

# Optimization TD1

Xiaopeng

September 28, 2025

**Exercise 1.1.** Let  $A \in S_d(\mathbb{R})$ .

1. Letting  $\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_d(A)$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$ , show that

$$\lambda_1(A) = \inf_{\|z\|_2=1} \langle Az, z \rangle.$$

2. Show that for any two  $A, B \in S_d(\mathbb{R})$  there holds

$$|\lambda_1(A) - \lambda_1(B)| \leq \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$  stands for the standard operator norm on the set of matrices.

## Réponse:

1. Let  $(e_1 \dots e_d)$  be a basis of unit eigenvectors of  $A$  such that  $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$  for all  $i$ . From the properties of eigenvectors and eigenvalues, we know that the eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal. Therefore, we have:

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

and

$$\langle e_i, e_i \rangle = 1.$$

For any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\|z\|_2 = 1$ , we can express  $z$  in terms of the basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_d)$ :

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle e_i, \quad \|z\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 = 1$$

Any vector  $z$  can be decomposed into its components along the eigenvectors of  $A$ . We can then compute  $\langle Az, z \rangle$ :

$$\langle Az, z \rangle = \left\langle A \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle e_i \right), \sum_{j=1}^d \langle z, e_j \rangle e_j \right\rangle$$

Using the linearity of  $A$  and the fact that  $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$ , we get:

$$\langle Az, z \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle \lambda_i e_i, \sum_{j=1}^d \langle z, e_j \rangle e_j \right\rangle$$

By the orthogonality of the eigenvectors, this simplifies to:

$$\langle Az, z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 \lambda_i$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 = 1$  and each  $\lambda_i$  is bounded between  $\lambda_{\min}$  and  $\lambda_{\max}$ , we have:

$$\inf_{\|z\|_2=1} \langle Az, z \rangle = \inf_{\|z\|_2=1} \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 \lambda_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 \lambda_i$$

and

$$\sup_{\|z\|_2=1} \langle Az, z \rangle = \sup_{\|z\|_2=1} \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 \lambda_i$$

Assume that  $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$  such that  $c_1 + c_2 = 1$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a \leq b$ .

$$a = c_1 a + c_2 a \leq c_1 a + c_2 b \leq c_1 b + c_2 b = b$$

Generalizing the above result to more variables, we have:

$$\lambda_1(A) = \inf_{\|z\|_2=1} \langle Az, z \rangle \leq \langle Az, z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle z, e_i \rangle^2 \lambda_i \leq \sup_{\|z\|_2=1} \langle Az, z \rangle = \lambda_d(A).$$

2. Using the variational characterization from part (1), we have:

$$\lambda_1(A) = \inf_{\|z\|_2=1} \langle Az, z \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1(B) = \inf_{\|z\|_2=1} \langle Bz, z \rangle$$

For any unit vector  $z$  with  $\|z\|_2 = 1$ , we can write:

$$\langle Az, z \rangle - \langle Bz, z \rangle = \langle (A - B)z, z \rangle$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the definition of operator norm:

$$|\langle (A - B)z, z \rangle| \leq \|(A - B)z\|_2 \|z\|_2 \leq \|A - B\|_{\text{op}} \|z\|_2^2 = \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}$$

Therefore:

$$\langle Az, z \rangle \leq \langle Bz, z \rangle + \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}$$

Taking the infimum over all unit vectors  $z$  on the left side:

$$\lambda_1(A) = \inf_{\|z\|_2=1} \langle Az, z \rangle \leq \inf_{\|z\|_2=1} (\langle Bz, z \rangle + \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}) = \lambda_1(B) + \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}$$

This gives us:

$$\lambda_1(A) - \lambda_1(B) \leq \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}$$

By symmetry (swapping the roles of  $A$  and  $B$ ), we also have:

$$\lambda_1(B) - \lambda_1(A) \leq \|B - A\|_{\text{op}} = \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}$$

Combining both inequalities:

$$|\lambda_1(A) - \lambda_1(B)| \leq \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}$$

### Remark

**spectral theorem:** Let  $A \in S_d(\mathbb{R})$  be a symmetric matrix. Then, there exists an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ , and the eigenvalues can be ordered as  $\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_d(A)$ .

