTIABLE STRUCTURES ON SPHERES.*

By John Milnor.1

According to [5] the sphere S^{τ} can be given several differentiable structures which are essentially distinct. A corresponding result for the 15-sphere has been proved by Shimada [10] and Tamura [12]. The object of this note is to prove corresponding theorems for other dimensions of the form 4k-1.

In § 1 certain differentiable manifolds $M(f_1, f_2)$ are constructed and studied; where $(f_1) \in \pi_m(SO_{n+1})$, $(f_2) \in \pi_n(SO_{m+1})$. In most cases these manifolds are topologically spheres. In § 2 an invariant λ is defined for differentiable (4k-1)-manifolds which are both homology spheres and boundaries. In § 3 the invariant $\lambda(M(f_1, f_2))$ is computed.

For $k \leq 8$ the calculations are carried out explicitly. It is shown that there exist non-standard differentiable structures on S^{4k-1} for k = 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. For example S^{31} has over sixteen million distinct differentiable structures. It is conjectured that the same argument works for all $k \geq 4$; but I have not succeeded in solving the number theoretic problem which arises.

For k=1,3 the argument does not work. This is not surprising in the case k=1, since J. Munkres, S. Smale, and J. H. C. Whitehead have shown (independently) that two differentiable 3-manifolds which are homeomorphic must necessarily be diffeomorphic.

The word manifold will always be used for a compact, oriented manifold, with or without boundary. The symbol D^k will stand for the unit disk in the euclidean space R^k .

1. Construction of manifolds homeomorphic to spheres. Given any diffeomorphism $^2f: S^m \times S^n \to S^m \times S^n$, a manifold M of dimension m+n+1 is obtained by matching the boundaries of $D^{m+1} \times S^n$ and $S^m \times D^{n+1}$ under the correspondence f. That is: M is the identification space obtained from the disjoint union of $D^{m+1} \times S^n$ and $S^m \times D^{n+1}$ by identifying each point (x,y) in the boundary of $D^{m+1} \times S^n$ with f(x,y), considered as a point in boundary of $S^m \times D^{n+1}$.

^{*} Received February 10, 1959.

¹ The author holds a Sloan fellowship.

² A diffeomorphism is a differentiable homeomorphism with differentiable inverse.

An alternative definition of M, which makes it into a differentiable manifold, is the following. Let f(x,y) = (x',y') and define t' = 1/t. Start with disjoint spaces $R^{m+1} \times S^n$ and $S^m \times R^{n+1}$. Let M be obtained from these by matching (tx,y) with (x',t'y') for every $x \in S^m$, $y \in S^n$, $0 < t < \infty$.

[As an example suppose that f is the identity map of $S^m \times S^n$. Then M is diffeomorphic to the unit sphere $S^{m+n+1} \subset R^{m+1} \times R^{n+1}$. In fact the correspondence

$$(tx, y) \rightarrow (tx/(1+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, y/(1+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

 $(x', t'y') \rightarrow (x'/(1+t'^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, t'y'/(1+t'^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})$

defines a diffeomorphism $M \to S^{m+n+1}$.

If $y \in S^n$ has coordinates (y_0, \dots, y_n) , define $h(y) = y_n$. The function $h: S^n \to [-1, 1]$ has just two critical points.

Lemma 1. Suppose that the diffeomorphism

$$(x,y) \xrightarrow{f} (x',y')$$

satisfies the restriction h(y) = h(y') for all (x, y). Then the manifold M constructed above is homeomorphic to S^{m+n+1} .

Proof. A differentiable map $g: M \to [-1, 1]$ is defined by the correspondence

$$(tx,y) \rightarrow h(y)/(1+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (in the first coordinate system),
 $(x',t'y') \rightarrow t'h(y')/(1+t'^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (in the second).

It is easily verified that g has just two critical points, and that these are non-degenerate. Together with [5] Theorem 2, this completes the proof.

