```
In [11]: import numpy
         import urllib.request
         import scipy.optimize
         import random
         from statistics import mean
         from sklearn import svm
         def parseData(fname):
             for 1 in urllib.request.urlopen(fname):
                 yield eval(1)
         print("Reading data...")
         data = list(parseData("http://jmcauley.ucsd.edu/cse258/data/beer/beer 50
         000.json"))
         print("done")
         # 01:
         print("1 star:", len([d for d in data if d["review/taste"] == 1]))
         print("1.5 star:", len([d for d in data if d["review/taste"] == 1.5]))
         print("2 star:", len([d for d in data if d["review/taste"] == 2]))
         print("2.5 star:", len([d for d in data if d["review/taste"] == 2.5]))
         print("3 star:", len([d for d in data if d["review/taste"] == 3]))
         print("3.5 star:", len([d for d in data if d["review/taste"] == 3.5]))
         print("4 star:", len([d for d in data if d["review/taste"] == 4]))
         print("4.5 star:", len([d for d in data if d["review/taste"] == 4.5]))
         print("5 star:", len([d for d in data if d["review/taste"] == 5]))
         # Answer: 1 star: 211
                                      reviews
                   1.5 star: 343
                                      reviews
         #
                   2 star: 1099
                                      reviews
                   2.5 star: 1624
                                     reviews
         #
                   3 star: 4137
                                     reviews
         #
                   3.5 star: 8797
                                     reviews
                   4 star: 16575
                                     reviews
         #
                   4.5 star: 12883
                                     reviews
                   5 star: 4331
                                     reviews
         # Q2:
         count = {}
         rating = {}
         for d in data:
             count[d["beer/name"]] = count.get(d["beer/name"], 0) + 1
         for d in data:
             if count[d["beer/name"]] >= 5:
                 rating[d["beer/name"]] = rating.get(d["beer/name"], 0) + d["revi
         ew/taste"]
         for key in rating:
             rating[key] = rating[key] / count[key]
         print([key for key in rating if rating[key] == max(rating.values())])
         # Answer: 'Founders CBS Imperial Stout' has the highest average rating a
```

```
mong beers with ≥ 5 reviews
# 03:
data2 = [d for d in data]
def feature(datum):
  feat = [1]
  if datum["beer/style"] == 'Hefeweizen':
      feat.append(1)
  else: feat.append(0)
  feat.append(datum['beer/ABV'])
  return feat
X = [feature(d) for d in data2]
Y = [d["review/taste"] for d in data2]
theta,residuals,rank,s = numpy.linalg.lstsq(X, Y)
print("theta0: %s theta1: %s theta2: %s" %(theta[0],theta[1],theta[2]))
#Answer: theta0: 3.117950842474128 theta1: -0.056374057703859136 theta2:
 0.10877901639207486
        theta0 represents the predicted review taste for beer which is
not Hefeweizen and the ABV = 0 is about 3.12
        thetal represents the effect that whether the beer is Hefeweize
n has on the review taste. Keeping ABV the same, if the beer is Hefeweiz
en, then the review taste is predicted to decrease by 0.05.
         theta2 represents the effect tha beer ABV has on the review tas
te. Keeping whether the beer is Hefeweizenthe the same, if the beer ABV
increase by one unit, then the review taste is predicted to increase by
 0.1.
#Q4:
data train = [data[i] for i in range(25000)]
data test = [data[i] for i in range(25000,50000)]
X train = [feature(d) for d in data train]
Y train = [d["review/taste"] for d in data train]
theta,residuals,rank,s = numpy.linalg.lstsq(X train,Y train)
mse train = numpy.mean((Y train-numpy.dot(X train,theta))**2)
print(mse train)
X test = [feature(d) for d in data test]
Y_test = [d["review/taste"] for d in data_test]
mse test = numpy.mean((Y test-numpy.dot(X test,theta))**2)
print(mse test)
#Answer: MSE on the training set is 0.48396805601342435
        MSE on the testing set is 0.4237065211986184
#Q5:
data1 = [d for d in data]
random.shuffle(data1)
data train = data1[:25000]
data test = data1[25000:]
X train = [feature(d) for d in data train]
```

```
Y_train = [d["review/taste"] for d in data_train]
theta,residuals,rank,s = numpy.linalg.lstsq(X_train,Y_train)
mse_train = numpy.mean((Y_train-numpy.dot(X_train,theta))**2)
print(mse train)
X_test = [feature(d) for d in data_test]
Y_test = [d["review/taste"] for d in data_test]
mse_test = numpy.mean((Y_test-numpy.dot(X_test,theta))**2)
print(mse_test)
#Answer: MSE on the training set is 0.44778450800373054
        MSE on the testing set is 0.45158340650228146
        The reason may be that the previous data set is in some kind of
order so that it is not very random.
        But this experiment randomly splits the data set so that it is
more random and then get different results.
