

# COM3110/4115/6115: Lab Class

## Computing Word Overlap

1. This week's lab provides practice in word counting over multiple files. More specifically, we look at computing the level of *word overlap* between documents as an indicator of document similarity, which might arise in circumstances such as document duplication, text reuse (e.g. plagiarism), even simply having the same topic.
2. Download the file `NEWS.zip` from the module homepage (see: **Lab Classes** > **Data/code files**). Unzip this to get a folder `NEWS` of short news articles (with names `news01.txt`, `news02.txt`, etc). Also download files `compare.STARTER.CODE.py` and `stop_list.txt`, and place them *alongside* the unzipped news folder. A *stop-list* is a list of 'unimportant' words — words that are often ignored during word counting (discussed later in the course).
3. Rename the *starter* code file as `compare.py`, and extend it to complete the lab exercises. The script might be called from the command line as follows:

```
> python compare.py -s stop_list.txt NEWS/news01.txt NEWS/news02.txt
```

Here, flag `-s` identifies `stop_list.txt` as an (optional) stoplist. The subsequent command line arguments (`news01.txt` and `news02.txt`) identify the files to be compared. These file names are stored as a list in the variable `filenames`.

The starter code loads the stoplist, storing its words as a *set* in the variable `stops`. This is an appropriate choice of data structure, as it allows fast, hash-based look-up, via a simple test such as `"w in stops"`. If the stoplist is not specified, `stops` will store an empty set, in which case, the same test can be used, but will always return `False`.

Later, we will want to call the code to take *all the files* in the `NEWS` folder as input, but the way to do this depends on the operating system (OS) being used.

UNIX/LINUX/MAC: simply use 'wildcards' in the filename, as in the following example:

```
> python compare.py -s stop_list.txt NEWS/news???.txt
```

WINDOWS: the above convenience is not available in the Windows terminal, so the code instead implements it via the `-I` option, which must be supplied with a *pattern* to match the file names, as in the following example:

```
> python compare.py -s stop_list.txt -I NEWS/news???.txt
```

Study the starter code provided, to make sure you understand it.

4. Starting with the case where there are only *two input files*, extend the code to compute and print a *word overlap score* (explained below in 7.) between the two texts, e.g. as in:

```
> python compare.py -s stop_list.txt NEWS/news01.txt NEWS/news02.txt  
NEWS/news01.txt <> NEWS/news02.txt = 0.017
```

Some suggestions about components to implement as part of this script follow.

5. **TOKENISATION:** To simplify, you might treat the words as being all the maximal alphabetic sequences found in the file (which can readily be extracted from each line with a simple regex, and the regex `findall` method). To improve overlap, you should convert the text to lowercase, so that different case variants are conflated in counting.

6. COUNTING: You might define a function (e.g. `count_words`) which counts the occurrences of (non-stoplist) words in a file, and returns these counts as a dictionary, i.e. with words as keys, and counts as values. (Alternatively, you might define a simple class, whose instances store this information, and have the word counting function as a method.)
7. COMPARISON: You might define a function which, *given a dictionary of the counts for two files*, computes a measure of their word overlap. We will use versions of the *jaccard coefficient*. A simple version ignores the counts of words in the files, and instead treats each as just a *set* of word types. For word sets  $A$  and  $B$ , this measure is computed as:

$$\frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$$

8. For a count-sensitive version of a jaccard metric, we might use the following. For compared files  $A$  and  $B$ , let  $w_A$  and  $w_B$  denote the counts of word  $w$  in the two files respectively. Our measure is then computed as follows (where  $\Sigma_{w \in A \cup B}$  here ranges over the full set of terms appearing in *either* file):

$$\frac{\Sigma_{w \in A \cup B} \min(w_A, w_B)}{\Sigma_{w \in A \cup B} \max(w_A, w_B)}$$

You might add a command-line option to determine whether this metric or the previous (*count-insensitive*) metric is applied, e.g. a boolean option `-b` (for binary 0/1 weighting).

9. Having produced a script that can compare two files, you might modify it to perform pairwise comparison across more than two files, e.g. as in the following, where the pattern picks out three input files for comparison:

```
> python compare.py -s stop_list.txt -I 'NEWS/news0[123].txt'
NEWS/news01.txt <> NEWS/news02.txt = 0.017
NEWS/news01.txt <> NEWS/news03.txt = 0.000
NEWS/news02.txt <> NEWS/news03.txt = 0.011
```

Given the number of comparisons made here, you might modify your code to store up the scores, and then print out only the top  $N$  (e.g. 10) scoring comparisons.

10. Apply your code to the *full* set of news articles to see if you can find the following cases of related files:
  - *duplication*: two of the news files are identical, in terms of their textual content (although they are *not literally identical*, as might be tested using `unix diff`)
  - *plagiarism*: one of the news files has been produced by cutting and pasting together text from two of the other files
  - *related topics*: three of the articles address the same news story, as given by three different newspapers. Do these separately authored presentations of the same story exhibit higher overlap than articles on unrelated topics? (Note, two of these related articles appear within the first 5 news stories.)
11. You can investigate whether similarity detection works better with the simple or the weighted metric, and whether the use of a stoplist helps or not.
12. If you have time, look back at your code and consider how the functionality might be grouped into classes, to make it easier to understand (and potentially more reusable).