Suppose we have the following predicates:

- P(x): x is prime
- E(x): x is even
- G(x,y): x>y
- Eq(x,y): x=y
- S(x, y, z) : x + y = z

Express the following formula in good English (do not use variables and avoid the use of "there exists" and "for all").

•  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . G(y, x)$ 

There is an integer greater than all integers.

•  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . \exists y \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . \exists z \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . ((E(x) \land G(x,3)) \rightarrow (P(y) \land P(z) \land S(y,z,x))$ 

Any even positive integer greater than 3 can be expressed as the sum of two primes (this is the Goldbach conjecture).

•  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . ((\neg G(x, y) \land \neg G(y, x)) \rightarrow Eq(x, y))$ 

Given any two positive integers, if neither is greater than the other then they are equal.

Suppose we have the following predicates:

• P(x): x is prime

• E(x): x is even

• G(x,y): x>y

• S(x, y, z) : x + y = z

• T(x, y, z):  $x \cdot y = z$ 

Express the following English statements in logical formulae using the predicates given above over the domain of discourse  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ .

• Given any two positive integers there exists another positive integer which equals their product.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \exists z \in \mathbb{Z}^+. T(x, y, z)$$

• There is no largest prime.

Two possible solutions are:  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . G(y,x) and  $\neg \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $(\neg Eq(x,y) \to G(x,y))$ , other solutions are possible.

• If a positive integer is not prime, it is composite.

One possible solution is:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+. (\neg P(x) \to \exists y \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \exists z \in \mathbb{Z}^+. (G(y, 1) \land G(z, 1) \land T(y, z, x))$$

other solutions are possible.

**Note.** A positive number is composite if it equals the product of two positive integers both greater than 1.

Prove that  $A \cap (B \cup A) = A$  using a containment proof. Explain your steps.

First we show  $A \cap (B \cup A) \subseteq A$ , therefore consider any  $x \in A \cap (A \cup B)$ , by definition of intersection we have:

$$x \in A \cap (A \cup B) \Rightarrow x \in A \text{ and } x \in A \cup B$$
  
  $\Rightarrow x \in A$ 

and hence, since  $x \in A \cap (A \cup B)$  was arbitrary, we have  $A \cap (B \cup A) \subseteq A$ .

For the reverse direction, i.e. showing  $A \subseteq A \cap (B \cup A)$ , consider any  $x \in A$ , we have:

$$x \in A \Rightarrow x \in A \text{ and } x \in A$$
  
 $\Rightarrow x \in A \text{ and } x \in A \cup B$  by definition of union  
 $\Rightarrow x \in A \cap (A \cup B)$  by definition of intersection

since  $x \in A$  was arbitrary, we have  $A \subseteq A \cap (B \cup A)$  completing the proof.

Prove  $(A \setminus C) \cup (B \setminus C) = (A \cup B) \setminus C$  using set builder notation and logical equivalences. Explain your steps.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (A-C)\cup (B-C) &= \{x\,|\,x\in (A-C)\cup (B-C)\}\\ &= \{x\,|\,(x\in A-C)\vee (x\in B-C)\} & \text{definition of union}\\ &= \{x\,|\,((x\in A)\wedge (x\not\in C))\vee ((x\in B)\wedge (x\not\in C))\} & \text{defn. of set difference}\\ &= \{x\,|\,((x\not\in C)\wedge (x\in A))\vee ((x\not\in C)\wedge (x\in B))\} & \text{commutative law}\\ &= \{x\,|\,(x\not\in C)\wedge ((x\in A))\vee (x\in B))\} & \text{distributive law}\\ &= \{x\,|\,((x\in A))\vee (x\in B))\wedge (x\not\in C)\} & \text{commutative law}\\ &= \{x\,|\,((x\in A\cup B))\wedge (x\not\in C)\} & \text{defn. of union}\\ &= \{x\,|\,x\in (A\cup B)-C\} & \text{defn. of set difference}\\ &= (A\cup B)-C & \text{defn. of set difference} \end{array}$$