

# TypeLayout

## ***Complete Tutorial***

TypeLayout Team

Version 1.0, 2026-02-03: Initial Release

# Table of Contents

1. Preface .....	2
2. Chapter 1: The Hidden Bug .....	3
2.1. A Simple Configuration File .....	3
2.2. The Bug Revealed .....	3
2.2.1. Scenario 1: Cross-Platform Sharing .....	4
2.2.2. Scenario 2: Compiler Differences .....	4
2.2.3. Scenario 3: Code Evolution .....	4
2.3. The Real-World Impact .....	4
2.4. What We Need .....	5
3. Chapter 2: The Old Ways .....	6
3.1. Solution 1: Manual static_assert .....	6
3.1.1. Limitations .....	6
3.2. Solution 2: External Tools .....	6
3.2.1. Limitations .....	7
3.3. Solution 3: Pragma Pack .....	7
3.3.1. Limitations .....	7
3.4. Solution 4: Serialization Libraries .....	7
3.4.1. Limitations .....	8
3.5. What We Really Need .....	8
4. Chapter 3: Quick Start .....	9
4.1. Installation .....	9
4.2. Your First Signature .....	9
4.3. Understanding the Signature .....	10
4.4. Compile-Time Verification .....	10
4.5. Comparing Types .....	10
4.6. Using Hash for Quick Checks .....	11
4.7. Exercise: Try It Yourself .....	11
5. Chapter 4: Reading Signatures .....	12
5.1. Signature Grammar .....	12
5.2. Platform Prefix .....	12
5.3. Primitive Types .....	12
5.4. Struct Signatures .....	13
5.4.1. Basic Format .....	13
5.4.2. Field Format .....	13
5.4.3. With Padding .....	13
5.5. Class Signatures .....	13
5.5.1. With Inheritance .....	13
5.5.2. Polymorphic Classes .....	14

5.6. Union Signatures	14
5.7. Enum Signatures	14
5.8. Array Signatures	14
5.9. Bitfield Signatures	15
5.10. Anonymous Members	15
5.11. Signature Comparison Rules	15
6. Chapter 5: Real-World Applications	16
6.1. Application 1: Shared Memory IPC	16
6.1.1. The Problem	16
6.1.2. The Solution	16
6.2. Application 2: File Format Versioning	17
6.2.1. The Problem	17
6.2.2. The Solution	17
6.3. Application 3: Network Protocol	18
6.3.1. Protocol Definition	18
6.3.2. Version Negotiation	19
6.4. Application 4: Hardware Register Mapping	19
6.4.1. Register Definition	19
6.5. Application 5: ABI Stability	20
6.5.1. Public API Types	20
6.6. Summary	20
7. Chapter 6: Beyond the Basics	21
7.1. Inheritance	21
7.1.1. Single Inheritance	21
7.1.2. Multiple Inheritance	21
7.1.3. Virtual Inheritance	22
7.2. Polymorphism	22
7.3. Bitfields	22
7.4. Anonymous Members	23
7.5. Concepts Integration	23
7.5.1. LayoutSupported	23
7.5.2. LayoutCompatible	24
7.5.3. LayoutMatch	24
7.5.4. LayoutHashMatch	24
7.6. Practical Example: Type-Safe Memory Pool	24
7.7. Private Members	25
8. Chapter 7: Under the Hood	26
8.1. Introduction to P2996	26
8.2. Key P2996 APIs Used	26
8.2.1. <code>nonstatic_data_members_of</code>	26
8.2.2. <code>offset_of</code>	26

8.2.3. <code>type_of</code>	27
8.2.4. <code>identifier_of</code>	27
8.2.5. <code>bases_of</code>	27
8.3. Access Control: The Magic of <code>unchecked()</code>	27
8.4. How TypeLayout Generates Signatures	28
8.4.1. Step 1: Iterate Members	28
8.4.2. Step 2: Build Field Signature	28
8.4.3. Step 3: Handle Special Cases	29
8.5. The CompileString Type	29
8.6. Recursion and Nested Types	30
8.7. Performance Considerations	30
8.8. Compiler Support	30
8.9. Summary	30
9. Appendix A: Quick Reference	32
9.1. Signature Format Cheat Sheet	32
9.2. API Quick Reference	32
10. Appendix B: Further Reading	33
10.1. C++ Standards Papers	33
10.2. Tools & Resources	33
10.3. Related Projects	33
11. Appendix C: Troubleshooting	34
11.1. Common Issues	34
11.1.1. "Compiler does not support P2996"	34
11.1.2. "Layout hash mismatch at runtime"	34
11.1.3. "Signature too long for <code>static_assert</code> "	34
11.2. Getting Help	34
12. Glossary	35

A comprehensive guide to type layout verification in C++26 using static reflection.

# Chapter 1. Preface

TypeLayout is a modern C++26 header-only library that generates compile-time type layout signatures using P2996 static reflection. It addresses the critical challenge of binary compatibility verification across different platforms, compilers, and code versions.

This tutorial provides a progressive learning path—from understanding the fundamental problem to mastering advanced usage patterns.

## Target Audience:

- C++ developers working with binary data formats
- Systems programmers dealing with cross-platform compatibility
- Game developers managing save files and network protocols
- Library maintainers concerned about ABI stability

## Prerequisites:

- Solid understanding of C++ structs and memory layout
- Familiarity with concepts like `sizeof`, `alignof`, and padding
- Access to a C++26 compiler with P2996 support (Bloomberg’s experimental Clang)

## What You’ll Learn:

- Why struct layout causes subtle, hard-to-debug bugs
- How to generate and read layout signatures
- Practical applications in IPC, networking, and file formats
- Advanced features: inheritance, polymorphism, bitfields
- How P2996 reflection works under the hood

# Chapter 2. Chapter 1: The Hidden Bug

Why struct layout matters and the subtle bugs it can cause.