### Remark

The operator norm (or spectral norm) of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is defined as:

$$\|A\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}$$

This norm measures the maximum amplification factor of the matrix when applied to unit vectors. For symmetric matrices, the operator norm equals the largest absolute eigenvalue:  $\|A\|_{\text{op}} = \max_i |\lambda_i(A)|$ . The operator norm is induced by the Euclidean norm and satisfies the submultiplicative property:  $\|AB\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|A\|_{\text{op}} \|B\|_{\text{op}}$ . It provides a measure of how much a linear transformation can stretch vectors and is fundamental in analyzing the conditioning and stability of linear systems.

**Exercise 1.2.** Let  $A \in S_d(\mathbb{R})$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We consider

$$f : x \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle.$$

1. Show that  $f$  is coercive if, and only if  $A \in S_d^{++}(\mathbb{R})$ .
2. Show that  $f$  is convex if, and only if  $A \in S_d^+(\mathbb{R})$ .
3. Show that  $f$  is strictly convex if, and only if  $A \in S_d^{++}(\mathbb{R})$ .

### Réponse:

1. Let's show the contrapositive of " $\implies$ ": if  $A \notin S_d^{++}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $f$  is not coercive. We can safely omit  $\langle b, x \rangle$  in the definition since it is not a part of the dominant term when  $\|x\|_2 \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $A \notin S_d^{++}(\mathbb{R})$ , then an eigenvalue  $\lambda_d \leq 0$  (adopting the notation from last exercise). This implies that there exists a sequence  $(x_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  (up to choosing from the eigenspace  $E_{\lambda_d}$  associated with  $\lambda_d$ ) such that  $\|x_n\|_2 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow -\infty$  or  $0$  which shows that  $f$  is not coercive.

As for the converse " $\impliedby$ ": **We find a lower bound for  $\langle Ax, x \rangle$ .** Let  $A \in S_d^{++}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then all eigenvalues are positive, and we can find a constant  $\alpha = \lambda_{\min}(A) > 0$  such that

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \alpha \|x\|_2^2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This implies that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x\|_2^2 - \langle b, x \rangle.$$

Now, if  $\|x\|_2 \rightarrow \infty$ , the term  $\frac{\alpha}{2} \|x\|_2^2$  dominates  $-\langle b, x \rangle$ , and we conclude that  $f(x) \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $f$  is coercive.

2. We use the characterization of convexity through the Hessian matrix:  $f$  is convex if, and only if,  $\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . The result is trivial since  $\nabla^2 f(x) = A \succeq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

3. We use the characterization of strict convexity through the Hessian matrix:  $f$  is strictly convex if, and only if,  $\nabla^2 f(x) \succ 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . The result is again trivial since  $\nabla^2 f(x) = A \succ 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Exercise 1.3.** Classify the critical points (local minimisers, local maximisers, saddle points, indeterminate critical points) of the following functions:

1.  $f_1 : (x, y) \mapsto (x - y)^2 + (x + y)^3$ ,
2.  $f_2 : (x, y) \mapsto x^2 - 2y^2 + 3xy$ ,
3.  $f_3 : (x, y) \mapsto x^4 + y^3 - 3y - 2$ .

**Réponse:**

1. The critical points of  $f_1$  can be found by computing the gradient and setting it to zero:

$$\nabla f_1(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2(x - y) + 3(x + y)^2 \\ 2(y - x) + 3(x + y)^2 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

This gives us a system of equations to solve for the critical points. Simplifying the equations,

$$\begin{cases} 3(x + y)^2 = 2(x - y) \\ 3(x + y)^2 = -2(x - y) \end{cases}$$

Which leads to  $x = y = 0$  as the only critical point. This critical point is a saddle point, and we only need to take the direction  $\vec{d} = (1, 1)$ .

