

Time-dependent Hartree-Fock Approximation for Magnetoroton Spectrum above Composite-Fermion Ground State

Xiaodong Hu*

Department of Physics, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467, USA

(Dated: March 30, 2023)

In this note, we will give a quick review on the general setup of Hartree-Fock methods, particularly the iterative *Roothaan equation*. We will work in a second-quantized language.

CONTENTS

I. Hartree-Fock	1
A. Roothaan Equation	2
1. Mean-field Hamiltonian and Energy	2
2. Variation with Lagrangian Multiplier	3
B. Stability: Thouless Representation	3
II. TDHF and Collective Excitation of Magnetoroton	3
A. Collective Spectrum	3
References	3

I. HARTREE-FOCK

The general setup of Hartree-Fock mean-field approximation is that, given N *nearly non-interacting* fermions, the many-body effect of the N -particle wave function will be dominated by the single-particle fermionic exchange effect. Thus Slater determinant of N *orthonormal* Hartree-Fock single-particle wave functions turns out to be a good approximation for the many-particle wave functions

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} \psi_1(\mathbf{r}_1) & \cdots & \psi_N(\mathbf{r}_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_1(\mathbf{r}_N) & \cdots & \psi_N(\mathbf{r}_N) \end{vmatrix}, \quad \text{or } |\Psi_{HF}\rangle \equiv \prod_{\alpha=1}^N C_{\alpha}^{\dagger} |0\rangle$$

with α runs over *both* momentum, spin and internal discrete indices like band/valley/orbital etc.

Here HF single-particles are truly occupied, as is constructed in the second quantized form. In terms of the *single-particle density operator* $\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle\langle\psi_{\alpha}|$, we have the single-particle density matrix $P_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \langle\psi_{\alpha}|\hat{\rho}|\psi_{\beta}\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ in these HF single-particle states. In fact, clearly such density matrix is nothing but the two-point correlator

$$P_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \langle\Psi_{HF}|C_{\beta}^{\dagger}C_{\alpha}|\Psi_{HF}\rangle.$$

The order of subscript is important here! Inserting the identity operator resolution within the Fock space $\mathbb{1} = \sum_{\alpha_1} |\alpha_1\rangle\langle\alpha_1| + \sum_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} |\alpha_1\alpha_2\rangle\langle\alpha_1\alpha_2| + \cdots$ to the middle of f -bilinear and noting that only $(N-1)$ -particle states contribute, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}} \langle\Psi_{HF}|C_{\beta}^{\dagger}|\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}\rangle\langle\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}|C_{\alpha}|\Psi_{HF}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}} \langle\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}|\Psi_{HF}\rangle\langle\Psi_{HF}|\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}\rangle \equiv \langle\alpha|\text{tr}_{N-1}\{\hat{\rho}^N\}|\beta\rangle \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the definition of single-particle density matrix — by tracing out the $(N-1)$ -particle states for the many-body (but *pure state*) density matrix $\hat{\rho}^N \equiv |\Psi_{HF}\rangle\langle\Psi_{HF}|$.

The left question is, *what are these N Hartree-Fock single-particle states look like?*

* xiaodong.hu@bc.edu

A. Roothaan Equation

Suppose we have a set of M *known* states $\{\phi_i\}$ in hand ($M \geq N$ and hereafter we suppose they are orthonormal), we can always try to expand the above N HF single-particle states with these basis — as long as $\{\phi_i\}$ is complete. However, usually completeness means *countable infinite* number of basis. Due to computation limitation, in practice we will always truncate such expansion with *finite* M basis

$$|\psi_\alpha\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^M d_{i\alpha} |\phi_i\rangle, \quad \text{or } C_\alpha^\dagger = \sum_i d_{i\alpha} f_i^\dagger.$$

Here we transpose the expansion matrix by default for further convenience. The density matrix in the new basis can be immediately obtained

$$P_{ij} \equiv \langle \phi_i | \hat{\rho} | \phi_j \rangle = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \langle \phi_i | \psi_\alpha \rangle \langle \psi_\alpha | \hat{\rho} | \psi_\beta \rangle \langle \psi_\beta | \phi_j \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \text{filled}} d_{j\alpha}^* d_{i\alpha}.$$

This can also be directly obtained from in the second-quantized language

$$P_{ij} \equiv \langle \Psi_{HF} | f_j^\dagger f_i | \Psi_{HF} \rangle = \dots$$

The left task is to find the optimal choice of the expansion coefficients $d_{i\alpha}$ in terms of the *variation principle*.

1. Mean-field Hamiltonian and Energy

For most physical model, translation symmetry is present and momentum is a good quantum number. From now on we will explicit separate out the momentum-dependence so that the full quadratic Hamiltonian in terms of the creation/annihilation operators in hand reads

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, ij} f_{\mathbf{k}, \alpha}^\dagger [\kappa(\mathbf{k})]_{ij} f_{\mathbf{k}, j} + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{\rho}_e(\mathbf{q}) V_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{\rho}_e(-\mathbf{q}), \quad \text{with charge density } \hat{\rho}_e(\mathbf{q}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}, ij} f_{\mathbf{k}, i}^\dagger [\varrho_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k})]_{ij} f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, j}. \quad (1)$$

Hermicity of the Coulomb interaction gives an extra constraint on the matrix coefficient of the charge density operator

$$[\varrho_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k})]^\dagger \equiv [\varrho_{-\mathbf{q}}([\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}])], \quad (2)$$

where $[\dots]$ in the argument means modulo with the composite-fermion BZ.

