Optimization TD1

Xiaopeng

September 21, 2025

Exercise 1.1. Let $A \in S_d(\mathbb{R})$.

1. Letting $\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_d(A)$ be the eigenvalues of A, show that

$$\lambda_1(A) = \inf_{\|z\|_2 = 1} \langle Az, z \rangle.$$

2. Show that for any two $A, B \in S_d(\mathbb{R})$ there holds

$$|\lambda_1(A) - \lambda_1(B)| \le ||A - B||_{\text{op}}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ stands for the standard operator norm on the set of matrices.

Réponse:

1. Let $(e_1...e_d)$ be a basis of unit eigenvectors of A such that $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$ for all i. From the properties of eigenvectors and eigenvalues, we know that the eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal. Therefore, we have:

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

and

$$\langle e_i, e_i \rangle = 1.$$

For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $||z||_2 = 1$, we can express z in terms of the basis (e_1, \ldots, e_d) :

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \langle z, e_i \rangle e_i, \ ||z||_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 = 1$$

Any vector z can be decomposed into its components along the eigenvectors of A. We can then compute $\langle Az, z \rangle$:

$$\langle Az, z \rangle = \langle A\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \langle z, e_i \rangle e_i\right), \sum_{j=1}^{d} \langle z, e_j \rangle e_j \rangle$$

Using the linearity of A and the fact that $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$, we get:

$$\langle Az, z \rangle = \langle \sum_{i=1}^{d} \langle z, e_i \rangle \lambda_i e_i, \sum_{j=1}^{d} \langle z, e_j \rangle e_j \rangle$$

By the orthogonality of the eigenvectors, this simplifies to:

$$\langle Az, z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 \lambda_i$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{d} \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 = 1$ and each λ_i is bounded between λ_{\min} and λ_{\max} , we have:

$$\inf_{\|z\|_2=1}\langle Az,z\rangle = \inf_{\|z\|_2=1}\sum_{i=1}^d \langle z,e_i\rangle^2\lambda_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z,e_i\rangle^2\lambda_i$$

and

$$\sup_{\|z\|_2=1} \langle Az, z \rangle = \sup_{\|z\|_2=1} \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 \lambda_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 \lambda_i$$

Assume that $c_1, c_2 \ge 0$ such that $c_1 + c_2 = 1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \le b$.

$$a = c_1 a + c_2 a \le c_1 a + c_2 b \le c_1 b + c_2 b = b$$

Generalizing the above result to more variables, we have:

$$\lambda_1(A) = \inf_{\|z\|_2 = 1} \langle Az, z \rangle \le \langle Az, z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 \lambda_i \le \sup_{\|z\|_2 = 1} \langle Az, z \rangle = \lambda_d(A).$$

2. Using the variational characterization from part (1), we have:

$$\lambda_1(A) = \inf_{\|z\|_2 = 1} \langle Az, z \rangle$$
 and $\lambda_1(B) = \inf_{\|z\|_2 = 1} \langle Bz, z \rangle$

For any unit vector z with $||z||_2 = 1$, we can write:

$$\langle Az, z \rangle - \langle Bz, z \rangle = \langle (A - B)z, z \rangle$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the definition of operator norm:

$$|\langle (A-B)z, z \rangle| \le ||(A-B)z||_2 ||z||_2 \le ||A-B||_{\text{op}} ||z||_2^2 = ||A-B||_{\text{op}}$$

Therefore:

$$\langle Az, z \rangle \le \langle Bz, z \rangle + ||A - B||_{\text{op}}$$

Taking the infimum over all unit vectors z on the left side:

$$\lambda_1(A) = \inf_{\|z\|_2 = 1} \langle Az, z \rangle \le \inf_{\|z\|_2 = 1} (\langle Bz, z \rangle + \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}) = \lambda_1(B) + \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}$$

This gives us:

$$\lambda_1(A) - \lambda_1(B) \le ||A - B||_{\text{op}}$$

By symmetry (swapping the roles of A and B), we also have:

$$\lambda_1(B) - \lambda_1(A) \le ||B - A||_{\text{op}} = ||A - B||_{\text{op}}$$

Combining both inequalities:

$$|\lambda_1(A) - \lambda_1(B)| \le ||A - B||_{\text{op}}$$

Remark

spectral theorem: Let $A \in S_d(\mathbb{R})$ be a symmetric matrix. Then, there exists an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^d consisting of eigenvectors of A, and the eigenvalues can be ordered as $\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_d(A)$.

Remark

The operator norm (or spectral norm) of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is defined as:

$$||A||_{\text{op}} = \sup_{||x||_2=1} ||Ax||_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_2}{||x||_2}$$

This norm measures the maximum amplification factor of the matrix when applied to unit vectors. For symmetric matrices, the operator norm equals the largest absolute eigenvalue: $||A||_{op} = \max_i |\lambda_i(A)|$. The operator norm is induced by the Euclidean norm and satisfies the submultiplicative property: $||AB||_{op} \leq ||A||_{op}||B||_{op}$. It provides a measure of how much a linear transformation can stretch vectors and is fundamental in analyzing the conditioning and stability of linear systems.

