

# Bayesian Modelling – Problem Sheet 4

Please hand in your solutions for Problems 3-6 by 6pm on Wednesday 20/03/2019

## Problem 1

In this problem we prove a result which allows to control the denominator of the posterior distribution. This result plays an important role in Bayesian asymptotic theory.

Let  $\{\tilde{f}(\cdot|\theta), \theta \in \Theta\}$  be a family of p.d.f. on  $\mathcal{X}_1$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $C > 0$  be some constants, and let  $\pi(\theta)$  be some p.d.f. on  $\Theta$ . Let

$$B_\delta = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta : KL(\theta_0|\theta) \leq \delta^2, \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \left( \log \frac{\tilde{f}(X_1|\theta)}{\tilde{f}(X_1|\theta_0)} \right)^2 \right] \leq \delta^2 \right\} \quad (1)$$

and, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$D_{n,\delta,C} = \left\{ x^{(n)} \in \mathcal{X}_1^n : \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tilde{f}(x_i|\theta)}{\tilde{f}(x_i|\theta_0)} \pi(\theta) d\theta \leq \pi(B_\delta) e^{-(1+C)n\delta^2} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

The goal of this problem is to show that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(D_{n,\delta,C}) \leq \frac{1}{C^2 n \delta^2}, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

1. Assume first that  $\pi(B_\delta) = 1$ .

a) Show that, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(D_{n,\delta,C}) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0} \left( \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B_\delta} \log \frac{\tilde{f}(X_i|\theta)}{\tilde{f}(X_i|\theta_0)} \pi(\theta) d\theta - \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \int_{B_\delta} \log \frac{\tilde{f}(X_1|\theta)}{\tilde{f}(X_1|\theta_0)} \pi(\theta) d\theta \right] \right| \geq C\delta^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Hint: Use Fubini's theorem and Jensen's inequality.*

b) Show that, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \left( \int_{B_\delta} \log \frac{\tilde{f}(X_1|\theta)}{\tilde{f}(X_1|\theta_0)} \pi(\theta) d\theta \right)^2 \right] \leq \delta^2.$$

*Hint: Use Fubini's theorem and Jensen's inequality.*

c) Using the results in parts 1.a) and 1.b), show that (3) holds.

2. Using the results in part 1, show that (3) also holds when  $\pi(B_\delta) < 1$ .

## Problem 2

Let  $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that  $X_1 \sim \tilde{f}(x_1|\theta_0)$  for some  $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and where  $\{\tilde{f}(\cdot|\theta), \theta \in \Theta\}$  is a parametric model for a single observation. Let  $\pi(\theta)$  be the prior distribution for  $\theta$  and, for  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\pi(\theta|X^{(n)}) \propto \pi(\theta) \prod_{k=1}^n \tilde{f}(X_k|\theta)$  be the posterior distribution based on the observation  $X^{(n)} := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

In this question we assume that the following conditions hold:

(C<sub>1</sub>)  $\pi(\theta)$  is continuous and strictly positive on the set  $(\theta_0 - \delta_\pi, \theta_0 + \delta_\pi)$  for some  $\delta_\pi > 0$ .

(C<sub>2</sub>) For every sequence  $M_n \rightarrow +\infty$  there exists a sequence of tests  $(\phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[\phi_n(X^{(n)})] \rightarrow 0$  and such that, for some constants  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $D > 0$ , and for  $n$  large enough,

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[1 - \phi_n(X^{(n)})] \leq e^{-D(\|\theta - \theta_0\|^2 \wedge \epsilon^2)}$$

for all  $\theta$  such that  $\|\theta - \theta_0\| \geq M_n/\sqrt{n}$ .

(C<sub>3</sub>) There exist constants  $\delta > 0$  and  $c_\star > 0$  such that, for all  $\theta$  such that  $\|\theta - \theta_\star\| \leq \delta$ ,

$$KL(\theta_0|\theta) \leq c_\star^2 \|\theta - \theta_0\|^2, \quad \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \left( \log \frac{\tilde{f}(X_1|\theta)}{\tilde{f}(X_1|\theta_0)} \right)^2 \right] \leq c_\star^2 \|\theta - \theta_0\|^2.$$

Let  $(M_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be an arbitrary sequence in  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M_n = +\infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M_n n^{-1/2} = 0$ . The goal of this problem is to show that, under (C<sub>1</sub>)-(C<sub>3</sub>),

$$\pi(\{\theta : \|\theta - \theta_0\| \geq M_n n^{-1/2}\} | X^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}\text{-probability,} \quad (4)$$

i.e. that  $\pi(\theta|X^{(n)})$  converges to  $\theta_0$  at rate  $n^{-1/2}$ .

