



Aalto University
School of Electrical
Engineering

Communication acoustics

Ch 19: Technical audiology

Ville Pulkki, Teemu Koski, Matti Karjalainen

*Department of Signal Processing and Acoustics
Aalto University, Finland*

October 12, 2017

Technical audiology

- What if we don't hear?
 - Why don't we hear? (mechanisms)
 - How to measure ? (audiometry)
 - How to improve hearing? (hearing instruments)
- Technical devices:
 - Audiometric equipment
 - Hearing aids
 - Cochlear implants

Hearing disorders and impairments

- Hearing thresholds...?
 - 0 dB HL (i.e., hearing level) is the normal hearing reference
 - = dB ref HL, i.e., in reference to the frequency specific hearing threshold of normal human auditory system (note the difference to dB SPL)
 - Deviations of this reference are called hearing threshold shifts
- Hearing loss is... (kuulonalenema / kuulovamma)
 - Degradation of hearing sensitivity
 - Quantified often in terms of hearing threshold shift
 - However, hearing thresholds do not tell everything!
 - Loss of hearing ability in some dimension

Technical audiology

- Categories of handicap
 - Disease (sairaus)
 - Impairment (vaurio)
 - Disability (toimintavajavuus)
 - Handicap (haitta)
- Hearing disorders: social classification
 - Hard-of-hearing persons (huonokuuloinen)
 - Deafened persons (kuuroutunut)
 - Deaf persons (kuuro)

Hearing degradation

- Hearing disabled population
 - WHO: 360 million people worldwide have a disabling hearing loss
 - ≈5 % of population
 - In Finland: ≈740 000 with hearing degradation 14 000 new hearing device fittings per year
- Effects of hearing degradation
 - Early language acquisition
 - Speech communication / Social impact
 - Listening comfort
 - Listening effort in communication
 - Music perception

Classification of impairments

- A common measure of hearing degradation
- Average of hearing threshold values at 500, 1000, 2000, 4000 Hz
 - Mild: 20–40 dB HL
 - Moderate: 40–70 dB HL
 - Severe: 70–95 dB HL
 - Profound: equal to or over 95 dB HL

Classification of impairments

- Conductive hearing loss
 - External and middle ear problems
- Sensorineural hearing loss
 - Inner ear and retrocochlear problems
- Central hearing loss
 - Higher neural levels
- Psychic hearing problems
 - No clear physiological reason

Conductive hearing loss

Sources of origin

- Blocked ear canal, tumor, or deformation
- Ear drum trauma
- Infection in the middle ear
- Mucous otitis media (glue ear, liimakorva)
- Otoclerosis (stiffening of ossicles, kuuloluuketjun jäykistyminen)
- Malfunction of the eustachion tube

Consequence: hearing threshold shift

Sensorineural hearing loss

Sources of origin:

- Excess noise exposure
- Age-related hearing loss (presbyacusis)
- Cancer, inborn hearing loss, head trauma
- Ototoxic substances

Consequences:

- Hearing threshold shift
- Decreased dynamic range
- Decreased frequency selectivity -> increased masking
- Tinnitus and hyperacusia

Central and psychic hearing problems

Central hearing loss


- Higher neural levels
- Problems in sound separation or speech analysis
- Slow vs. fast speech
- Problems in localization (spatial separation)
- Tinnitus

Psychic hearing problems


- No clear physiological reason

Effects of hearing impairments

Hearing threshold shift




Loud noise effect
(impulse noise)




Effect of age
(presbyacusis)

Outer hair cells in healthy cochlea









Adopted from Ruggero et al. (1997)

Sensorineural effects: recruitment



Adapted from Moore (2007)

Sensorineural effects: Decreased frequency selectivity



Inner hair cell damage

Outer hair cell partial
damage

Outer hair cell full damage

Adapted from Liberman and Dodds (1984)

Sensorineural effects: Decreased frequency selectivity

- Frequency selectivity decreases
- Critical bands broaden
- More energy to each critical bands
- Increased masking effect (even 10-12 dB!)
- Problems in sound source separation and speech intelligibility in noise/reverberation
- Speech communication problems
- Larger signal-to-noise ratio needed

Tinnitus and hyperacusia

Tinnitus

- Sinusoidal tone, hum, broadband noise, pulsation, etc.
- Source can be at different levels
 - Basilar instability
 - Neural phantom sound
- No cure known
- Treatments available, results are mixed
 - Tinnitus maskers
 - Tinnitus Retraining Therapy (TRT)

Hyperacusia



- oversensitivity to sound

Noise

Noise = harmful or disturbing sound

- Harmfulness
 - Risk of hearing loss
- Disturbance
 - e.g., decrease in work efficiency
- Annoyance
 - A more subjective concept
- Subjective handicaps can have further indirect consequences
Psychic or physical

Temporary vs. permanent threshold shift



Adapted from Miller (1974)

Noise as a cause of hearing loss


Noise measurement, A-weighted equivalent level

$$L_{\text{eq}} = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\sum \Delta t_i 10^{L_i/10}}{T}$$

Other factors:


- Vibration
- Smoking
- Genetic effects
- Combined = often more than their sum

Hearing protectors




a)

