We now make a comparison between the cases where p=1 and p=m. For convenience, we assume that $\sigma_n^2(A) - \|B\|_2^2 = 1$. The convergence factors for the cases p=1 and p=m are $1 - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2}$ and $1 - \frac{1}{\|A\|_2^2}$, respectively. Using the inequality $1 - \iota \leq e^{-\iota}$ for any $\iota \in (0,1)$, RABK with p=1 and p=m requires $\mathcal{O}\left(\|A\|_F^2 \log\left(1/\varepsilon\right)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}\left(\|A\|_2^2 \log\left(1/\varepsilon\right)\right)$

iterations, respectively, to achieve an accuracy of ε in terms of the expected error norm. Since the computational cost for the case p=m at each step is about m-times as expensive as that for the case p=1, a fair comparison should be made between $\mathcal{O}(\|A\|_F^2 \log(1/\varepsilon))$ and $\mathcal{O}(m\|A\|_2^2 \log(1/\varepsilon))$. Given that $||A||_F^2 \le m||A||_2^2$, the RABK method with $\tau = 1$ converges faster in theory than with $\tau = m$ for solving the GAVE (1.1). However, we note that one can use the parallelization technique to speed-up the iteration scheme (5.5) in terms of the total running time.