2C Intro to real analysis 2020/21

Feedback and solutions

Q1 Let $f: \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{3}{2}\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x) = \frac{x^2 + x + 2}{2x - 3}$. Show, directly from the definition, that f is continuous at 2.

This question is similar to a load of examples we've done in lectures, but it was one of those questions where you have to be careful with what value of r you use with |x - 2| < r.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. We have

$$|f(x) - f(2)| = \left| \frac{x^2 + x + 2}{2x - 3} - 8 \right| = \left| \frac{x^2 - 15x + 26}{2x - 3} \right| = \frac{|x - 2||x - 13|}{|2x - 3|}.$$

Now, we have

$$|x-2| < \frac{1}{4} \implies -\frac{1}{4} < x - 2 < \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{2} < 2x - 3 < \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{2} < |2x - 3| < \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{2} < |2x - 3| < \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{|2x - 3|} < 2.$$

Also,

$$|x-2| < \frac{1}{4} \implies -\frac{1}{4} < x - 2 < \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\implies -\frac{45}{4} < x - 13 < -\frac{43}{4}$$

$$\implies |x - 13| < \frac{45}{4}.$$

Take $\delta = \min(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{2\varepsilon}{45})$. Then for $|x - 2| < \delta$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(2)| = \frac{|x - 13|}{|2x - 3|}|x - 2| \le \frac{45}{2}|x - 2| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, f is continuous at 2.

Why did I choose $|x-2| < \frac{1}{4}$? I could have started with

$$|x-2| < 1 \implies -1 < x-2 < 1 \implies -1 < 2x-3 < 3.$$
 (1)

The problem is that if 2x - 3 lies in the interval [-1, 3], then we could have 2x - 3 = 0, so that all we can deduce from (1) is that ¹

$$0 \le |2x - 3| < 3$$

and this does not give an upper bound for $\frac{1}{|2x-3|}$. Therefore we need to consider |x-2| < r with r small enough that the resulting interval

 $^{^{1}}$ If you find it hard to see this, I would encourage you to draw a picture of the modulus function y = |x| and mark the region from x = -1 to x = 3 on your graph and identify the minimum and maximum values of the modulus on this interval from your picture.

-2r+1 < 2x-3 < 2r+1 does not contain 0, or have 0 as an end point. That is, we need 1-2r>0, so taking any r with $r<\frac{1}{2}$ will work.²

Q2 Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), & x \neq 0\\ 0, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Show directly from the definition that f is continuous at 0.

Let $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), & x \neq 0\\ 0, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Is g continuous at 0? Justify your answer with a proof.

In the first part of this question, the $\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ is a complete red herring, as $|\sin(y)| \le 1$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. This leads to the following answer.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and take $\delta = \varepsilon$. Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - 0| < \delta$, either x = 0, when $|f(x) - f(0)| = 0 < \varepsilon$, or $x \neq 0$, when

$$|f(x) - f(0)| = |x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)| \le |x| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, f is continuous at 0.

Note in the answer above, it was important to split into two cases to avoid a potential division by 0.3

In the second part of the question, the $\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ is certainly not a red herring. The point is that as x gets close to 0, $\frac{1}{x}$ goes off to infinity⁴, and so $\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ will move between +1 and -1 infinitely many times near 0.5 In the answer below, I use this fact to identify a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converging to 0, so that $g(x_n) = 1$ for all n.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x_n = \frac{1}{(2n+\frac{1}{2})\pi)}$, so that $x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. We have $g(x_n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $g(x_n) \to 1 \neq 0 = g(0)$. Therefore g is not continuous at 0.

Q3 Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function which satisfies f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- a) By considering x = y = 0, find f(0).
- b) Show that f(q) = qf(1) for $q \in \mathbb{Q}^6$.
- c) Suppose additionally that f is continuous. Show that f(x) = xf(1) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.⁷

² You can see that the two key points on the real line are x=2 (the root of x-2=0) and $x=\frac{3}{2}$ (the root of 2x-3=0). These points are distance 1/2 apart; it is no coincidence that we need to take r smaller than the distance between these points.

- ³ Did you take care of this point in your solution?
- 4 we won't make this precise
- ⁵ Try drawing a graph, or getting a computer to sketch one for you.

- ⁶ I'd suggest first establishing why f(n) = nf(1) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then seeing why $f(\frac{n}{m}) = \frac{n}{m}f(1)$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and finally dealing with the negative rational numbers.
- 7 In this question you may assume that for any real number x, there is a sequence $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of rational numbers with $q_n \to x$ without proof. You can find the ideas used to prove this claim in exercise sheet 9.

- (a) We have 0 = 0 + 0, so that f(0) = f(0) + f(0) which implies that f(0) = 0.
- (b) We claim that f(n) = nf(1) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Certainly this holds when n = 1, so suppose inductively that f(n) = nf(1) for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then f(n+1) = f(n) + f(1) = nf(1) + f(1) =(n+1)f(1). This proofs the claim by induction.

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $n = m \times \frac{n}{m}$, we have $f(n) = m \times f(\frac{n}{m})$ (arguing as in the previous paragraph). Hence $f(\frac{n}{m}) = \frac{1}{m}f(n) =$ $\frac{n}{m}f(1)$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, note that x + (-x) = 0. Therefore f(x) + f(-x) =f(0) = 0, and hence f(-x) = -f(x). Therefore f(q) = qf(1) for all rational numbers q.

(c) Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $(q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rational numbers with $q_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. By the sequential characterisation of continuity, $f(q_n) \to f(x)$. By part (b), $f(q_n) = q_n f(1)$, and hence $f(q_n) \to x f(1)$. By uniqueness of limits, f(x) = x f(1).