# M5L24. Ford Motor EV Portfolio Decision Metrics

## Slide #1Ford Motor EV Portfolio Decision Metrics

In this topic, we will discuss how to quantitatively evaluate technology projects using as an example the Ford Motor Electric Vehicle Division.

## Slide #2Business objectives and portfolio objectives

The technology portfolio objectives are not equally important, just as the business objectives.

We also need to define the weight of each objective.

Typically, we use percentage weight so that we can calculate the weighted project score without changing the scale.

## Slide #3Weight

The last column is just an example of the portfolio objective weights.

The weight of portfolio objective is consistent with the priority of company's strategic direction.

## Slide #4Support core business

For example, the weight of supporting core business is 15%.

It is higher than other weights because the company set the core business as a priority.

The company wants to focus on the core profitable vehicles.

Also, in the company's strategy statement, it is a priority for a company to boost its profit margin.

## Slide #5Improve profitability

Accordingly, we gave the project financial return a higher rate, a 15% weight.

For each of the remaining portfolio objectives, we gave either 5% or 10 % weight.

## Slide #6Assigning a weight

I want to point out that assigning a weight appears to be subjective.

We typically have executives of a department collectively define the weight based on their vision, experience, and judgment about the priority of each objective.

## Slide #7Quantitative Decision Analysis

To accomplish our set of portfolio objectives, we will have a set of alternative projects.

How do we choose the most appropriate project?

This is done through a two-step process.

## Slide #8Step #1 - Decision Evaluation Matrix

First, we create a decision evaluation matrix.

In this matrix, each row corresponds to a portfolio objective and each column to a level of accomplishment.

We will have three levels, the highest corresponding to high or complete attainment of the objective, then a medium level where the objective is only partially met.

At the lowest level, the level of attainment is low or minimal.

We assign a score to these three levels.

In our case, we assign a 5 to the highest level, a 3 to the medium level, and a 1 to the lowest level.

## Slide #9S.M.A.R.T. Principles

I followed the S.M.A.R.T. principles to guide me in the definition of the evaluation of the decisions.

In this case, S.M.A.R.T. means strategic and specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound.

## Slide #10Step #2 - Project Evaluation Matrix

Second, we create a project evaluation matrix.

In this second matrix, each row corresponds to a project alternative and each column corresponds to a portfolio objective.

We also have a row for the portfolio objective weights.

Then, we use the first matrix, the Decision Evaluation Matrix, to assign a score to each of the cells of the Project Evaluation Matrix.

At this point, we calculate the weighted sum of the scores for each project.

Thus, we can rank these alternative projects.

## Slide #11Ranking

Please carefully examine the spreadsheet for the Decision Evaluation Matrix and the Project Evaluation Matrix that I have created for the Ford Motor Electric Vehicle Division example.

You will find the link to this spreadsheet on Canvas just below this video lesson.

This table lists the detailed matrix of each objective.

## Slide #12Quantitative Critera for Portfolio Objectives

Let me give you some examples of the criteria. For example, technology leadership.

## Slide #13Create advantage against competitors

If a technology can provide obvious competitive advantage, which means that our technical advance is three years ahead of our competitors, they give this project a score of five.

If our company and competitors have a similar technical advance level, we give a score of only one.

Patents also provide a strong protection.

## Slide #14Generate intellectual property

If we expect a strong patent coming out of a technology development project, we will give a score of 5 to this objective.

Please take some time to study the criterion table and let me know if you have any questions.

## Slide #15Quantitative Criteria for Portfolio Objectives

It is crucial to set quantitative criteria to gauge the specific value of a project from different perspectives.

Quantifying objectives not only enables us to make data driven decisions but also allows us to measure the progress of a project and performance, so that we can review and adjust portfolio in a systematic and consistent manner.