Exercise 1.2. Let $A \in S_d(\mathbb{R})$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We consider

$$f: x \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle.$$

- 1. Show that f is coercive if, and only if $A \in S_d^{++}(\mathbb{R})$.
- 2. Show that f is convex if, and only if $A \in S_d^+(\mathbb{R})$.
- 3. Show that f is strictly convex if, and only if $A \in S_d^{++}(\mathbb{R})$.

Réponse:

1. Let's show the contrapositive of " \Longrightarrow ": if $A \notin S_d^{++}(\mathbb{R})$, then f is not coercive. We can safely omit $\langle b, x \rangle$ in the definition since it is not a part of the dominant term when $\|x\|_2 \to \infty$. If $A \notin S_d^{++}(\mathbb{R})$, then an eigenvalue $\lambda_d \leq 0$ (adopting the notation from last exercise). This implies that there exists a sequence $(x_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (up to choosing from the eigenspace E_{λ_d} associated with λ_d) such that $\|x_n\|_2 \to \infty$ and $\langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle \to -\infty$ or 0 which shows that f is not coercive.

As for the converse " $\Leftarrow=$ " : We find a lower bound for $\langle Ax,x\rangle$. Let $A\in S_d^{++}(\mathbb{R})$. Then all eigenvalues are positive, and we can find a constant $\alpha=\lambda_{\min}(A)>0$ such that

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \ge \alpha \|x\|_2^2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This implies that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle \ge \frac{\alpha}{2} ||x||_2^2 - \langle b, x \rangle.$$

Now, if $||x||_2 \to \infty$, the term $\frac{\alpha}{2}||x||_2^2$ dominates $-\langle b, x \rangle$, and we conclude that $f(x) \to \infty$. Thus, f is coercive.

2. We use the characterization of convexity through the Hessian matrix: f is convex if, and only if, $\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The result is trivial since $\nabla^2 f(x) = A \succeq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

3. We use the characterization of strict convexity through the Hessian matrix: f is strictly convex if, and only if, $\nabla^2 f(x) \succ 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The result is again trivial since $\nabla^2 f(x) = A \succ 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Exercise 1.3. Classify the critical points (local minimisers, local maximisers, saddle points, indeterminate critical points) of the following functions:

1.
$$f_1:(x,y)\mapsto (x-y)^2+(x+y)^3$$
,

2.
$$f_2:(x,y)\mapsto x^2-2y^2+3xy$$
,

3.
$$f_3:(x,y)\mapsto x^4+y^3-3y-2$$
.

Réponse:

1. The critical points of f_1 can be found by computing the gradient and setting it to zero:

$$\nabla f_1(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2(x-y) + 3(x+y)^2 \\ 2(y-x) + 3(x+y)^2 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

This gives us a system of equations to solve for the critical points. Simplifying the equations,

$$\begin{cases} 3(x+y)^2 = 2(x-y) \\ 3(x+y)^2 = -2(x-y) \end{cases}$$

Which leads to x = y = 0 as the only critical point. This critical point is a saddle point, and we only need to take the direction $\vec{d} = (1, 1)$.

2. For f_2 , it is worth noticing that f_2 can be expressed with a quadratic form:

$$f_2(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

we compute the gradient:

$$\nabla f_2(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

Again, we have a system of equations to solve. The unique solution is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

which leads to x = 0 and y = 0. To classify this critical point, we compute the Hessian matrix:

$$\nabla^2 f_2(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3\\ 3 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of this Hessian matrix can be found by solving the characteristic polynomial:

$$\det\left(\nabla^2 f_2(x,y) - \lambda I\right) = 0$$

which simplifies to:

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} 2-\lambda & 3\\ 3 & -4-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

The characteristic polynomial is given by:

$$(2-\lambda)(-4-\lambda)-9=0$$

which leads to:

$$\lambda^2 + 2\lambda - 17 = 0$$

The eigenvalues are:

$$\lambda_{1.2} = -1 \pm \sqrt{18} = -1 \pm 3\sqrt{2}$$

Since one eigenvalue is positive and the other is negative, the critical point is a saddle point.

3. Finally, for f_3 :

$$\nabla f_3(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} 4x^3 \\ 3y^2 - 3 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

We can solve these equations to find the critical points. The solutions are:

$$x = 0, \quad y = \pm 1$$

To classify these critical points, we compute the Hessian matrix:

$$\nabla^2 f_3(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} 12x^2 & 0\\ 0 & 6y \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of this Hessian matrix are given by the diagonal elements:

$$\lambda_1 = 12x^2, \quad \lambda_2 = 6y$$

At both critical points the Hessian is degenerate in the x-direction (entry $12x^2 = 0$), so the usual second derivative test is inconclusive and we use higher-order expansion.

1. Point (0,1). Write x=x-0, y=y-1 (move first critical point to O):

$$f_3(x,y) = x^4 + y^3 - 3y^2$$

We examine $f(x,y) - f(0,0) = x^4 + y^3 - 3y^2$ along various paths through the origin:

(a) Along the x-axis (y = 0):

$$f(x,0) = x^4 \ge 0$$

with equality only at x = 0.