## 2.1. A Simple Configuration File

Imagine you're building a game that saves player configuration to a file:

```
// game_config.hpp
#include <stdint>
#include <fstream>

struct GameConfig {
    int32_t version;    // Config file version
    bool    fullscreen; // Fullscreen mode?
    int64_t last_played; // Unix timestamp
    uint32_t volume;    // 0-100
};

void save_config(const GameConfig& cfg, const char* path) {
    std::ofstream file(path, std::ios::binary);
    file.write(reinterpret_cast<const char*>(&cfg), sizeof(cfg));
}

GameConfig load_config(const char* path) {
    GameConfig cfg{}; // Zero-initialize
    std::ifstream file(path, std::ios::binary);
    file.read(reinterpret_cast<char*>(&cfg), sizeof(cfg));
    return cfg;
}
```

This code looks correct. It compiles without warnings. It works on your machine.

**But there's a hidden bug.**

## 2.2. The Bug Revealed

Let's examine the actual memory layout:

```
struct GameConfig {
    int32_t version;    // offset 0, size 4
    bool    fullscreen; // offset 4, size 1
    // 3 bytes padding here! (for int64_t alignment)
    int64_t last_played; // offset 8, size 8
    uint32_t volume;    // offset 16, size 4
    // 4 bytes padding here! (for struct alignment)
};
```

```
// Total size: 24 bytes, alignment: 8
```

The struct has 7 **bytes of hidden padding**. Now consider these scenarios:

### 2.2.1. Scenario 1: Cross-Platform Sharing

Platform	long size	bool alignment
Linux x86_64 (LP64)	8 bytes	1 byte
Windows x64 (LLP64)	4 bytes	1 byte
Some ARM platforms	4 bytes	4 bytes

If a Linux server saves the config and a Windows client loads it... **data corruption**.

### 2.2.2. Scenario 2: Compiler Differences

Even on the same OS, different compilers may use different padding strategies:

- GCC vs Clang vs MSVC
- Debug vs Release builds
- Different optimization flags

### 2.2.3. Scenario 3: Code Evolution

A future developer adds a new field:

```
struct GameConfig {
    int32_t  version;
    bool     fullscreen;
    bool     vsync;      // NEW: VSync enabled?
    int64_t  last_played;
    uint32_t volume;
};
```

Old config files are now **silently incompatible**. No error, just wrong values.

## 2.3. The Real-World Impact

These bugs are:

- **Silent** - No compiler warning, no runtime error
- **Intermittent** - Works on some platforms, fails on others
- **Hard to debug** - Data looks almost right but is slightly shifted

Common victims:

- Game save files
- Configuration persistence
- Shared memory between processes
- Network protocols
- Memory-mapped files

## 2.4. What We Need

We need a way to:

1. **Capture** the exact memory layout of a struct at compile time
2. **Compare** layouts to detect incompatibilities before they cause bugs
3. **Verify** that layouts match expected specifications
4. **Do it all at compile time** - catch bugs before deployment

This is exactly what TypeLayout provides.

# Chapter 3. Chapter 2: The Old Ways

Traditional solutions to struct layout problems and their limitations.

## 3.1. Solution 1: Manual static\_assert

The most common approach is manual offset verification:

```
struct GameConfig {
    int32_t version;
    bool    fullscreen;
    int64_t last_played;
    uint32_t volume;
};

// Manual verification
static_assert(sizeof(GameConfig) == 24);
static_assert(offsetof(GameConfig, version) == 0);
static_assert(offsetof(GameConfig, fullscreen) == 4);
static_assert(offsetof(GameConfig, last_played) == 8);
static_assert(offsetof(GameConfig, volume) == 16);
```

### 3.1.1. Limitations

Problem	Impact
Tedious	Must write one assertion per field
Error-prone	Easy to forget a field or make typos
Maintenance burden	Must update when struct changes
No private members	<code>offsetof</code> fails on non-standard-layout types
No inheritance	Cannot verify base class offsets

## 3.2. Solution 2: External Tools

Tools like `pahole` and `dwarfdump` can analyze compiled binaries:

```
$ pahole -C GameConfig my_program
struct GameConfig {
    int32_t version;          /* 0 4 */
    _Bool   fullscreen;      /* 4 1 */
    /* 3 bytes hole */
    int64_t last_played;     /* 8 8 */
    uint32_t volume;         /* 16 4 */
    /* 4 bytes padding */
    /* size: 24, alignment: 8 */
```

```
};
```

### 3.2.1. Limitations

Problem	Impact
Post-compilation	Only works after building
External dependency	Not part of C++ build process
Manual inspection	Must compare outputs yourself
No CI/CD integration	Hard to automate verification

## 3.3. Solution 3: Pragma Pack

Force specific alignment with compiler directives:

```
#pragma pack(push, 1)
struct GameConfig {
    int32_t version;
    bool fullscreen;
    int64_t last_played;
    uint32_t volume;
};
#pragma pack(pop)
// Now size is exactly 17 bytes, no padding
```

