

Text Processing 考点（总结自past papers）

Notebook: 我的第一个笔记本

Created: 1/26/2020 3:24 PM

Updated: 1/26/2020 6:28 PM

Author: Alvin

第一章：SA

1.subjectivity analysis和sentiment analysis的区别：

subjectivity analysis关注评价的是主观还是客观，
sentiment analysis关注评价的好坏。

Bing Liu's Model的主要成分：

An **opinion** is a quintuple $(o_j, f_{jk}, so_{ijkl}, h_i, t_l)$, where:

- o_j is a target object.
- f_{jk} is a feature of the object o_j .
- so_{ijkl} is the sentiment value of the opinion of the
- opinion holder h_i (usually the author of the post)
- on feature f_{jk} of object o_j at time t_l .

so_{ijkl} is positive, negative, neutral, or a more granular rating, such as 1-5 stars as in movie reviews.

简单概括为：Oj,物品，fjk是物品的特征，SOijkl是对物品的评价，hi是评价人，ti是评价日期

三个**challenges for SA**: 对应上面5个成分，Oj，是Name Entity Recognition，对应SOijkl是Sentiment Extraction，剩下fjk,hi, tl.都是Information Extraction

2.Lexicon-based approach to SA

Rule-based subjectivity classifier:

区别主观和客观，主要看有没有**emotion words**

Rule-based sentiment classifier:

区别评价的正负，主要看正负哪个评价多

Rule-based gradable sentiment classifier:

主要通过计算**emotion words**的分数来评价正负，计算方法就是把**emotion words**的分数**加起来**。

- **Negation rule:** 遇到**not**，要-1，并且反转分数正负号。
-
- **Capitalization rule:** 遇到大写的，正评价的+1，负评价的-1
-
- **Intensifier rule:** “**definitely**”, “**very**”, “**extremely**”等加强副词不改变正负号，只加分，加多少取决于给定的**weight(Intensifier rule)**
-
- **Diminisher rule:** “**somewhat**”, “**barely**”, “**rarely**”等削弱副词不改变正负号，减分。减多少取决于给定的**weight(Diminisher rule)**
-
- **Exclamation rule:** 叹号，同加强副词，不改变符号，加或者减，取决于评价是正还是负。加减多少取决于**Weight(!!!)**。（这个地方**lecture**只有正例子，没有负例子，比如 **too bad!!!**）
-
- **Emoticon rule:** 表情包，有单独的分数。

举例：

- “**I am not good today**”. **Emotion(good)= +3**, 因为有**not**，所以要减去-1，并且反转正负号，

最后得分为-2.

- “I am **GOOD** today” , 因为**GOOD**大写, 加一分, 最后得分为+4
- 剩下的几种就是 **Emotion(x) ± weight(y)**。看情况决定。更多例子在**Lecture_SA2.pdf**的12页里面有

Lexicon-based approach优点: effective, language independent, no require for training, 可以拓展新词。

缺点: 需要**lexicon of emotion words**做数据支撑, 对新词, 缩写, 误拼写的词理解不足。

3. Corpus-based/Supervised machine learning

分两步:

- 第一, **Subjectivity classifier**: 运行**binary classifier**, 找到并消除客观内容
- 第二, **Sentiment classifier**, 学习对不同**attribute**进行打分**weight**, 然后**make prediction** 运用比如: **Naive Bayes**

运用朴素贝叶斯**Bayes Rule**:

$$p(Y | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{P(X_1, \dots, X_n | Y)P(Y)}{P(X_1, \dots, X_n)}$$

Likelihood points to $P(X_1, \dots, X_n | Y)$
Prior points to $P(Y)$
Posterior points to $p(Y | X_1, \dots, X_n)$
Normalization Constant points to $P(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

- $P(Y)$: Prior belief (probability of hypothesis Y before seeing any data)
- $P(X_1, \dots, X_n | Y)$: Likelihood (probability of the data if the hypothesis Y is true)
- $P(X_1, \dots, X_n)$: Data evidence (marginal probability of data)
- $P(Y | X_1, \dots, X_n)$: Posterior (probability of hypothesis Y after having seen the data)

贝叶斯的本质是用先验(**Prior**)概率去推算后验(**Posterior**)概率

corpus-based approach to SA的分数公式:

数据集:

A Naive Bayes classifier - a worked out example (ctd)

- **Features**: adjectives (bag-of-words)