Ear plugs



b)

Ear muffs



c)

Attenuation


Adapted from Toivanen (1976)

Pure-tone audiometry



Audiometer and calibrated headphones

Pure-tone audiometry




Speech audiometry

- Testing of speech intelligibility
 - This is the major problem so why not test it?
- Signal: words or sentences
- In silence or with background noise (=masker)
- Measures such as:
 - Speech-recognition threshold (SRT)
 - Percent-intelligibility

Sound-field audiometry

- Loudspeakers instead of headphones
- Overcomes problems with headphones:
 - Acoustic coupling btw headphone and ear is somewhat unpredictable
 - Hearing aids dont generally have microphones in ear canal
 - Listening scenario is more natural
 - Spatial aspects of sound
 - Real-world representative results?
- However:
 - More expensive, more complex

Sound-field audiometry




Adapted from Rychtarikova (2011)

Sound-field audiometry





Minnaar et al. (2010)

Sound-field audiometry



Koski et al. (2013)

Ear drum impedance measurement



- A = normal middle ear function,
- As (for A-shallow) = stiffened middle ear system,
- Ad (for A-deep) = flaccid eardrum,
- B = fluid in the middle ear or perforation of the eardrum,
- C = negative pressure in the middle ear.

Campbell and Mullin (2012)

Hearing aid types



a)



b)




c)

- (a) behind-the-ear (BTE) hearing aid
- (b) in-the-ear (ITE) hearing aid
- (c) completely-in-the-canal (CIC) hearing aid



Signal processing in hearing aids

- Match the device with the individual needs of the user
- Different processing in each frequency band
 - Amplification
 - Compression
 - Limiting
- Noise suppression


Gain control



Hearing aid gain control




Hearing aid output waveforms



Other features in hearing aids

- Directional microphones
 - Fixed or adaptive beam
- Noise cancellation
- Wind noise cancellation
- Feedback cancellation
- Speech enhancement, blind source separation
- Binaural processing
- Hearing aid + FM-transmitter
- Pre-set modes for different situations

Cochlear implants



Adapted from National Institutes of Health (2014)

Sound processing in cochlear implants

Continuous interleaved sampling (CIS)

- Division to frequency bands
- Amplitude envelope extraction
- Compression and low-pass filtering
- Each channel is used to modulate a pulse train
(One pulse train signal per electrode contact)

Pulses are interleaved in time

- Minimum interference between channels
- Unfortunately: reduction of temporal information


Hearing performance in cochlear implants

- Sound quality is significantly degraded
- High individual variations on hearing performance
- Phone conversation usually OK
- Bilateral implantation gives some spatial hearing
 - ILD's are available
 - ITD's are not generally available
 - Envelope ITD's may be used
 - Better speech intelligibility in noise

Cochlear implants


- ≈ 324 200 units fitted worldwide (Dec. 2012)
- For deafened adults and deaf-born children
 - Language acquisition issues, brain plasticity
- Price about 21000-27500 € per implant (2010)
- Also hybrid implants
 - Cochlear implant in high frequencies + hearing aid in low frequencies

Bone-conduction devices



©Cochlear

Bone-conduction devices




©Cochlear

Acoustic implant versions



©Cochlear

Acoustic implant versions



©Cochlear

References

These slides follow corresponding chapter in: Pulkki, V. and Karjalainen, M. Communication Acoustics: An Introduction to Speech, Audio and Psychoacoustics. John Wiley & Sons, 2015, where also a more complete list of references can be found.

References used in figures:

- Campbell, K.C.M. and Mullin, G. (2012) Impedance audiometry. Medscape reference. <http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1831254-overview>.
- Koski, T., Sivonen, V., and Pulkki, V. (2013, October). Measuring speech intelligibility in noisy environments reproduced with parametric spatial audio. In Audio Engineering Society Convention 135. Audio Engineering Society.
- Liberman, M.C. and Dodds, L.W. (1984) Single-neuron labeling and chronic cochlear pathology. III. Stereocilia damage and alterations of threshold tuning curves. Hearing Res., 16(1), 55–74.
- Miller, J.D. (1974) Effects of noise on people. J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 56(3), 729–764.
- Minnaar, P., Favrot, S., and Buchholz, J. M. (2010). Improving hearing aids through listening tests in a virtual sound environment. The Hearing Journal, 63(10), 40-42.
- Moore, B.C. (2007) Cochlear Hearing Loss: Physiological, psychological and technical issues. John Wiley & Sons.
- National Institutes of Health (2014) Nih publication no. 11-4798. <http://www.nidcd.nih.gov/health/hearing/pages/coch.aspx>.
- Ruggero, M.A., Rich, N.C., Recio, A., Narayan, S.S., and Robles, L. (1997) Basilar-membrane responses to tones at the base of the chinchilla cochlea. J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 101(4), 2151–2163.
- Rychtarikova, M., Van den Bogaert, T., Vermeir, G., and Wouters, J. (2011). Perceptual validation of virtual room acoustics: Sound localisation and speech understanding. Applied Acoustics, 72(4), 196-204.
- Toivanen, J. (1976) Teknillinen akustiikka. Otakustantamo, Espoo.