### 3.3.1. Limitations

Problem	Impact
Performance penalty	Unaligned access is slower
Portability issues	Non-standard, varies by compiler
Invasive	Changes the actual layout
Alignment requirements	May violate CPU alignment needs

## 3.4. Solution 4: Serialization Libraries

Use protobuf, flatbuffers, or similar:

```
// config.proto
message GameConfig {
    int32 version = 1;
    bool fullscreen = 2;
    int64 last_played = 3;
    uint32 volume = 4;
```

```
}
```

### 3.4.1. Limitations

Problem	Impact
IDL overhead	Separate definition language
Generated code	Not native C++ structs
Runtime cost	Serialization/deserialization overhead
Learning curve	New tools and concepts to learn

## 3.5. What We Really Need

An ideal solution would:

Feature	Manual	External	Pack	IDL
Compile-time verification	Yes	No	No	No
Zero runtime overhead	Yes	Yes	No	No
Native C++ structs	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Automatic & complete	No	No	Yes	Yes
Private member support	No	Yes	Yes	N/A
Inheritance support	No	Yes	Yes	No

TypeLayout achieves **all of these** using C++26 static reflection.

# Chapter 4. Chapter 3: Quick Start

Your first layout signature with TypeLayout.

## 4.1. Installation

TypeLayout is a header-only library. Simply include the header:

```
#include <boost/typelayout.hpp>
using namespace boost::typelayout;
```



Requires a C++26 compiler with P2996 static reflection support.

## 4.2. Your First Signature

Let's generate a layout signature for a simple struct:

```
#include <boost/typelayout.hpp>
#include <cstdint>
#include <iostream>

using namespace boost::typelayout;

struct Point {
    int32_t x;
    int32_t y;
};

int main() {
    // Generate signature at compile time
    constexpr auto sig = get_layout_signature<Point>();

    // Print it (runtime output for inspection)
    std::cout << "Point signature: " << sig.c_str() << '\n';

    return 0;
}
```

Output:

```
Point signature: [64-le]struct[s:8,a:4]{@0[x]:i32[s:4,a:4],@4[y]:i32[s:4,a:4]}
```

## 4.3. Understanding the Signature

Let's break down what this signature tells us:

```
[64-le]struct[s:8,a:4]{@0[x]:i32[s:4,a:4],@4[y]:i32[s:4,a:4]}
```

Diagram illustrating the breakdown of the signature `[64-le]struct[s:8,a:4]{@0[x]:i32[s:4,a:4],@4[y]:i32[s:4,a:4]}`:

- Platform prefix: `[64-le]`
- Type category: `struct`
- Size: `s:8`
- Alignment: `a:4`
- Field list start: `{`
- Field name: `x`
- Offset in bytes: `0`
- Type signature: `i32`
- Second field info: `@4[y]:i32[s:4,a:4]`

## 4.4. Compile-Time Verification

The real power is compile-time verification:

```
struct Point {  
    int32_t x;  
    int32_t y;  
};  
  
// Verify layout at compile time - fails if layout changes  
static_assert(get_layout_signature<Point>() ==  
    "[64-le]struct[s:8,a:4]{@0[x]:i32[s:4,a:4],@4[y]:i32[s:4,a:4]}");  
  
// Or use the convenient macro  
BOOST_TYPELAYOUT_ASSERT(Point,  
    "[64-le]struct[s:8,a:4]{@0[x]:i32[s:4,a:4],@4[y]:i32[s:4,a:4]}");
```

If anyone changes `Point` (even accidentally), compilation fails immediately.

## 4.5. Comparing Types

Check if two types have the same memory layout:

```
struct PointA { int32_t x, y; };  
struct PointB { int32_t a, b; }; // Different names, same layout  
struct PointC { int64_t x, y; }; // Different types  
  
// These pass  
static_assert(LayoutCompatible<PointA, PointA>); // Same type  
// Note: PointA and PointB have different field names, so not compatible
```

```
static_assert(!LayoutCompatible<PointA, PointB>); // Different field names

// This fails (different sizes)
static_assert(!LayoutCompatible<PointA, PointC>);
```

## 4.6. Using Hash for Quick Checks

For runtime comparison, use the 64-bit hash:

```
constexpr auto hash = get_layout_hash<Point>();
// Returns a 64-bit FNV-1a hash of the signature

// Useful in headers, IPC, shared memory:
struct SharedMemoryHeader {
    uint64_t layout_hash; // Store hash for verification
    // ... data ...
};
```

## 4.7. Exercise: Try It Yourself

1. Create a struct with padding:

```
struct Padded {
    int8_t a;
    int32_t b;
};
```

2. Generate its signature
3. Predict the size and offsets before checking
4. Verify your predictions with `static_assert`

# Chapter 5. Chapter 4: Reading Signatures

Understanding the layout signature format in detail.