One way to construct such a diffeomorphism $(x, y) \to (x', y')$ is the following. Start with differentiable maps of spheres into rotation groups

$$f_1: S^m \to SO_{n+1}, \qquad f_2: S^n \to SO_{m+1},$$

and let

$$y' = f_1(x) \cdot y$$
, $x' = f_2(y')^{-1} \cdot x = f_2(f_1(x) \cdot y)^{-1} \cdot x$

for all $x \in S^m$, $y \in S^n$. This defines a diffeomorphism with inverse

$$x = f_2(y') \cdot x', \qquad y = f_1(x)^{-1} \cdot y' = f_1(f_2(y') \cdot x')^{-1} \cdot y'.$$

[More generally the rotation groups SO_{n+1} and SO_{m+1} could be replaced by the groups Diff S^n , Diff S^m consisting of all diffeomorphisms.] The condition h(y) = h(y') is equivalent to the requirement that $f_1(S^m)$ be contained in

the subgroup $SO_n \subset SO_{n+1}$. Whether this condition is satisfied or not, the resulting (m+n+1)-manifold will be denoted by $M(f_1, f_2)$.

Next we will show that $M(f_1, f_2)$ is a boundary. Start with three copies of the space $D^{m+1} \times D^{n+1}$. The notation (x_i, y_i) will be used for a point of $(D^{m+1} \times D^{n+1})_i$, i = 1, 2, 3. Identify $(S^m \times D^{n+1})_1$ with $(S^m \times D^{n+1})_2$ by the correspondence $(x_1, y_1) \to (x_2, y_2)$ where

$$x_2 = x_1, y_2 = f_1(x_1) \cdot y_1.$$

The resulting space $(D^{m+1} \times D^{n+1})_1 \cup (D^{m+1} \times D^{n+1})_2$ can be considered as a fibre bundle over the (m+1)-sphere $(D^{m+1})_1 \cup (D^{m+1})_2$ with fibre D^{n+1} , group SO_{n+1} , and characteristic map f_1 . (See Steenrod [11] § 18.) It follows that this union can be given a differentiable structure in a natural way.

Next identify $(D^{m+1} \times S^n)_2$ with $(D^{m+1} \times S^n)_3$ by the correspondence $(x_2, y_2) \leftarrow (x_3, y_3)$, where $y_2 = y_3$, $x_2 = f_2(y_3) \cdot x_3$. Thus

$$(D^{m+1} \times D^{n+1})_2 \cup (D^{m+1} \times D^{n+1})_3$$

becomes a fibre bundle over an (n+1)-sphere with fibre D^{m+1} and characteristic map f_2 .

Let W_1 denote the union of all three copies of $D^{m+1} \times D^{n+1}$. Clearly W_1 is a topological manifold with boundary:

$$\partial W_1 = (D^{m+1} \times S^n)_1 \cup (S^m \times D^{n+1})_3.$$

The intersection

$$(D^{m+1} \times S^n)_1 \cap (S^m \times D^{n+1})_3$$

of the two halves of the boundary is equal to $(S^m \times S^n)_1 = (S^m \times S^n)_3$. These two copies of $S^m \times S^n$ are identified under the composite correspondence

$$(x_1, y_1) \to (x_2, y_2) \to (x_3, y_3),$$

where

$$y_3 = y_2 = f_1(x_1) \cdot y_1, \qquad x_3 = f_2(y_3)^{-1} \cdot x_2 = f_2(y_3)^{-1} \cdot x_1.$$

But this is just the correspondence which was used to define the manifold $M(f_1, f_2)$. Thus ∂W_1 is homeomorphic to $M(f_1, f_2)$.

As it stands W_1 is not a differentiable manifold since there is an "angle" along the subset $(S^m \times S^n)_1$ of ∂W_1 . Let W denote a differentiable manifold obtained by "straightening" this angle. (See the appendix to [7].) Clearly ∂W is diffeomorphic to $M(f_1, f_2)$.

