

# Homework 4

## Solution

### Question 1. Reality TV and cosmetic surgery (Data set: BDYIMG))

4.12(d) (10 pts) Fit the first-order model,  $E(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_3 + \beta_4x_4$ , to the data in the file. Then identify  $R^2$  and  $R_a^2$  from the R output. Which statistic is the preferred measure of model fit? Practically interpret the value of this statistic.

```
### Fit the MLR model and find the statistics
```

```
bdying = read.csv("STAT 3113 Data Sets/BDYIMG.csv")
```

```
fit_bdyimg = lm(DESIRED ~ GENDER + SELFESTM + BODYSAT + IMPREAL, data=bdying)
summary(fit_bdyimg)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = DESIRED ~ GENDER + SELFESTM + BODYSAT + IMPREAL,
##     data = bdying)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -4.6628 -1.6688 -0.0767  1.6087  6.1345
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  14.01066    0.77534   18.070 < 2e-16 ***
## GENDER       -2.18649    0.67663   -3.231 0.001487 **
## SELFESTM     -0.04794    0.03669   -1.307 0.193157
## BODYSAT      -0.32233    0.14348   -2.247 0.025998 *
## IMPREAL       0.49310    0.12739    3.871 0.000156 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 2.251 on 165 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.4976, Adjusted R-squared:  0.4854
## F-statistic: 40.85 on 4 and 165 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Answer:

- $R^2 = 0.4976$
- $R_a^2 = 0.4854$
- Which statistic,  $R^2$  or  $R_a^2$  is preferred measure?  
 $R_a^2$  is preferred measure of model fit.
- Practically interpret  $R_a^2$ .  
48.54% of the total sample variation in desire values is explained by the model containing gender,

self-esteem, body satisfaction and impression of reality TV, adjusting for the sample size and the number of variables in the model.

4.12(e) (10 pts) Conduct a test to determine whether desire to have cosmetic surgery decreases linearly as level of body satisfaction increases. Use  $\alpha = .05$ .

Answer:

$$H_0 : \beta_3 = 0$$

$$H_a : \beta_3 < 0$$

From the R output, the p-value for the left-tailed test is  $0.025998/2 = 0.013 < \alpha = .05$ , we reject  $H_0$ . There is sufficient evidence to indicate the desire to have cosmetic surgery decreases linearly as level of body satisfaction increases, holding all other variables constant at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

4.12(f) (10 pts) Find a 95% confidence interval for  $\beta_4$ . Practically interpret the result.

```
confint(fit_bdyimg, level=0.95)
```

```
##                2.5 %      97.5 %
## (Intercept) 12.4797837 15.54153425
## GENDER      -3.5224532 -0.85051691
## SELFESTM    -0.1203848  0.02450268
## BODYSAT     -0.6056281 -0.03903592
## IMPREAL      0.2415720  0.74463423
```

Answer:

From the R printout, the 95% confidence interval for  $\beta_4$  is (0.242, 0.745).

– Interpret the confidence interval for  $\beta_4$ .

We are 95% confident that the increase in mean desire for cosmetic surgery is between 0.242 and 0.745 for each unit increase in impression of reality TV, holding all other variables constant.

4.22(b) (10 pts) Find the confidence interval in R and interpret the confidence interval for  $E(y)$  for student 4.

```
new.data = data.frame(SELFESTM=22, BODYSAT=9, IMPREAL=4, GENDER=1)
```

```
CI = predict(fit_bdyimg, newdata=new.data, se.fit=TRUE,
             interval="confidence", level=.95)
```

```
CI$fit
```

```
##      fit      lwr      upr
## 1 9.840895 8.790836 10.89096
```

Answer:

The confidence interval is (8.79, 10.89). We are 95% confident that the mean desire to have cosmetic surgery is between 8.79 and 10.89 for males with a self-esteem of 22, body satisfaction of 9, and impression of reality TV of 4.

## Question 2. Arsenic in groundwater (Data set: ASWELLS)

```
### Import data and fit the MLR model
aswells = read.csv("STAT 3113 Data Sets/ASWELLS.csv")

aswells$DEPTH.FT=as.numeric(aswells$DEPTH.FT)
options(scipen=1)
```

```

fit_aswells = lm(ARSENIC ~ LATITUDE + LONGITUDE + DEPTH.FT,
                 data=aswells)

source("anova_alt.R")
anova_alt(fit_aswells)

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
##           Df          SS          MS          F          P
## Source      3    505770    168590    15.799    1.3078e-09
## Error     323    3446791     10671
## Total     326    3952562     12124

