

CIS 675 (Fall 2018) Disclosure Sheet

Name: Wentan Bai
HW # 2

☒ **Yes** **No** Did you consult with anyone on parts of this assignment, including other students, TAs, or the instructor?

☒ **Yes** **No** Did you consult an outside source (such as an Internet forum or a book other than the course textbook) on parts of this assignment?

If you answered **Yes** to one or more questions, please give the details here:

I consulted all questions with teaching assistant, Arash Sahebolamri, to confirm my understanding of all questions are correct. I also consult question 3, question 5, question 6 and extra question with another student, Wentian Bai. For question 3, question 5 and question 6, we discussed our ideas and I finish my algorithms independently. For the extra question, we discussed our understandings and I write my explanation independently.

For the question 1, I view some mathematical formulas online.
<http://tutorial.math.lamar.edu/Classes/CalcI/LHospitalsRule.aspx>

By submitting this sheet through my Blackboard account, I assert that the information on this sheet is true.

Question 1:

At beginning, we can put a thin book or a normal book or a wide book. Then for each selection, we also need to choose to put a thin book or a normal book or a wide book. Therefore, the pseudo code is:

```
function FIND(int[] A, left, right)
    midpoint = left + (right - left)/2
    if midpoint == A[midpoint]:
        return midpoint
    else if midpoint < A[midpoint]:
        return find(A, midpoint + 1, right)
    else:
        return find(A, left, midpoint - 1)
end function
```

Question 2:

At beginning, we can put a thin book or a normal book or a wide book. Then for each selection, we also need to choose to put a thin book or a normal book or a wide book. Therefore, the pseudo code is:

```
function FIND(int[] A, left, right)
    midpoint = left + (right - left)/2
    if midpoint == 0 && A[midpoint] < A[midpoint + 1]:
        return midpoint
    else if midpoint == A.length - 1 && A[midpoint] < A[midpoint - 2]:
        return midpoint
    else if A[midpoint] < A[midpoint + 1] && A[midpoint] < A[midpoint - 1]:
        return midpoint
    else if A[midpoint] > A[midpoint + 1]:
        return find(A, midpoint + 1, right)
    else:
        return find(A, left, midpoint - 1)
end function
```

Question 3:

Based on description of HybridSort, there are 2 cases for $n-size$ input. The first is that algorithm recurses to a depth which is less than or equal to r and all resulting subarrays have size 1. In this case, this algorithm is the totally same as MergeSort.

The second is that algorithm recurses to the depth of r and the resulting subarrays have a size s which is greater than 1. Since r is some fixed constant, the running time of dividing array is also constant, C_r . Since, the s , size of each resulting subarray, is $\frac{n}{2^r}$, then the worst running time of Insertion Sort for each resulting subarray is $O((\frac{n}{2^r})^2) = O((\frac{n^2}{2^{2r}})) = O(s^2) = O(n^2)$. The merge part is the same as MergeSort and the running time is $O(n)$.

When we consider the running time of some algorithms, the time often refers to the worst running time. When $n \rightarrow \infty$ in worst case, Insertion Sort for each resulting subarray is $O(n^2)$ and costs the longest running time. Therefore, the running time of HybridSort is $O(n^2)$.

Question 1:

(a) $f(n) = n$ $g(n) = n^2 - n$

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n^2 - n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n-1} = 0$$
 If $0 < L < \infty$, $f(n) = \theta(g(n))$ and $f(n) = O(g(n))$

(b) $f(n) = \log 5n + 2$ $g(n) = \log 2n + 5$
 In Big O notation, n is consider as infinite, so we should only compare $\log 5n$ with $\log 2n$.

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log 5n + 2}{\log 2n + 5} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log 5n}{\log 2n}$$

Based on L'Hopital Rule,

$$f_1(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log 5n = \infty$$

$$g_1(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log 2n = \infty.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log 5n}{\log 2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'_1(n)}{g'_1(n)}$

$$f'_1(n) = \frac{d}{dn} \log 5n = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$g'_1(n) = \frac{d}{dn} \log 2n = \frac{1}{n}$$

Thence, $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log 5n}{\log 2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'_1(n)}{g'_1(n)} = 1$

Since, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log 2n + 5}{\log 5n + 2}$ can be proved as the same way and get the same answer. If $0 < L < \infty$, $f(n) = \theta(g(n))$ so $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $g(n) = \theta(f(n))$ so $g(n) = O(f(n))$.

(c) $f(n) = 10 \log n$ $g(n) = \log n^4$

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10 \log n}{\log n^4} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{10 \log n}{4 \log n}$$

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log n} = 1$$

Since, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)}$ can be proved as the same way and get the same answer. Therefore, $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $g(n) = O(f(n))$ since $0 < L < \infty$.

(d) $f(n) = 100n + (\log n)^2$ $g(n) = 100n + \log n$

Firstly, I need to compare $100n$ with $\log n$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{100n}{\log n} = 100 \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\log n} = 100 \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n}} = 100 \cdot \infty = \infty$$

This means $\log n = o(100n)$ and when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we should only consider about $100n$ for $g(n)$.