## 5.1. Signature Grammar

A layout signature follows this structure:

```
<signature> ::= <platform> <type-sig>

<platform>  ::= "[" <bits> "-" <endian> "]"
<bits>      ::= "32" | "64"
<endian>    ::= "le" | "be"

<type-sig>  ::= <primitive> | <struct-sig> | <class-sig> |
               <union-sig> | <enum-sig> | <array-sig> | ...
```

## 5.2. Platform Prefix

The platform prefix identifies the build environment:

Prefix	Meaning
[64-le]	64-bit, little-endian (x86_64, ARM64)
[64-be]	64-bit, big-endian (POWER, SPARC)
[32-le]	32-bit, little-endian (x86)
[32-be]	32-bit, big-endian (older ARM)

## 5.3. Primitive Types

Type	Signature	Size	Notes
int8_t	i8[s:1,a:1]	1	Signed 8-bit
uint16_t	u16[s:2,a:2]	2	Unsigned 16-bit
int32_t	i32[s:4,a:4]	4	Signed 32-bit
int64_t	i64[s:8,a:8]	8	Signed 64-bit
float	f32[s:4,a:4]	4	IEEE 754 single
double	f64[s:8,a:8]	8	IEEE 754 double
bool	bool[s:1,a:1]	1	Boolean
char	char[s:1,a:1]	1	Character
void*	ptr[s:8,a:8]	4/8	Platform-dependent

## 5.4. Struct Signatures

### 5.4.1. Basic Format

```
struct[s:SIZE,a:ALIGN]{FIELDS}
```

Example:

```
struct Point { int32_t x, y; };  
// [64-le]struct[s:8,a:4]{@0[x]:i32[s:4,a:4],@4[y]:i32[s:4,a:4]}
```

### 5.4.2. Field Format

Each field has:

```
@OFFSET[NAME]:TYPE
```

- **@OFFSET** - Byte offset from struct start
- **[NAME]** - Field name
- **TYPE** - Field type signature

### 5.4.3. With Padding

```
struct Padded {  
    int8_t a;    // @0  
    // 3 bytes padding (for int32_t alignment)  
    int32_t b;   // @4  
};  
// struct[s:8,a:4]{@0[a]:i8[s:1,a:1],@4[b]:i32[s:4,a:4]}
```

The padding is **implicit** - inferred from the gap between offsets.

## 5.5. Class Signatures

Classes with inheritance or polymorphism use the **class** keyword:

### 5.5.1. With Inheritance

```
struct Base { uint64_t id; };  
struct Derived : Base { uint32_t value; };  
// class[s:16,a:8,inherited]{@0[base]:struct[s:8,a:8]{...},@8[value]:u32[s:4,a:4]}
```

The `inherited` marker indicates the class has base classes.

### 5.5.2. Polymorphic Classes

```
class Entity {  
    virtual ~Entity() = default;  
    uint32_t id_;  
};  
// class[s:16,a:8,polymorphic]{@8[id_]:u32[s:4,a:4]}
```

The `polymorphic` marker indicates a vtable pointer exists (offset 0-7).

## 5.6. Union Signatures

All union members share offset 0:

```
union Value {  
    int32_t i;  
    float f;  
};  
// union[s:4,a:4]{@0[i]:i32[s:4,a:4],@0[f]:f32[s:4,a:4]}
```

## 5.7. Enum Signatures

Enums include their underlying type:

```
enum class Color : uint8_t { Red, Green, Blue };  
// enum[s:1,a:1]<u8[s:1,a:1]>
```

## 5.8. Array Signatures

Arrays include element type and count:

```
int32_t arr[4];  
// array[s:16,a:4]<i32[s:4,a:4],4>
```

Special case for `char` arrays:

```
char buf[64];  
// bytes[s:64,a:1]
```

## 5.9. Bitfield Signatures

Bitfields use a special format:

```
struct Flags {  
    uint8_t a : 3;  
    uint8_t b : 5;  
};  
// struct[s:1,a:1]{@0.0[a]:bits<3,u8[s:1,a:1]>,@0.3[b]:bits<5,u8[s:1,a:1]>}
```

- **@0.0** - byte 0, bit 0
- **@0.3** - byte 0, bit 3
- **bits<WIDTH,TYPE>** - bitfield width and underlying type

## 5.10. Anonymous Members

Anonymous unions/structs get placeholder names:

```
struct Mixed {  
    int32_t x;  
    union { int32_t a; float b; }; // Anonymous union  
};  
// struct[s:8,a:4]{@0[x]:i32[s:4,a:4],@4[<anon:0>]:union[s:4,a:4]{...}}
```

## 5.11. Signature Comparison Rules

Two signatures are equal if and only if:

1. Platform prefix matches
2. Type category matches
3. Size and alignment match
4. All field offsets match
5. All field names match
6. All field types match (recursively)



Field **names** are part of the signature. Different names = different signature.

# Chapter 6. Chapter 5: Real-World Applications

Practical use cases for TypeLayout.

## 6.1. Application 1: Shared Memory IPC

Multiple processes share data through memory-mapped regions.

### 6.1.1. The Problem

```
// Process A writes
SharedData* data = map_shared_memory("/my_shm");
data->value = 42;

// Process B reads
SharedData* data = map_shared_memory("/my_shm");
int v = data->value; // Is this correct?
```

If Process A and B were compiled differently, `value` might be at different offsets.

### 6.1.2. The Solution

```
#include <boost/typelayout.hpp>

struct SharedData {
    uint32_t magic;
    uint64_t layout_hash; // Layout verification
    // ... actual data ...
    int32_t value;
    double temperature;
};

// At compile time, lock down the expected layout
constexpr auto EXPECTED_HASH = get_layout_hash<SharedData>();

void* map_shared_memory(const char* name) {
    void* ptr = /* mmap or shm_open */;
    auto* header = static_cast<SharedData*>(ptr);

    // Runtime verification
    if (header->layout_hash != EXPECTED_HASH) {
        throw std::runtime_error("Layout mismatch in shared memory!");
    }
    return ptr;
}
```

```
void init_shared_memory(SharedData* data) {
    data->magic = 0x12345678;
    data->layout_hash = EXPECTED_HASH;
}
```

## 6.2. Application 2: File Format Versioning

Game save files must remain compatible across versions.