2. For  $f_2$ , it is worth noticing that  $f_2$  can be expressed with a quadratic form:

$$f_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

we compute the gradient:

$$\nabla f_2(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

Again, we have a system of equations to solve. The unique solution is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

which leads to  $x = 0$  and  $y = 0$ . To classify this critical point, we compute the Hessian matrix:

$$\nabla^2 f_2(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of this Hessian matrix can be found by solving the characteristic polynomial:

$$\det(\nabla^2 f_2(x, y) - \lambda I) = 0$$

which simplifies to:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 3 \\ 3 & -4 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

The characteristic polynomial is given by:

$$(2 - \lambda)(-4 - \lambda) - 9 = 0$$

which leads to:

$$\lambda^2 + 2\lambda - 17 = 0$$

The eigenvalues are:

$$\lambda_{1,2} = -1 \pm \sqrt{18} = -1 \pm 3\sqrt{2}$$

Since one eigenvalue is positive and the other is negative, the critical point is a saddle point.

3. Finally, for  $f_3$ :

$$\nabla f_3(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 4x^3 \\ 3y^2 - 3 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

We can solve these equations to find the critical points. The solutions are:

$$x = 0, \quad y = \pm 1$$

To classify these critical points, we compute the Hessian matrix:

$$\nabla^2 f_3(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 12x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 6y \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of this Hessian matrix are given by the diagonal elements:

$$\lambda_1 = 12x^2, \quad \lambda_2 = 6y$$

At both critical points the Hessian is degenerate in the  $x$ -direction (entry  $12x^2 = 0$ ), so the usual second derivative test is inconclusive and we use higher-order expansion.

1. Point  $(0, 1)$ . Write  $x = x - 0$ ,  $y = y - 1$  (move first critical point to  $O$ ):

$$f_3(x, y) = x^4 + y^3 - 3y^2$$

We examine  $f(x, y) - f(0, 0) = x^4 + y^3 - 3y^2$  along various paths through the origin:

(a) **Along the  $x$ -axis** ( $y = 0$ ):

$$f(x, 0) = x^4 \geq 0$$

with equality only at  $x = 0$ .

(b) **Along the  $y$ -axis** ( $x = 0$ ):

$$f(0, y) = y^3 - 3y^2 = y^2(y - 3)$$

For small  $|y| > 0$ : since  $y - 3 < 0$ , we have  $f(0, y) < 0$ .

(c) **Along the parabola**  $y = x^2$ :

$$f(x, x^2) = x^4 + x^6 - 3x^4 = x^6 - 2x^4 = x^4(x^2 - 2)$$

For small  $|x| > 0$ : since  $x^2 - 2 < 0$ , we have  $f(x, x^2) < 0$ .

Since the function takes both positive values (along the  $x$ -axis) and negative values (along the  $y$ -axis and the parabola  $y = x^2$ ) in every neighborhood of  $(0, 0)$ , we conclude that:

$$(0, 0) \text{ is a } \mathbf{saddle point} \text{ of } f_3(x, y) = x^4 + y^3 - 3y^2$$

2. Point  $(0, -1)$ . Write  $y = -1 + s$ :

$$f_3(x, -1 + s) = x^4 + (-1 + s)^3 - 3(-1 + s) - 2 = x^4 - 3s^2 + s^3.$$

Thus

$$f_3(x, -1 + s) - f_3(0, -1) = x^4 - 3s^2 + s^3.$$

Along  $s = 0$ ,  $x \neq 0$ : difference  $= x^4 > 0$ . Along  $x = 0$ ,  $0 < s < 3$ : difference  $= -3s^2 + s^3 = -3s^2(1 - s/3) < 0$ . Hence values of both signs occur arbitrarily close to  $(0, -1)$ :  $(0, -1)$  is a saddle point.