2. The invariant $\lambda(M)$. First recall the index theorem of Hirzebruch [4]. If M_1 is a 4k-manifold without boundary having Pontrjagin classes

 p_1, \dots, p_k , then the index $I(M_1)$ is equal to $L_k(p_1, \dots, p_k)[M_1]$; where L_k is a certain polynomial.³ For example

$$L_1 = p_1/3, \qquad L_2 = (7p_2 - p_1^2)/45, \cdots$$

The coefficient s_k of p_k in L_k is particularly important. Hirzebruch expresses s_k in terms of the Bernoulli number B_k as follows (page 14):

$$s_k = 2^{2k}(2^{2k-1} - 1)B_k/(2k)!$$
.

For example $s_2 = 7/45$, $s_3 = 62/945$, $s_4 = 127/4725$.

Now let M be a differentiable (4k-1)-manifold which

- 1) has the same rational homology groups as the (4k-1)-sphere, and
- 2) is a boundary: $M = \partial W$ with W differentiable.⁴ Then a rational number modulo 1,

$$\lambda(M) \in Q/Z$$
,

is defined as follows. The natural homomorphism

$$j: H^i(W, M; Q) \rightarrow H^i(W; Q)$$

is an isomorphism for 0 < i < 4k-1. Hence the Pontrjagin classes p_1, \dots, p_{k-1} of W can be lifted back to $H^*(W, M; Q)$. Define $\lambda(M)$ as the residue class of

$$(I(W) - L_k(j^{-1}p_1, \cdots, j^{-1}p_{k-1}, 0)[W])/s_k$$

modulo 1. (Here the symbol [W] stands for the homomorphism $H^{4k}(W, M; Q) \to Q$ associated with the orientation of W; and I(W) denotes the index of the quadratic form $\alpha \to (\alpha \cup \alpha)[W]$, where $\alpha \in H^{2k}(W, M; Q)$.)

Lemma 2. This residue class $\lambda(M)$ is an invariant of M: that is it does not depend on the choice of W.

The proof is completely analogous to that in [5], [10] or [12]. If M is the boundary of both W_1 and W_2 , then an unbounded 4k-manifold M_1 is obtained from W_1 , W_2 by

³ The symbol $[M_1]$ is used to denote the homomorphism of $H^{ik}(M_1; Q)$ into the rational numbers Q which is determined by an orientation for M_1 . The index $I(M_1)$ is defined as the index of the quadratic form over $H^{2k}(M_1; Q)$ which is given by the formula $a \to (a_1|a)[M_1]$.

⁴ This second condition follows automatically if $H_*(M;Z)$ has no torsion. In fact every homology (4k-1)-sphere is a π -manifold (see [7]), and every π -manifold is a boundary (see [8]).

- 1) reversing the orientation of W_2 ;
- 2) matching W_1 and W_2 along the common boundary M;
- 3) constructing a differentiable structure in a neighborhood of $W_1 \cap W_2 = M$. (See [6] Lemma 4 or [7]). Then $I(M_1) = I(W_1) (W_2)$; and each Pontrjagin number $p_{i_t} \cdots p_{i_r}[M_1]$ other than $p_k[M_1]$ is equal to the difference of corresponding Pontrjagin numbers for W_1 , W_2 . Now the index theorem for M_1 implies that the two definitions of $\lambda(M)$ differ only by the integer $p_k[M_1]$.

Example 1. For the (4k-1)-sphere it is clear that

$$\lambda(S^{4k-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}.$$

Example 2. For a 3-manifold the definition reduces to

$$\lambda(M^3) \equiv 3 \cdot I(W) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$$
.

