From Containerization to Modularity

by @oasisfeng

Modular Future of Mobile Ecosystem

Modular hardware lead to modular software

Modular Hardware

- Smartphones become modular
 - Prototypes: Project Ara, Phonebloks
 - Products: LG G5, Moto Z
- More devices are connected
 - Smart accessories (wristband, BT devices)
 - Connected devices (smart watch, home kits)
 - External hardware
 - Secondary display
 - Dock-hub with keyboard & track-pad (or mouse)



Modular Software

- Software becomes even more modular in an open ecosystem.
- Android itself becomes more and more modular.
 - Assembly: Android Wear & TV, 3rd-party ROM
 - Pluggable: IME, TTS, Doc Provider, Notification Listener
 - Forkable: Shared Library (Services & Shared), System UI*

Modular app ecosystem

- Launcher, Widget, Lock Screen, Live Wallpaper, Complication (Wear)
- Google Docs & Sheets Addons
- Community-driven: Tasker, Xposed, Nevolution, Island...
- The future of mobile belongs to the union of small teams and individuals.

Modular App Architecture

Every modular is a tiny app

What is Modularity?

- Modules separated by certain level of isolation
- Characteristics
 - Independent
 - Interchangeable
 - Interoperable
- The price
 - Confine
 - Contract
 - Compatibility

Why Modular?

Engineering

- Enforced decoupling for high-cohesion code.
- Module-independent (parallel) development and testing
- Flexible integration, deployment & upgrade
- The infamous "64K methods limit"

Product

- Selective installation (light-weight initial install)
- Hybrid-friendly (web & native, mix and match)
- Open to (3rd-party) extensions

Basic Solutions

Java packages

- Pros: lowest cost
- Cons: loose isolation

Gradle library modules

- Pros: tool-chain support
- Cons: build efficiency

Multiple APKs

- Pros: build & install time (development productivity)
- Cons: Less user-friendly

In-House Modular Frameworks

Mainstream approaches in the wild:

- Multi-Dex: Inject dex-elements
 - Pros: Easy to implement, taking full advantage of AOT & JIT
 - Cons: Weak isolation
- Container: Proxy components by hooking. (DroidPlugin)
 - Pros: Direct APK loading
 - Cons: Massive reflective hooking (prone to compatibility issues)
- Semi-container: Transparent merge with class-loader proxy. (Atlas)
 - Pros: Light-weight, modest hooking, minimal module constraints
 - Cons: Runtime AndroidManifest lock-in

The Adventure of Project Atlas (Taobao)

- 1st gen (2012 2013): **Container**-based
 - Goal: Fast and easy (business) plug-in integration across BUs.
 - Challenge: Compatibility (both ROM and plug-in)
- 2nd gen (2013 2014): **Semi-container**
 - Goal: Compatibility, reliability, maintainability.
 - Benefits: 50% less code, 80% less reflective-hooking.
- 3rd gen: (2014-): Semi-container with **flexible module management**
 - Goal: Deploy by module » Deploy-on-demand by UI pagelet (like web)
 - Benefits: Agile development, fast deployment, incremental installation.

The Problem

A complex framework for mixed purposes

• Modularity, incremental deployment, runtime upgrade and even hot-fix

The reality

A big mess of compromise and inefficiency

• The way out – Layered frameworks for separate purpose

- Modularity framework, to modularize the project structure.
- Runtime container, to manage deployment and upgrade.
- Hot-fix mechanism, for highly efficient and fast bug-fix.

Well-Known Open Source Containers

- They are actually much more complex beyond your imagination.
- Despite open sourced, it is still hard to evaluate.
 - Check out its compatibility list (Android versions, Dalvik / ART, Devices)
 - Check out its issue tracker
 - Check out the KNOWN ISSUES in README or wiki
 - Check out the LIMITATIONS in README or wiki

Be careful about projects with few KNOWN ISSUES and LIMITATIONS.

The Future of Runtime Container

The Android infrastructure

- Split-APK (Android 5+): Requires modular project
- Instant App (Google Play services): Requires highly modular project
- Ephemeral App (Android 7+): Possibly requires modular project *

Runtime container as a platform (Virtual App)

- Hack existent app (like Xposed for apps, without root)
- App automation (like UiAutomator for user)
- Privacy concerns (that's why it should be open sourced)

Life is hard, don't waste your time!

Talk is cheap, show me the code!