### 6.2.1. The Problem

```
// Version 1.0
struct SaveFile_v1 {
    uint32_t version;
    char player_name[32];
    int32_t score;
};

// Version 2.0 - added new field
struct SaveFile_v2 {
    uint32_t version;
    char player_name[32];
    int32_t score;
    uint64_t playtime; // NEW!
};
```

How do you handle old save files?

### 6.2.2. The Solution

```
// Define known layouts with their signatures
constexpr auto V1_SIGNATURE =
    "[64-le]struct[s:40,a:4]{@0[version]:u32[s:4,a:4],\"
    \"@4[player_name]:bytes[s:32,a:1],@36[score]:i32[s:4,a:4]}\";

constexpr auto V2_SIGNATURE =
    "[64-le]struct[s:48,a:8]{@0[version]:u32[s:4,a:4],\"
    \"@4[player_name]:bytes[s:32,a:1],@36[score]:i32[s:4,a:4],\"
    \"@40[playtime]:u64[s:8,a:8]}\";

// Compile-time verification
static_assert(LayoutMatch<SaveFile_v1, V1_SIGNATURE>);
static_assert(LayoutMatch<SaveFile_v2, V2_SIGNATURE>);

// Runtime loader
SaveData load_save(const char* path) {
```

```

FileHeader header = read_header(path);

switch (header.version) {
    case 1: return load_v1(path);
    case 2: return load_v2(path);
    default: throw std::runtime_error("Unknown save version");
}
}

```

## 6.3. Application 3: Network Protocol

Client and server must agree on message layouts.

### 6.3.1. Protocol Definition

```

// protocol.hpp - shared between client and server
namespace protocol {

struct MessageHeader {
    uint32_t magic;           // 0xDEADBEEF
    uint16_t type;           // Message type
    uint16_t flags;          // Flags
    uint32_t length;         // Payload length
    uint64_t timestamp;      // Unix timestamp
};

struct LoginRequest {
    MessageHeader header;
    char username[32];
    char password_hash[64];
};

struct LoginResponse {
    MessageHeader header;
    uint32_t result_code;
    uint64_t session_id;
};

// Compile-time layout contracts
static_assert(get_layout_signature<MessageHeader>() ==
    "[64-le]struct[s:24,a:8]{"
    "@0[magic]:u32[s:4,a:4],"
    "@4[type]:u16[s:2,a:2],"
    "@6[flags]:u16[s:2,a:2],"
    "@8[length]:u32[s:4,a:4],"
    "@16[timestamp]:u64[s:8,a:8]}");

} // namespace protocol

```

### 6.3.2. Version Negotiation

```
// Include layout hash in handshake
struct Handshake {
    uint32_t protocol_version;
    uint64_t header_layout_hash;
};

void connect(Socket& socket) {
    Handshake hs;
    hs.protocol_version = 1;
    hs.header_layout_hash = get_layout_hash<MessageHeader>();

    socket.send(&hs, sizeof(hs));

    Handshake server_hs;
    socket.recv(&server_hs, sizeof(server_hs));

    if (server_hs.header_layout_hash != hs.header_layout_hash) {
        throw std::runtime_error("Protocol layout mismatch!");
    }
}
```

## 6.4. Application 4: Hardware Register Mapping

Embedded systems access hardware through memory-mapped registers.

### 6.4.1. Register Definition

```
// SPI controller registers (hypothetical)
struct SPIRegisters {
    volatile uint32_t control;    // @0x00: Control register
    volatile uint32_t status;    // @0x04: Status register
    volatile uint32_t data;      // @0x08: Data register
    volatile uint32_t clock_div; // @0x0C: Clock divider
};

// Verify against hardware specification
static_assert(sizeof(SPIRegisters) == 16);
static_assert(get_layout_signature<SPIRegisters>() ==
    "[32-le]struct[s:16,a:4]{\"
    \"@0[control]:u32[s:4,a:4],\"
    \"@4[status]:u32[s:4,a:4],\"
    \"@8[data]:u32[s:4,a:4],\"
    \"@12[clock_div]:u32[s:4,a:4]}\"");

SPIRegisters* spi = reinterpret_cast<SPIRegisters*>(0x40001000);
```

## 6.5. Application 5: ABI Stability

Library maintainers need to ensure ABI stability.

### 6.5.1. Public API Types

```
// public_api.hpp

// ABI-stable types - changes break compatibility
struct [[nodiscard]] Result {
    int32_t error_code;
    uint64_t data;
};

struct Config {
    uint32_t flags;
    uint32_t timeout_ms;
    char name[64];
};

// Document and enforce ABI
namespace abi {
    // Current ABI version
    constexpr int VERSION = 1;

    // Layout hashes for verification
    constexpr uint64_t RESULT_HASH = get_layout_hash<Result>();
    constexpr uint64_t CONFIG_HASH = get_layout_hash<Config>();

    // CI can verify these don't change unexpectedly
    static_assert(RESULT_HASH == 0x1234567890ABCDEFull,
        "ABI break: Result layout changed!");
}
```

## 6.6. Summary

TypeLayout is useful whenever you need to:

Scenario	Key Technique
Shared Memory	Hash in header + runtime check
File Formats	Version-specific signatures
Network Protocols	Layout hash in handshake
Hardware Registers	Static assert against spec
ABI Stability	CI-verified hash constants

# Chapter 7. Chapter 6: Beyond the Basics

Advanced features: inheritance, polymorphism, bitfields, and concepts.

