

15.094J: Robust Modeling, Optimization, Computation

Lecture 4: RLO: Probabilistic Guarantees

Outline

- 1 Guarantees for independent uncertainty
- 2 Guarantees for non-independent distributions
- 3 Philosophical Remarks

Objectives Today

- Probabilistic Guarantees for RLO
- Insights in selecting parameters

Row-wise Ellipsoidal uncertainty

- RO:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \max_{\mathbf{a}_i \in U_i} \mathbf{a}_i'\mathbf{x} \leq b_i. \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

- $U_i = \{\mathbf{a}_i \mid \mathbf{a}_i = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i + \Delta_i'\mathbf{u}_i, \|\mathbf{u}_i\|_2 \leq \rho\}$, $\Delta_i : k_i \times n$, $\mathbf{u}_i : k_i \times 1$.

- RC:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i'\mathbf{x} + \rho\|\Delta_i\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Probabilistic Guarantee

- Suppose u_i are independent, have zero mean and have support in $[-1, 1]$.
- Suppose that \mathbf{x} satisfies $\bar{\mathbf{a}}'\mathbf{x} + \rho\|\Delta\mathbf{x}\| \leq b$.
- Then

$$P(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'\mathbf{x} > b) \leq e^{-\rho^2/2}.$$

- **Remarkable property:** Independent of the distributions of \mathbf{u} (we do not even require identical distributions).
- How to select ρ : Suppose our tolerance for infeasibility is ϵ , that is $P(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'\mathbf{x} > b) \leq \epsilon$.
- Use $\epsilon = e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}}$, select $\rho = \sqrt{2 \log \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \right)}$.

ϵ	ρ
10^{-6}	5.25
10^{-5}	4.79
10^{-4}	4.29
10^{-3}	3.71
10^{-2}	3.03
10^{-1}	2.14

Proof from First Principles

- Let $X(\xi) = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \xi_i$, where ξ_i are independent with zero mean and with support in $[-1, 1]$.
- Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_k)'$. We will first show that

$$P(X(\xi) > 0) = P\left(w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \xi_i > 0\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{w_0^2}{2\|\mathbf{w}\|^2}\right).$$

$$P(X(\xi) > 0) = \int \chi(X(\xi)) dP(\xi), \quad \chi(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & s \leq 0, \\ 1, & s > 0 \end{cases}$$

- Note that $\chi(s) \leq \gamma(s) = e^s$.
- Let $\alpha > 0$. Note also that $\chi(s) = \chi(\alpha \cdot s) \leq \gamma(\alpha \cdot s)$.
-

$$P(X(\xi) > 0) \leq E[\exp(\alpha w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha w_i \xi_i)] = \exp(\alpha w_0) \prod_{i=1}^k E[\exp(\alpha w_i \xi_i)].$$

Proof continued

- For every random variable ξ with zero mean and support in $[-1, 1]$

$$E[e^{t\xi}] \leq e^{t^2/2}.$$

- Let $f(s) = e^{ts} - \frac{e^t - e^{-t}}{2}s$.
- $f(s)$ convex in s . Maximum in $[-1, 1]$ is at endpoint.
- $\max_{|s| \leq 1} f(s) = f(1) = f(-1) = \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{t\xi}] &= \int f(s) dP(s) \quad [\text{zero mean}] \\ &\leq \max_{|s| \leq 1} f(s) \\ &= \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2} \\ &\leq e^{t^2/2} \quad [\text{Taylor series}]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof continued

- For all $\alpha > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X(\boldsymbol{\xi}) > 0) &\leq \exp(\alpha w_0) \prod_{i=1}^k E[\exp(\alpha w_i \xi_i)] \\ &\leq \exp\left(\alpha w_0 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k w_i^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

- Select α to minimize the upper bound.

-

$$P(X(\boldsymbol{\xi}) > 0) \leq \min_{\alpha > 0} \exp\left(\alpha w_0 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2\right).$$

- $\alpha^* = -w_0 / \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$.