Advice for Practice

- If you are a small team or developing a fast-iterating product
 - Modularize your project as early as possible.
 - Avoid developing in-house runtime container.
 - Adopt open-source hot-fix solutions.
- If you are a large team, focus on shared low-level platform.
 - Layer your frameworks, do modularization first.
 - Avoid container-based approach, unless it's your product model.
 - The forbiddance enforced by developer agreement of Google Play Store
 - Plan the exit route of containerization within its core design.

Our Practice - Bare Modularization

- Project: Regular Gradle project with modules
- Module: Hybrid (Sample: github.com/oasisfeng/nevolution)
 - Act as application module in debug, but as library module in release.
- Build
 - Debug: One APK for each module with shared UID
 - Release: Single APK for all modules together

```
AndroidManifest.xml of module assembly-public

<manifest ... tools:remove="android:sharedUserId,android:sharedUserLabel" />
```

```
Android
assembly-public
 decorators-bundle
 decorators-callvibrator
 decorators-mediaplayer
 decorators-misc
 decorators-wechat
 decorators-whatsapp
 engine
 ext
 mobile mobile
 sdk
 shared
 test
 wear
 Gradle Scripts
```

```
build.gradle of module assembly-public

ext.assembly = gradle.startParameter.taskNames.find { it.startsWith(":assembly-public") } != null;
if (ext.assembly) {
   configure(subprojects.findAll { ! it.name.startsWith("assembly-public") }) { apply plugin:'com.android.library' }
} else configure(subprojects.findAll { it.name.startsWith("decorators-") }) { apply plugin:'com.android.application' }
```

"Bare" means A LOT

- Minimal efforts, pure Android development experience
 - Fully managed by Android Studio & Gradle, without extra tools, plug-ins
 - Future-proof for your development investment
- Effective building and debugging in large project
 - Just build your module only, not the whole project.
 - Only install the APKs of dependent modules.
 - Only upgrade the APKs of changed modules.
- Inherently compatible and friendly with most runtime containers
 - Split APKs (Android 5+), Instant Apps (Google Play)
 - Hot-patch solutions: Tinker, Instant Run (Android Studio)

Dependency Injection

DI in pure Android way

- Intent against intent filter
- AndroidManifest The dependency configuration
 - Gradle build types & variants AndroidManifest override for different scenarios

Comparison to the classic DI frameworks (JSR-330 based)

- Share components beyond app boundary.
- IPC (multi-process) support.
- Completely lazy.
- User re-configurable. (ActivityChooser, DocumentsProvider)
- Highly interoperable. (No library required)

Flexible UI bus

Activity identified by URL (fragment by # within URL)

Hybrid handling: Native if module installed, web as fallback.

Flexible UI bus (cont.)

Browser → App: Capture standard HTTP links in browser

- Fully compatible with Verified App Links (Android 6+)
- Support Deep-Link (including "android-app" schema)
- Login can be handled with URL redirection and "referer" (nav. back).
- Standard-compliant, pure Android, cross-platform and future-proof.

Light Infrastructures on top of "Bare"

• Services to simplify the usage of AIDL service

```
Services.use(context, INevoEngine.class, INevoEngine.Stub::asInterface, engine -> engine.evolve(...));
```

• AidlService to reduce boilerplate code of AIDL service.

```
public class NevoEngine extends INevoEngine.Stub implements NevoEngineInternal, Closeable {
    @Override public StatusBarNotificationEvo evolve(...) { ... }

    public static class Service extends AidlService<INevoEngine.Stub> {
        @Override protected INevoEngine.Stub createBinder() { return new NevoEngine(getContext()); }
    }
}
```

- LocalAidlServices for highly efficient local AIDL service.
 - Synchronous service binding, no more hassles about ServiceConnection

```
final INevoRules rules = Services.bindLocal(context, INevoRules.class);
```

Reference implementation: https://github.com/oasisfeng/deagle/.../com/oasisfeng/android/service

Other Hiccups You May Experience

Where to place .aidl and related files

- Avoid AIDL interface between independent modules. (consider broadcast)
- Separate interface module for service module. (engine & engine-api)

• Libraries are built into every dependent modules, state not shared.

- Redundant only in debug build, no difference in actual behaviors.
- Library should NEVER share state between modules.
- Use (wrapper) service if state needs to be shared.

Share UI among modules

- Consider self-contained Activity in one module.
- Share M+V (fragment & layout) in library module.

Thanks

@oasisfeng anywhere