1. Background

· Net load fluctuations, defined as difference between demand and power output of OERs, causes uncertainty on nodal power injections.

2. AC-CCOPF in radial distribution networks

21 Definition of radial distribution system (using Lin Pist Flow model)

5 nodes: active/reactive power demand d_i^P and d_i^Q ; voltage magnitude $v_i \in [v_i^M]$ root node 0; non-root nodes $N^+ := N \setminus \{v_i^Q\}$, edges $i \in \mathcal{E}$ are indexed by N^+ . substation/DER nodes: active/reactive power generation gife [gi, min, gi, man] and gia e [gi, min, gi, man] ancestor mode Ai: |Ai|=1, \ti \in N^+

edges: resistance Ri; reautance X; apparent power flow limit Sim, iEE;

artive/reartiss power flows find fin, is E is the index of downstream node of edge i. Lin Dist Flow approximation: (di-gi)+ I fi = fi . PE (P,Q), Vie N (#)

u_{4i} - 2(fi Ri + fi Xi) = ui, Vi EN

unineusumos, ViEN; (f.) +(f.) = (Simos), ViEE

Chance Constraint OPF for Lin PixFlow

Define the uncertain net load injections as $d_i = d_i + \epsilon_i$, given forecast + normally distributed forecast error. e_i : mean $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_i)=u_i=0$, standard-deviation e_i , variance $Var(\epsilon_i)=e_i^2$. $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(d_i)=d_i$; ϵ_i^2 and ϵ_i^{∞} generators compensate for load deviations using affine control: $g_i^p = \overline{g_i^p} + d_i \widetilde{\epsilon}^p$; $g_i^a = \overline{g_i^a} + d_i \widetilde{\epsilon}^a$, $i \in G_i$, power transmission distribution factors (PTDF) A: aij=1 if line i is part of the path from root to bus j.

uncertain edge power flow: $f_i^P = f_i^P + d_{in}(\epsilon^1 - d\tilde{\epsilon}^P)$; $f_i^Q = f_i^Q + d_{in}(\epsilon^Q - d\tilde{\epsilon}^Q)^{\oplus}$

uncertain voltage magnitude squared: $u_i = u_{ii} - 2(R_i f_i^P + X_i f_i^Q) = u_i - 2a_{ii}^T (R_0 A(\epsilon^P - a\tilde{\epsilon}^P) + X_0 A(\epsilon^Q - a\tilde{\epsilon}^Q))$

Complete model: min $\mathbb{E}[f(g^{P}, \overline{g}^{Q}, \epsilon^{P}, \epsilon^{Q})]$

Chance Constraints $\begin{cases} P(g_i^{P, min} \leq g_i^{P} \leq g_i^{P, max}) \not\ni (I-2\eta_g), & \text{if } \in G_c \end{cases} \\ P(g_i^{Q, min} \leq g_i^{Q} \leq g_i^{Q, max}) \not\ni (I-2\eta_g), & \text{if } \in \mathcal{N} \end{cases}$ $P(u_i^{min} \leq u_i \leq u_i^{max}) \not\ni (I-2\eta_g), & \text{if } \in \mathcal{N}$

23 Expected Cost

[fp (g P, e P)] = \sum_{eq} [Giz \ar(\vec{e}') + Gi(gi))

2.4 Conic Reformulation of Chance Constraints

For normally distributed random variable $X \sim Norm(u, e^2)$, $P(X \leq x^{max}) > \eta$ holds if and only if $x^{max} \neq u + \geq \eta e$; $z_{\eta} = \phi^{-}(H\eta)$ is $(H\eta) - quantile$ of standard normal distribution $g_{i}^{1,max} \neq \mathbb{E}(g_{i}^{p}) + z_{\eta e} \sqrt{Var(g_{i}^{p})} = \overline{g}_{i}^{p} + z_{\eta e} \alpha_{i} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{p} Var(e_{j}^{p})}$, $p \in P(Q_{i}^{1}) - g_{i}^{p,min} \neq -g_{i}^{p} + z_{\eta e} \alpha_{i} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{p} Var(e_{j}^{p})}$ $u_{i}^{max} \neq u_{i} \sqrt{Var(u_{i})} \cdot - u_{i}^{min} \neq -u_{i} + z_{\eta e} \sqrt{Var(u_{i})}$ $v_{i}^{min} \neq u_{i} = u_{i} \cdot (Var(e_{i}^{p}) + u_{i}^{p} \cdot Var(e_{i}^{p})) + (\chi_{i}^{p} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} \cdot (Var(e_{j}^{n}) + u_{j}^{p} \cdot Var(e_{j}^{n})))$ (电形标识图)

3. Data-Driven DR-AC-CCOPF:在AC-CCOPF基础上加速能和DRO

The above formulations are under the assumption of perfect knowledge of the distribution of random variable (mean and variance). In this chapter, true distribution cannot be known. We can only inform by finite observations Redefine the AC-CCOPF obj: min max [Fx[fix,e)]. minimize the worst-case expectation based on the distributional uncertainty set.