1. We first show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\pi(\{\theta : \epsilon_n \leq \|\theta - \theta_0\| < \epsilon\} | X^{(n)})] = 0 \quad (5)$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$  is as in (C<sub>2</sub>) and where  $\epsilon_n = M_n n^{-1/2}$ .

Let  $M = \sqrt{D/4}$ , with  $D$  as in (C<sub>2</sub>), and  $\delta_n = M\epsilon_n$ .

a) Using the result of Problem 1 show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\pi(\{\theta : \epsilon_n \leq \|\theta - \theta_0\| < \epsilon\} | X^{(n)})] \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\mathbb{1}_{D_{n,\delta_n,1}^c}(X^{(n)}) (1 - \phi_n(X^{(n)})) \pi(\{\theta : \epsilon_n \leq \|\theta - \theta_0\| < \epsilon\} | X^{(n)})] \end{aligned}$$

where for every  $\delta > 0$  the set  $D_{n,\delta,1}$  is defined in (2) (with  $C = 1$ ).

- b) Let  $J$  be the smallest integer such that  $(J+1)\epsilon_n > \epsilon$  and let

$$\Theta_{n,j} = \left\{ \theta : j\epsilon_n \leq |\theta - \theta_0| \leq \min((j+1)\epsilon_n, \epsilon) \right\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, J.$$

Show that, for  $n$  large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\mathbb{1}_{D_{n,\delta_n,1}^c}(X^{(n)})(1 - \phi_n(X^{(n)}))\pi(\Theta_{n,j}|X^{(n)})] \\ \leq e^{2M^2M_n^2 - Dj^2M_n^2} \frac{\pi(\Theta_{n,j})}{\pi(B_{\delta_n})}, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, J\} \end{aligned}$$

where for every  $\delta > 0$  the set  $B_\delta$  is defined in (1).

- c) Show that, under  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_3)$ , there exists a constant  $\underline{L} > 0$  such that, for  $n$  large enough,  $\pi(B_{\delta_n}) \geq \underline{L}\delta_n^d$ .  
d) Show that, under  $(C_1)$ , there exists a constant  $\bar{L} > 0$  such that, for  $n$  large enough,  $\pi(\Theta_{n,j}) \leq \bar{L}\epsilon_n^d$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ .

*Hint:* Remark that, in  $(C_2)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  can be taken arbitrarily small.

- e) Using the results in parts 1.b)-1.d), and recalling that  $M = \sqrt{D/4}$ , show that there exists a constant  $\bar{C} > 0$  such that, for  $n$  large enough,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\mathbb{1}_{D_{n,\delta_n,1}^c}(X^{(n)})(1 - \phi_n(X^{(n)}))\pi(\{\theta : \epsilon_n \leq \|\theta - \theta_0\| < \epsilon\}|X^{(n)})] \leq \bar{C} e^{-\frac{1}{2}DM_n^2}$$

and deduce that (5) holds.

2. We now show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\pi(\{\theta : \|\theta - \theta_0\| \geq \epsilon\}|X^{(n)})] = 0 \quad (6)$$

where we recall that  $\epsilon > 0$  is as in  $(C_2)$ .

Let  $\tilde{M} = \sqrt{d/2}$  and  $\gamma_n = \tilde{M}\sqrt{\log(n)/n}$ .

- a) Show that, for  $n$  large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\mathbb{1}_{D_{n,\gamma_n,1}^c}(X^{(n)})(1 - \phi_n(X^{(n)}))\pi(\{\theta : \|\theta - \theta_0\| \geq \epsilon\}|X^{(n)})] \\ \leq \frac{e^{-\log(n)2\tilde{M}^2 + \frac{d}{2}\log(n)}}{\underline{L}\tilde{M}^d} (\log(n))^{-d/2} \end{aligned}$$

with  $\underline{L} > 0$  is as in part 1.c). Recall that for every  $\delta > 0$  the set  $D_{n,\delta,1}$  is defined in (2) (with  $C = 1$ ).

*Hint:* Use similar computations as in part 1.b).

- b) Using the result in part 2.a) and similar computations as in part 1.a), show (6).

3. Using (5) and (6), show (4).

### Problem 3

- **(Markov's inequality)** Let  $X$  be a real valued random variable,  $p \geq 1$  be such that  $\mathbb{E}[|X|^p] < +\infty$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Show that

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|^p]}{\epsilon^p}.$$

- **(Law of large numbers)** Let  $(Z_k)_{k \geq 1}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. real-valued random variables such that  $\mathbb{E}[Z_1^4] < +\infty$ .