Then, I need to compare $100n$ with $(\log n)^2$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log n)^2}{100n} = \frac{1}{100} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{100} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\ln n}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{100} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{x}}{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{100} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^2 = 0$$

This means $(\log n)^2 = o(100n)$ and when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we should only consider about $100n$ for $f(n)$.

Therefore, $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{100n}{100n} = 1$

Since, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)}$ can be proved as the same way and get the same answer. Therefore, $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $g(n) = O(f(n))$ since $0 < L < \infty$.

(e) $f(n) = n!$ $g(n) = 2^n$

Based on Big-O Notation: $g(n) = O(f(n))$ iff there exists a constant c and a fixed n_0 such that for all $n > n_0$, $g(n) \leq cf(n)$.

When $n \geq 4$, $g(n) < f(n)$. However, whatever constant c is, there is not a fixed n_0 to satisfy the Big-O notation. Therefore, $g(n) = O(f(n))$.

Question 2:

1. Recurrence Relation:

$$T(n) = 3 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + O(n^0).$$

2. Running time:

Based on Master Method, $T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + O(n^d)$,
where $a = 3$, $b = 3$ and $d = 0$.

The $\log_3 3 = 1 > 0$.

Therefore the result is $O(n \log_3 3) = O(n)$.

Question 4:

Claim: if $f(x) = o(g(x))$, then we must have that $f(x) = O(g(x))$.

Proof: By contradiction, suppose $f(x) = o(g(x))$ and $f(x) \neq O(g(x))$ for some $f(x)$ and $g(x)$. Based on Big-O Notation, $f(n) \neq O(g(n))$ means there does **not** exist a constant c and a fixed n_0 such that for all $n > n_0$, $f(n) \leq cg(n)$. However, in Little-o Notation, $f(x) = o(g(x))$ means, for **every** $c > 0$, there exists a fixed n_0 such that for all $n > n_0$, $f(n) \leq cg(n)$. There is contradiction, so my supposition is incorrect. Therefore, original proposition is true.

Question 5:

```
function FIND(A, left, right)
    while right == 1:
        left = right
        right = right * 2
    midpoint = (left + (right - left)/2)
    if A[midpoint] == 1 and A[midpoint + 1] == "error message":
        return midpoint
    else if A[midpoint] == 1:
        return find(A, midpoint, right)
    else:
        return find(A, left, midpoint)
end function
```

I use two pointers, *left* and *right*, to traverse the given array. Initialize *left* = 0 and *right* = 1. Then use a while loop to double *left* and *right* each time until *right* returns an error message. When *right* first returns an error message, it means *right* is out off the bounds of array *A*. And since *left* always equals to the previous *right*, the *n* will be in the range from *left* to *right*. Then we can use binary search to find *n*. Declare a variable *midpoint* which is the middle point of *left* and *right*. If the *midpoint* returns 1 and its next returns error message, the *midpoint* is the bounds and return it. If *midpoint* still returns 1 and its next is not error message, the bounds is in range from *midpoint* to *right*. Then recursively call function and limit the range from *midpoint* to *right*. Otherwise, the *midpoint* exceeds the bound of array and we recursively call function and limit the range from *left* to *midpoint*.

For the while loop, since it double each time and the first iteration takes the longest time, the worst running time of while loop is $\log_2 n$. For the recurrence part, I shrink half of current range each time, so using the Master Method, the *b* is 2 and *a* is 1. The loop part only works when we need to find bounds, so the *d* is 0. The Recursion Relation is $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n^0) + O(\log_2 n) \log_b a = 0 = d$. Therefore, the running time is $O(n^d \cdot \log n) = O(\log n)$.

Question 6:

```
function CHECK(L, K, start, sum result):  
    if result == False:  
        return result  
    if  $sum < (len(K))^2$ :  
        result = False  
        return result  
    for i in range(start, len(L)):  
        K.add(L[i])  
        result && check(L, K, i + 1, sum+L[i], result)  
    return result  
end function
```

For this question, I design a function `check()` to find and check all subsets $K \subseteq L$. At beginning, initialize K as an empty set, $start = 0$, $sum = 0$ and $result = True$. The variable `sum` is the sum of elements in current K . If there exists a subset K that $sum < |K|^2$, then the claim is false and returns the result as False. Otherwise, check other possible subsets. The $L[start]$ is the first element of current K . Recursively find all possible subsets with first element $L[start]$. After that, move `start` to next and recursively find all possible subsets with first element $L[start + 1]$. For each recursion, let `result &&` with return of recursion. As long as there exists a result that is False, the final result will be False. Otherwise, the claim is True.

The running time is $O(2^n)$ since there are 2^n subsets which we need to check.

Extra:

If $f(x) = O(g(x))$, it must be the case that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)/g(x) = c$, for some finite c . Let $L = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)/g(x)$. If $L = \infty$, then $g(x) = o(f(x))$ and $f(x) = \omega(g(x))$. Based on Little-o-notation, if $g(x) = o(f(x))$, then for every $c > 0$, there exists a fixed x_0 such that for all $x > x_0$, $g(x) \leq cf(x)$. Therefore, there does **not** exist a constant c and a fixed n_0 such that for all $n > n_0$, $f(n) \leq cg(n)$. So based on Big-O-notation, $f(n) \neq O(g(n))$. Hence, when $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)/g(x) = c$, for some finite c , $f(x) = O(g(x))$.