Doc	Words	Class
1	Great movie, excellent plot, renowned actors	Positive
2	I had not seen a fantastic plot like this in good 5 years. amazing !!!	Positive
3	Lovely plot, amazing cast, somehow I am in love with the bad guy	Positive
4	Bad movie with great cast, but very poor plot and unimaginative ending	Negative
5	I hate this film, it has nothing original. Really bad	Negative
6	Great movie, but not...	Negative
7	Very bad movie, I have no words to express how I dislike it	Negative

先计算**Priors**:

Priors:

$$P(\text{positive}) = \text{count}(\text{positive})/N = 3/7 = 0.43$$

$$P(\text{negative}) = \text{count}(\text{negative})/N = 4/7 = 0.57$$

where N = total training examples

Prior是该类别的在所有样本中出现的数量。按上图，就是有**7**个**DOC**，其中**3**个被划分为**positive**，剩下**4**个被划分为**negative**。

首先计算Likelihoods:

Likelihoods:

$$P(t_j|c_i) = \frac{\text{count}(t_j, c_i)}{\text{count}(c_i)}$$

这个公式计算的是每个词在每个类别中的出现的概率。

举个例子：**P(amazing|positive) = 2/10**，首先说明的是**positive**这个类中有**10**个**emotion words**（包括重复的），然后**amazing**出现了**2**次。

Final decision

$$\underset{c_i}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(c_i) \prod_{j=1}^n P(t_j|c_i)$$

Given a new segment to classify (**test time**):

Doc	Words	Class
8	This was a fantastic story, good , lovely	???

$$P(\text{positive}) * P(\text{fantastic}|\text{positive}) * P(\text{good}|\text{positive}) * P(\text{lovely}|\text{positive})$$

$$3/7 * 1/10 * 1/10 * 1/10 = 0.00043$$

$$P(\text{negative}) * P(\text{fantastic}|\text{negative}) * P(\text{good}|\text{negative}) * P(\text{lovely}|\text{negative})$$

$$4/7 * 0/8 * 0/8 * 0/8 = 0$$

So: **sentiment = positive**

要分别对正和负两个类分别计算，哪个分高，就是 **sentiment** 属于哪一类。

corpus-based approach to SA 额外几点：

1. 只要 **data** 不稀疏 (**sparse**)，就很好用

2. **Prior is very importanta especially on biased cases**(原句)

3. 如何 **improve**，两方面，一方面从 **features** 特征下手

- Using all words (in Naive Bayes) works well in some tasks
- Finding subsets of words may help in other tasks
- Using only adjectives can be limiting. Verbs like hate, dislike; nouns like love; words for inversion like not; intensifiers like very
- Pre-built polarity lexicons can be helpful
- Negation is important (原句)

另一方面从 **Algorithme** 算法下手，使用 **MaxEnt** 和 **SVM**，比 **Naive Bayes** 更好。

4. 非二分类 **non-binary classification** 可以使用 **N-class ordinal classification** (**N** 取决于 **grades**) 或者 **regression algorithm** 线性回归算法。

4. Comparative SA:

1. **Comparative SA** 和 **Direct SA** 的区别：

举例：

Comparative: A 比 **B** 好， **Direct SA: A** 很好

所以**Comparative SA**跟**Direct SA**不同之处，是**comparative SA**有 **comparative opinions**，而**Direct SA**是**direct opinions**。
总之一句话就是**Comparative SA**有比较，**Direct SA**没有。

2.Bing Liu 4 types of comparative relation:

Gradable: A比**B**的某一特征大或者小

Equative: A和**B**的某一特征相同

Superlative: A比所有都好，或者比所有都差。

Non-gradable comparisons: 非打分的比较，比如：**A**和**B**不一样。

总结：**Naive Bays** 分类器，很好用，适合第一个尝试。朴素贝叶斯假设实际上不存在，尽管如此，还是很好用。

5.SA系统评价方法:

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\# \text{ correctly classified texts}}{\# \text{ texts}}$$

$$\text{Precision Pos} = \frac{\# \text{ texts correctly classified as positive}}{\# \text{ texts classified as positive}}$$

$$\text{Recall Pos} = \frac{\# \text{ texts correctly classified as positive}}{\# \text{ positive texts}}$$

$$\text{F-measure Pos} = \frac{2 * \text{Precision Pos} * \text{Recall Pos}}{\text{Precision Pos} + \text{Recall Pos}}$$

Accuracy正确率：被**正确分类**（包括正负）个数/总样本

Precision精度：被**正确划分**为正类的个数/被**划分**为正类的个数

Recall召回率：被**正确划分**为正的个数/正类的个数