3.1 Definition of Uncertainty Set

. $H(G) = \{\hat{\epsilon}_{ir}\}_{t \leq N}, \hat{\epsilon}_{i} \in N \text{ is the set of } N \text{ observed realizations of forecast error at bus } i.$

· Zero-mean, normally distributed=) distribution defined by sample variance: $\hat{G}_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \hat{G}_{i,i}^{2}$, $\hat{I} \in \mathcal{N}$

· The sample variance follows a Chi-Square (X) distribution -> define uncertainty set Us: =[\har{\epsilon} : -[\har{\epsilon} :]

where: $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{i,l} = \frac{N\hat{e}_i^2}{\chi_{\nu,(l+\epsilon)/2}^2}$, $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{i,h} = \frac{N\hat{e}_i^2}{\chi_{\nu,\epsilon/2}^2}$, $\chi_{N,\epsilon/2}^2$ is the Z-quantile of χ^2 -distribution (not symmetric)

 $h_i(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^l a_{ki} \left| \left(R_k^2 \sum_{j=1}^b a_{kj} (\hat{\zeta}_{j,h}^P + \alpha_j^2 \sum_{m=1}^b \hat{\zeta}_{m,h}^P) \right) \right|$

+ $\left(X_k^2 \sum_{i=1}^b a_{kj} (\hat{\zeta}_{j,h}^Q + \alpha_j^2 \sum_{m=1}^b \hat{\zeta}_{m,h}^Q)\right)$

3.2 Worst-Case Expectation

 $\sup_{G_i \in \mathcal{U}_{S_i}, i \in \mathcal{N}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | Var(\widetilde{E}^P) + (\overline{g}_i^P)^2) + G_i]}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2) + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2) + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | \widetilde{G}_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^2 | G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G_i (G_i^P)^2] + G_i}_{i \in \mathcal{G$

3.3 DR-AC-CCOPF model

 $u_i^{max} \ge \bar{u}_i + z_{\eta_v} 2\sqrt{h_i(\alpha)} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{N}$

 $-u_i^{min} \ge -\bar{u}_i + z_{\eta_v} 2\sqrt{h_i(\bar{\alpha})} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{N}$

$$\min_{\bar{g},\alpha,\bar{f},\bar{u}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}} \left[c_{i2}^P \left(\alpha_i^2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \hat{\zeta}_{i,h}^P + (\bar{g}_i^P)^2 \right) + c_{i1} \bar{g}_i^P + c_{i0}^P \right]$$
(22a)
$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}} \alpha_i = 1$$
(22b)
$$(\bar{d}_i^P - \bar{g}_i^P) + \sum_{j \in C_i} \bar{f}_j^P = \bar{f}_i^P \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{E}, p \in \{P, Q\} \quad (22c) \quad \text{ DEPTED}$$

$$\bar{u}_{\mathcal{A}_i} - 2(\bar{f}_i^P R_i + \bar{f}_i^Q X_i) = \bar{u}_i \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{N}^+ \quad (22d) \text{ PETED}$$

$$g_i^{p,max} \geq \bar{g}_i^p + z_{\eta_g} \alpha_i \quad \sum_{k=1}^b \hat{\zeta}_{i,h}^p \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{G}, p \in \{P, Q\} \quad \text{PETED}$$

$$-g_i^{p,min} \geq -\bar{g}_i^p + z_{\eta_g} \alpha_i \quad \sum_{k=1}^b \hat{\zeta}_{i,h}^p \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{G}, p \in \{P, Q\}$$

(22f)

(22g)

(22h)

41 Case Study
54.1 Uncertainty interval derivation: use true distribution to obtain $N=100$ error samples
Change X-distribution quantile & and obtain different uncertainty intervals and possible distributions.
4.2 In-Sample Evaluation: use true distribution to generate 750 random samples
Change nu, obtain different probabilities of voltage constraint violations and costs (trade-off)
4.3 Out-of-Sample Performance: use newly parameterized distributions supported by initial sample data to generate 750 samples
> new value of 6^2 , changed by parameter $6:6^2+0.28$, $6^2+0.55$, $6^2+0.88$.
Change no and E, get different probabities of voltage violations
Increase of 5 will lead to larger distance between DOS distribution and true distribution,
which will increase probability of voltage violations