

# HW3

Runye Hu A13410385

Yijie Fan A13485989

Xinyi He A13561164

Yifan Wu A14060535

Yiwen Cai A13530685

## Problem1

### Q1:

```
water = read.table("water.txt" )  
t.test(water[,1], water[,2], paired = TRUE, alternative = "two.sided")$p.value
```

```
## [1] 0.0008911155
```

Conclusion: As the p-value is less than the significant level ( $\alpha=0.5$ ), we reject the null hypothesis. Thus, the water has different zinc concentrations in the bottom and the surface.

### Q2:

For the assumption of normality, if the dataset is very small and we don't hold the normality for the two-sample test, saying that the data we have are not normally distributed, then the statistic we get doesn't fit the F distribution so it doesn't make sense here. Consequently, without satisfying the assumption, the result will be wrong and meaningless.

## Problem2

### Q1:

```
library("HSAUR3")
```

```
## Loading required package: tools
```

```
##  
## Attaching package: 'HSAUR3'
```

```
## The following object is masked _by_ '.GlobalEnv':  
##  
##      water
```

```
data("pottery")

pottery_new = pottery[which(pottery$kiln != 3),]
F_stat = c()
F_stat = c(F_stat, unlist(summary(aov(Al2O3 ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[7])
F_stat = c(F_stat, unlist(summary(aov(Fe2O3 ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[7])
F_stat = c(F_stat, unlist(summary(aov(MgO ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[7])
F_stat = c(F_stat, unlist(summary(aov(CaO ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[7])
F_stat = c(F_stat, unlist(summary(aov(Na2O ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[7])
F_stat = c(F_stat, unlist(summary(aov(K2O ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[7])
F_stat = c(F_stat, unlist(summary(aov(TiO2 ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[7])
F_stat = c(F_stat, unlist(summary(aov(MnO ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[7])
F_stat = c(F_stat, unlist(summary(aov(BaO ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[7])
F_stat
```

```
##      F value1      F value1      F value1      F value1      F value1      F value1
## 26.0008709 154.3196535 97.7672981 53.5021255 10.4651667 81.7618589
##      F value1      F value1      F value1
## 14.6584538 52.7562946 0.4590205
```

## Q2:

```
Pr_F = c()
Pr_F = c(Pr_F, unlist(summary(aov(Al2O3 ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[9])
Pr_F = c(Pr_F, unlist(summary(aov(Fe2O3 ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[9])
Pr_F = c(Pr_F, unlist(summary(aov(MgO ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[9])
Pr_F = c(Pr_F, unlist(summary(aov(CaO ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[9])
Pr_F = c(Pr_F, unlist(summary(aov(Na2O ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[9])
Pr_F = c(Pr_F, unlist(summary(aov(K2O ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[9])
Pr_F = c(Pr_F, unlist(summary(aov(TiO2 ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[9])
Pr_F = c(Pr_F, unlist(summary(aov(MnO ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[9])
Pr_F = c(Pr_F, unlist(summary(aov(BaO ~ kiln, data = pottery_new)))[9])
Pr_F
```

```
##      Pr(>F)1      Pr(>F)1      Pr(>F)1      Pr(>F)1      Pr(>F)1
## 2.083495e-09 1.126525e-21 3.434369e-18 6.880285e-14 3.480171e-05
##      Pr(>F)1      Pr(>F)1      Pr(>F)1      Pr(>F)1
## 7.126204e-17 1.524685e-06 8.561655e-14 7.124818e-01
```

```
Pr_F > matrix(0.05/9,1,9)
```

```
##      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [,8] [,9]
## [1,] FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE
```

Conclusion: Since we get the p-value for the first eight chemical compositions are less than the significant level( $\alpha=0.05$ ), we reject the null hypothesis for the first eight tests. In the ninth test, we get the p-value for BaO is bigger than 0.5, so we do not reject the null hypothesis. As the null hypothesis concerns all nine chemical

compositions and there is one case being rejected, we reject the  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_4 = \mu_5$ . We may conclude that there is at least one pair of regions that is different on at least one chemical. Also, the difference in sites can affect the chemical composition of Romano-British pottery relates.

### Q3:

```

bh <- function(p,alpha)
{
  m <- length(p)
  l <- alpha*c(1:m)/m
  result <- matrix(TRUE,1,m)
  sort_p <- sort(p)
  set <- which(l>=sort_p)
  if(length(set)==0){
    rej <- set
  } else{
    imax <- max(set)
    threshold <- sort_p[imax]
    rej <- which(p <= threshold)
  }
  result[rej] = FALSE;

  outlist<-list(result = result)
  return(outlist)
}

bh_test <- bh(Pr_F,0.05)
bh_test

```

```

## $result
##      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5] [,6] [,7] [,8] [,9]
## [1,] FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE

```

Conclusion: P-values do not change in Q2.2 and Q2.3, so similar to Q2.2, we reject the null hypothesis for the first eight tests and do not reject the null hypothesis for the ninth test. We may conclude that there is at least one pair of regions that is different on  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{MgO}$ ,  $\text{CaO}$ ,  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{MnO}$ . Also, the difference in sites can affect these eight chemical compositions in Romano-British pottery relates. Meanwhile, the difference in sites may not affect the composition of  $\text{BaO}$  in the pottery.