# Algorithmic Foundations 2 - Tutorial Sheet 2 Predicate Logic and Sets

### **Predicates and Quantifiers**

- 1. Suppose P(x,y) is the statement  $x+2\cdot y=x\cdot y$ , where the universe of discourse for both x and y is the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . What are the truth values of
  - (a) P(1,-1)

**Solution:** true - since  $1 + 2 \cdot (-1) = -1 = 1 \cdot (-1)$ .

(b) P(0,0)

**Solution:** true - since 0 + 2.0 = 0 = 0.0.

(c) P(2,1)

Solution: false - since  $2 + 2 \cdot (1) = 4 \neq 2 = 1 \cdot 2$ .

- 2. Suppose that Q(x) is the statement  $x+1=2\cdot x$ . What are the truth values of
  - (a) Q(2)

Solution: false - since  $2+1=3\neq 4=2\cdot 2$ .

(b)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}. Q(x)$ 

**Solution:** false - since, for example if x=2, then  $2+1=3\neq 4=2\cdot 2$ .

(c)  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}. Q(x)$ 

**Solution:** true - since, for example taking x=1 we have  $1+1=2=2\cdot 1$ .

- 3. Let P(m,n) be the statement  $n \geq m$ . What is the truth value of
  - (a)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. P(0, n)$

**Solution:** true - all natural numbers are greater than or equal to 0.

(b)  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. P(m, n)$ 

**Solution:** false - there is no largest natural number. For example, for any natural number n, letting m = n+1 we have  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and P(m,n) does not hold.

(c)  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. P(m, n)$ 

**Solution:** true - for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  letting n = m+1 we have  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and P(m,n) holds.

4. Suppose S is the set of all students, C is the set of all courses, and we are given the following list of predicates:

- H(y): x is an honours course;
- C(x): x is a CS course;
- S(x): x is a second-year;
- P(x): x is a part-time student;
- F(x): x is a full-time student;
- T(x,y): x is taking course y.
- 5. Write each of the following statements using these predicates and quantifiers where necessary.
  - (a) "Sarah is taking AF2"

Solution: T(Sarah, AF2)

(b) "all students are second-years"

Solution:  $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}. S(x)$ 

(c) "every second-year is a full-time student"

Solution:  $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}. (S(x) \to F(x))$ 

(d) "no CS course is an honours course"

Solution:  $\forall y \in \mathcal{C}. (C(y) \rightarrow \neg H(y)).$ 

Alternative (and equivalent) solutions include  $\forall y \in \mathcal{C}. \neg (C(y) \land H(y))$  and  $\neg \exists y \in \mathcal{C}. (C(y) \land H(y))$ .

(e) "every student is taking at least one course"

Solution:  $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}. \exists y \in \mathcal{C}. T(x, y)$ 

(f) "there is a part-time student who is not taking any CS course"

**Solution:**  $\exists x \in \mathcal{S}. \forall y \in \mathcal{C}. (P(x) \land (C(y) \rightarrow \neg T(x, y)))$  or alternatively  $\exists x \in \mathcal{S}. (P(x) \land \forall y \in \mathcal{C}. (C(y) \rightarrow \neg T(x, y)))$ 

(g) "every part-time second-year is taking some honours course"

**Solution:**  $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}. \exists y \in \mathcal{C}. ((P(x) \land S(x)) \rightarrow (H(y) \land T(x,y)))$  or alternatively  $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}. ((P(x) \land S(x)) \rightarrow \exists y \in \mathcal{C}. (H(y) \land T(x,y)))$ 

- 6. Using the predicates from the previous question, write each of the following in good English without using variables in your answers.
  - (a) S(Helen)

Solution: "Helen is a second-year student"

(b)  $\neg \exists y \in \mathcal{C}. T(Joe, y)$ 

**Solution:** "Joe is not taking any course"

(c)  $\exists x \in \mathcal{S}. (P(x) \land \neg S(x))$ 

Solution: "some part-time students are not second-years"

(d)  $\exists x \in \mathcal{S}. \forall y \in \mathcal{C}. T(x, y)$ 

**Solution:** "some student is taking every course"

(e)  $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}. \exists y \in \mathcal{C}. ((F(x) \land S(x)) \rightarrow (C(y) \land T(x,y)))$ 

Solution: "every full-time second year is taking a CS course"

7. Explain why the negation of "Some students in my class use e-mail" is not "Some students in my class do not use e-mail".