Example 3. For the 7-manifold M_3 of [5] the values

$$I(W) = 1, \quad (j^{-1}p_1)^2[W] = 36$$

give

$$\lambda(M_3^7) \equiv (45I(W) + (j^{-1}p_1)^2[W])/7 \equiv 4/7 \pmod{1}.$$

Remark. If $H^*(M;Z)$ has no torsion, then the classes $j^{-1}p_i$ can be considered as integral cohomolgy classes, hence the Pontrjagin numbers of W are integers. This sharply restricts the denominator which $\lambda(M)$ can have. (For example $7\lambda(M^7)$ must be an integer.) On the other hand, if $H^*(M;Z)$ has torsion then arbitrarily large denominators may occur. (See the examples studied by Tamura.)

Example 4. In [7] § 4 certain homotopy spheres M_0^{4k-1} are constructed for k > 1. These have the property that $M_0^{4k-1} = \partial W$, where W is parallelizable, and I(W) = 8. Thus

$$\lambda(M_0^{4k-1}) \equiv 8/s_k \pmod{1}.$$

For k=2 this gives $\lambda(M_0^7)\equiv 3/7$ with denominator 7. For k=3,4,5,6,7 the denominator of $\lambda(M_0^{4k-1})$ is 31, 127, 73, 1414477, and 8191 respectively. (These numbers are prime, except for 1414477 = 23 · 89 · 691.) I do not know whether the inequality $8/s_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ holds for all k>1.

In conclusion, the following three properties of the invariant λ are easily verified.

1) If the orientation of M is reversed, then λ changes sign.

2) For the connected sum of manifolds (see [7]), λ satisfies $\lambda(M_1 \# M_2) \equiv \lambda(M_1) + \lambda(M_2) \pmod{1}.$

- 3) λ is an invariant of the *J*-equivalence class of *M*. (See Thom [13] or [7].)
 - 3. Computation of $\lambda(M(f_1, f_2))$. Define the Pontrjagin homomorphism

$$p_r \colon \pi_{4r-1}(SO_q) \to Z$$

as follows. Every map $f: S^{4r-1} \to SO_q$ induces a bundle ξ over S^{4r} with Pontrjagin class $p_r(\xi) \in H^{4r}(S^{4r}; Z) \approx Z$. Define $p_r(f)$ as the corresponding integer $p_r(\xi) \lceil S^{4r} \rceil$.

Let $f_1: S^m \to SO_{n+1}$, $f_2: S^n \to SO_{m+1}$ be arbitrary differentiable maps, with m+n+1=4k-1. First suppose that $m \neq n$.

LEMMA 3. If $m \neq n$ then $M(f_1, f_2)$ is a topological sphere. The invariant $\lambda(M(f_1, f_2))$ is zero if m, n are not of the form 4r-1. If m=4r-1, n=4(k-r)-1, then

$$\lambda \equiv \pm p_r(f_1) p_{k-r}(f_2) s_r s_{k-r}/s_k \qquad (\text{mod } 1).$$

Proof. We may assume that m < n. The exact sequence

$$\pi_m(SO_n) \to \pi_m(SO_{n+1}) \to \pi_m(S^n) = 0$$

implies that f_1 is homotopic to a map f_1' which carries S^m into the subset $SO_n \subset SO_{n+1}$. According to Lemma 1 the manifold $M(f_1', f_2)$ is homeomorphic to S^{m+n+1} . But it can be verified that $M(f_1, f_2)$ is homeomorphic to $M(f_1', f_2)$, and therefore is also homeomorphic to the sphere.

Next consider the manifold W constructed in Section 1. Recall that W is the union of a fibre bundle over S^{m+1} with fibre D^{n+1} and a fibre bundle over S^{n+1} with fibre D^{m+1} . Call these sets W_2 and W_3 respectively, Thus $W_2 \cup W_3$ is W and $W_2 \cap W_3$ is a topological cell.

These bundles have canonical cross-sections corresponding to the center point of the disk. Hence S^{m+1} and S^{n+1} are imbedded in W. It follows easily that W has the same homology groups as $S^{m+1} \vee S^{n+1}$ (the union with a single point in common). That is $H_i(W; Z)$ is infinite cyclic for i equal to 0, m+1, or n+1, and zero otherwise.

