**Aalto University
School of Electrical
Engineering**

Communication acoustics
Ch 13: Auditory modeling

Ville Pulkki, Marko Takanen, and Matti Karjalainen

Department of Signal Processing and Acoustics
Aalto University, Finland

September 27, 2017

Auditory models

- Auditory system can not be explained with mathematical expressions accurately
- E.g., the formula presented for loudness don't explain many phenomena in hearing
- DSP models of hearing have proven to be effective in modeling
- A large number of different types of auditory models have been developed
- We go through some basic versions of most-well-known models

This chapter

- Simple psychoacoustic models;
- Filter bank models;
- Cochlear models;
- Hair-cell models;
- Models for cognitive processing;
- Models of binaural interaction.

Simple psychoacoustic model with DFT

- Windowing with 25 ms Hamming window
- DFT (discrete Fourier transform)
- Power spectrum
- Magnitude response of ear canal and middle ear
- Spreading of excitation in frequency

Sine input

■ Power spectrum   Auditory spectrum

# White noise input

■ Power spectrum

Auditory spectrum

/a/ input

■ Power spectrum

Auditory spectrum

/s/ **input**

■ Power spectrum   Auditory spectrum

Mel cepstral coefficients

- Utilized widely in speech recognition
- Similar processing with DFT-based auditory models

Speech input

Pre-emphasis

Windowing

Power spectrum

Mel filter bank

$M = 20$

Discrete cosine transform

MFCC features

Mel filter bank, M=20

Amplitude

Frequency [Hz]

Shortcomings with DFT-based auditory models

- Time window forces equal time resolution at all frequencies, not realistic
- Forward masking and temporal integration not easy to model
- Level-dependent effects

Filter bank models

- Model cochlea by time-domain parallel filters
- Neural phase locking (half-wave rect, low-pass filter)
- Adaptation, temporal integration (e.g., loudness modeling)

Gamma-tone filter bank

- Often used in auditory modeling

Simple auditory model

```
%create of a gammatone filter bank using a command from the auditory
%modelling toolbox (http://amtoolbox.sourceforge.net)
[b,a] = gammatone(erbspacebw(fLow,fHigh),fs,'complex');

%processing the signal through the filter bank
filterOut = real(ufilterbankz(b,a,sample));

%emulation of the neural transduction with half-wave rectification and
%low-pass filtering of the filter bank output
rectified = filterOut.*(filterOut>0);

%a first-order IIR filter is used as the low-pass filter
beta = exp(-fCut/fs);
outSig = filter(1-beta,[1 -beta],rectified);
```

Shortcomings in simple filter-bank models

- Better approximation of time-frequency resolution than DFT models
- Time resolution may still be to coarse at high frequencies
- Response to short transients is too slow
- Level-dependent effects are missing

Dual-resonance filter bank

- Implements at least some level-dependent effects in cochlea
- Lower path broader, upper path narrower response

Modeling of neural adaptation

■ Serial feedback loops with division

half-wave
rectification
and low-pass

$\div$ — $\div$ — $\div$ →

t_1 t_2 t_3

post-
processing

input

time

output

time

Modeling basilar membrane

- 1D-2D-3D FEM, Transmission line models,
- Electric equivalent models with possible nonlinear components modeling outer hair cells
- Computationally very demanding, becoming more popular

Transmission-line model response to click train

- High-frequency response: peak + noise burst, simulates outer hair cell functionality

Modeling cells separately

- Processing the neurotransmitters in hair cell
- Analog: two pools of water connected

Periodicity analysis, functional model

- Assumes time-domain analysis of the pitch
- Sum autocorrelation function

Periodicity analysis, example

a) speech signal

b) filter bank outputs

c) normalized cochleograms

Sum autocorrelation

d) autocorrelation functions

Models of spatial hearing

- Spatial hearing is based on signal analysis in the brains
    - Decoding of monaural spectral cues
    - Decoding of binaural cues
    - Binaural detection of signals

- Distance perception, no models available

Delay-network-based models

- Signals from the ears meet in neurons, topographic mapping
- Most active output defines the azimuth (of confusion cone)

# Delay-network response to source in free field

- Speech source in 30°

Count-comparison models

- An estimate of left-right coordinate is computed
- Not topographic mapping, only computation of spatial information, "where is the source?"

a) b)

Difference between principles of binaural models

- The first neurons sensitive to ITD have different sensitivities assumed by the models

- Debate is going on. Some neurophysiological evidence that delay-lines exist in avians, and count-comparison mechanisms in mammals

Coincidence detection model — Activity level vs Horizontal sound source location (-90°, 0°, 90°)

Count-comparison model — Activity level vs Horizontal sound source location (-90°, 0°, 90°)

Applications of auditory models

- Audio coding
  - Psychoacoustic or perceptual models of masking
- Sound quality modeling
  - Modeling of perceived differences
  - Criteria for audio reproduction
  - Binaural audio quality
- Speech recognition
  - Advanced front-end models
- Advanced hearing aids
  - Cochlear implants

References

These slides follow corresponding chapter in: Pulkki, V. and Karjalainen, M. Communication Acoustics: An Introduction to Speech, Audio and Psychoacoustics. John Wiley & Sons, 2015, *where also a more complete list of references can be found.*

Aalto University
School of Electrical
Engineering

Auditory modeling
Pulkki
Dept Signal Processing and Acoustics

28/1
September 27, 2017