Relational Axiom System (RAS) Framework: Avoidance of Gödel's Incompleteness and Future Directions

Jiutian Xi
Affiliation
Email: xijiutian@gmail.com

January 17, 2025

Abstract

This document outlines the Relational Axiom System (RAS) framework, highlighting its potential to avoid Gödel's incompleteness theorems. We summarize key conceptual arguments, identify areas for further rigorous formalization, and propose methodological approaches and future work plans to strengthen the RAS framework. Feedback from experts is sought to guide the next phases of formalization.

1 Introduction

The Relational Axiom System (RAS) posits that *relations*, not objects, are the fundamental entities in mathematics. Preliminary arguments suggest that RAS may circumvent Gödel's incompleteness theorems while preserving classical mathematical derivations. However, to achieve the rigor required by the mathematical community, further formalization is necessary.

2 Core Axioms of RAS

RAS is based on a single-sorted first-order language with the ternary predicate $\mathrm{App}(R, x, y)$ indicating that "(x, y) belongs to relation R". The core axioms are:

Axiom 1: Existence (All Existence is Relational)

$$\forall R\ (\mathrm{Rel}(R)).$$

Every entity is a relation, eliminating independent objects.

Axiom 2: Relational Expansion

$$\forall R_1, R_2\ \exists R_3\ (R_3 = \mathrm{Comp}(R_1, R_2)),$$

$$\forall x, z\ \Big(\mathrm{App}(\mathrm{Comp}(R_1, R_2), x, z) \iff \exists y\ (\mathrm{App}(R_1, x, y) \wedge \mathrm{App}(R_2, y, z))\Big).$$

Relations can combine to form new relations, with composition as a key example.

Remark 1. *Additional axioms for operations such as union, inverse, etc., can be introduced to expand the system's capabilities.*

3 Avoiding Gödel's Incompleteness: Progress and Challenges

Our conceptual framework suggests that RAS circumvents Gödel's incompleteness theorems through the following key points:

1. **Strong Anti-Self-Reference**: RAS prevents the formation of self-referential statements.
2. **Non-Encodability**: RAS does not support a Gödel numbering scheme.
3. **Recursive Non-Enumerability**: RAS is not a recursively enumerable system.
4. **Non-Bivalent Reasoning**: RAS operates without traditional binary truth values.

While these conceptual arguments are promising, several areas require rigorous formalization:

- Formalizing self-reference and proving that RAS blocks all self-referential constructs.
- Precisely defining Gödel encoding and demonstrating its incompatibility with RAS.
- Using computability theory to rigorously analyze the recursive non-enumerability of RAS.
- Constructing a non-bivalent logic framework within RAS and providing concrete examples.

4 Methodological Approaches for Rigorous Formalization

To tackle these formalization challenges, we plan to use the following frameworks:

4.1 Formal Logic and Model Theory

- Develop complete syntax and semantics for RAS in first-order logic.
- Use model-theoretic techniques to construct models of RAS, analyze consistency, and explore how models avoid Gödelian constructions.

4.2 Type Theory

- Employ dependent or homotopy type theory to formalize relations without objects.
- Utilize type constraints to inherently block self-reference.

4.3 Computability Theory

- Analyze the recursive enumerability of RAS using Turing machine comparisons.
- Use diagonalization and reduction techniques to argue the non-recursive enumerability of RAS.

4.4 Non-Classical Logic Research

- Investigate existing non-bivalent logics to inform the construction of a non-bivalent inference system in RAS.
- Define new inference rules based on relational traceability rather than binary truth values.

5 Future Work Plan

5.1 Phase 1: Deepening Formalization of Core Challenges

- Precisely formalize self-reference within RAS and prove its impossibility.
- Rigorously define Gödel encoding and demonstrate its incompatibility with the relational framework.

5.2 Phase 2: Computability and Logical Framework

- Analyze RAS's recursive non-enumerability with rigorous proofs.
- Develop explicit non-bivalent logic inference rules and provide proof examples.

5.3 Phase 3: Complete Axiomatization and Model Construction

- Expand the axioms to form a complete formal system for RAS.
- Construct models of RAS using model theory to verify consistency and other properties.

5.4 Phase 4: Verification and Publication

- Compile rigorous proofs and formalizations into research papers.
- Submit for peer review and incorporate expert feedback.

6 Request for Feedback

We invite experts in mathematical logic, computability, and non-classical logic to review our outlined approaches and priorities:

- Are our proposed methodological approaches clear and feasible?
- Which aspects (self-reference, Gödel encoding, recursive enumerability, non-bivalent logic) should be prioritized for immediate formalization?
- What specific techniques or resources do you recommend for tackling these challenges?

Your insights will be crucial in guiding the next phases of our rigorous formalization effort.