**Solution:** Short answer: both statements can be true at the same time. Longer answer: the negation is "all students in my class do not use e-mail" which is not the same as saying "some students in my class do not use e-mail".

- 8. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all sets and consider the following predicates:
  - F(x): x is a finite set;
  - I(x): x is an infinite set;
  - S(x,y): x is contained in y;
  - E(x): x is the emptyset.

Translate the following into logical expressions:

(a) "not all sets are finite"

**Solution:**  $\exists x \in \mathcal{S}. \neg F(x) \text{ or } \exists x \in \mathcal{S}. I(x)$ 

(b) "every subset of a finite set is finite"

**Solution:**  $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}. \forall y \in \mathcal{S}. ((F(y) \land S(x,y)) \rightarrow F(x))$ 

(c) "no infinite set can be contained in a finite set"

**Solution:**  $\neg \exists x \in \mathcal{S}. \exists y \in \mathcal{S}. (I(x) \land F(y) \land S(x,y))$ An alternatively would be  $\forall x \in \mathcal{S}. (I(x) \rightarrow \neg (\exists y \in \mathcal{S}. (F(y) \land S(x,y))))$ 

Below is a proof showing these two formulae are logically equivalent:

```
 \forall x \in \mathcal{S}. \left( I(x) \to \neg (\exists y \in \mathcal{S}. \left( F(y) \land S(x,y) \right) \right) )  implication law  \equiv \forall x \in \mathcal{S}. \left( \neg I(x) \lor \neg (\exists y \in \mathcal{S}. \left( F(y) \land S(x,y) \right) \right) )  De Morgan law  \equiv \neg \exists x \in \mathcal{S}. \neg \neg (I(x) \land (\exists y \in \mathcal{S}. \left( F(y) \land S(x,y) \right) \right) )  negation law  \equiv \neg \exists x \in \mathcal{S}. \left( I(x) \land (\exists y \in \mathcal{S}. \left( F(y) \land S(x,y) \right) \right) )  double negation law  \equiv \neg \exists x \in \mathcal{S}. \exists y \in \mathcal{S}. \left( I(x) \land F(y) \land S(x,y) \right) )  since y does not appear in I(x)
```

(d) "the empty set is a subset of every finite set"

```
Solution: \forall x \in \mathcal{S}. \forall y \in \mathcal{S}. ((E(x) \land F(y)) \rightarrow S(x,y))
```

# Difficult/challenging questions (Predicate Logic).

9. A statement is in *prenex normal form* when it is of the form:

$$\nabla_1 x_1 \cdot \nabla_2 x_2 \dots \nabla_n x_n \cdot P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

where  $\nabla_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is a predicate involving no quantifiers. For example we have that  $\exists x. \forall y. (P(x, y) \lor Q(y))$  is in prenex normal form, while  $\forall x. P(x) \land \exists y. Q(y)$  is not. Using the rules for logical equivalence write the following formulae in prenex normal form.

(a)  $\exists x. P(x) \lor \exists x. Q(x) \lor R$  where R is a propositional formula, containing no variables or quantifiers;

**Solution:** Changing the variable x to y in the subforumula  $\exists x. Q(x)$  we have:

$$\exists x. P(x) \lor \exists x. Q(x) \lor R \equiv \exists x. P(x) \lor \exists y. Q(y) \lor R$$
$$\equiv \exists x. \exists y. (P(x) \lor Q(y) \lor R)$$

since y does not appear free in  $\exists x. P(x), x$  does not appear free in  $\exists y. Q(y)$  and neither x nor y appear free in R.

(b)  $\neg(\forall x. P(x) \lor \forall x. Q(x))$ 

**Solution:** Changing the variable x to y in the subforumula  $\forall x. Q(x)$  we have:

$$\neg(\forall x. P(x) \lor \forall x. Q(x)) \equiv \neg(\forall x. P(x) \lor \forall y. Q(y))$$
 
$$\equiv \neg \forall x. P(x) \land \neg \forall y. Q(y)$$
 De Morgan law 
$$\equiv \neg \forall x. \neg \neg P(x) \land \neg \forall y. \neg \neg Q(y)$$
 double negation law (twice) 
$$\equiv \exists x. \neg P(x) \land \exists y. \neg Q(y)$$
 quantifier law 
$$\equiv \exists x. \exists y. (\neg P(x) \land \neg Q(y))$$

since y does not appear free in  $\forall x. \neg P(x)$  and x does not appear free in  $\exists y. \neg Q(y)$ .