The homology intersection ring of W (see Lefschetz [14]) is described as follows. Let a and b stand for generators in dimensions n+1, m+1 respectively. Clearly a and b have intersection number ± 1 . The self-inter-

sections $a \cdot a$ and $b \cdot b$ are zero. For example $a \cdot a$ is represented by a cycle of dimension

$$\dim a + \dim a - \dim W = n - m$$

which lies on the sphere $S^{n+1} \subset W_3$. Since $H_{n-m}(S^{n+1}; Z) = 0$, this cycle is homologous to zero.

Applying Poincaré duality it follows that $H^*(W, M; Z)$ is free abelian on three generators, say α in dimension m+1, β in dimension n+1, and $\alpha\beta$ in dimension m+n+2. The cup products $\alpha\alpha$ and $\beta\beta$ are zero. This implies that the index I(W) is zero.

Computation of the Pontrjagin numbers of W. We may assume that m=4r-1, n=4k-4r-1. (If the dimensions are not of this form, then the Pontrjagin numbers are certainly zero, hence $\lambda\equiv 0$.) First consider the tangent bundle of W_2 . This splits into a Whitney sum $\xi\oplus\eta$, where ξ is the bundle of vectors tangent to the fibre and η is the bundle of vectors normal to the fibre. Restricting η to the sphere $S^{m+1}\subset W_2$ we obtain the tangent bundle of S^{m+1} with trivial Pontrjagin classes. Restricting ξ to S^{m+1} we obtain the bundle determined by $(f_1) \in \pi_m(SO_{n+1})$. Thus $p_r(W_2) = p_r(\xi)$ is equal to the integer $p_r(f_1)$ multiplied by a generator of the infinite cyclic group $H^{4r}(W_2; Z)$. Using the isomorphisms

$$H^{4r}(W_2;Z) \leftarrow H^{4r}(W;Z) \xleftarrow{j} H^{4r}(W,M;Z)$$

it follows that

$$p_r(W) = \pm p_r(f_1)j(\alpha).$$

Similarly

$$p_{k-r}(W) = \pm p_{k-r}(f_2)j(\beta).$$

Thus the Pontrjagin number $(j^{-1}p_r)(j^{-1}p_{k-r})[W]$ is equal to $\pm p_r(f_1)p_{k-r}(f_2)$. All other Pontrjagin numbers of W are zero (except $(j^{-1}p_k)[W]$ which is not defined).

Computation of the coefficients of $p_r p_{k-r}$ in the Hirzebruch polynomial L_k . Define the symmetric function $\sum t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_a^{i_a}$ in indeterminates t_1, \cdots, t_N as the sum of all monomials which can be obtained from $t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_a^{i_a}$ by permuting t_1, \cdots, t_N . Each possible monomial should be included only once in the sum. (For example $\sum t_1^r = t_1^r + \cdots + t_N^r$.) Hirzebruch showed 5 that the coefficient of $p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_a}$ in L_k can be expressed in the form $\sum t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_a^{i_a}$, where t_1, \cdots, t_N are certain fixed complex numbers. (Here N stands for

⁵ See [4] § 1.4.1.

some fixed integer greater than or equal to k.) In particular, the coefficient s_k of p_k is equal to $\sum t_1^k$.

The product rule

$$(\sum t_1^r)(\sum t_1^{k-r}) = \sum t_1^k + \sum t_1^r t_2^{k-r} \quad \text{for } r \neq k - r$$

is easily verified. Hence the coefficient $\sum t_1^r t_2^{k-r}$ of $p_r p_{k-r}$ in L_k is equal to $s_r s_{k-r} - s_k$.