## 7.1. Inheritance

TypeLayout fully supports class inheritance, including private members.

### 7.1.1. Single Inheritance

```
class Entity {
public:
    Entity(uint64_t id) : id_(id) {}
    uint64_t getId() const { return id_; }
private:
    uint64_t id_;
};

class Player : public Entity {
public:
    Player(uint64_t id, int32_t score) : Entity(id), score_(score) {}
    int32_t getScore() const { return score_; }
private:
    int32_t score_;
};

// Signature includes base class
constexpr auto sig = get_layout_signature<Player>();
//
class[s:16,a:8,inherited]{@0[base]:struct[s:8,a:8]{@0[id_]:u64[s:8,a:8]},@8[score_]:i32[s:4,a:4]}
```



The `inherited` marker indicates base class presence.

### 7.1.2. Multiple Inheritance

```
struct Movable { float x, y; };
struct Renderable { uint32_t sprite_id; };

struct GameObject : Movable, Renderable {
    uint32_t object_id;
};

constexpr auto sig = get_layout_signature<GameObject>();
// Includes both base classes with their offsets
```

### 7.1.3. Virtual Inheritance

```
struct VirtualBase { int32_t value; };
struct Left : virtual VirtualBase { int32_t left_data; };
struct Right : virtual VirtualBase { int32_t right_data; };
struct Diamond : Left, Right { int32_t diamond_data; };

// Virtual bases are marked with [vbase]
// @N[vbase]:struct{...}
```

## 7.2. Polymorphism

Polymorphic classes (with virtual functions) are handled correctly.

```
class IShape {
public:
    virtual ~IShape() = default;
    virtual double area() const = 0;
};

class Circle : public IShape {
public:
    Circle(double r) : radius_(r) {}
    double area() const override { return 3.14159 * radius_ * radius_; }
private:
    double radius_;
};

constexpr auto sig = get_layout_signature<Circle>();
// class[s:16,a:8,polymorphic,inherited]{...}
```

The **polymorphic** marker indicates:

- A vtable pointer exists (typically at offset 0)
- The class has virtual functions
- Size includes vtable pointer (8 bytes on 64-bit)

## 7.3. Bitfields

Bitfields are represented with bit-level precision.

```
struct PacketFlags {
    uint8_t version : 4;    // Bits 0-3
    uint8_t type : 3;       // Bits 4-6
    uint8_t urgent : 1;     // Bit 7
    uint8_t priority : 4;   // Bits 8-11 (second byte)
```

```

    uint8_t reserved : 4;    // Bits 12-15
};

constexpr auto sig = get_layout_signature<PacketFlags>();
// struct[s:2,a:1]{
//   @0.0[version]:bits<4,u8[s:1,a:1]>,
//   @0.4[type]:bits<3,u8[s:1,a:1]>,
//   @0.7[urgent]:bits<1,u8[s:1,a:1]>,
//   @1.0[priority]:bits<4,u8[s:1,a:1]>,
//   @1.4[reserved]:bits<4,u8[s:1,a:1]>
// }

```

Bitfield format: `@BYTE.BIT[name]:bits<WIDTH,UNDERLYING>`

## 7.4. Anonymous Members

Anonymous unions and structs get placeholder names.

```

struct Variant {
    uint32_t type;
    union {
        int32_t  as_int;
        float    as_float;
        void*    as_ptr;
    }; // Anonymous union
};

constexpr auto sig = get_layout_signature<Variant>();
// struct[s:16,a:8]{@0[type]:u32[s:4,a:4],@8[<anon:0>]:union[s:8,a:8]{...}}

```

## 7.5. Concepts Integration

TypeLayout provides C++20 concepts for type constraints.

### 7.5.1. LayoutSupported

Check if a type can have its layout computed:

```

template<typename T>
    requires LayoutSupported<T>
void serialize(const T& value, Buffer& buf) {
    buf.write(&value, sizeof(T));
}

// Works with: structs, classes, unions, enums, primitives, arrays
// Fails with: void, function types, incomplete types

```

## 7.5.2. LayoutCompatible

Check if two types have identical layouts:

```
template<typename T, typename U>
    requires LayoutCompatible<T, U>
void safe_copy(const T& src, U& dst) {
    std::memcpy(&dst, &src, sizeof(T));
}

// Only compiles if T and U have exactly the same layout signature
```

## 7.5.3. LayoutMatch

Check if a type matches a specific signature:

```
template<typename T>
    requires LayoutMatch<T, "[64-
le]struct[s:8,a:4]{@0[x]:i32[s:4,a:4],@4[y]:i32[s:4,a:4]}">
void process_point(const T& point) {
    // Guaranteed layout
}
```

## 7.5.4. LayoutHashMatch

Check if a type matches a specific hash:

```
template<typename T>
    requires LayoutHashMatch<T, 0xABCD1234DEADBEEF>
void load_from_file(T& data, const char* path) {
    // Layout verified at compile time
}
```

## 7.6. Practical Example: Type-Safe Memory Pool

```
template<typename T>
    requires LayoutSupported<T>
class MemoryPool {
public:
    // Store layout hash for verification
    static constexpr uint64_t LAYOUT_HASH = get_layout_hash<T>();

    T* allocate() {
        // ...
    }
}
```

```

void deallocate(T* ptr) {
    // ...
}

// Verify at runtime (e.g., when loading serialized pool)
bool verify_layout(uint64_t stored_hash) const {
    return stored_hash == LAYOUT_HASH;
}
};

```

## 7.7. Private Members

TypeLayout uses P2996's `access_context::unchecked()` to reflect private members.

```

class SecretData {
private:
    uint64_t secret_key_;
    int32_t secret_value_;
};

// Works! Private members are fully visible
constexpr auto sig = get_layout_signature<SecretData>();
// struct[s:16,a:8]{@0[secret_key_]:u64[s:8,a:8],@8[secret_value_]:i32[s:4,a:4]}

```

No `friend` declarations needed. No workarounds. Just works.