(b) Along the y-axis (x = 0):

$$f(0,y) = y^3 - 3y^2 = y^2(y-3)$$

5

For small |y| > 0: since y - 3 < 0, we have f(0, y) < 0.

(c) Along the parabola $y = x^2$:

$$f(x, x^2) = x^4 + x^6 - 3x^4 = x^6 - 2x^4 = x^4(x^2 - 2)$$

For small |x| > 0: since $x^2 - 2 < 0$, we have $f(x, x^2) < 0$.

Since the function takes both positive values (along the x-axis) and negative values (along the y-axis and the parabola $y = x^2$) in every neighborhood of (0,0), we conclude that:

(0,0) is a **saddle point** of
$$f_3(x,y) = x^4 + y^3 - 3y^2$$

2. Point (0, -1). Write y = -1 + s:

$$f_3(x,-1+s) = x^4 + (-1+s)^3 - 3(-1+s) - 2 = x^4 - 3s^2 + s^3.$$

Thus

$$f_3(x,-1+s) - f_3(0,-1) = x^4 - 3s^2 + s^3$$
.

Along s = 0, $x \neq 0$: difference $= x^4 > 0$. Along x = 0, 0 < s < 3: difference $= -3s^2 + s^3 = -3s^2(1 - s/3) < 0$. Hence values of both signs occur arbitrarily close to (0, -1): (0, -1) is a saddle point.

Conclusion:

Both
$$(0,1)$$
 and $(0,-1)$ are **saddle points** of $f_3(x,y) = x^4 + y^3 - 3y - 2$

Exercise 1.4 (Distance between two sets). Let A and B be two closed, nonempty subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .

1. Show that if A is compact, then the problem

$$\min_{a \in A, b \in B} \|a - b\|$$

has a solution (at least one).

2. Show with a counter-example that this problem need not have a solution if neither A nor B is assumed compact, even if A and B are convex.

Réponse:

1. Let $d_B(a) = \inf_{b \in B} ||a - b||$ be the distance from the point a to the set B. Since B is closed, the infimum is attained at some point $b^* \in B$, and it depends on choice of a i.e.,

$$d_B(a) = ||a - b_a^*||.$$

Since A is compact, the function d_B is continuous on A and attains its minimum at some point $a^* \in A$. Therefore, a minimizer of the original problem exists.

2. If neither A nor B is compact,

Exercise 1.5. Give an example of a strictly convex function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the equation

$$\nabla^2 \varphi(x) = 0$$

has infinitely many solutions.

Exercise 1.6 (Carathéodory theorem). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We call the convex hull of Ω the smallest convex set containing Ω , denoted $C(\Omega)$.

1. Show that

$$C(\Omega) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N} t_i x_i \mid N \in \mathbb{N}, \ t_i \in [0, 1], \ \sum_{i=0}^{N} t_i = 1, \ x_i \in \Omega \right\}.$$

2. Prove the Carathéodory theorem: for any $x \in C(\Omega)$, there exist $t_0, \ldots, t_d \in [0, 1]$ and $x_0, \ldots, x_d \in \Omega$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} t_i = 1, \quad x = \sum_{i=0}^{d} t_i x_i.$$

- (a) Using an example, show why one needs at least (d+1) points.
- (b) Prove the theorem by descending induction, starting from a representation with d+2 points and eliminating one.
- (c) Deduce that if Ω is compact, then so is $C(\Omega)$.

Exercise 1.7 (Extreme points I: projection on closed convex sets). Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed convex set. Show that there exists a unique $z \in K$, denoted by $\Pi_K(x)$ and called the orthogonal projection of x on K, such that

$$||x - \Pi_K(x)|| = \min_{z \in K} ||x - z||$$

and that

$$\forall y \in K, \ \langle x - \Pi_K(x), \ y - \Pi_K(x) \rangle \le 0.$$

Show that Π_K is 1-Lipschitz.

Exercise 1.8 (Extreme points II: The Krein-Milman theorem). 1. Give an example of a convex set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ that has no extreme points.

- 2. Assume K is closed. Prove that K has extreme points.
- 3. Prove the finite-dimensional Krein-Milman theorem: any $x \in K$ is a convex combination of extreme points of K.
 - (a) Let $x \in \partial K$. Show that there exists a supporting hyperplane $H = \{\varphi = 0\}$ with $\varphi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*, \varphi \neq 0$, such that $x \in H$ and $\varphi(K) \subset (-\infty, 0]$.
 - (b) Show that if $x \in H$ for some supporting hyperplane of K, then x is an extreme point of K iff it is an extreme point of $H \cap K$.
 - (c) Conclude the theorem by induction on the dimension.

Exercise 1.9 (Polyak–Łojasiewicz Inequality). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be an α -strongly convex function and let x^* be a minimiser of f.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad ||x - x^*||^2 \le \frac{2}{\alpha} (f(x) - f(x^*)).$$

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
, $f(x) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2$.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
, $||x - x^*|| \le \frac{1}{\alpha} ||\nabla f(x)||$.