(c)  $\exists x. P(x) \rightarrow \exists x. Q(x)$ 

**Solution:** Changing the variable x to y in the second sub-forumula we have:

$$\exists x. \, P(x) \to \exists x. \, Q(x) \equiv \exists x. \, P(x) \to \exists y. \, Q(y)$$
 implication law 
$$\equiv \neg \exists x. \, P(x) \vee \exists y. \, Q(y)$$
 double negation law 
$$\equiv \forall x. \, \neg P(x) \vee \exists y. \, Q(y)$$
 quantifier law 
$$\equiv \forall x. \, \exists y. \, (\neg P(x) \vee Q(y))$$

since y does not appear free in  $\forall x. \neg P(x)$  and x does not appear free in  $\exists y. Q(y)$ .

# Sets and Set Operations

10. List the members of the following sets (recall that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers and  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers).

(a)  $\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z} \land x^2 = 5\}$ 

Solution:  $\emptyset$ 

(b)  $\{5 \cdot x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z} \land (-2 \le x \le 2)\}$ 

**Solution:**  $\{-10, -5, 0, 5, 10\}$ 

(c)  $\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \land x^2 \in \{1, 4, 9\}\}$ 

**Solution:**  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ 

(d)  $\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z} \land x^2 \in \{1, 4, 9\}\}$ 

**Solution:**  $\{-3, -2, -1, 1, 2, 3\}$ 

- 11. Use set builder notation to give a description of each of the following sets.
  - (a)  $\{0, 3, 6, 9, 12\}$

**Solution:**  $\{3 \cdot x \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \land 0 \le x \le 4\}$ 

(b)  $\{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ 

**Solution:**  $\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z} \land -3 \le x \le 3\}$ 

(c)  $\{1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49\}$ 

6

Solution:  $\{x^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \land 1 \le x \le 7\}$ 

12. Suppose  $A = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $B = \{b, \{c\}\}$ . Mark each of the following true or false.

(a)  $\{a, c\} \in A$ 

**Solution:** false  $(\{a,c\})$  is actually a strict subset of A, i.e.  $\{a,b\} \subset A$ 

(b)  $\{c\} \subseteq B$ 

**Solution:** false (actually we have  $\{c\} \in B$ )

(c)  $B \subseteq A$ 

**Solution:** false (for example,  $\{c\} \in B$  and  $\{c\} \notin A$ )

(d)  $\{b,c\} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ 

**Solution:** true (b and c are elements of A, and hence  $\{b, c\}$  is a subset of A)

(e)  $\{\{a\}\}\subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ 

**Solution:** true ( $\{a\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  so the set containing  $\{a\}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ )

(f)  $\{b, \{c\}\} \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ 

Solution: true (since a set is element of its powerset)

(g)  $\{\{\{c\}\}\}\}\subseteq \mathcal{P}(B)$ 

**Solution:** true  $(\{c\} \in B \text{ implies } \{\{c\}\}\} \in \mathcal{P}(B) \text{ which implies } \{\{\{c\}\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(B))$ 

(h)  $|\mathcal{P}(A \times B)| = 32$ 

**Solution:** false  $(|A \times B| = 3.2 = 6 \text{ so the power set is of size } 2^6 = 64)$ 

(i)  $\{a, b\} \in A \times A$ 

**Solution:** false (the set  $A \times A$  contains ordered pair, but  $\{a, b\}$  is the set containing the elements a and b)

(j)  $\varnothing \subseteq A \times A$ 

**Solution:** true (the emptyset is a subset of any set - to prove a set A is a subset of B we need to show any element of A is in B, when A is the empty set this holds vacuously as there are no elements in A)

(k)  $(c,c) \in A \times A$ 

Solution: true - since  $c \in A$ 

- 13. Prove that  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$  by giving
  - (a) a containment proof;

**Solution:** First we show  $A \cap (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ . Considering any  $x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$ , by definition of intersection we have:

$$x \in A \cap (B \cup C) \implies x \in A \text{ and } x \in B \cup C$$

 $\Rightarrow x \in A$  and either  $x \in B$  or  $x \in C$  by definition of union

 $\Rightarrow$  either  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$ , or  $x \in A$  and  $x \in C$ 

rearranging

 $\Rightarrow$  either  $x \in A \cap B$  or  $x \in A \cap C$ 

by definition of intersection

 $\Rightarrow x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ 

by definition of union

and hence, since  $x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$  was arbitrary, we have  $A \cap (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$  as required.

