

UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL

School of Mathematics

MATH 30015
BAYESIAN MODELLING
(Paper Code MATH-30015)

Summer 2018

2 hour and 30 minutes

This paper contains **FOUR** questions.
ALL answers will be used for assessment.

Calculators of an approved type (non-programmable, no text facility) are permitted.

On this examination, the marking scheme is indicative and is intended only as a guide to the relative weighting of the questions.

Do not turn over until instructed.

1. **(25 marks)** Let x_1, \dots, x_n be n observations that we model as independent **Binomial**(θ) random variables, with $\theta \in \Theta := (0, 1)$. The likelihood of observation $x_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ given θ is therefore given by

$$\tilde{f}(x_1|\theta) = \theta^{x_1}(1 - \theta)^{1-x_1}.$$

Below we denote by $f(x^{(n)}|\theta)$ the likelihood of the observation $x^{(n)} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ given θ ; that is

$$f(x^{(n)}|\theta) = \prod_{k=1}^n \tilde{f}(x_k|\theta),$$

and assume that the prior distribution of θ is the $\text{Beta}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ distribution, i.e.,

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_0 + \beta_0)}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)\Gamma(\beta_0)} \theta^{\alpha_0-1} (1 - \theta)^{\beta_0-1}, \quad \theta \in \Theta$$

where $\alpha_0 \in (2, +\infty)$ and $\beta_0 \in (2, +\infty)$ are known hyper-parameters.

Hint: We recall the following result that may be useful below. For any $t > 0$ the relationship $\Gamma(t + 1) = t \Gamma(t)$ holds.

- (a) **(2 marks)** Show that the posterior density of θ given $x^{(n)}$ is given by

$$\pi(\theta|x^{(n)}) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_n + \beta_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_n)\Gamma(\beta_n)} \theta^{\alpha_n-1} (1 - \theta)^{\beta_n-1}, \quad \theta \in \Theta$$

with

$$\alpha_n = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n x_k, \quad \beta_n = \beta_0 + n - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k.$$

- (b) **(5 marks)** Let $\theta_n^* : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \Theta$ be such that $\theta_n^*(z) = 0.2$ for any observation $z \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Assuming a quadratic loss function, show carefully that the estimator θ_n^* is **admissible**. Assume for this question that $n = 1$.
- (c) **(10 marks)** Let $L : \Theta \times \Theta \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be the loss function defined by

$$L(\theta, d) = \frac{(\theta - d)^2}{\theta(1 - \theta)}, \quad (\theta, d) \in \Theta \times \Theta.$$

Compute $\delta^\pi(x^{(n)})$, the minimizer of the resulting posterior expected loss.

- (d) **(8 marks)** Show that $\delta^\pi : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \Theta$, the estimator defined in part (c), is admissible. Assume for this question that $n = 1$.

2. **(25 marks)** Let X_1, \dots, X_n be n independent and identically distributed random variables such that $X_1 \sim \text{Binomial}(\theta_0)$ for some $\theta_0 \in \Theta := (0, 1)$. The likelihood of $x_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ given θ is therefore given by

$$\tilde{f}(x_1|\theta) = \theta^{x_1}(1 - \theta)^{1-x_1}$$

and we assume that the prior distribution of θ is the **Beta**(α_0, β_0) distribution, i.e.,

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_0 + \beta_0)}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)\Gamma(\beta_0)} \theta^{\alpha_0-1} (1 - \theta)^{\beta_0-1}, \quad \theta \in \Theta$$

where $\alpha_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ and $\beta_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ are known hyper-parameters. The corresponding posterior mean of θ given $X^{(n)}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_\pi[\theta|X^{(n)}] = \frac{\alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n X_k}{\alpha_0 + \beta_0 + n}$$

while the maximum likelihood estimator of θ is given by $\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$.

- (a) **(5 marks)** Show that, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\text{dist.}} \mathcal{N}_1(0, \theta_0(1 - \theta_0))$$

and

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{E}_\pi[\theta|X^{(n)}] - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\text{dist.}} \mathcal{N}_1(0, \theta_0(1 - \theta_0)).$$

- (b) **(5 marks)** Show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n |\mathbb{E}_\pi[\theta|X^{(n)}] - \hat{\theta}_n| < +\infty \quad (\text{almost surely}).$$

- (c) **(5 marks)** Using the results in part (a) and in part (b) explain why a frequentist statistician may be willing to use the estimator $\mathbb{E}_\pi[\theta|X^{(n)}]$.
- (d) **(10 marks)** It can be shown that the Bayesian model we are considering in this question is such that

- there exists a constant $c > 0$ for which

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0} \left(\int_{\Theta} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\tilde{f}(X_k|\theta)}{\tilde{f}(X_k|\theta_0)} \pi(\theta) d\theta \leq c \epsilon e^{-2n\epsilon^2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{n\epsilon^2}, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

- for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence of tests $(\phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[\phi_n(X^{(n)})] \leq 2e^{-n\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}}, \quad \sup_{\theta \in \{\theta' \in \Theta: |\theta' - \theta_0| \geq \epsilon\}} \mathbb{E}_\theta[1 - \phi_n(X^{(n)})] \leq 2e^{-n\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}}, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Using these results show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left[\pi(\{\theta \in \Theta : |\theta - \theta_0| \geq \epsilon\} | X^{(n)}) \right] = 0.$$

3. **(25 marks)** Let X_1, \dots, X_n be n random variables that we model as independent $\mathcal{N}_1(\theta, 1)$ random variables, with $\theta \in \Theta := \mathbb{R}$. We assign to θ a $\mathcal{N}_1(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$ prior distribution so that the posterior distribution $\pi(\theta|X^{(n)})$ is given by

$$\pi(\theta|X^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_n^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\theta - \mu_n)^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right), \quad \theta \in \Theta$$

where

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{1 + n\sigma_0^2}\mu_0 + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{1 + n\sigma_0^2} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k, \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{1 + n\sigma_0^2}.$$

The maximum likelihood estimator of θ is given by $\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$.