#06:
data1 = [d for d in data]
random.shuffle(data1)
data_train = data1[:25000]
data_test = data1[25000:]
def feature(datum):
        feat = []
        feat.append(datum["review/taste"])
        feat.append(datum["review/appearance"])
        feat.append(datum["review/aroma"])
        feat.append(datum["review/palate"])
        feat.append(datum["review/overall"])
        return feat
X train = [feature(d) for d in data train]
Y train = [d["beer/style"] == 'Hefeweizen' for d in data train]
clf = svm.SVC(C=1000, kernel='linear')
clf.fit(X_train, Y_train)
train predictions = clf.predict(X train)
train correct = (train predictions == Y train)
train acc = sum(train correct)/len(train correct)
print("accuracy on train data", train acc *100 , "%")
X_test = [feature(d) for d in data_test]
Y_test = [d["beer/style"] == 'Hefeweizen' for d in data test]
test predictions = clf.predict(X test)
test correct = (test predictions == Y test)
test_acc = sum(test_correct)/len(test_correct)
print("accuracy on test data", test acc *100 , "%")
#Answer: The accuracy on train data is 98.756 %
        The accuracy on test data is 98.772 %
#Q7:
data1 = [d for d in data]
random.shuffle(data1)
data_train = data1[:25000]
```

```
data test = data1[25000:]
def feature(datum):
        feat = []
        feat.append(datum["review/taste"])
        feat.append(datum["review/appearance"])
        feat.append(datum["review/aroma"])
        feat.append(datum["review/palate"])
        feat.append(datum["review/overall"])
        feat.append(datum["beer/beerId"])
        feat.append(datum["beer/brewerId"])
        if "Hefeweizen" in datum["review/text"]:
                feat.append(1)
        else: feat.append(0)
        return feat
X train = [feature(d) for d in data train]
Y_train = [d["beer/style"] == 'Hefeweizen' for d in data_train]
clf = svm.SVC(C=1000, kernel='rbf')
clf.fit(X train, Y train)
train predictions = clf.predict(X train)
train_correct = (train_predictions == Y_train)
train acc = sum(train correct)/len(train correct)
print("accuracy on train data", train_acc *100 , "%")
X test = [feature(d) for d in data test]
Y test = [d["beer/style"] == 'Hefeweizen' for d in data test]
test predictions = clf.predict(X test)
test correct = (test predictions == Y test)
test acc = sum(test correct)/len(test correct)
print("accuracy on test data",test_acc *100 ,"%")
#Answer: feature vector: ['review/taste', 'review/appearance', 'review/a
roma', 'review/palate', 'review/overall', 'beer/beerId', 'beer/brewerI
d', "review/text"].
#
        The accuracy on train data is 100.0%
         The accuracy on test data is 99.908%
#08:
data1 = [d for d in data]
random.shuffle(data1)
data train = data1[:25000]
data test = data1[25000:]
def feature(datum):
        feat = []
        feat.append(datum["review/taste"])
        feat.append(datum["review/appearance"])
        feat.append(datum["review/aroma"])
        feat.append(datum["review/palate"])
        feat.append(datum["review/overall"])
        feat.append(datum["beer/beerId"])
        feat.append(datum["beer/brewerId"])
        if "Hefeweizen" in datum["review/text"]:
                feat.append(1)
        else: feat.append(0)
        return feat
```

```
X train = [feature(d) for d in data train]
Y train = [d["beer/style"] == 'Hefeweizen' for d in data train]
X test = [feature(d) for d in data test]
Y_test = [d["beer/style"] == 'Hefeweizen' for d in data_test]
for C in [0.1, 10, 1000, 100000]:
        clf = svm.SVC(C, kernel='rbf')
        clf.fit(X_train, Y_train)
        train predictions = clf.predict(X train)
        train correct = (train predictions == Y train)
        train_acc = sum(train_correct)/len(train_correct)
        print("C=",C,"accuracy on train data",train acc *100 ,"%")
        test predictions = clf.predict(X test)
        test_correct = (test_predictions == Y_test)
        test acc = sum(test correct)/len(test correct)
        print("C=",C,"accuracy on test data",test_acc *100 ,"%")
#Answer: C= 0.1 The accuracy on train data is 99.47200000000001%
         C= 0.1 The accuracy on test data 99.496%
#
         C= 10 The accuracy on test data is 99.996%
         C= 10 The accuracy on test data is 99.9%
         C= 1000 The accuracy on train data 100.0%
         C= 1000 The accuracy on test data 99.9%
         C= 100000 accuracy on train data 100.0%
         C= 100000 accuracy on test data 99.9%
         In my experiment, as C gets larger, the accuracy gets a little
bit higher but ther is no obvious change
         because the features are few and the variablity is small.
         In theory, As C gets larger, the training/test performance beco
mes better, the accuracy will be higher.
```