Approximation Algorithms Part II: week 2 assignment

Anonymous

September 6, 2019

Primal-dual algorithm for k-median problem

For quicker reference, we write down LP2 here explicitly:

minimize
$$\sum_{i,j \in F \times C} x_{ij} c_{ij} + \lambda \sum_{i \in F} y_i - \lambda k$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{i \in F} x_{ij} \ge 1 \qquad \forall j \in C$$

$$y_i - x_{ij} \ge 0 \qquad \forall i, h \in F \times C$$

$$y_i \ge 0 \qquad \forall i \in F$$

$$x_{ij} \ge 0 \qquad \forall i, j \in F \times C$$

$$\mathbf{Q1.} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & \sum_{j \in C} \alpha_j \\ \text{s.t.} & \alpha_j - \beta_{ij} \leq c_{ij} & \forall i, j \in F \times C \\ & \sum_{j \in C} \beta_{ij} \leq \lambda & \forall i \in F \\ & \alpha_j \geq 0 & \forall j \in C \\ & \beta_{ij} \geq 0 & \forall i, j \in F \times C \end{array}$$

Q2. Using the algorithm for facility location problem discussed in the lectures to solve LP2, let's denote the corresponding primal solution by $\{C_0\}$ and dual solutions by $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_{ij}\}$. We have:

$$\sum_{\text{cluster}C_0} 3\lambda + \sum_{j \in C_0} c(i, j) \le 3 \sum_{j \in C} \alpha_j$$

- **Q3.** If we're lucky to have exactly k opened facilities, the algorithm gives 3-approximation to the k-median problem.
- **Q4.** When $\lambda = \lambda_1$, all facilities are opened to minimize the objective. Therefore at least k facilities are opened (assuming $|F| \geq k$)

For the case of $\lambda = \lambda_2$, the idea is to show we can achieve a much better solution by opening fewer than k facilities compared to opening more than k facilities. Therefore, the 3-approximation ratio should fail when opening more than k facilities.

Suppose k+k' facilities are opened for some positive integer k', the objective value becomes $\sum_{i,j\in F\times C} x_{ij}c_{ij} + k'\lambda_2$. It's easy to see that $\sum_{i,j\in F\times C} x_{ij}c_{ij} <= k'\lambda_2$. Therefore, we can achieve a better objective by opening k facilities, where the objective value becomes $\sum_{i,j\in F\times C} x_{ij}c_{ij}$.

Q5.

$$3|S_1|\lambda_1 + \cot(S_1) \le 3\sum_{j \in C} \alpha_j^1$$
$$3|S_2|\lambda_2 + \cot(S_2) \le 3\sum_{j \in C} \alpha_j^2$$

Q6.

$$cost(S_1) \leq 3 \sum_{j \in C} \alpha_j^1 - 3\lambda_1 |S_1|$$

$$\leq 3 \sum_{j \in C} \alpha_j^1 - 3|S_1|(\lambda_2 - \epsilon c_{min}/(3|F|))$$

$$\leq 3 \sum_{j \in C} \alpha_j^1 - 3\lambda_2 |S_1| + \epsilon c_{min} |S_1|/|F|$$

$$\leq 3 \sum_{j \in C} \alpha_j^1 - 3\lambda_2 |S_1| + \epsilon \text{OPT}|S_1|/|F|$$

$$\leq 3 \sum_{j \in C} \alpha_j^1 - 3\lambda_2 |S_1| + \epsilon \text{OPT}|F|/|F|$$

$$\leq 3 (\sum_{j \in C} \alpha_j^1 - \lambda_2 |S_1|) + \epsilon \text{OPT}$$

Q7. Using the results from Q5 and Q6:

$$\begin{split} &\delta_1 \mathrm{cost}(S_1) + \delta_2 \mathrm{cost}(S_2) \\ \leq &3(\delta_1 \sum_j \alpha_j^1 + \delta_2 \sum_j \alpha_j^2) - 3\lambda_2 (\delta_1 |S_1| + \delta_2 |S_2|) + \delta_1 \epsilon \mathrm{OPT} \\ \leq &3 \sum_j \tilde{\alpha}_j - 3\lambda_2 k + \delta_1 \epsilon \mathrm{OPT} \\ \leq &3 \sum_j \tilde{\alpha}_j + 3\delta_1 \epsilon \mathrm{OPT} \\ \leq &3 \mathrm{OPT} + 3\delta_1 \epsilon \mathrm{OPT} \end{split}$$

Q8.

$$cost(S_2) \le 2(\delta_1 cost(S_1) + \delta_2 cost(S_2))
\le 2(3 + \delta_1 \epsilon) OPT
\le 2(3 + \epsilon) OPT$$

Q9. The probability should be δ_1 . I assume f_1 is not the closest among S_1 to any of the opened facilities in S_2 .

Q10. $c(i, f_2) \le c(f_1, f_2)$ because i is the closest facility in S_1 to f_2 .

Q11. Using triangle inequality on
$$c(f_1, f_2)$$
: $c(i, j) \le c(j, f_2) + c(f_1, f_2) \le c(j, f_2) + c(f_1, j) + c(j, f_2) = c_j^1 + 2c_j^2$

Q12.

Expected cost for client
$$j$$

 $\leq \text{Prob}[f_1 \text{ is opened}]c_j^1 + \text{Prob}[f_1 \text{ is not opened}](c_j^1 + 2c_j^2)$
 $= \delta_1 c_j^1 + (1 - \delta_1)(c_j^1 + 2c_j^2)$
 $= \delta_1 c_j^1 + \delta_2(c_j^1 + 2c_j^2)$

Q13.

Total cost
$$\leq \sum_{j \in C} \delta_1 c_j^1 + \delta_2 (c_j^1 + 2c_j^2)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j \in C} \delta_1 \alpha_j^1 + \delta_2 (\alpha_j^1 + 2\alpha_j^2)$$