To complete the proof we show  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) \subseteq A \cap (B \cup C)$ . Considering any  $x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ , by definition of union we have:

$$x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) \Rightarrow \text{ either } x \in A \cap B \text{ or } x \in A \cap C$$

 $\Rightarrow$  either  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$ , or  $x \in A$  and  $x \in C$  by definition of intersection

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \text{ and either } x \in B \text{ or } x \in C$ 

rearranging

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \text{ and } x \in B \cup C$ 

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \cap (B \cup C)$ 

by definition of union by definition of intersection

1.1 - (A o D) + (A

and hence, since  $x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$  was arbitrary, we have  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) \subseteq A \cap (B \cup C)$  completing the proof.

(b) an element table proof;

#### Solution:

A	B	C	$A \cap B$	$A \cap C$	$B \cup C$	$A \cap (B \cup C)$	$(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Each set has the same values in the element table: the value is 1 if and only if A has the value 1 and either B or C has the value 1.

(c) a proof using logical equivalence.

#### Solution:

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = \{x \mid x \in A \cap (B \cup C)\}$$
 by definition 
$$= \{x \mid (x \in A) \land (x \in (B \cup C))\}$$
 by definition of  $\cap$  by definition of  $\cup$  by d

14. Prove or disprove:  $A-(B\cap C)=(A-B)\cup (A-C)$ .

**Solution:** Proof. By definition of set difference:

$$\begin{array}{ll} A - (B \cap C) = & A \cap (\overline{B \cap C}) \\ &= & A \cap (\overline{B} \cup \overline{C}) \\ &= & (A \cap \overline{B}) \cup (A \cap \overline{C}) \\ &= & (A - B) \cup (A - C) \end{array} \qquad \text{definition of set difference}$$

15. Prove or disprove:  $A-(B \cap C) = (A-B) \cap (A-C)$ .

**Solution:** false - for example, if  $A=\{1,2\},\ B=\{1\},\ C=\{2\},$  then  $A-(B\cap C)=A$  while  $(A-B)\cap (A-C)=\varnothing$ 

16. Prove or disprove:  $A \oplus (B \oplus C) = (A \oplus B) \oplus C$ .

**Solution:** true - this is easiest with a membership table showing each set has the same values: the value is 1 if and only if exactly one of A, B and C has the value 1, or all three have value 1.

Proving with the other methods is possible, but is more involved.

17. Let  $A_i = \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , find  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$  and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Solution:** We have  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = A_n$  and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i = \{1\}$ 

- 18. Mark each of the following true or false:
  - (a) A (B C) = (A B) C

**Solution:** false - for example, consider  $A=B=\{a,b\}$  and  $C=\{b\}$ , then  $A-(B-C)=\{a,b\}-\{a\}=\{b\}$  while  $(A-B)-C=\varnothing-\{b\}=\varnothing$ 

(b) (A-C)-(B-C) = A-B

**Solution:** false - for example, take  $A=\{a\},\ B=\{b\}$  and  $C=\{a,b\},$  then  $(A-C)-(B-C)=\varnothing-\varnothing=\varnothing$  while  $A-B=\{a\}$ 

(c)  $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ 

**Solution:** true - below is a containment proof:

First we show  $A \cup (B \cap C) \subseteq (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ . Therefore, considering any  $x \in A \cup (B \cap C)$ , by definition of union:

$$x \in A \cup (B \cap C) \implies x \in A \text{ or } x \in B \cap C$$

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \text{ or both } x \in B \text{ and } x \in C$  by definition of intersection

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \text{ or } x \in B, \text{ and } x \in A \text{ or } x \in C$  rearranging

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \cup B$ , and  $x \in A \cup C$  by definition of union

 $\Rightarrow x \in (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$  by definition of intersection

and, since  $x \in A \cup (B \cap C)$  was arbitrary, we have  $A \cup (B \cap C) \subseteq (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$  are required.

To complete the proof we show  $(A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C) \subseteq A \cup (B \cap C)$ . Considering any  $x \in (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ , definition of intersection we have:

$$x \in (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C) \implies x \in A \cup B$$
, and  $x \in A \cup C$ 

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \text{ or } x \in B, \text{ and } x \in A \text{ or } x \in C$  by definition of union

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \text{ or both } x \in B \text{ and } x \in C$  rearranging

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \text{ or } x \in B \cap C$  by definition of intersection

 $\Rightarrow x \in A \cup (B \cap C)$  by definition of union.

Hence, since  $x \in (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$  was arbitrary, we have  $(A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C) \subseteq A \cup (B \cap C)$  completing the proof.