# Chapter 8. Chapter 7: Under the Hood

How TypeLayout uses C++26 static reflection (P2996) internally.

## 8.1. Introduction to P2996

P2996 "Reflection for C++26" introduces compile-time type introspection via:

- `^T` - The "reflection operator" that creates a reflection of type `T`
- `std::meta::info` - Opaque handle representing reflected entities
- `[ : refl : ]` - The "splice" operator that converts reflection back to code

```
#include <experimental/meta>

struct Point { int x, y; };

constexpr void example() {
    // Get reflection of Point
    constexpr auto refl = ^Point;

    // Query its properties
    static_assert(std::meta::is_class_type(refl));

    // Get members
    auto members = std::meta::nonstatic_data_members_of(refl);
}
```

## 8.2. Key P2996 APIs Used

TypeLayout relies on these core P2996 functions:

### 8.2.1. `nonstatic_data_members_of`

Returns a list of non-static data members:

```
template<typename T>
constexpr std::size_t get_member_count() {
    using namespace std::meta;
    auto members = nonstatic_data_members_of(^T, access_context::unchecked());
    return members.size();
}
```

### 8.2.2. `offset_of`

Gets the byte (and bit) offset of a member:

```
template<typename T, size_t Index>
constexpr size_t get_field_offset() {
    using namespace std::meta;
    auto members = nonstatic_data_members_of(^T, access_context::unchecked());
    return offset_of(members[Index]).bytes;
}
```

### 8.2.3. `type_of`

Gets the type of a reflected entity:

```
template<typename T, size_t Index>
using FieldType = [: type_of(
    nonstatic_data_members_of(^T, access_context::unchecked())[Index]
) :];
```

### 8.2.4. `identifier_of`

Gets the name of a reflected entity:

```
constexpr std::string_view get_field_name(std::meta::info member) {
    if (std::meta::has_identifier(member)) {
        return std::meta::identifier_of(member);
    }
    return "<anonymous>";
}
```

### 8.2.5. `bases_of`

Gets base classes of a type:

```
template<typename T>
constexpr bool has_bases() {
    using namespace std::meta;
    return bases_of(^T, access_context::unchecked()).size() > 0;
}
```

## 8.3. Access Control: The Magic of `unchecked()`

Traditional reflection (like `offsetof`) fails on private members:

```
class Secret {
private:
    int value_;
```

```
};

// Error: 'value_' is private
// offsetof(Secret, value_);
```

P2996 solves this with `access_context::unchecked()`:

```
auto members = nonstatic_data_members_of(^Secret,
    access_context::unchecked()); // Bypasses access control!

auto offset = offset_of(members[0]).bytes; // Works!
```

This is by design - reflection for introspection should see everything.

## 8.4. How TypeLayout Generates Signatures

### 8.4.1. Step 1: Iterate Members

```
template<typename T>
constexpr auto get_fields_signature() {
    constexpr auto members = nonstatic_data_members_of(
        ^T, access_context::unchecked());

    // Process each member...
    return concatenate_signatures<T>(
        std::make_index_sequence<members.size()>{}
    );
}
```

### 8.4.2. Step 2: Build Field Signature

```
template<typename T, size_t Index>
constexpr auto get_field_signature() {
    constexpr auto member = nonstatic_data_members_of(
        ^T, access_context::unchecked())[Index];

    using FieldType = [: type_of(member) :];
    constexpr size_t offset = offset_of(member).bytes;
    constexpr auto name = identifier_of(member);

    // Build: @OFFSET[NAME]:TYPE_SIGNATURE
    return CompileString{"@"} +
        to_string(offset) +
        CompileString{"["} +
        CompileString{name} +
        CompileString{"]:"} +
```

```

    TypeSignature<FieldType>::calculate();
}

```

### 8.4.3. Step 3: Handle Special Cases

Bitfields:

```

if constexpr (is_bit_field(member)) {
    auto bit_off = offset_of(member);
    // Use bit_off.bytes and bit_off.bits
    // Format: @BYTE.BIT[name]:bits<WIDTH,TYPE>
}

```

Base classes:

```

template<typename T>
constexpr auto get_bases_signature() {
    auto bases = bases_of(^T, access_context::unchecked());
    // For each base, get offset and signature
}

```

## 8.5. The CompileString Type

TypeLayout uses a custom compile-time string type:

```

template<size_t N>
struct CompileString {
    char value[N];
    static constexpr size_t size = N;

    constexpr CompileString(const char (&str)[N]) {
        std::copy_n(str, N, value);
    }

    // Concatenation
    template<size_t M>
    constexpr auto operator+(const CompileString<M>& other) const {
        CompileString<N + M - 1> result;
        // Copy this, then other...
        return result;
    }
};

```

This enables building signatures entirely at compile time.