As a result, we get the mean-field Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{HF} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, ij} f_{\mathbf{k}, i}^\dagger \left\{ \kappa(\mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{HF}\{P\}(\mathbf{k}) \right\}_{ij} f_{\mathbf{k}, j}, \quad (3)$$

with the *generalized Hartree-Fock functional* acting on a matrix-valued function carrying momentum- \mathbf{q} defined in my `neat_TDHF.ipynb` notes that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{HF}\{M_{\mathbf{q}}\}(\mathbf{k}) &:= (\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{F})\{M_{\mathbf{q}}\}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{G}} V_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}} \varrho_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \text{tr} \left\{ \varrho_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}}^\dagger \cdot M_{\mathbf{q}} \right\}(\mathbf{k}') - \sum_{\mathbf{q}'} V_{\mathbf{q}'} \varrho_{\mathbf{q}'}(\mathbf{k}) M_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \varrho_{\mathbf{q}'}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

And the mean-field energy reads

$$E_{MF} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, ij} \left\{ P_{ji}(\kappa + \mathcal{HF}\{P\})_{ij} \right\}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{ij} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} d_{i\alpha}^* d_{j\alpha} (\kappa + \mathcal{HF}\{P\}) \right\}(\mathbf{k}) \quad (5)$$

2. Variation with Lagrangian Multiplier

For *each* HF single-particle states, we have an orthogonality condition $1 = \langle \psi_\alpha | \psi_\alpha \rangle = \sum_i d_{i\alpha}^* d_{i\alpha}$. So there are N -independent variation constraint and we can handle them with Lagrangian multipliers as ε_α . To sum up, we can define the functional

$$\mathcal{L}[d, d^*] := \sum_{ij} \left\{ \sum_\alpha d_{i\alpha}^* d_{j\alpha} (\kappa + \mathcal{H}\mathcal{F}\{P\}) \right\} - \sum_\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha \left(\sum_i d_{i\alpha}^* d_{i\alpha} - 1 \right).$$

Saddle-point condition $\delta\mathcal{L}/\delta d_{i\alpha}^* = 0$ then gives i equations

$$\sum_j (\kappa + \mathcal{H}\mathcal{F}\{P\})_{ij} d_{j\alpha} = d_{i\alpha} \varepsilon_\alpha \quad (6)$$

or in short matrix form, the iterative *Roothaan equation*

$$\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{d}]\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{with the } M \times M \text{ Fock operator } \mathbf{F} \equiv \kappa + \mathcal{H}\mathcal{F}\{P\}. \quad (7)$$

Equation (7) is nonlinear because the Fock operator \mathbf{F} itself also depends on the expansion coefficient $d_{i\alpha}$. In practice it has to be solved iteratively: starting with a guess expansion $d_{i,\alpha}^{(0)}$, calculating the Fock operator $\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{d}]$, then obtain a new expansion $d_{i,\alpha}^{(1)}$ from the eigenvector and so on.

B. Stability: Thouless Representation

II. TDHF AND COLLECTIVE EXCITATION OF MAGNETOROTON

A. Collective Spectrum

The general definition of a particle, in both high-energy and condensed-matter community is the **pole of Green functions**. Particularly, the particle-hole excitation spectrum can be obtained from the dynamics of the Green function constructed from the given PH bilinear

$$\hat{O}_{\mathbf{q}} \equiv \sum_{\alpha\beta} \hat{O}_{\mathbf{q};\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\alpha\beta} C_{\mathbf{k},\alpha}^\dagger [\phi_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k})]_{\alpha\beta} C_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\beta}. \quad (8)$$

For each species of PH bilinear $\hat{O}_{\mathbf{q};\alpha\beta}$, we can evaluate the dynamics of the Green function

$$-i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{G}_{\alpha\beta;\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q}, t) \equiv -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \mathcal{T} \hat{O}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}, t) \hat{O}_{\mu\nu}(-\mathbf{q}; 0) \rangle = -i \langle \mathcal{T} [\hat{H}, \hat{O}_{\mathbf{q};\alpha\beta}] \hat{O}_{-\mathbf{q};\mu\nu} \rangle - \delta(t) \langle [\hat{O}_{\mathbf{q};\alpha\beta}, \hat{O}_{-\mathbf{q};\mu\nu}] \rangle,$$

with the last unimportant *source term*. What TDHF does is to approximate (with Wick's contraction) the commutator $[\hat{H}, \hat{O}_{\mathbf{q};\alpha\beta}]$ with *original bilinears*, so that the RHS is still linear combination of Green functions

$$\langle [\hat{H}, \hat{O}_{\mathbf{q};\alpha\beta}] \rangle_{\text{TDHF}} = \sum_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q};\alpha\beta,\mu\nu} \hat{O}_{\mathbf{q};\mu\nu}$$

so that the pole of the Green function could be immediately obtained from diagonalization of the matrix \mathcal{H} of dimension $\dim(\alpha)^4$ for each \mathbf{q} .