**Conclusion:**

$$\text{Both } (0, 1) \text{ and } (0, -1) \text{ are } \mathbf{saddle points} \text{ of } f_3(x, y) = x^4 + y^3 - 3y - 2$$

**Exercise 1.4** (Distance between two sets). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two closed, nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

1. Show that if  $A$  is compact, then the problem

$$\min_{a \in A, b \in B} \|a - b\|$$

has a solution (at least one).

2. Show with a counter-example that this problem need not have a solution if neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is assumed compact, even if  $A$  and  $B$  are convex.

**Réponse:**

1. Let  $d_B(a) = \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|$  be the distance from the point  $a$  to the set  $B$ . Since  $B$  is closed, the infimum is attained at some point  $b^* \in B$ , and it depends on choice of  $a$  i.e.,

$$d_B(a) = \|a - b_a^*\|.$$

Since  $A$  is compact, the function  $d_B$  is continuous on  $A$  and attains its minimum at some point  $a^* \in A$ . Therefore, a minimizer of the original problem exists.

2. If neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is compact,

**Exercise 1.5.** Give an example of a strictly convex function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the equation

$$\nabla^2 \varphi(x) = 0$$

has infinitely many solutions.

**Exercise 1.6** (Carathéodory theorem). Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . We call the convex hull of  $\Omega$  the smallest convex set containing  $\Omega$ , denoted  $C(\Omega)$ .

1. Show that

$$C(\Omega) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^N t_i x_i \mid N \in \mathbb{N}, t_i \in [0, 1], \sum_{i=0}^N t_i = 1, x_i \in \Omega \right\}.$$

2. Prove the Carathéodory theorem: for any  $x \in C(\Omega)$ , there exist  $t_0, \dots, t_d \in [0, 1]$  and  $x_0, \dots, x_d \in \Omega$  such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^d t_i = 1, \quad x = \sum_{i=0}^d t_i x_i.$$

- (a) Using an example, show why one needs at least  $(d + 1)$  points.
- (b) Prove the theorem by descending induction, starting from a representation with  $d + 2$  points and eliminating one.
- (c) Deduce that if  $\Omega$  is compact, then so is  $C(\Omega)$ .

**Exercise 1.7** (Extreme points I: projection on closed convex sets). Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a closed convex set. Show that there exists a unique  $z \in K$ , denoted by  $\Pi_K(x)$  and called the orthogonal projection of  $x$  on  $K$ , such that

$$\|x - \Pi_K(x)\| = \min_{z \in K} \|x - z\|$$

and that

$$\forall y \in K, \langle x - \Pi_K(x), y - \Pi_K(x) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Show that  $\Pi_K$  is 1-Lipschitz.

**Exercise 1.8** (Extreme points II: The Krein-Milman theorem). 1. Give an example of a convex set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  that has no extreme points.

2. Assume  $K$  is closed. Prove that  $K$  has extreme points.

3. Prove the finite-dimensional Krein-Milman theorem: any  $x \in K$  is a convex combination of extreme points of  $K$ .

- (a) Let  $x \in \partial K$ . Show that there exists a supporting hyperplane  $H = \{\varphi = 0\}$  with  $\varphi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ ,  $\varphi \neq 0$ , such that  $x \in H$  and  $\varphi(K) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ .
- (b) Show that if  $x \in H$  for some supporting hyperplane of  $K$ , then  $x$  is an extreme point of  $K$  iff it is an extreme point of  $H \cap K$ .
- (c) Conclude the theorem by induction on the dimension.

**Exercise 1.9** (Polyak–Łojasiewicz Inequality). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an  $\alpha$ -strongly convex function and let  $x^*$  be a minimiser of  $f$ .

1. Prove that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \|x - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} (f(x) - f(x^*)).$$

2. Show that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f(x) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2.$$

3. Deduce that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \|x - x^*\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|\nabla f(x)\|.$$