## 8.6. Recursion and Nested Types

For nested structs, TypeLayout recursively generates signatures:

```
struct Inner { int32_t value; };
struct Outer { Inner inner; int32_t extra; };

// Outer's signature includes Inner's full signature:
// struct[s:8,a:4]{@0[inner]:struct[s:4,a:4]{@0[value]:i32},@4[extra]:i32}
```

This is achieved by `TypeSignature<FieldType>::calculate()` recursing into nested types.

## 8.7. Performance Considerations

All computation happens at compile time:

- No runtime overhead
- No binary size increase (signatures are constexpr values)
- Build time: proportional to type complexity

Typical impact:

- Simple structs: ~0ms
- Complex hierarchies: ~10-50ms
- Very deep nesting: may hit compiler limits

## 8.8. Compiler Support

Currently requires Bloomberg's experimental Clang with P2996:

```
# Build with P2996 toolchain
clang++ -std=c++26 -freflection my_code.cpp
```

As P2996 gets standardized, expect support in:

- GCC 15+ (planned)
- Clang 19+ (planned)
- MSVC (TBD)

## 8.9. Summary

TypeLayout leverages P2996 to:

1. **Reflect** all members (including private) at compile time

2. **Extract** offsets, names, and types automatically
3. **Generate** human-readable signatures with zero runtime cost
4. **Verify** layouts at compile time via `static_assert` and concepts

The result: automatic, complete, and efficient layout introspection.

# Chapter 9. Appendix A: Quick Reference

## 9.1. Signature Format Cheat Sheet

Format	Meaning
[64-le]	64-bit little-endian platform
struct[s:N,a:M]	Struct with size N, alignment M
class[s:N,a:M,polymorphic]	Class with vtable
class[s:N,a:M,inherited]	Class with base classes
@N[name]:TYPE	Field at offset N
@N.B[name]:bits<W,T>	Bitfield at byte N, bit B, width W
i32[s:4,a:4]	Signed 32-bit integer
u64[s:8,a:8]	Unsigned 64-bit integer
f64[s:8,a:8]	Double precision float
ptr[s:8,a:8]	Pointer
array[s:N,a:M]<T,C>	Array of C elements of type T
bytes[s:N,a:1]	Byte array (char[N])
enum[s:N,a:M]<T>	Enum with underlying type T

## 9.2. API Quick Reference

```
// Core functions
get_layout_signature<T>()      // Get full signature
get_layout_hash<T>()          // Get 64-bit hash

// Concepts
LayoutSupported<T>             // Can compute layout?
LayoutCompatible<T, U>         // Same layout?
LayoutMatch<T, "sig">          // Matches signature?
LayoutHashMatch<T, hash>       // Matches hash?

// Macro
BOOST_TPELAYOUT_ASSERT(T, "sig") // Assert at compile time
```

# Chapter 10. Appendix B: Further Reading

## 10.1. C++ Standards Papers

- **P2996** - Reflection for C++26
- **P2320** - The Syntax of Static Reflection
- **P1240** - Scalable Reflection

## 10.2. Tools & Resources

- Bloomberg's P2996 Clang Fork: <https://github.com/bloomberg/clang-p2996>
- [cppreference.com](http://cppreference.com) - Memory layout documentation
- [pahole](http://pahole.org) - Poke-A-Hole, data structure analysis tool

## 10.3. Related Projects

- Boost.PFR - Simple struct reflection (C++14)
- Magic Enum - Enum reflection
- refl-cpp - Header-only reflection library

# Chapter 11. Appendix C: Troubleshooting

## 11.1. Common Issues

### 11.1.1. "Compiler does not support P2996"

```
error: unknown reflection operator '^'
```

**Solution:** Ensure you're using Bloomberg's P2996 Clang fork with `-std=c++26` `-freflection` flags.

### 11.1.2. "Layout hash mismatch at runtime"

**Possible causes:**

1. Different compilers were used for producer and consumer
2. Different compiler flags (e.g., `-fpack-struct`)
3. Different platforms (32-bit vs 64-bit)

**Solution:** Ensure all components are built with identical toolchains and flags.

### 11.1.3. "Signature too long for static\_assert"

For complex types, signatures can exceed compiler limits.

**Solution:** Use hash comparison instead:

```
static_assert(get_layout_hash<ComplexType>() == 0x1234567890ABCDEF);
```

## 11.2. Getting Help

- GitHub Issues: <https://github.com/boost/typelayout/issues>
- Boost Mailing List: [boost-users@lists.boost.org](mailto:boost-users@lists.boost.org)
- Stack Overflow: Tag `[boost-typelayout]`

# Chapter 12. Glossary

## **ABI**

Application Binary Interface. The low-level interface between compiled code modules.

## **Alignment**

The byte boundary on which a type must be placed in memory.

## **Bitfield**

A struct member with a specified bit width.

## **Endianness**

The byte order used to store multi-byte values (little-endian or big-endian).

## **Layout Signature**

A string representation of a type's memory layout.

## **Padding**

Unused bytes inserted by the compiler to satisfy alignment requirements.

## **P2996**

The C proposal for static reflection, targeting C26.

## **Splice**

The operation that converts a reflection back into code (`[ : expr : ]`).

## **Standard-layout**

A C++ type category with guaranteed memory layout properties.

## **vtable**

Virtual function table, used for runtime polymorphism.

---

## **TypeLayout: Type Layout Verification for C++26**

Version 1.0 | February 2026

Copyright © 2026 TypeLayout Contributors

Distributed under the Boost Software License, Version 1.0.

[https://www.boost.org/LICENSE\\_1\\_0.txt](https://www.boost.org/LICENSE_1_0.txt)