1. Assume first that  $\mathbb{E}[Z_1] = 0$ .

a) Show that  $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n Z_k\right)^4\right] = n\mathbb{E}[Z_1^4] + 3n(n-1)\mathbb{E}[Z_1^2]^2$ .

b) Using the result of part 1.a), show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n Z_k = 0, \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

2. Using the result of part 1.b), show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n Z_k = \mathbb{E}[Z_1], \quad \text{almost surely.} \quad (7)$$

**Remark:** Recall that for (7) to hold it is enough that  $\mathbb{E}[|Z_1|] < +\infty$ . The assumption  $\mathbb{E}[Z_1^4] < +\infty$  is only made to (greatly) simplify the proof.

### Problem 4

Let  $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that  $X_1 \sim \tilde{f}(x_1|\theta_0)$  for some  $\theta_0 \in \Theta := \mathbb{R}^d$  and where  $\{\tilde{f}(\cdot|\theta), \theta \in \Theta\}$  is a parametric model for a single observation. Let  $\pi(\theta)$  be the prior distribution for  $\theta$  and, for  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\pi(\theta|X^{(n)}) \propto \pi(\theta) \prod_{k=1}^n \tilde{f}(X_k|\theta)$  be the posterior distribution based on the observation  $X^{(n)} := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

The goal of this problem is to prove a weaker version of Schwartz's theorem, establishing that

$$\pi(\{\theta : \|\theta - \theta_0\| \geq \epsilon\} | X^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0} - \text{probability,} \quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \quad (8)$$

To this aim, we assume that Condition (A2) given in Theorem 6.1 of the lecture notes and Condition (C3) of Problem 2 hold.

1. Using the result of Problem 1 show that, for every  $\delta > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\pi(\{\theta : \|\theta - \theta_0\| \geq \epsilon\} | X^{(n)})] \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\mathbf{1}_{D_{n,\delta,1}^c}(X^{(n)}) (1 - \phi_n(X^{(n)})) \pi(\{\theta : \|\theta - \theta_0\| \geq \epsilon\} | X^{(n)})] \end{aligned}$$

where the set  $D_{n,\delta,1}$  is defined in (2) (with  $C = 1$ ) and where  $\phi_n$  is as in Condition (A2) of Theorem 6.1.

2. Show that, for every  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\mathbf{1}_{D_{n,\delta,1}^c}(X^{(n)})(1 - \phi_n(X^{(n)}))\pi(\{\theta : \|\theta - \theta_0\| \geq \epsilon\} | X^{(n)})] \leq \frac{e^{2n\delta^2}}{\pi(B_\delta)} e^{-nD_2}$$

with  $D_2 > 0$  as in Condition (A2) of Theorem 6.1 and where the set  $B_\delta$  is defined in (1).

3. Remark that under Condition (C3) of Problem 2, for very  $\gamma > 0$  sufficiently small we have

$$\{\theta : \|\theta - \theta_0\| < \gamma/c_\star\} \subset B_\gamma$$

with  $c_\star$  as in (C3). Use this result to provide a simple sufficient condition on the prior distribution  $\pi(\theta)$  which ensures that, for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a constant  $c_\delta > 0$  such that  $\pi(B_\delta) \geq c_\delta$ .

4. Assuming that  $\pi(\theta)$  satisfies the condition of part 3, and using the results in parts 1-2, show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} [\pi(\{\theta : \|\theta - \theta_0\| \geq \epsilon\} | X^{(n)})] = 0, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

5. Using the result in part 4, show (8).

## Problem 5

Let  $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$  be a sequence of i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}_1(\theta_0, 1)$  random variables, with  $\theta_0 \in \Theta := \mathbb{R}$ , and for every  $\theta \in \Theta$  let  $\tilde{f}(\cdot | \theta)$  be the p.d.f. of the  $\mathcal{N}_1(\theta, 1)$  distribution, with  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

In this problem we show that  $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$  and  $\{\tilde{f}(\cdot | \theta), \theta \in \Theta\}$  verify Condition (A2) given in Theorem 6.1 of the lecture notes as well as Conditions (C2) and (C3) of Problem 2, and thus that the posterior distribution  $\pi(\theta | X^{(n)})$  is both consistent (in the sense of Definition 6.1) and converges to  $\theta_0$  at rate  $n^{-1/2}$  (provided that  $\pi(\theta)$  has positive mass around  $\theta_0$ ).