- (a) **(5 marks)** Give the Highest Posterior Density (HPD) region at level $(1 - \alpha)$, with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.
- (b) We first assume that the model is well-specified; that is, X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and identically distributed random variables such that $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}_1(\theta_0, 1)$ for a $\theta_0 \in \Theta$.
- i. **(10 marks)** Let $S_n = \sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)$ and $\pi^*(s|X^{(n)})$ be the probability density function of the posterior distribution of S_n given $X^{(n)}$. Show that, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \pi^*(s|X^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \quad (\text{almost surely})$$

so that, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $\sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)|X^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{dist.}} \mathcal{N}_1(0, 1)$ (almost surely).

- ii. **(2 marks)** Give the confidence interval at level $1 - \alpha$ centred at $\hat{\theta}_n$.
- iii. **(2 marks)** Use these results to compare, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, the credible interval at level $1 - \alpha$ computed in part (a) and the confidence interval at level $1 - \alpha$ computed in part (b)ii.
- (c) We now assume that the model is misspecified. More precisely, we assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and identically distributed random variables such that $X_1 \sim t_6(\theta_0, 1)$ for a $\theta_0 \in \Theta$. Here, $t_6(\theta_0, 1)$ denotes the Student-t distribution with location parameter θ_0 , scale parameter 1 and 6 degrees of freedom. Note that $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \theta_0$ while $\text{Var}(X_1) = 1.5$.
- i. **(2 mark)** Using the result in part (b)i deduce that, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $\sqrt{n}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)|X^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{dist.}} \mathcal{N}_1(0, 1)$ (almost surely).
- ii. **(2 mark)** Show that, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\text{dist.}} \mathcal{N}_1(0, 1.5)$ and give the confidence interval at level $1 - \alpha$ centred at $\hat{\theta}_n$.
- iii. **(2 marks)** Use these results to compare, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, the credible interval at level $1 - \alpha$ computed in part (a) and the confidence interval at level $1 - \alpha$ computed in part (c)ii.

Continued...

4. **(25 marks)** Let x_0, \dots, x_t be $t+1$ observations that we model as a Markov($\lambda, P_{\alpha, \beta}$) process, where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, 1 - \lambda_1)$ for some $\lambda_1 \in [0, 1]$, while, for $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$, the transition matrix $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ is given by

$$P_{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & 1 - \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\theta = (\lambda_1, \alpha, \beta) \in \Theta := [0, 1]^3$ be the parameter, $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2\}$ be the state space and assume that our prior information is as follows:

- The prior probability that $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ is irreducible and aperiodic is 0.7;
- The prior probability that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} P_{\alpha, \beta}^t$ does not exist is 0.05;
- The prior probability that $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ is not irreducible is 0.25.

- (a) **(2 marks)** Write down the likelihood function for the observation $x^{(t+1)} := (x_0, \dots, x_t)$.
- (b) Before specifying a prior distribution $\pi(\theta)$ that incorporates the above information we need to understand the behaviour of $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ for any pair (α, β) in $[0, 1]^2$. To this end it may be useful to note that, when $\alpha + \beta > 0$, we have

$$P_{\alpha, \beta}^t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}(1 - \alpha - \beta)^t & \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}(1 - \alpha - \beta)^t \\ \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}(1 - \alpha - \beta)^t & \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}(1 - \alpha - \beta)^t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

- i. **(2 marks)** Show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} P_{\alpha, \beta}^t$ does not exist if and only if $\alpha = \beta = 1$.
 - ii. **(2 marks)** Show that $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ is irreducible if and only if $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$.
 - iii. **(2 marks)** Show that $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ is aperiodic if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \{(0, 0)\} \cup (0, 1)^2$.
- (c) **(5 marks)** Using the results of part (b), propose a prior distribution $\pi(\theta)$ that captures the above prior information.
- (d) Let $\pi(\theta|x^{(t+1)})$ be the posterior distribution associated with the likelihood function and prior distribution specified in part (a) and in part (c) respectively.
- i. **(4 marks)** Write down a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm that is implementable in practice to approximate $\pi(\theta|x^{(t+1)})$ and specify explicitly all its ingredients. (Remark that you are not asked to propose a “good” Metropolis-Hastings algorithm, just an implementable one.)
 - ii. **(8 marks)** Explain why and in which sense the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm you propose in part (d)i is valid to approximate $\pi(\theta|x^{(t+1)})$. Explain how to use its output to approximate the posterior expectation $\mathbb{E}_\pi[\theta|x^{(t+1)}]$.