summary(fit_aswells)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = ARSENIC ~ LATITUDE + LONGITUDE + DEPTH.FT, data = aswells)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -134.41  -65.51  -26.85   27.05   469.32
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -86867.9174   31224.2677  -2.782   0.00572 **
## LATITUDE     -2218.7568    526.8165  -4.212  0.0000329 ***
## LONGITUDE     1542.1627    373.0721   4.134  0.0000455 ***
## DEPTH.FT      -0.3496     0.1566  -2.232   0.02628 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 103.3 on 323 degrees of freedom
## (1 observation deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.128, Adjusted R-squared:  0.1199
## F-statistic: 15.8 on 3 and 323 DF, p-value: 1.308e-09

```

(e) (10 pts) Interpret the values of  $R^2$  and  $R_a^2$ .

Answer:

- $R^2 = 0.1280$ . Interpretation: 12.8% of the total variation in the arsenic levels is explained by the regression model containing latitude, longitude, and depth.
- $R_a^2 = 0.1199$ . Interpretation: 11.99% of the total variation in the arsenic levels is explained by the regression model containing latitude, longitude, and depth, adjusted for the number of independent variables in the model and the sample size.

(g) (5 pts) Based on the results you got in HW 3 and HW 4 about this question, would you recommend using model to predict arsenic level? Explain.

Answer: Based on the results we got, this model is questionable. Even though the arsenic level is significantly related to the predictors, the  $R^2$  level is quite low. Only 12.8% of the variation in the arsenic level is explained by the model. In addition, the standard deviation is 103.3, which is quite large.

### Question 3. Cooling Method for Gas Turbines (Data set: GASTURBINE)

4.15(a) (5 pts) Write a first-order model for heat rate ( $y$ ) as a function of speed, inlet temperature, exhaust temperature, cycle pressure ratio, and air flow rate.

Answer:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_5 x_5 + \varepsilon,$$

where  $x_1$  is speed,  $x_2$  is inlet temperature,  $x_3$  is exhaust temperature,  $x_4$  is cycle pressure ratio, and  $x_5$  is air flow rate.

4.15(b) (5 pts) Fit the model to the data using the method of least squares.

```
### Import data and fit the MLR model
gasturbine = read.csv("STAT 3113 Data Sets/GASTURBINE.csv")
names(gasturbine)

## [1] "ENGINE"      "SHAFTS"      "RPM"          "CPRATIO"     "INLET.TEMP"
## [6] "EXH.TEMP"    "AIRFLOW"     "POWER"        "HEATRATE"

fit_gasturbine = lm(HEATRATE ~ RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP + CPRATIO + AIRFLOW, data=gasturbine)
anova_alt(fit_gasturbine)

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
##          Df          SS          MS          F          P
## Source   5 155055273 31011055 147.3 1.0671e-32
## Error    61 12841935  210524
## Total    66 167897208 2543897

summary(fit_gasturbine)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = HEATRATE ~ RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP + CPRATIO +
##     AIRFLOW, data = gasturbine)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -1007.0  -290.9  -105.8   240.8  1414.0
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 13614.46078   870.01294  15.649  < 2e-16 ***
## RPM          0.08879    0.01391   6.382 2.64e-08 ***
## INLET.TEMP   -9.20087    1.49920  -6.137 6.86e-08 ***
## EXH.TEMP     14.39385    3.46095   4.159 0.000102 ***
## CPRATIO      0.35190    29.55568   0.012 0.990539
## AIRFLOW     -0.84796    0.44211  -1.918 0.059800 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 458.8 on 61 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.9235, Adjusted R-squared:  0.9172
## F-statistic: 147.3 on 5 and 61 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Answer:

$$\hat{y} = 13614 + 0.0888x_1 - 9.2x_2 + 14.39x_3 + 0.4x_4 - 0.848x_5$$

4.15(e) (10 pts) Find the adjusted- $R^2$  value and interpret it.

Answer:  $R_a^2 = 0.9172$ .

Interpretation: 91.72% of the total variation in heat rates is explained by the model containing the 5 independent variables, adjusted for the number of predictors in the model and the sample size.

4.15(f) (10 pts) Is the overall model statistically useful at predicting head rate ( $y$ )? Test using  $\alpha = .01$ .

Answer:

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = 0$$

$$H_a : \text{At least one } \beta_i \neq 0$$

The  $p$ -value for the F-statistic is 0.000. Since the  $p$ -value is less than  $\alpha$ ,  $H_0$  is rejected. There is sufficient evidence to indicate that the model is useful in predicting the heat rate at  $\alpha = 0.01$ .

4.24(a) (5 pts) Find and interpret the 95% prediction interval for  $y$  in the words of the problem.

```
new.data = data.frame(RPM=7500, INLET.TEMP=1000, EXH.TEMP=525,
                      CPRATIO=13.5, AIRFLOW=10)

PI = predict(fit_gasturbine, newdata=new.data, se.fit = TRUE, interval="prediction", level = 0.95)

PI$fit

##          fit          lwr          upr
## 1 12632.53 11599.56 13665.49
```

Answer:

With 95% confidence we can predict that the heat rate level is between 11599.6, 13665.5 for RPM=7500, INLET.TEMP=1000, EXH.TEMP=525, CPRATIO=13.5, and AIRFLOW=10.

4.24(b) (5 pts) Find and interpret the 95% confidence interval for  $E(y)$  in the words of the problem.

```
CI = predict(fit_gasturbine, newdata=new.data, se.fit = TRUE, interval="confidence", level = 0.95)

CI$fit

##          fit          lwr          upr
## 1 12632.53 12157.93 13107.12
```

Answer:

With 95% confidence we can say that the mean heat rate level is between 12157.9 and 13107.11 for RPM=7500, INLET.TEMP=1000, EXH.TEMP=525, CPRATIO=13.5, and AIRFLOW=10.

4.24(c) (5 pts) Will the confidence interval for  $E(y)$  always be narrower than the prediction interval for  $y$ ? Explain.

Answer:

The confidence interval for  $E(y)$  will always be narrower than the corresponding prediction interval for a single point.

The variance for a single point includes the variation for locating the mean plus the variation of the  $y$  once the mean has been located. The variance for  $E(y)$  only includes the variation for locating the mean.