(d)  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ 

**Solution:** false - for example, if  $A = \{a\}$  and  $B = C = \{b\}$ , then  $A \cap (B \cup C) = \{a\} \cap \{b\} = \emptyset$  while  $(A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C) = \{a,b\} \cap \{a,b\} = \{a,b\}$ 

(e) If  $A \cup C = B \cup C$ , then A = B

**Solution:** false - for example, consider  $A = \{a\}, B = \{b\}$  and  $C = \{a, b\}$ 

(f) If  $A \cap C = B \cap C$ , then A = B

**Solution:** false - for example, consider  $A = \{a, c\}, B = \{b, c\}$  and  $C = \{c\}$ 

(g) If  $A \cap B = A \cup B$ , then A = B

**Solution:** true. Below we give a containment proof showing A = B using the

hypothesis  $A \cap B = A \cup B$ . First we show  $A \subseteq B$ , by definition of union we have:

$$x \in A \Rightarrow x \in A \cup B$$
  
 $\Rightarrow x \in A \cap B$  by the hypothesis  
 $\Rightarrow x \in B$  by the definition of intersection

and hence  $A \subseteq B$ .

To complete the proof we show  $B \subseteq A$ . Considering any  $x \in B$ , by definition of union we have:

$$x \in B \Rightarrow x \in A \cup B$$
  
 $\Rightarrow x \in A \cap B$  by the hypothesis  
 $\Rightarrow x \in A$  by the definition of intersection

and hence  $B \subseteq A$  completing the proof.

(h) If  $A \oplus B = A$ , then A = B

**Solution:** false - for example, if 
$$A=\{a\}$$
 and  $B=\varnothing$ , then  $A\oplus B=(A-B)\cup(B-A)=\{a\}\cup\varnothing=\{a\}$ 

(i) there is a set A such that |P(A)| = 12

**Solution:** false - from the lectures we have that the size of the power set equals  $2^n$  where n is the size of the set

(j)  $A \oplus A = A$ 

**Solution:** false - for example, if 
$$A = \{a\}$$
, then  $A \oplus A = (A - A) \cup (A - A) = \emptyset \cup \emptyset = \emptyset$ .

We actually have that  $A \oplus A = \emptyset$  for all sets A. Below is a proof of this fact using using set comprehension and logical equivalences.

$$A \oplus A = \{x \mid x \in A \oplus A\} \qquad \text{by definition}$$

$$= \{x \mid x \in (A-A) \cup (A-A)\} \qquad \text{by definition of symmetric difference}$$

$$= \{x \mid (x \in A-A) \vee (x \in A-A)\} \qquad \text{by definition of } \cup$$

$$= \{x \mid x \in A-A\} \qquad \text{idempotent law}$$

$$= \{x \mid (x \in A) \wedge (x \not\in A)\} \qquad \text{by definition of set difference}$$

$$= \{x \mid (x \in A) \wedge \neg (x \in A)\} \qquad \text{by definition of negation}$$

$$= \{x \mid \text{false}\} \qquad \text{contradiction law}$$

$$= \varnothing$$

19. Prove or disprove:  $A \oplus (B \oplus C) = (A \oplus B) \oplus C$ .

**Solution:** true - this is easiest with a membership table showing each set has the same values: the value is 1 if and only if exactly one of A, B and C has the value 1, or all three have value 1.

Proving with the other methods is possible, but is more involved.

20. Suppose that A, B and C are sets such that  $A \oplus C = B \oplus C$ , does it follow that A = B.

**Solution:** The answer is yes. We will prove using a containment proof.

First we show  $A \subseteq B$ . Considering any  $x \in A$  we split the proof into the following two cases.

- If  $x \in C$ , then by definition of set difference  $x \notin A \oplus C$ , and hence since  $A \oplus C = B \oplus C$  it follows that  $x \notin B \oplus C$ . Now since  $x \in C$  and  $x \notin B \oplus C$ , by definition of set difference it must be the case that  $x \in B$ .
- If  $x \notin C$ , then by definition of set difference  $x \in A \oplus C$ , and hence since  $A \oplus C = B \oplus C$  it follows that  $x \in B \oplus C$ . Now since  $x \notin C$  and  $x \in B \oplus C$ , by definition of set difference it must be the case that  $x \in B$ .

Since these are all the cases to consider ot follows that  $x \in B$  and  $A \subseteq B$ .

The proof that  $B \subseteq A$  follows similarly, and therefore we have that A = B.