Thus we have I(W) = 0 and

$$L_k(j^{-1}p_1, \dots, j^{-1}p_{k-1}, 0)[W] = \pm p_r(f_1)p_{k-r}(f_2)(s_rs_{k-r} - s_k).$$

Dividing by s_k and reducing modulo one, this yields the required formula

$$\lambda(M) \Longrightarrow \pm p_r(f_1) p_{k-r}(f_2) s_r s_{k-r} / s_k \pmod{1}$$

Now consider the case m = n. Again it is necessary to assume that m has the form 4r - 1 in order to obtain a non-trivial λ .

Lemma 4. If the maps f_1 , f_2 both carry S^m into the subgroup $SO_m \subset SO_{m+1}$, then the formula

$$\lambda(M) \equiv p_r(f_1) p_r(f_2) s_r s_r / s_{2r}$$

holds, just as in Lemma 3.

Proof. Just as above, $H_*(W;Z)$ is isomorphic to $H_*(S^{m+1} \vee S^{m+1})$. If $b, a \in H_{m+1}(W;Z)$ are the generators corresponding to the two spheres, then the intersection number $a \cdot b$ is ± 1 . The hypothesis $f_1(S^m) \subset SO_m$ implies that the normal bundle of the first (m+1)-sphere in W has a cross-section. Hence the self-intersection number $a \cdot a$ is zero. Similarly $b \cdot b = 0$. It follows that W has index zero.

The computation of Pontrjagin classes for W proceeds as before. Thus

$$p_r(W) = \pm p_r(f_1) j\alpha \pm p_r(f_2) j\beta.$$

However the Pontrjagin number $(j^{-1}p_r)^2[W]$ is now equal to $\pm 2p_r(f_1)p_r(f_2)$. On the other hand, the coefficient of p_rp_r in L_{2r} is equal 5 to $\frac{1}{2}(s_rs_r-s_{2r})$. Thus the factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ cancels the 2, so that

$$\lambda(M(f_1f_2)) \Longrightarrow \pm p_r(f_1) p_r(f_2) s_r s_r / s_{2r}$$

as before.

In order to make use of Lemmas 3, 4 it is necessary to know what integers $p_r(f)$ can occur.

Theorem of Bott [2], [3]. In the stable range $q \ge 4r$ the Pontrjagin homomorphism

$$p_r : \pi_{4r-1}(SO_q) \to Z$$

has image generated by

$$(2r-1)!$$
 if r is even $2(2r-1)!$ if r is odd.

For smaller values of q this result can be augmented as follows.

Lemma 5. If $q \leq 2r$ then the homomorphism p_r is zero. If q > 2r then p_r is non-zero. In fact there exists an element

$$(f) \in \pi_{4r-1}(SO_q)$$

such that the prime factors of $p_r(f)$ are all less than 2r.

Proof by descending induction on q. Suppose that the assertion has been proved for q+1, and that q>2r. In the exact sequence

$$\pi_{4r-1}(SO_q) \to \pi_{4r-1}(SO_{q+1}) \to \pi_{4r-1}(S^q),$$

the third group is stable. According to Serre [9] a prime π can divide the order of this group only if $2\pi-3$ is less than or equal to the difference 4r-q-1. The inequalities $2\pi-3 \le 4r-q-1$, q>2r, yield $\pi \le r$. Thus any element of $\pi_{4r-1}(SO_{q+1})$, after being multiplied by primes less than or equal to r, can be lifted back to $\pi_{4r-1}(SO_q)$. This completes the induction.

If q < 2r, then the Pontrjagin class p_r of any SO_q -bundle is zero. If q = 2r, then $p_r(\xi^{2r})$ is the square of the Euler class of ξ^{2r} . (See Borel and Serre [1].) Since our base space is S^{4r} , this implies that $p_r(\xi^{2r}) = 0$; which completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Combining Lemmas 3, 4, 5 this proves:

Theorem 1. Suppose that r is an integer satisfying

$$k/3 < r \leq k/2.$$

Then there exists a differentiable manifold M homeomorphic to S^{4k-1} for which $\lambda(M)$ is congruent modulo 1 to $s_r s_{k-r}/s_k$ times some integer with prime factors all less than 2(k-r).