- $P(X(\boldsymbol{\xi}) > 0) = P\left(w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \xi_i > 0\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{w_0^2}{2\|\mathbf{w}\|^2}\right).$

Proof of the key guarantee

- Suppose that \mathbf{x} satisfies $\bar{\mathbf{a}}'\mathbf{x} + \rho\|\Delta\mathbf{x}\| \leq b$.
- Then

$$P(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'\mathbf{x} > b) = P(\bar{\mathbf{a}}'\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}'\Delta\mathbf{x} > b) \leq P(\mathbf{u}'\Delta\mathbf{x} > -\rho\|\Delta\mathbf{x}\|).$$

- Select $w_0 = -\rho\|\Delta\mathbf{x}\|$ and $\mathbf{w} = \Delta\mathbf{x}$, we obtain

$$P(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'\mathbf{x} > b) \leq e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{2}}.$$

Guarantees for non-independent distributions

- RO:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \in P \\ & \forall \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathcal{U} = \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mid \|\mathbf{M}(\text{vec}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) - \text{vec}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}))\| \leq \Delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

- RC:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_i\mathbf{x} + \Delta \|\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_i\|^* \leq \mathbf{b}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \mathbf{x} \in P, \end{aligned}$$

- $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \sim (\bar{\mathbf{A}}, \Sigma)$.

- Let $\mathbf{M} = \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Probabilistic Guarantees



$$P(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'_i \mathbf{x}^* > b_i) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \Delta^2 \left(\frac{\|\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}_i^*\|_*}{\|\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}_i^*\|_2} \right)^2}.$$

- If L_p norm used in \mathcal{U} , then

$$P(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'_i \mathbf{x}^* > b_i) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \Delta^2 \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p^2}, \frac{1}{n} \right\}}.$$

- If L_2 used in \mathcal{U} , then

$$P(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'_i \mathbf{x}^* > b_i) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \Delta^2}.$$

- Remark: Arbitrary Dependence structure.
- How to select Δ ?

Proof

- Optimal robust solution satisfies

$$(\text{vec}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}))' \mathbf{x}_i + \Delta \|\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}_i\|^* \leq b_i,$$

- Thus

$$P\left((\text{vec}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}))' \mathbf{x}_i > b_i\right) \leq P\left((\text{vec}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}))' \mathbf{x}_i^* \geq (\text{vec}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}))' \mathbf{x}_i^* + \|\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}_i^*\|^*\right).$$

- Bertsimas and Popescu: if S is a convex set, and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \sim (\bar{\mathbf{X}}, \Sigma)$, then

$$P\left(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in S\right) \leq \frac{1}{1 + d^2},$$

where

$$d^2 = \inf_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in S} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}\right)' \Sigma^{-1} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}\right).$$

Proof continued

- $S_i = \left\{ \text{vec}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mid (\text{vec}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}))' \mathbf{x}_i \geq (\text{vec}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}))' \mathbf{x}_i + \Delta \|\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}_i\|^* \right\}.$
- $d_i^2 = \inf_{\text{vec}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \in S_i} \left(\text{vec}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) - \text{vec}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}) \right)' \Sigma^{-1} \left(\text{vec}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) - \text{vec}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}) \right).$
- Optimal solution (KKT):

$$\text{vec}(\bar{\mathbf{A}}) + \Delta \left(\frac{\|\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}_i\|^*}{\|\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}_i\|_2} \right)^2 \Sigma \mathbf{x}_i,$$

•

$$d^2 = \Delta^2 \left(\frac{\|\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}_i\|^*}{\|\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}_i\|_2} \right)^2.$$

On the interplay of probability and optimization

- Use Probability theorems to select parameters.
- Use optimization ideas to find best possible results in probability.
- In exercise we will explore other bounds.
- Use RO to solve problems under uncertainty computationally.