1. We first show that Condition (A2) of Theorem 6.1 holds.

- a) Show that,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} dt \leq \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{x\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad \forall x > 0.$$

- b) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\psi_n(X^{(n)}) = \mathbf{1}(|\bar{X}_n - \theta_0| \geq \epsilon/2)$  where  $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ . Using the result in part 1.a) show that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[\psi_n(X^{(n)})] \leq \frac{4e^{-n\epsilon^2/8}}{\sqrt{n}\epsilon\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad \mathbb{E}_\theta[1 - \psi_n(X^{(n)})] \leq \frac{4e^{-n|\theta - \theta_0|^2/8}}{\sqrt{n}|\theta - \theta_0|\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

for all  $\theta$  such that  $|\theta - \theta_0| \geq \epsilon$ .

*Hint: For this question it may be useful to recall that  $|x - y| \geq |x - z| - |z - y|$  for any real numbers  $x, y, z$*

- c) Using the results in part 1.b), show that Condition (A2) of Theorem 6.1 holds.
- 2. Using the results in part 1.b), show that Condition (C2) of Problem 2 holds.
- 3. We now show that Condition (C3) of Problem 2 holds.
  - a) Show that, for any  $(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have

$$KL(\tilde{\theta}|\theta) = \frac{(\theta - \tilde{\theta})^2}{2}.$$

- b) Show that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\theta}} \left[ \left( \log \frac{\tilde{f}(X_1|\tilde{\theta})}{\tilde{f}(X_1|\theta)} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{(\theta - \tilde{\theta})^2}{4} \left( (\theta + \tilde{\theta})^2 + 4(1 + \tilde{\theta}^2) - 4\tilde{\theta}(\theta + \tilde{\theta}) \right).$$

- c) Using the results in part 3.a)-3.b), show that Condition (C3) of Problem 2 holds.

## Problem 6

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be  $n$  random variables that we model as independent  $\mathcal{N}_1(\theta, 1)$  random variables, with  $\theta \in \Theta := \mathbb{R}$ . We assign to  $\theta$  the  $\mathcal{N}_1(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$  distribution as prior distribution, so that the posterior distribution  $\pi(\theta|X^{(n)})$  is given by

$$\pi(\theta|X^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_n^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{(\theta - \mu_n)^2}{2\sigma_n^2} \right), \quad \theta \in \Theta$$

where

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{1 + n\sigma_0^2} \mu_0 + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{1 + n\sigma_0^2} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k, \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{1 + n\sigma_0^2}.$$

The maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$  is given by  $\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ .

- 1. Give the Highest Posterior Density (HPD) region at level  $(1 - \alpha)$ , with  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .
- 2. We first assume that the model is well-specified, i.e. that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed random variables such that  $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}_1(\theta_0, 1)$  for some  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ .
  - a) Let  $S_n = \sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)$  and  $\pi^*(s|X^{(n)})$  be the probability density function of the posterior distribution of  $S_n$  given  $X^{(n)}$ .

Show that, for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \pi^*(s|X^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \quad \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0} - \text{almost surely.} \quad (9)$$

Remark that, by Scheffé's lemma, (9) implies that, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)|X^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{dist.}} \mathcal{N}_1(0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}$ -almost surely.

- b) Give the confidence interval at level  $1 - \alpha$  centred at  $\hat{\theta}_n$ .
  - c) Use these results to compare, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , the credible interval at level  $1 - \alpha$  computed in part 1 and the confidence interval at level  $1 - \alpha$  computed in part 2.b).
3. We now assume that the model is misspecified. More precisely, we assume that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed random variables such that  $X_1 \sim t_6(\theta_0, 1)$  for a  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ . Here,  $t_6(\theta_0, 1)$  denotes the Student-t distribution with location parameter  $\theta_0$ , scale parameter 1 and 6 degrees of freedom. Note that  $\mathbb{E}_0[X_1] = \theta_0$  while  $\text{Var}_0(X_1) = 1.5$  where, as in the lecture notes, the subscript 0 refers to the true distribution of the observations
- a) Using the result in part 2.a) deduce that, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n) | X^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{dist.}} \mathcal{N}_1(0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_0$ -almost surely.
  - b) Show that, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\text{dist.}} \mathcal{N}_1(0, 1.5)$  and give the confidence interval at level  $1 - \alpha$  centred at  $\hat{\theta}_n$ .
  - c) Use these results to compare, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , the credible interval at level  $1 - \alpha$  computed in part 1. and the confidence interval at level  $1 - \alpha$  computed in part 3.c).
4. What do you conclude about the frequentist properties of credible intervals?