The proof is straightforward. (The inequality k/3 < r guarantees the existence of a map $f_2: S^{4(k-r)-1} \to SO_{4r}$ such that $p_{k-r}(f_2) \neq 0$.)

Note. Given k, the inequality $k/3 < r \le k/2$ has a solution r providing that k=2 or $k \ge 4$. It has no solution for k=1 or 3.

Theorem 2. There exist at least 7 distinct differentiable structures on S^7 ; at least:

127 on the 15-sphere,
73 on the 19-sphere,
23 · 89 · 691 on the 23-sphere,
8191 on the 27-sphere, and at least
31 · 151 · 3617 on the 31-sphere.

Proof. These results follow immediately from Theorem 1. As an example, for k = 5, taking r = 2, we have

$$s_2 s_3 / s_5 = 341/365.$$

Cancelling all prime factors less than 6 from the denominator, this leaves 73. But if M is a 19-manifold such that the denominator of $\lambda(M)$ is 73, then the first 73 manifolds

$$S^{19}, M, M \# M, M \# M \# M, \cdots$$

must be pairwise distinct. (Alternatively, if the homotopy class (f_1) is replaced by $q(f_1)$, $0 \le q < 73$, then we obtain 73 different values for the invariant λ .) Each one represent a possible differentiable structure for the 19-sphere.

In conclusion, here are two unsolved problems.

Problem 1. Does Theorem 1 imply the existence of non-standard differentiable structures on S^{4k-1} for all $k \ge 4$? I have checked this only for k up to 14.

Problem 2. Is the invariant $\lambda(M^{4k-1})$ of a homotopy sphere always a multiple of the invariant

$$\lambda(M_0^{4k-1}) = 8/s_k?$$

This question is of interest since, for any manifold M^{4k-1} which bounds a parallelizable manifold W, we have

$$\lambda(M^{4k-1}) \equiv I(W)/s_k \pmod{1},$$

and it can be shown that I(W) is a multiple of 8. (Compare [7].)

REFERENCES.

- [1] A. Borel and J. P. Serre, "Groupes de Lie et puissances réduites de Steenrod," American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 75 (1953), pp. 409-448.
- [2] R. Bott, "The space of loops on a Lie group," Michigan Mathematical Journal, vol. 5 (1958), pp. 35-61.
- [3] ——— and J. Milnor, "On the parallelizability of the spheres," Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 64 (1958), pp. 87-89.
- [4] F. Hirzebruch, Neue topologische Methoden in der algebraischen Geometrie, Springer, 1956.
- [5] J. Milnor, "On manifolds homeomorphic to the 7-sphere," Annals of Mathematics, vol. 64 (1956), pp. 399-405.
- [6] ——, "On the relationship between differentiable manifolds and combinatorial manifolds," (mimeographed), Princeton University, 1956.
- [7] ——, "Differentiable manifolds which are homotopy spheres," (mimeographed), Princeton University, 1959.
- [8] ——, "On the cobordism ring Ω^* , and a complex analogue," (in preparation).
- [9] J. P. Serre, "Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés," Annals of Mathematics, vol. 54 (1951), pp. 425-505.
- [10] N. Shimada, "Differentiable structures on the 15-sphere and Pontrjagin classes of certain manifolds," Nagoya Mathematical Journal, vol. 12 (1957), pp. 59-69.
- [11] N. Steenrod, The topology of fibre bundles, Princeton, 1951.
- [12] I. Tamura, "Homeomorphy classification of total spaces of sphere bundles over spheres," Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 10 (1958), pp. 29-43.
- [13] R. Thom, "Les classes caractéristiques de Pontrjagin des variétés triangulées," Topología Algebraica, Mexico, 1958, pp. 54-67.
- [14] S. Lefschetz, Topology, New York, Chelsea, 1956.